**NUREG-1029** 

# A Computer Code for General Analysis of Radon Risks (GARR)

## U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

M. Ginevan



8410120006 840930 PDR NUREG PDR 1029 R PDR

#### NOTICE

Availability of Reference Materials Cited in NRC Publications

Most documents cited in NRC publications will be available from one of the following sources:

- The NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20555
- The NRC/GPO Sales Program, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555
- 3. The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

Although the listing that follows represents the majority of documents cited in NRC publications, it is not intended to be exhaustive.

Referenced documents available for inspection and copying for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room include NRC correspondence and internal NRC memoranda; NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement bulletins, circulars, information notices, inspection and investigation notices; Licensee Event Reports; vendor reports and correspondence; Commission papers; and applicant and licensee documents and correspondence.

The following documents in the NUREG series are available for purchase from the NRC/GPO Sales Program: formal NRC staff and contractor reports, NRC-sponsored conference proceedings, and NRC booklets and brochures. Also available are Regulatory Guides, NRC regulations in the *Code of Federal Regulations*, and *Nuclear Regulatory Commission Issuances*.

Documents available from the National Technical Information Service include NUREG series reports and technical reports prepared by other federal agencies and reports prepared by the Atomic Energy Commission, forerunner agency to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal and periodical articles, and transactions. *Federal Register* notices, federal and state legislation, and congressional reports can usually be obtained from these libraries.

Documents such as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings are available for purchase from the organization sponsoring the publication cited.

Single copies of NRC draft reports are available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request to the Division of Technical Information and Document Control, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at the NRC Library, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, and are available there for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

# A Computer Code for General Analysis of Radon Risks (GARR)

Manuscript Completed: September 1984 Date Published: September 1984

M. Ginevan

Division of Radiation Programs and Earth Sciences Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555



#### ABSTRACT

Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the basic question as to whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models (which principally consider the amount of radon-daughter exposure) or relative risk models (which consider both the amount of radon-daughter exposure and baseline 'ung cancer risk). Even when a general model form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection and the appropriate method of accounting exposure over time. Apart from these uncertainties, there is a question as to how much a personal habit such as smoking can modify risk.

This document presents a computer model for general analysis of radon risks that allows the user to specify a large number of possible models with a small number of simple commands. The model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wide variety of computers and, in particular, microcomputers.

Model capabilities include generation of single-year life tables from 5-year abridged data, calculation of multiple-decrement life tables for lung cancer for the general population, smokers, and nonsmokers, and a cohort lung cancer risk calculation that allows specification of level and duration of radon exposure, the form of the risk model, and the specific population assumed at risk. Figure A, which shows the process of specifying and executing the cohort lung cancer risk calculation, illustrates some of these capabilities.

iii

#### FIGURE A

#### REPRESENTATIVE GARR MODELING SESSION

INPUT: KEYBD. (K) OF FILE (F)?) K AGE AT FIRST RISK?> 30 AGE AT FIRST EXPOSURE?) 20 AGE AT LAST EXPOSURE? 6 a RISK/WLM-R.R.?> .01 R.R. OPTION(M, E, OR B)?> R RISK/WLM/PY-A.R.?> 1E-5 LATENCY IN YEARS?) 9 ANNUAL EXPOSURE IN WLM?> 1 EXPONENTIAL CORRECTION? Y EXPONENT=?> -.014 AGE SPECIFIC SENSITIVIT! ES?> H \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

RADON RISK MODEL

R.R. COEF=1.00E-002/WLM OPTION=8 A.R.=1.00E-005/PY/WLM AGE AT F.E.= 20 AGE AT L.E.= 60 AGE AT FIRST RISK= 30 WLM PER YR.=10.00E-001 LATENCY= 9 EXPONENT COR=-1.40E-002 SENSITIVITY=1 FILE NAME FOR INPUT?> WM LCMD PURGE INPUT FILE?> N WORKING POP. 1 =WMLCMD

BASELINE L.E.=50.167 DEATHS/10^5 -LC= 4835.7

RELRISK MODEL-M L.E.=50.027 LOSS LE(MONS)= 1.67 D/10^5= 5908.0 EXCESS D/10^5= 1109.2

RELRISK MODEL-E L.E.=50.011 LOSS LE(MONS)= 1.87 D/10^5= 607°.4 EXCESS D/10^5= 1244.9

ABSRISK MODEL L.E.=50.053 LOSS LE(MONS)= 1.37 D/10^5= 5455.4 EXCESS D/10^5= 645.1

RUN ANOTHER POPULATION? N NEW INITIAL CONDITIONS?> N EXECUTION ENDS

## TABLE OF CONTINTS

																									Page
1.	INTRO	DUCTION	۱																		•				1
2.	ISSUE	S IN RA	DON	RISK	ASS	ESS	MEN	IT											•	•					2
3.	GARR	ALGURIT	THMS												•			•					•		4
	3.1	Brief H	Rev:e	w of	Cot	nort	Ri	isk	An	al.	ys	is									÷				4
	3.2	Specify	ving	Popu	lati	on	at	Ri	sk									14							9
	3.3	Generat	ting	Sing	le-Y	ear	Li	ife	Ta	b1	e:	T	he	G	RAI	AUC	ATE	P	rog	gra	m	÷.,			10
	3.4	Conside	aring	Mul	tinl	e C	aus	PS	of	D	ea	th:		Th	e I	MUL	DE	С	Pro	Dat	ran	١.			17
	2.5	Addroce	cina	Infl	uand	0 0	f	mo	kin	10.		The	5	MO	KE	RS	ro	ar	am	3					19
	3.5	Calcula	sting	1	a	e u	- i	Dic	6 4	g.	-	Dad	inn	- 0	au	abt	an	э.	Sam			÷.,		÷.,	
	3.0	Laicura	ating	Lun	y La	ince	CV	0	K I	10		nau	101	0	au	ym	Le I								24
		Expos	sure:	In	e KA	INKI	SK	Pr	ogr	am		× .	* 1	*	٠. 1	• •		. *	*		*	*	*	•	24
				-																					27
4.	RUNNI	ING GARI	RON	THE	HP-7	15 .									¥. 1		1	. *				۰.	۰.		21
	4.1	HP-75 :	Syste	em .																					27
	4.2	Prepar	ing 1	nput	Fil	le f	or	GR	ADU	JAT	E	and	IN	IUL	DE	С.			1						27
	43	Running	a CRA	DUAT	F P	roar	am															2			29
	A A	Dunning	a MIII	DEC	Pro	Tram	Carrie				1		1	ð.,	£ 1.			10	1				2	21	32
	4.4	Dunning	g riot	VED	Ding	yr an			• •	1		÷.		÷.,	÷				1				1		37
	4.5	Kunning	g SML	NER	Prog	Jran		•	• •		*	1.	٠.	٠.	•	*. I	• •			1		1		1	42
	4.6	Running	g RAL	IKIZK	Pro	ogra	m	•	• •	•			•	•	•	•	•		1			*	1	•	46
																									42
		4.6.1	Inpu	it fr	om I	Keyb	oal	rd					÷.,		•	÷. 1		. *	*	.*		.*	*		43
		4.6.2	Desi	ignat	ion	of	Pop	pul	ati	ion	a	tF	lis	sk	an	d									
			Ir	nterp	reta	atio	n	of	Out	tpu	t														47
		4.6.3	Inpu	ut Vi	a F	ile													٠.		*				50
		464	Erro	or Ha	nd1	ina	and	d L	oad	din	D	Inc	but	t F	Fil.	es	fr	'on	C	as	se	tte	е.		51
		465	Add	ition	al (	Juto	ut	Fe	ati	ire	5														51
		A.C.C	Con	luci	00	unch	uc						1		÷.,	1.		1	÷	1		1	13		53
		4.0.0	CON	lusi	011	• •	•				.*			*		٠.	• •		1	1			0	1	
																									53
	4.1	LISTU	11111	ty.				•	1.1	1.7			*	*	*	•	• •		*	*		•	*		53
	4.8	DUPER	Util	ity							. •			۰.	+	۰.	6. 1		. 1			×			57
	4.9	GARR T	ape									1.1			4.1		. ,	1							58
	4.10	Conclu	sion				1																		60
REFE	RENCE	s							1.1	5.	1.	1.1		Ξ.			а,			1					61
NLT L	MENCE.	• • • •			1.1	1						- 1													
A	ndiv	A. 000	CDAM	1.151	TNC	S AN	ID	VAG	TAI	RIF	1	IST	rs									1.1			65
Appe	enaix /	A: PRU	UKAM	LISI	THO	3 AI	U	V MI	TH	ULL		1.5	10	*		•					*			1	

## LIST OF TABLES

[ab]^	Title	Page
1	Mortality Data from United States 1969 Population	11
2	Proportional Bias, $(q - q)/q$ , in $\hat{q} = 1 - [_5p_x^{0.2}]$ for Various Parametric Values of q and I	13
3	Proportional Bias, $(q - \hat{q})/q$ in $\hat{q}$ for Various Parametric Values of q and I	14
4	Absolute Bias ( $\hat{I}$ · I) in $\hat{I}$ for Various Values of q and $\bar{I}$	15
5	Comparison of Age-Specific Survival Probabilities Given in NCHS Life Table to Those Calculated from GRADUATE Life Table Produced by GARk	18
6	Nonsmoker Lung Cancer Death Rates in Deaths/100,000 Person-Year	20
7	Sensitivity Analysis: Males	25

## LIST OF FIGURES

igure	Title	Page
A	Representative GARR Modeling Session	iv
1	Sample Input Data File for GRADUATE and MULDEC	28
2	Sample Output for GRADUATE	31
3	Sample Output from MULDEC, Including Partial Listing of Life Table (Ages 0 - 43)	35
4	Copy of NONSLC File Listing and Representative Output from SMOKER Program	38
5	Representative Output from RADRISK Program:	
	<ul> <li>A. Error Messages</li> <li>B. Sensitivity File</li> <li>C. Program Output, Including Model Parameterization</li> <li>D. Input File for File Option</li></ul>	45
6	Three Alternative Parameterizations of RADRISK Model	52
7A	Representative Output from LIST Utility for a GR File (Ages 0 - 45)	55
78	Representative Output from LIST Utility for a MULDEC File (Ages 0 - 45)	56
8	Output Listing Files on Standard GARR Tape	59

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank William A. Mills for making me aware of the problems that this program package addresses and for his support during its development. My appreciation also goes to Jerome S. Puskin and Harold T. Peterson, Jr., for their review and discussions of this document throughout its development. Finally, thanks to Alice S. Whittemore and Naomi H. Harley, whose careful critiques greatly improved the clarity of my presentation.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Radon gas presents a threat to public health because its short-lived "daughters" are potent lung carcinogens (Lundin, 1971). Activities in which concern for radon-related lung cancer risk arises include uranium mining, uranium mill tailings disposal, and the "tightening" of homes as a part of energy conservation efforts (USRPC; ICRP; Collé).

There is obvious diversity in both the conditions of exposure and the populations at risk in different activities and, as noted below, an even broader diversity in expert opinion as to the type of risk model and modifying factors that are appropriate in a given radon risk assessment.

Because of this spectrum of exposure conditions and expert opinion, the computer code presented here was developed to provide the user with the means to construct General Analyses of Radon Risk (thus its name: GARR), rather than to solve a particular risk model. Its basic structure derives from the cohort life table that has been useful in more general considerations of radiation health effects (Cook; Bunger). However, it differs from earlier approaches in several ways. First, it provides great flexibility in specifying the population at risk and, in particular, the smoking status of that population. Second, it allows one to specify such features of the model as age at first risk (most authors suggest that the risk of radon-induced lung cancer is effectively zero before a certain age), latency between exposure and response, the age-specific sensitivity to radon exposure, and the "discount rate" for past radon exposure (Harley, 1981). Third, it allows one to select either an absolute risk model (wherein lung cancer risk depends only on dose and is independent of the baseline lung cancer rate) or a relative risk model (wherein cancer risk is proportional to the baseline rate) and to specify the risk coefficient for the model selected. Finally, it allows specification of the relevant exposure parameters, age at first exposure, age at last exposure, and exposure level. This level of flexibility requires only a moderate amount of user input, but it is my belief that most models currently proposed for estimation of radonrelated lung cancer risk can be implemented.

1

The GARR code differs from previous radiation risk assessment codes in another important way. It was developed on and is designed for execution by a relatively small microcomputer. Its present implementation is restricted to the Hewlett Packard model 75C portable computer. However, the language is a fairly standard version of BASIC, and the program listings given in Appendix A should be readily translated for use on other small computers or, for that matter, any computer that has a BASIC interpreter.

#### 2. ISSUES IN RADON RISK ASSESSMENT

There is one point of unanimity in expert opinion regarding radon daughters: Radon daughters under some conditions pose a significant hazard to human health and the principal risk associated with this hazard is the development of radiogenic lung cancer. Beyond this, there is considerable divergence in opinion on almost every point of the particulars of calculating radon risk.

[Note: The following discussion assumes a familiarity with basic units of radon-daughter measurement. For amplification and clarification, see Evans.]

One of the most basic dichotomies is the question of the form of the risk model. The first comprehensive study of lung cancer in American uranium miners (Lundin, 1971) suggests that there is greater than additive interaction between smoking and radon in inducing lung cancer. However, the same authors (Lundin, 1979) later concluded that the principal differences between smokers and nonsmokers was in the time between exposure to radon and development of a lung tumor (latency) and that smoking and radon exposure were nearly additive in their effect on lung cancer risk. This view is supported by Radford who based his conclusion on a study of Swedish miners. More recent evaluations using proportional hazards models (Hornung; Whittemore) return to the original view that smoking and radon act multiplicatively, while Harley and Pasternack (1981) introduce a repair term in their model on the assumption that the effectiveness of a given exposure declines exponentially with time (i.e., there is repair of radiation damage). Aside from these considerations, Cohen suggests that, since not all histologic types of lung cancer may be induced by exposure to radon daughters, one must be careful in specifying "baseline" lung cancer rates for relative risk models. (There is some evidence that this is true for adenocarcinomas (Kunz), but most histologic types seem to be elevated in radon-exposed populations (Archer; Kunz).)

Given that one can pick a risk model, the question arises as to which population to use to determine the risk coefficient. Two populations, the United States uranium miners (Lundin, 1971; 1979) and the Czech uranium miners (Kunz), form the principal basis for such exercises, but others such as the Swedish iron miners (Radford; Axelson) or the Canadian fluorospar miners (Wright; Morrison) have also been used to generate risk estimates. The National Academy of Sciences has reviewed these and other studies and concluded that absolute risk coefficients in the range of 6 to 47 lung cancers per 1,000,000 personyears per working level month (WLM) express the range of risk estimates that can be developed from miner studies. A similar range for relative risk coefficients is not given explicitly but values in the range of 0.3 to 4 percent per WLM increase in baseline lung cancer rate approximate the spread of estimates derivable from miner populations.

The question of deriving estimates of risk for nonminer populations is complicated by the fact that miners represent an atypical group and exposure situation. As noted earlier, most miner populations have a rather high percentage of smokers, and it is quite possible that smoking and radon daughters interact multiplicatively in the induction of lung cancer. Another problem concerns the high prevalence of other forms of respiratory disease in miner populations (the American Miners nonmalignant respiratory disease is the second largest excess cause of death after lung cancer (Waxweiler)). This is a problem because we do not know the extent to which exposure to the agents, other than radon, responsible for these diseases (primarily rock dust) modifies lung cancer risk. Miners are also performing heavy manual labor, which modifies their breathing rate, and this may modify the actual dose to the lung for some given number of WLMs of exposure (Harley, 1982).

3

The last issue has a parallel in considering extrapolation to the general public because children have higher breathing rates than adults as well as anatomical differences, and there may also be some differences between men and women. These factors may modify delivered dose for a given WLM exposure (Harley, 1982; Hofmann). (Children may also be more sensitive to a given delivered dose, but there is no empirical evidence for or against this idea.)

Finally, there is an issue of conditions of exposure in that mine environments are rather dusty. This means that a high percentage of radon daughters are "attached" to particles (Evans). It may be that this attached fraction is much lower in some nonmine environments, and at least one author (Wise) has suggested that a large unattached fraction can dramatically increase the delivered dose per WLM.

This completes a synopsis of some of the major issues in radon risk assessment. Its purpose is to inform the reader of potential pitfalls rather than to suggest a particular risk modeling approach. Those looking for a more comprehensive review of issues, facts, and opinions should consult the following references: NAS; UNSCEAR; Peterson; and Thomas.

#### 3. GARR ALGORITHMS

### 3.1 Brief Review of Cohort Risk Analysis

A cohort analysis asks the question: What would be the mortality experience of a group of people of the same age who have the same baseline mortality experience if they are uniformly exposed to some toxic agent that adds a certain amount of excess mortality to their baseline mortality? In this sort of analysis, two basic measures of effect can be calculated. The first, premature deaths, is the number of persons (usually per 100,000) who die earlier than they would have because of the toxic agent. The second, loss of life expectancy, is a measure of how premature the premature deaths really are. It is frequently expressed in one of two ways: (1) loss of life expectancy per exposed individual and (2) loss of life expectancy per premature death. The basic quantity of interest in any cohort analysis is  ${}_{n}q_{x}$ , the baseline probability of dying in an interval of n years beginning at some exact age x. For our calculations, n is always one. Thus we will refer simply to  $q_{x}$  which is the probability that a person exactly x years old will die in the next year. Its converse,  $p_{x} = 1 - q_{x}$ , is the probability of surviving from age x to age x + 1. A final quantity,  $S_{x}$ , given by

(1)

$$S_x = \prod_{i=0}^{x-1} p_i; [x = 1, 2, 3, ..., 100]$$

( $\Pi$  is the notation for the product  $p_0 \ge p_1 + \cdots + p_{x-1}$ .)

is the probability that a newborn is alive at age x. So is taken as 1.

Note also that the conditional probability of survival to age x + m, given that one is alive at age x, can be expressed as the ratio  $S_{x+m}/S_x$ .

Our models of radon risk are simplified because only one source of excess mortality, lung cancer, is of interest. Here, in an absolute risk model, the excess probability of death in age interval x to x + 1,  $q_{ex}$ , is given by

$$q_{ex} = Cd_{x} , \qquad (2)$$

where C is a constant with units of lung cancer cases per person per year per unit dose and  $d_x$  is the effective exposure acting at age x (the concept of effective exposure is discussed in detail below).

In a relative risk model, the risk coefficient, A, has units of proportional increase per unit exposure, and  $q_{ex}$  is a function of  $q_{cx}$ , the baseline risk. This model can take one of two forms. In the first

$$q_{ex} = Ad_{x}q_{cx}.$$
 (3)

This is here termed our multiplicative relative risk model because excess risk is a simple product of risk coefficient, exposure, and baseline risk. A second possible formulation is

$$q_{ex} = [(1 + A)^{d_x} - 1]q_{cx}$$
 (4)

This is termed our exponential relative risk model because here risk increases exponentially with dose. It is equivalent to the form of relative risk assumed in the class of statistical models termed proportional hazard models (Cox). If A is small (less than 0.02) and exposure is fairly low (d is less than 20), Equations 3 and 4 will give nearly equivalent results. However, one should always be cautious in specifying exactly which model form one is talking about because the same inputs can give quite divergent results.

When an additional source of mortality  $q_e$  is acting, we first calculate modified survival probabilities S' for ages beyond r, the age at first risk.

$$S'_{i} = S_{r} \prod_{x=r+1}^{1} (p_{x} - q_{ex})$$
 (5)

In the calculation of the probability of premature lung cancer death,  ${\rm R}_{\rm e}^{},$  one has

$$R_{e} = \frac{1}{S_{f}} \left[ S_{r} q_{er} + \frac{100}{\Sigma} S_{t}' q_{et} \right].$$
(6)

In this expression, f is the age at first exposure and r is the age at first risk. (Note that, because we are working in discrete time, we adopt the convention that dose received at age f cannot be expressed until age f + 1. Thus it is always true that r > f.)

The reciprocal of  $S_f$  converts the other survival probabilities into survival probabilities conditional on being alive at age f. Thus Equation 6 is simply the sum of the probabilities of surviving to all ages beyond exposure and dying of a premature lung cancer at those ages, which is the total probability of premature lung cancer death. This is easily converted to expected deaths per, say, 100,000 exposed by multiplication (i.e.,  $R_o$  x 100,000).

Life expectancy, E<sub>bf</sub>, for a person at age f (here equivalent to age at first exposure) is calculated as follows:

$$E_{bf} = \frac{q_f}{2} + \left[\frac{1}{S_f} \sum_{x=f+1}^{99} S_x(1 + \frac{q_x}{2})\right].$$
(7)

Equation 7 assumes that survival to a particular age contributes 1 year to life expectancy,  $S_x/S_f$ , while death during a particular year of life contributes 1 half-year to life expectancy ( $[S_x/S_f][q_x/2]$ ). (The observant reader may have noticed that our calculations to this point are truncated at age 100. Corrections for this are introduced below.)

The new life expectancy, E<sub>ef</sub>, is then

$$E_{ef} = \frac{q_f}{2} + \frac{1}{S_f} \left[ \sum_{x=f+1}^r S_x (1 + \frac{q_x}{2}) + S_r \frac{q_{er}}{2} + \sum_{t=r+1}^{99} S_t' (1 + \frac{q_t + q_{et}}{2}) \right].$$
(8)

Equation 8, which takes into account the new source of mortality, is a simple modification of Equation 7. (Note Equation 5.)

Loss in life expectancy,  $E_L$ , is simply:  $E_L = E_{bf} - E_{ef}$ .

One peculiarity of human mortality is that, during the first year of life (starting at age 0), most of the deaths take place early on. Therefore, the contribution of deaths in this interval to total life expectancy is less than the 0.5 year assumed elsewhere. We use 0.1 year (i.e.,  $q_0/10$ ) for calculations starting at age 0. It is also true that persons dying in an age interval may live, on average, more or less than 0.5 year in the interval depending on the age interval and population considered, but for our purposes 0.5 is a more than adequate approximation.

Finally, a correction is made to both life expectancy and risk of death calculations because it is assumed that no one lives beyond age 100. The expected number of years, G, to be lived by a person reaching age 100 is taken as

$$G = 0.8/q_{qq}$$
,

where  $q_{99}$  is the probability of dying during the 99th year. This is used to modify life expectancy as follows:

$$E_m = E + (S_{100}G)$$
 (9)

where E is the life expectancy before modification,  $E_m$  is modified life expectancy, and  $S_{100}$  is the probability of surviving to age 100 in our model population (i.e., conditional on being alive at age of first exposure and with excess lung cancer mortality in effect). The quantity G is also used to find  $q_{e100}$  for use in the excess risk calculation. In the absolute risk model

$$q_{e100} = Cd_{99+G}G$$
 (10)

(11)

where  $d_{S,1+G}$  is the effective exposure acting at age 99 + G years and  $q_{e100}$  is the excess lung cancer death probability experienced by those over 100 years old.

The relative risk form of this correction is given by either

$$q_{e100} = Ad_{99+G}q_{c100}$$

or

$$q_{e100} = [(1 + A)d_{99+G} - 1]q_{c100},$$

where  $q_{c100}$  is the baseline probability of dying of lung cancer beyond one's 100th birthday.

The probability of premature lung cancer death is modified as follows:

$$R_{\rm em} = R_{\rm e} + S_{100} q_{\rm e100}$$
 (12)

The net effect of each of these corrections is quite small, so much so that good results can be obtained by truncating at age 99. The reason for their inclusion here is that they, at least in theory, improve our approximation to a cohort followed to extinction and do not greatly increase computational complexity.

This completes the calculations for the basic cohort risk calculation as used in GARR. Those wishing additional reading on cohort life tables can consult Elandt-Johnson; Keyfitz; or Bunger.

#### 3.2 Specifying Population at Risk

In our model, the actuarial characteristics of the population at risk are specified by the age-specific probabilities of dying of dying of lung cancer and the age-specific probabilities of dying of all other causes for the ages 0 to 100+. These quantities can be obtained directly from sources such as the United States Decennial Life Tables (NCHS, 1975). If this option is selected, one simply looks up the  $q_x$  values for x = 0 - 99 and sets  $q_{100}$  equal to one. Age-specific probabilities of lung cancer death can be obtained by the formula

$$q_{cx} = q_{x}(m_{cx}/m_{tx})$$
, (13)

where  $m_{cx}$  and  $m_{tx}$  are the number of deaths from lung cancer and all causes occurring in persons of age x. The background mortality probability  $q_{bx}$  is obtained by subtraction. That is

$$q_{bx} = q_x - q_{cx} \tag{14}$$

This calculation is very simple but, for 1-year intervals, requires rather detailed input data that are of somewhat limited availability (e.g., the last U.S. decennial life table available is for the years 1969-1971) and that are

rather laborious to input. The GARR code simplifies matters by providing two programs, GRADUATE and MULDEC, which generate  $q_c$  and  $q_b$  for single years using input data from NCHS 5-year abridged mortality data (e.g., NCHS, 1974).

#### 3.3 Generating Single-Year Life Table: The GRADUATE Program

An excerpt from an NCHS abridged life table is shown in Table 1. It provides  $n_x^q$  values for intervals 0-1, 1-5, and 5-year intervals 5-10, ..., 80-85. The problem is to use these data to find  $q_x$  values for x = 0 - 99. For age 0 this is given. For ages 1 to 4 one can simply take

$$a_{\rm x} = 1 - 4p_1^{0.25} \tag{15}$$

where  $_{4}p_{1} = 1 - _{4}q_{1}$ .

Similarly for ages 5 to 9 and 10 to 14

$$q_{x+m} = 1 - {}_{5}p_{x}^{0.2}$$
 (16)

where m = 0 - 4, x = 5 or 10.

Beyond age 15 the annual probability of death changes with age. Thus to estimate  $q_X$  for higher ages, one must make some assumptions about how mortality behaves in the population under consideration. A variety of approaches are discussed by Keyfitz in Chapter 10; the one used in our program contains elements of several of these together with some unique aspects dictated by the necessity of being able to solve the problem fairly quickly on a small microcomputer.

The assumption made in our approach is that the annual  $q_X$  values tend to increase by a constant percentage from year to year, which is a good approximation to reality for many populations. That is

$$q_{x+1} = q_x I \tag{17}$$

TABLE 1 MORTALITY DATA FROM UNITED STATES 1969 POPULATION (NCHS, 1974)

AGE INTERVAL	PROBABILITY OF DEATH (nqx)	TOTAL DEATHS	LUNG CANCER DEATHS
	WHITE	E MALES	
0-1 1-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65 65-70 70-75 75-80 80-85 85-90 90-95 95-100	0.0213 0.0033 0.0024 0.0024 0.0077 0.0101 0.0086 7.0092 0.0132 0.0210 0.0337 0.0540 0.0851 0.1294 0.1828 0.2655 0.3524 0.4630 *1	32158 5033 4273 4299 12552 13497 9914 8993 12782 22373 36257 53156 76206 97922 112983 124755 125861 102653 60602 23182 5197 633	0 0 3 5 5 13 19 92 359 1133 2224 3938 6185 8045 8301 7147 4818 2156 696 147 18 2
1004	WHITE	FEMALES	영상 관계 귀엽다.
0-1 1-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65 65-70 70-75 75-80 80-85 85-90 90-95 95-100 100+	0.0161 0.0028 0.0016 0.0015 0.0029 0.0033 0.0035 0.0049 0.0076 0.0120 0.0120 0.0181 0.0272 0.0402 0.0598 0.0940 0.1522 0.2352 0.3671 *1	22950 4078 2829 2519 4615 4690 4177 4932 7591 12189 20543 28210 38264 49602 66888 90550 113486 116612 87714 41662 11393 1569	0 4 1 2 4 13 16 49 162 426 819 1165 1511 1447 1393 1263 969 644 303 94 14 2

\*85+

where I is a constant greater than 1 and generally in the range of 1.04 to 1.09. This is approximately equivalent to assuming a Gompertz survival function (Elandt-Johnson). From this point, numerical arguments are used to derive estimates for q, and I, assuming this process fits the data.

A preliminary estimator for  $q_{x+2}$  (the annual death probability at the midpoint of the interval defined by  $_5q_y$ ) is taken as

 $\hat{q}_{x+2} = 1 - {}_{5}p_{x}^{0.2}$ , (18)

where  $5^{p_{x}} = 1 - 5^{q_{x}}$ .

A computer program was devised to examine the behavior of  $q_{x+2}$  for various parametric values of  $q_{x+2}$  and I. The results of this computation are presented in Table 2.

Clearly,  $q_{x+2}$  is consistently biased (that is, it overestimates  $q_{x+2}$ ), and the the magnitude of the bias depends almost entirely on I. This observation suggests that the estimate of  $q_{x+2}$  might be substantially improved by adding a correction based on I. The term chosen, B, which is derived from fitting a quadratic in I to the data in the second row of Table 2, is given by

$$B = -0.778 + 1.571I - 0.793I^2 .$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

This B is then used to construct a new estimator of q, q which is given by

 $\hat{q}_{x+2} = \hat{q}_{x+2}(1 + B).$  (20)

The result of applying this correction to Table 2 is shown in Table 3. The correction is very successful in that  $\hat{q}_{x+2}$  and our parametric  $q_{x+2}$  agree almost exactly.

The foregoing assumes that I is known, but in practice it does not matter that it is not. An excellent estimate is given by

$$\hat{I} = [\hat{q}_{x}/\hat{q}_{x-5}]^{0.2}$$
(21)

## TABLE 2

PROPORTIONAL BIAS,  $(q - \hat{q})/q$ , in  $\hat{q} = 1 - [_{5}p_{x}^{0.2}]$ FOR VARIOUS PARAMETRIC VALUES OF q AND I

## PARAMETRIC I

1.03	1.06	1.09	1.12

## PARAMETRIC q

0.10	-0.00097	-0.00376	-0.00821	-0.01415
0.01	-0.00088	-0.00342	-0.00746	-0.01286
0.001	-0.00087	-0.00339	-0.00739	-0.01274

#### TABLE 3

PROPORTIONAL BIAS, (q - q)/q, in q FOR VARIOUS PARAMETRIC VALUES OF q AND I

#### PARAMETRIC I

	1.03	1.06	1.09	1.12
RAMETRIC q				
0.10	-0.00010	-0.00032	-0.00078	-0.0013
0.01	-0.00001	-0.00002	-0.00003	-0.0000
0.001	-0.00000	-0.00005	-0.00004	-0.00012

PA

<u>Note</u>:  $\hat{q} = {}_{5}p_{x}^{0.2}$  and  $\hat{q} = \hat{q}(1 + c)$  where c is a quadratic correction of the form c = -0.778 + 1.5711 - 0.7931<sup>2</sup>.

Table 4 gives absolute bias in  $\hat{I}$ ,  $(\hat{I} - I)$  for various parametric values of q and I. This bias is negligible.

Application of these formulae to generating a single-year life table for ages above 15 is as follows:

For each 59x

$$\hat{q}_{x+2} = 1 - {}_{5}p_{x}^{0.2} . \tag{22}$$

 $\hat{I}_x$  is then calculated (for the interval x - 3 to x + 2) as in Equation 20.

Then  $\hat{q}_{x+2}$  is calculated using

$$I = (I_{v} + I_{v+5})/2$$
(23)

and Equations 18 and 19.

Intermediate values of  $\hat{q}_x$  are calculated using

$$\hat{\hat{q}}_{x+2+1} = \hat{\hat{q}}_{x+2} I_{x+5}^{i}$$
 (24)

for i = 1 - 4.

The final problem is extrapolating from  $\hat{\hat{q}}_{82}$  to  $\hat{\hat{q}}_{99}$  (q(100) = 1).

Here I is taken as

$$I = (\hat{I}_{80} + \hat{I}_{75} + \hat{I}_{70})/3$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

and

$$\hat{\hat{q}}_{82+i} = \hat{\hat{q}}_{82*} I^{i}$$
 (26)

## TABLE 4

## ABSOLUTE BIAS $(\hat{I} - I)$ IN $\hat{I}$ FOR VARIOUS VALUES OF q AND I (All Entries Should Be Multiplied by $10^{-4}$ .)

PARAMETRIC I

	1.03	1.06	1.09	1.12
ARAMETRIC q				
0.10	0.0403	0.3932	1.6371	4.8684
0.06	0.0218	0.2078	0.8404	2.4040
0.03	0.0101	0.0950	0.3768	1.0524
0.01	0.0032	0.0299	0.1172	0.3228

Table 5 presents life expectancies calculated from Equation 7 with the modifications given in the text, and the survival function calculated as in Equation 1, both using  $q_{\chi}$  values derived from our procedure. Comparing these (labeled GARR) with the values taken directly from the relevant NCHS life tables suggests that the GRADUATE program does a good job of producing single-year life tables that accurately represent the mortality experience of the population at risk.

#### 3.4 Considering Multiple Causes of Death: The MULDEC Program

Table 1 also contains sex- and age-specific values for total mortality and for mortality from cancers of the trachea, bronchus, and lung. These, together with the  $q_x$  values from GRADUATE, allow calculation of  $q_{cx}$ , the annual probability of lung cancer death, for ages 0 through 100.

Because there are so few lung cancer deaths prior to age 20, we will begin our consideration at age 20 and assume earlier  $q_{CX}$  values are zero. However, it should be noted that the MULDEC program is capable of generating  $q_{bX}$  and  $q_{cX}$  values starting at age 0 and for an arbitrary number of excess causes of death (see page 70, Appendix A). One can obtain the probability of dying of lung cancer in the 5-year period beginning at age x, given that one has died,  $5^{C}x$ , as

$$5^{C}x = 5^{m}cx^{5}x^{m}tx$$
(27)

where  $m_c$  and  $m_t$  are defined as in Equation 13. One could simply apply this to all  $q_x$  values in the interval (as in Equation 13) to obtain  $q_{cx}$  values. However, this has the rather unrealistic feature of applying a step function with 5-year intervals to our laboriously calculated single-year  $q_x$  schedule. Therefore we consider  ${}_5C_x$  to represent the value of C at the middle year of the interval (i.e.,  $C_{x+2}$ ) and obtain intermediate values by linear interpolation. That is,

#### TABLE 5

#### COMPARISON OF AGE-SPECIFIC SURVIVAL PROBABILITIES GIVEN IN NCHS LIFE TABLE TO THOSE CALCULATED FROM GRADUATE LIFE TABLE PRODUCED BY GARR

### POPULATIONS

W	E		WM	NM		
(75.12	75.23)	(67.75	767.82)	(60.47	760.52)	
мснэ	GARR	NCHS	GARR	NCHS	GARR	
0.9781	0.9781	0.9708	0.9708	0.9527	0.9527	
0.9753	0.9754	0.9634	0.9641	0.9415	0.9423	
0.9721	0.9723	0.9537	0.9549	0.9231	0.9250	
0.9686	0.9687	0.9455	0.9465	0.9023	0.9039	
0.9638	0.9639	0.9368	0.9375	0.8761	0.8775	
0.9565	0.9566	0.9245	0.9249	0.8421	0.8434	
0.9451	0.9452	0.9050	0.9054	0.7977	0.7992	
0.9279	0.9281	0.8745	0.8749	0.7429	0.7438	
0.9027	0.9029	0.8273	0.8277	0.6718	0.6728	
0.8664	0.8665	0.7569	0.7575	0.5840	0.5850	
0.8146	0.8143	0.6589	0.6604	0.4813	0.4816	
0.7381	0.7373	0.5385	0.5391	0.3631	0.3627	
0.6257	0.6255	0.3956	0.3972	0.2362	0.2420	
0.4786	0.4777	0.2562	0.2572	0.1575	0.1600	
0.3029	0.3024	0.1375	0.1378	0.0997	0.1010	

Note: White Females (WF), White Males (WM), and Nonwhite Males (NM) are shown. Numbers in parentheses are life expectancy at age 0.

$$C_{y+2+i} = [(5 - i)C_{y+2} + iC_{y+7}]/5$$
(28)

The values for  $q_{cx}$  and  $q_{bx}$  are thus

$$q_{cx} = q_{x}C_{x}$$
(29)

and

$$q_{bx} = q_x(1 - C_x)$$
 (30)

This process of separating the  $q_{\chi}$  values into their cause-specific components is called constructing a multiple-decrement life table (Chiang).

The reader should note that all these calculations make the assumption that causes of death are mutually exclusive (i.e., one can die of one and only one cause) and independent (i.e., deaths from one cause at one age do not modify the expected number of deaths from another cause at a later age).

## 3.5 Addressing Influence of Smoking: The SMOKER Program

The procedures described to this point will create values for background probabilities of death  $(q_{bx})$  and probability of lung cancer death  $(q_{cx})$  for ages 0 through 100+, given abridged mortality data of the form shown in Table 1. Should one have such data for a pure population of smokers or nonsmokers one could generate a population at risk in a straightforward manner using GRADUATE and MULDEC. In practice, such data are unavailable and one must resort to a modeling approach.

Certain information about the mortality experience of smokers versus nonsmokers is readily obtainable. From the 1979 Surgeon General's Report on smoking (U.S. Public Health Service), we can obtain information as to the proportion of the population who are smokers and the general mortality experience of smokers versus nonsmokers. Likewise, information about the lung cancer rates experienced by nonsmokers is available. Table 6 presents nonsmoker lung cancer rates for males and females derived from the American Cancer Society study of cancer in

## TABLE 6

## NONSMOKER LUNG CANCER DEATH RATES IN DEATHS/100,000 PERSON-YEAR (Garfinkel)

AGE	MALE	FEMALE
45-49	4.55	3.60
50-54	7.63	5.30
55-59	10.13	7.07
60-64	17.26	13.60
65-69	27.43	16.17
70-74	25.97	20.83
75-79	44.27	34.70
80-84	68.87	45.80
85-89	94.80	52.47

nonsmokers (Garfinkel). This kind of information is used by a GARR program called SMOKER to create hypothetical populations of smokers and nonsmokers.

The first problem is to separate the general population  $q_x$  values into agespecific total mortality probabilities for smokers,  $q_{sx}$ , and nonsmokers,  $q_{nx}$ . To do this, SMOKER requires a general population life table like that generated by MULDEC, an estimate of the proportion of smokers in the population at age 35,  $\theta_{35}$ , and a value for the all-cause standardized mortality ratio, M, (Fleiss) in smokers as compared to nonsmokers. We assume that the age-specific mortality ratio,  $M_x$ , which equals  $q_{sx}/q_{nx}$ , starts at 1 at age 34 and increases linearly to M at ages 45 and beyond.

By our definition,

$$q_{sx} = q_{nx}M_{x}$$
(31)

Then at age x

$$q_{y} = (1 - \theta_{y})q_{ny} + \theta_{y}M_{y}q_{ny}$$
(32)

and rearranging

$$q_{nv} = q_{v} / [(1 - \theta_{v}) + (\theta_{v} M_{v})]$$
<sup>(33)</sup>

and

$$q_{ex} = M_x q_{nx} \tag{34}$$

This approach can be applied iteratively for subsequent ages because we have assumed  $M_x$  known for all x (for x less than 35  $M_x = 1$  and  $q_{sx} = q_{nx} = q_x$ ) and, assuming differential mortality is the only thing affecting the age-specific proportion of smokers in the population,

$$\theta_{x+1} = \theta_x p_{sx} / [\theta_x p_{sx} + (1 - \theta) p_{nx}]$$
(35)

(Here the p's are defined as in Equation 1.)

Age-specific lung cancer death probabilities for nonsmokers,  $q_{ncx}$ , are derived from the nonsmoker lung cancer death rates,  $r_x$ , shown in Table 6. We take

$$q_{ncx+2} = {}_{5}r_{x}/100000$$
 (36)

These midpoint values are then used to generate  $I_x$  values as in Equation 21. And interpolation is performed as in Equations 24 through 26. Ages 45 and 46 are handled as follows:

$$\hat{q}_{nc47-i} = \hat{q}_{nc47}/I_{50}^{1}$$
(37)

where i = 1; 2. The reader may note that rates are not precisely equivalent to probabilities, but in this case the difference is very small (less than 2 percent).

For ages less than 45,  $q_{ncx} = q_{cx}$  unless  $q_{cx}$  is greater than  $q_{nc45}$ . If this holds,  $q_{ncx}$  is set equal to  $q_{nc45}$ . At older ages (90+), a check is also made to determine whether  $q_{ncx}$  is greater than  $q_{cx}$ . If this is true,  $q_{ncx}$  is set equal to  $q_{cx}$ . (This makes the assumption that nonsmokers cannot have higher lung cancer probabilities than the general population.)

The nonsmoker lung cancer death probabilities can also be modified by a usersupplied multiplier U that reflects the extent to which the calculated lung cancer death probabilities overestimate or underestimate risk in the nonsmoker population of interest. The new values are given by

$$q_{ncx} = q_{ncx} U .$$
 (38)

Age-specific nonsmoker probabilities, q<sub>nbx</sub>, for background mortality are then determined by subtraction. That is

$$q_{nbx} = q_{nx} - q_{ncx} \tag{39}$$

for all x.

At this point the only remaining quantities of interest are the age-specific probabilities of lung cancer death for smokers,  $q_{scx}$ . These are developed as follows.

From values already calculated we can determine  $a_{sx}$ , the age-specific proportion of deaths who were smokers,

$$a_{sx} = \theta_{x}(q_{sx}/q_{x}) \quad . \tag{40}$$

We can also find ancx, the proportion of deaths who were nonsmoking lung cancer:

$$a_{ncx} = (1 - a_{sx})(q_{ncx}/q_{nx})$$
 (41)

Now we find a scx, the proportion of deaths who were smoking lung cancer:

$$a_{scx} = (q_{cx}/q_x) - a_{ncx}$$
(42)

Finally q<sub>scx</sub> is calculated as:

 $q_{scx} = q_{sx}(a_{scx}/a_{sx})$  .

At the option of the user, an arbitrary minimum risk of lung cancer, R, in smokers relative to nonsmokers for ages 45 and above may be declared. In this case if

 $q_{scx} < Rq_{ncx}$  then  $q_{scx} = Rq_{ncx}$ 

The last, trivial calculation is determining  $q_{sbx}$  by subtraction in the same way as Equation 39, which completes both life tables.

At this point the reader may be concerned by some of the assumptions made in our development of smoker and nonsmoker populations. For example, the relative proportion of smokers versus nonsmokers at various ages is determined by much more than differential mortality (i.e., historical smoking "cohort" effects), and the all-cause standard mortality ratio for smokers is not constant across ages. Nonetheless, any model is, to some extent, arbitrary (else it would be unwieldy), and the procedures outlined here generate reasonable actuarial populations for smokers and nonsmokers in that differences in life expectancy and lung cancer risk between smokers and nonsmokers agree with those reported in the Surgeon General's Report (U.S. Public Health Service) (see Table 7).

Further, if one is dissatisfied with these assumptions, they are easily modified by using the same approach but providing parametric  $\theta_X$  and  $M_X$  values explicitly for each x, or by performing a sensitivity analysis using the options for specifying  $\theta$  (Equation 32), M (Equation 34), and U (Equation 38). An example of such an exercise is shown in Table 7. This illustrates the relative insensitivity of the actuarial characteristics of the smoker population generated to the choice of U and  $\theta_{35}$ .

Likewise, it is true that our procedure could yield  $q_{sx}$  values greater than 1. However, in practice this does not happen, and the program provides adequate diagnostic information to assure the user that it has generated reasonable results.

## 3.6 <u>Calculating Lung Cancer Risk from Radon-Daughter Exposure:</u> The RADRISK Program

The calculation of radon-daughter-induced lung cancer risk is performed by the RADRISK program. The basic functioning of this program is as described in the review of cohort risk analysis presented earlier. Required inputs include sets of  $q_{bx}$  and  $q_{cx}$  values defining the population at risk; C and A coefficients for the absolute and relative risk models, respectively; f, the age at first exposure; g, the age at last exposure; L, the latency or time between exposure and onset of risk; h, the age at first risk (a number of models assume risk is zero before some age regardless of age at exposure or latency); and w, the continuous exposure to radon daughters in working levels. One may also elect to assume that sensitivity, Z, varies across ages and that the effect of dose delivered decays exponentially with time by specifying a decay rate constant, r.

At first glance, this large number of possible inputs would seem to make for a very complicated model; but, in fact, all these complications can be incorporated

24

## TABLE 7 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS: MALES

## M = 1.75

	NONSMOKE	R LUNG CANCER	DEATH PROBAB	ILITIES	
	NS	S	NS	S	
Proportion Smokers at Age 35					
.40					
LE LC	70.0 668	64.6 10656	70.0 1280	64.6 9764	
.50					
LE LC	70.5 698	65.1 8633	70.6 1334	65.1 8032	
.60					
LE LC	71.1 731	65.6 7306	71.1 1392	65.6 6904	

Note: LE = Life Expectancy; LC = Expected Lifetime Lung Cancer Deaths Per 100,000; S = Smokers; NS = Nonsmokers. into one term,  $d_{\chi}$ , the effective exposure acting at age x. Otherwise, our calculation is a straightforward application of Equations 1 through 12.

RADRISK assumes a constant annual exposure,  $d_y$ , given in working level months, for the period of exposure.

The exposure acting at age t,  $d_{+}$ , is for the simplest case (continuous exposure)

$$d_t = (t - f)d_v , \qquad (43)$$

i.e., the difference between the age at present and the age at first exposure times the annual exposure.

More generally,

$$d_{t} = \sum_{i=m}^{K} d_{y} Z_{i-L-1} e^{-r(t-i+L)}; t \ge m$$

$$d_{+} = 0; t < m$$
(44)

Here m = f + L + 1 and k = t if t < g; otherwise k = g. Verbally, this says that the exposure active at time t is a function of the age-specific sensitivity at the age it was received and that risk from exposure received in the past decays exponentially from the time it was received. Further, only exposure received at least L + 1 years in the past is effective in determining risk (if exposure begins at age f, risk cannot commence until age f + 1). Finally, a restriction not reflected by Equation 45 is that if t < h, the age at first risk, then  $d_{+} = 0$ .

This completes the description of the new algorithms used in RADRISK (remember, the rest of RADRISK follows Equations 1 through 8) and thus the algorithmic description of GARR as a whole.

#### RUNNING GARR ON THE HP-75

#### 4.1 HP-75 System

The following discussion assumes a minimal configuration of an HP-75C portable computer with an 8k memory expansion module (24k RAM total), an HP 82161A digital cassette drive, and an HP 821622A thermal printer. When the HP-IL interface loop is configured (refer to Section 9 of your HP-75 Cwner's Manual (Hewlett Packard)), the cassette drive should be named ":CA" and the printer should be named ":PR." Note also that the printer must be declared as the system print device. The command

PRINTER IS ": PR"

must be executed.

The following discussion assumes that the reader is somewhat familiar with the HP-75 and its Owner's Manual. For example, we always assume that adequate memory is available for program execution. However, if one copies a large number of files to memory, at some point an error #16, "not enough memory," will be generated. These errors can be avoided by judicious use of the PURGE and COPY commands, but we do not treat such problems explicitly here. For questions of this sort, the reader is referred to the HP-75 Owner's Manual.

#### 4.2 Preparing Input File for GRADUATE and MULDEC

Figure 1 reproduces a representative input file for use in both GRADUATE and MULDEC. Line 10 is an optional comment line. Line 15 is a mandatory title (this is included to force the user to add a title), which can be up to 32 characters in length. Lines 20 and 30 are the DATA statements containing the 19  ${}_{5}q_{x}$  values from the abridged life table arranged from x = 0 to x = 85. Line 32 is an optional comment line. Line 35 is a DATA statement giving the number of disease-specific causes of death (for our purposes it is always 1). Line 40 is an optional comment line. Lines 50 and 60 contain the 22  ${}_{5}m_{tx}$  values from the mortality compilation (x = 0, 1, 5, 10,...90, 95, 100) arranged
# FIGURE 1 SAMPLE INPUT DATA FILE FOR GRADUATE AND MULDEC

10 ! MORTALITY SCHEDULE FOR WF, 1969 15 BATA .MORTALITY WF 19 69. 20 DATA .0161, .8828, .801 6,.8815,.8829,.8833,.883 5,.8849,.8876,.812 30 BATA .0181, .0272, .040 2,.0598,.094,.1522,.2352 ,.3671,1 32 ! NUMBER OF SPECIFIC CRUSES OF DEATH 35 DATA 1 48 ! TOTAL DEATHS WE 69 58 DATA 22958, 4078, 2829, 2519,4615,4698,4177,4932 ,7519,13189,28543 68 DATA 28218, 38264, 4968 2,66888,98558,113486,116 612, 87714, 41662, 11393, 15 69 70 ! LUNG CANCER DEATHS WF 69 80 DATA 8,8,8,8,8,13,16, 49, 162, 426, 819, 1165 98 BATA 1511,1477,1393,1 263,969,644,383,94,14,2

from x = 0 to x = 85. Line 70 is an optional comment line. Lines 80 and 90 contain the 22  $5m_{cx}$  values arranged in the same fashion as the  $5m_{tx}$  values.

The reader who is fluent in BASIC can refer to the program listings for GRADUATE and MULDEC (Appendix A, pp. 67-70) to determine the necessary features of the input file. Others are urged to follow exactly the format of the sample file shown in Figure 1 since this will always work. Details of creating data files can be found in the HP-75 Owner's Manual.

#### 4.3 Running GRADUATE Program

To run the GRADUATE program, first determine that the program is in memory. Also make sure a file of input data like that discussed in the previous section is in memory. (Execute the CAT ALL command to scan the file directory.) If GRADUATE is not in memory, it must be loaded from cassette. The relevant command is

#### COPY "GRADUATE: CA" TO "GRADUATE"

Similar comments apply to the data file. To run the GRADUATE program, enter

EDIT "GRADUATE"

and then

RUN

or simply enter

#### RUN "GRADUATE"

(These comments apply to the other programs in GARR as well.) The computer will display the prompt

#### FILE FOR ABRIDGED?>

29

Enter the name of a file configured as in Figure 1. If the file is not configured properly or does not exist, the error message

## BAD OR NONEXISTENT FILE

will be generated on the printer, and you will receive the prompt for a file. At this point two possibilities are raised. First, you may have mistyped the file name. In this case simply reenter the correct name. Second, there may be something wrong with the file. In this case enter

#### EDIT "FILE"

where "FILE" is the desired file name.

The computer should reply

FILE	В	564	tt:tt	00/00/00
Desired	Basic	Length	time	date
file		in bytes	created	created

where the fields have the meanings indicated by the labels. The two most important fields are the length in bytes, which should be about 564, and the file type, which should always be B for BASIC. If the length is zero, this means the file is not in memory. Consult the HP-75 Owner's Manual (Hewlett Packard), pp. 135-136, for the procedures involved in copying it to memory. A file type other than B probably means you have referenced the wrong file. Consult the HP-75 Owner's Manual, Appendix B, for information on file types.

If an appropriate file has been specified, an output like that in Figure 2 is generated. The first line is the name of the file referenced, in this case "WFLC." The second line gives the title read from the input file. This serves as a check that the appropriate file has been referenced. Following this are  $q_x$  values for  $x = (17; 22; 27 \cdots 77; 82)$ , the B values used to correct the  $q_x$  values (Equation 19), and the I values used to perform geometric interpolation (Equation 23). The last four items output are the I value used to

# FIGURE 2 SAMPLE OUTPUT FOR GRADUATE

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* GRADUATE LIFE TABLE \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INPUT FILE=WMLC TITLE= MORTALITY WM 1969 ex(17)= .0015 8=-. 82219 I= 1.160 ex(22)= .8828 8=9.88086 I= 1.012 ex(27)= .8817 8=8.00000 I= .991 ex(32)= .0018 8=-. 98193 I= 1.844 ex(37)= .0026 8=-. 88691 1= 1.087 ex(42)= .8842 8=-.00895 I= 1.899 4x(47)= .0068 8=-. 88918 I= 1.191

ex(52)= .0109 B=-. 98899 I= 1.899 ex(57)= .0175 B=-. 80822 I= 1.895 ex(62)= .8272 B=-.88656 I= 1.084 ex(67)= .0393 8=-. 88616 1= 1.082 ex(72)= .8595 B=-. 00556 I= 1.877 ex(77)= .0829 B=-. 88452 I= 1.069 ex(82)= .1164 B=-. 99495 I= 1.073 I(85+)= 1.075 P OF BEATH 188= .398 PROB OF SURVIVAL TO 188= .88164

LE=67.8241

extrapolate  $q_x$  from x = 82 to x = 99, the probability of death during the 100th year of life (age 59), the probability of surviving to one's 100th birthday, and the calculated life expectancy at birth (Equation 5). These quantities are provided to allow the user to check the validity of the generated single-year life table. In general, I values should be in the range of 1.06 to 1.12 for ages 32 and above, and the  $q_x$  values should agree closely with those obtained by manual application of Equation 17. Finally, the life expectancy should be within 0.5 year of the life expectancy given for the abridged life table. A single-year life table produced by a GRADUATE run that satisfies these criteria can be assumed to be reasonably accurate.

At the end of a GRADUATE run, consult the file directory (enter CAT ALL) and you will find a new file with the name

#### FILEGR

i.e., the name of the input file plus the letters GR. For the case of our example (Figure 2), this will be named WFLCGR. This file contains the singleyear life table that will be used by MULDEC.

Attempting to list this file will generate the message

#### WARNING: line too long

This does not mean there is any problem with the file. Rather, the file has been generated to occupy the minimum amount of memory and is not fully listable on the display. For a discussion of this point, see the HP-75 Owner's Manual, p. 228. These files can be listed using the GARR LIST utility described below.

This completes our discussion of the GRADUATE program. A program listing for GRADUATE is given in Appendix A.

#### 4.4 Running MULDEC Program

To run the MULDEC program, follow the same initial steps outlined for GRADUATE. A minimum of two additional files, one like that used for input to GRADUATE and

32

MULDEC (Figure 1) and a "GR" file containing  $q_{\chi}$  values for ages 0 to 100+, must be in memory. After you enter

## RUN "MULDEC"

you will get the prompt

#### SINGLE YR LIFE TABLE FILE?>

This asks for the name of a "GR" file of the form generated by GRADUATE. For our example we would reply

WFLCGR.

If there were a problem with this name, a

#### BAD OR NONEXISTENT FILE

message would be generated and should be handled as in the GRADUATE program. (MULDEC will continue prompting for a file until execution is halted or a valid file name is entered.)

Following entry of a valid "GR" file, you will get the prompt

## FILE FOR DEATH DATA?>

This acks for an input file of the format described under Section 4.2, "Preparing Input File for GRADUATE and MULDEC." Invalid file names will be handled in the manner described above.

For our example, the input file name would usually be

#### WFLC

That is the same file used to generate the GR file. (However, here we are interested in the death data that are used as in Equation 26.) The option

of entering a different file name is provided because it might be of interest to generate multiple-decrement life tables with the basic mortality experience of one population but with the proportional mortality experience of another. An example might be "what would a population with the life expectancy of women and the proportional lung cancer experience of men look like in our risk model?".

If a valid file name is entered (or else "BAD OR NONEXISTENT FILE" will be generated), the prompt

#### HEADING FOR OUTPUT?>

## will appear.

This asks for a string of up to 32 characters, which will be written on the first line of the output file. This is used to label the file and may contain whatever information the user chooses.

Following this, you will get the prompt

## OUTPUT FILE NAME?

which asks for the name under which you wish to store the multiple-decrement life table that will be generated. For our example (Figure 3), the name chosen is

#### WFLCMD

After this information has been generated, the computer will display the word

#### WORKING

for about 30 seconds. During this period, the  $q_{bx}$  and  $q_{cx}$  values are calculated and the output file is created.

## FIGURE 3

# SAMPLE OUTPUT FROM MULDEC, INCLUDING PARTIAL LISTING OF LIFE TABLE (AGES 0 - 43)

***********	19 6.07E-004 6.74E-007
CONSTRUCT MULDEC	20 6.22E-004 1.04E-006
MORTALITY FILE=WFLCGR	21 6.38E-004 1.42E-006
DEATH DATA=WFLC	22 €.55E-004 1.82E-006
OUTFILE= WFLCMD	23 6.67E-004 2.00E-006
AGE 46% 46%	24 6.74E-004 2.16E-006
0 1.612-002 0.002+000	25 6.82E-004 2.33E-006
1 7.012-004 0.002+000	26 6.98E-884 2.51E-886
2 7.015-004 8.005+000	27 6.98E-004 2.69E-005
3 7.015-004 0.005+000	28 7.45E-084 3.78E-086
4 7.812-884 8.882+888	29 7.96E-884 5.82E-886
5 3.20E-004 0.00E+000	30 8.58E-894 6.42E-886
6 3.20E-004 8.80E+000	31 9.08E-004 7.99E-006
7 3.205-004 8.005+000	32 9.78E-884 9.74E-886
8 3.20E-004 0.00E+000	33 1.05E-003 1.31E-005
9 3.205-004 0.005+000	34 1.15E-003 1.70E-005
10 3.80E-004 8.89E+009	35 1.25E-003 2.15E-005
11 3.88E-984 8.89E+888	36 1.36E-003 2.67E-005
12 3.88E-884 8.88E+888	37 1.48E-003 3.26E-005
13 3.80E-084 0.88E+000	38 1.62E-003 3.93E-005
14 3.00E-094 0.00E+900	39 1.77E-003 4.70E-005
15 4.43E-884 8.88E+888	48 1.94E-883 5.57E-885
16 5.86E-884 8.88E+888	41 2.12E-003 6.58E-005
17 5.77E-884 8.88E+888	42 2.31E-003 7.73E-005
18 5.92E-004 3.28E-007	43 2.51E-003 8.79E-005

The prompt

## LIST MULDEC?>

will now appear. If you answer N, no listing will be produced and the message

EXECUTION ENDS

will appear. If your answer is Y, the message

HIT ANY KEY TO STOP

will appear, and a listing of  $q_{bx}$  and  $q_{cx}$  values will commence (see Figure 3).

As the message implies, hitting any key will cause listing to stop and the message

#### EXECUTION ENDS

will appear. Note that if you do not list the file at this point and wish to list it later, you must use the GARR LIST utility. Otherwise, the message

WARNING: line too long

will be generated as it was for the "GR" file produced by GRADUATE.

One additional comment regarding MULDEC should be made. If lung cancer mortality is input before total mortality, the message

FAILED CONSISTENCY CHECK ORDER OF CAUSES WRONG?

will be generated on the printer and execution will terminate. If this happens, make sure that total deaths precede lung cancer deaths in the input file (Figure 1) and try again. This completes our discussion of the MULDEC program. A program listing is given in Appendix A.

#### 4.5 Running SMOKER Program

To run the SMOKER program, follow the same initial steps outlined for GRADUATE. A minimum of two additional files must be in memory. The first of these is a file containing  $q_{bx}$  and  $q_{cx}$  values for ages 0 to 100+ in the format generated by MULDEC. The second file must be named "NONSLC" and contains the nonsmoker death rates for the ages shown in Table 6 arranged in the format shown in Figure 4 (if one wishes to use Table 6, Figure 4 may simply be copied). The first prompt is

## FILE FOR MULDEC?

which asks for the name of the file containing the  $q_{bx}$  and  $q_{cx}$  values. An invalid file name will generate the now familiar

#### BAD OR NONEXISTENT FILE

Following this, the program looks for the NONSLC file. If this is not found, the message

#### NONSLC INVALID OR MISSING

is generated on the printer and the prompt

#### LOAD FROM CA?>

will appear in the display. To continue execution, place a cassette containing a copy of NONSLC in the tape drive and answer Y. The file will then be loaded into memory.

#### FIGURE 4

## COPY OF NONSLC FILE LISTING AND REPRESENTATIVE OUTPUT FROM SMOKER PROGRAM

10 ! NONSMOKER DEATH RAT ES IN DEATHS 20 ! PER 100000 PEOPLE. SOURCE:GARFINKEL(1981) 30 ! MALES FIRST 40 DATA 4.55,7.63,10.13, 17.26,27.43,25.97,44.27, 68.87,94.8 50 ! THEN FEMALES 60 DATA 3.6,5.3,7.07,13. 6,16.17,20.83,34.7,45.8; 52.47 70 ! END SMOK/NONS:LUNGC-MULDEC

#### INFILE=HMLC

BAD OR NONEXISTANT FILE

INFILE=WMLCMD SEX=MALE

\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\* U= 8.000 OUT OF RANGE .5<U<3

U= 1

\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\* P= 45.000 OUT OF RANGE .1<P<.9

2 SMOKERS= .45

\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\* SNR= 10.000 OUT OF RANGE 1<SMR<5

SMR= 1.75

\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\* RELRISK= 40.000 OUT OF RANGE 1<RR<20

MIN RELRISK-L.C.= 5

2 SMOKERS VS. AGE AGE=40 P=4.49E-001 AGE=50 P=4.41E-001 AGE=60 P=4.19E-001 AGE=70 P=3.67E-001 AGE=80 P=2.58E-001 AGE=90 P=8.89E-002

AGE= 39 P. OF D.-N.S.=2.72E-003 P. OF D.-S.=3.74E-003 R.R.-L.C.=5.86E+000 SMR(TOTAL)=1.38E+000 AGE= 49 P. OF D.-N.S.=6.16E-003 P. OF D.-S.=1.08E-002 R.R.-L C.=2.07E+001 SMR(TOTAL)=1.75E+000

AGE= 59 P. OF D.-N.S.=1.58E-002 P. OF D.-S.=2.77E-002 R.R.-L.C.=3.06E+001 SMR(TOTAL)=1.75E+000

AGE= 69 P. OF D.-N.S.=3.61E-002 P. OF D.-S.=6.32E-002 R.R.-L.C.=2.88E+001 SMR(TOTAL)=1.75E+000

AGE= 79 P. OF D.-N.S.=7.82E-002 P. OF D.-S.=1.37E-001 R.R.-L.C.=1.72E+001 SMR(TOTAL)=1.75E+000

AGE= 89 P. OF D.-N.S.=1.77E-001 P. OF D.-S.=3.09E-001 R.R.-L.C.=6.56E+000 SMR(TOTAL)=1.75E+000

AGE= 99 P. OF D.-N.S.=3.96E-001 P. OF D.-S.=6.93E-001 R.R.-L.C.=5.00E+000 SMR(TOTAL)=1.75E+000

NONS. FILE=NONS SMOK. FILE=SMOK

LIFE EXPECTANCIES NONSMOKERS FIRST

LE= 78.29 PROB. OF SURVIVAL TO 188=2.97E-883

LE= 64.81 PROB. OF SURVIVAL TO 100=9.6SE-006 The prompt

#### MULTIPLIER FOR NONS. L.C. ?>

is now given. This asks for a multiplier in the range of .5 to 3 that is used, as in Equation 37, to modify nonsmoker lung cancer death probabilities. An answer of 1 leaves these quantities unmodified. An answer outside the range of 0.5 to 3 generates an error message (Figure 4) and causes the prompt to repeat.

The next prompt is

## MALE OR FEMALE ?>

One may answer M or F to select male or female lung cancer rates from NONSLC. An answer other than M or F causes females to be selected by default.

The next prompt

#### STARTING P OF SMOKERS?>

asks for the proportion of 35-year-old smokers in the population. Your reply must be a number in the range of 0.2 to 0.9. If this range is exceeded, an error message of the form shown in Figure 4 is generated and the prompt is repeated.

The next prompt is

#### SMOKER VS NS. TOTAL SMR?>

This asks for the all-cause smoking mortality ratio for smokers versus nonsmokers at ages 45 and above. A number in the range of 1 to 5 is expected. Exceeding this range will generate an error message (Figure 4) and cause the prompt to repeat. The next prompt is

MIN RELRISK L.C. ?>

This asks for a minimum value of the relative risk of lung cancer in smokers versus nonsmokers at ages 45 and above. Your answer must be in the range of 1 to 20 (see Figure 4).

Two final sets of prompts are

HEADING FOR NONS.?> and FILE NAME FOR NONS.?>

These ask for the heading (up to 32 characters) that will be written at the top of the nonsmoker file and the name of the file into which the nonsmoker file should be written. Similar prompts appear for the smoker file.

After this information is provided, the life expectancies for smokers and nonsmokers as well as their probabilities of surviving to 100 ( $S_{100}$ ) are printed and the message

#### EXECUTION ENDS

appears on the display. Program execution is now complete.

Returning to the output of SMOKER reproduced in Figure 4, we start with the title

SMOK/NONS: LUNGC-MULDEC

This is followed by the phrase

INPUT FILE = WMLC

and the error message

## BAD OR NONEXISTENT FILE

Taken together, these tell us that we attempted to access an inappropriate file named WMLC.

Then we see

INPUT FILE = WMLCMD

followed by

The first phrase says that our input file is named WMLCMD; the second says that we selected the male portion of NONSLC.

Following these is an error message that says

\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\* P = 45.00 OUT OF RANGE .1 < P < .9

and the phrase

% SMOKERS = .45

These tell us that we attempted to declare 45 as the proportion of smokers at age 35 and that we corrected this to 0.45. Similar error messages appear for the all-cause standard mortality ratio (SMR) and for the minimum relative risk of lung cancer.

The next section of output is labeled

% SMOKERS VS. AGE

This gives the calculated age-specific proportion of smokers in the population (Equation 34) at 10-year intervals starting with age 40. Here the proportion of smokers falls from 0.449 at age 40 to 0.089 at age 90.

Most of the remaining output is devoted to displaying the age-specific probability of death,  $q_x$ , in nonsmokers (P. OF D. - N.S.), age-specific probability of death in smokers (P. OF D. - S), age-specific relative risk of lung cancer in smokers versus nonsmokers (R.R. - L.C.), and the age-specific all-cause SMR of smokers versus nonsmokers (SMR(TOTAL)) for 10-year intervals starting at age 39. This information is provided to give the user some insight as to the characteristics of the populations generated. Note that, for ages 49 and above, the all-cause SMR equals the SMR specified in setting up the program (1.75 in this case).

The final pieces of information provided are the names of the nonsmoker and smoker files generated (for our example, NONS and SMOK, respectively) and life expectancies and probabilities of survival to 100 for nonsmokers and smokers.

Note that both output files from SMOKER are the same format as that produced by MULDEC. Therefore, they too must be listed using the GARR LIST utility.

This completes our discussion of the SMOKER program. A program listing is given in Appendix A.

#### 4.6 Running RADRISK Program

To run the RADRISK program, verify that it is in memory and enter

RUN "RADRISK"

After a few seconds (which are required for initialization), you will receive the prompt

INPUT: KEYBD. (K) or FILE (F)?>

This prompt asks whether you wish to parameterize the model by inputting values from the keyboard or by providing a data file containing the necessary inputs. We will consider input from the keyboard first, i.e., we assume that the option K is selected.

## 4.6.1 Input from Keyboard

The first prompt is

## AGE AT FIRST RISK?>

which asks for the first age at which excess lung cancer risk can be greater than zero. The reply expected is a number in the range of 5 to 50. Ages outside this range cause the message

> AGE AT FIRST RISK (nnn) OUT OF BOUNDS; 4 < AO < 51,

where nnn is the faulty input, to appear on the printer and the prompt for age at first risk to be repeated.

The next prompt

## AGE AT FIRST EXPOSURE?>

is self-explanatory. If a number less than 0 is entered, the age at first exposure is set equal to 0; if a number greater than 99 is entered, age at first exposure is set equal to 99.

The prompt

## AGE AT LAST EXPOSURE?>

follows logically. If the reply is less than 1, age at last exposure is set equal to 0. If the reply is greater than 100, it is set equal to 100.

Following entry of age at first exposure and age at last exposure, RADRISK checks to make sure that the former is less than or equal to the latter. If this is not the case, an error message of the form

AGE F.E. (nnn) > AGE L.E. (mmm),

where nnn and mmm are the ages at first and last exposure that cause the problem, appears on the printer and the prompts for ages at first and last exposure are repeated. Following this, the prompt

RISK/WLM - R.R.?>

asks for the value for the relative risk coefficient. Your response must be in the range of 0 to 0.2. A reply outside this range causes an error message similar to that generated for an "out of bounds" age at first risk (see Figure 5A) to appear on the printer. When a relative risk coefficient greater than zero is entered, the prompt

R.R. OPTION (M, E, OR B)?>

appears. Entering M in reply causes the multiplicative form of the relative risk model (Equation 3) to be executed. Similarly, if E is entered, the exponential form of the model (Equation 4) is executed. A reply of B calls up both models as will replies other than M, P, or B. (That is, B is the default option.)

The next prompt

RISK/WLM - A.R. ?>

asks for a value for the absolute risk coefficient. This must be in the range of 0 to 0.0002 or an "out of bounds" error message (Figure 5A) will appear on the printer.

## FIGURE 5

#### REPRESENTATIVE OUTPUT FROM RADRISK PROGRAM:

A. ERROR MESSAGES, B. SENSITIVITY FILE, C. PROGRAM OUTPUT, INCLUDING MODEL PARAMETERIZATION, D. INPUT FILE FOR FILE OPTION

Α.

AGE AT FIRST RISK( 2) OUT OF BOUNDS; 4(A8(5)

AGE F.E.( 99)> AGE L.E.(

RELATIVE RISK(1.00E+000) OUT OF BOUNDS; 0(R1(.2

ABSOLUTE RISK(2.00E-004) OUT OF BNDS; 0(R2(2E-4

LATENCY( 50.000) OUT OF BOUNDS; 0(=T7(46

EXPOSURE LEVEL(50.00E+0 01) OUT OF BOUNDS; 0<W1<100

Β.

5 I AGE SPECIFIC SENSITI VITIES FOR RADRISK 18 BATA 3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3, 3,3,2,5,2,1,5,1,1,1,1,1,1 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,: 20 DATA 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 30 DATA 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1, 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 40 BATA 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1, 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 50 BATA 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1

С.

RADON RISK MODEL

BASELINE L.E.=65.081 DEATHS/10^5 -LC= 8632.8

RELRISK MODEL-M L.E.=64.667 LOSS LE(MONS)= 5.69 D/10^5=12591.2 EXCESS D/10^5= 4255.4

RELRISK MODEL-E L.E.=64.475 LOSS LE(MONS)= 7.27 D/10^5=13721.5 EXCESS D/10^5= 5469.3

ABSRISK MODEL L.E.=64.760 LOSS LE(MONS)= 3.86 D/10^5=10118.4 EXCESS D/10^5= 1621.0 BASELINE L.E.=70.567 DEATHS/10^5 -LC= 698.5

RELRISK MODEL-M L.E.=70.523 LOSS LE(MONS)= .53 D/10^5= 1064.1 EXCESS D/10^5= 367.8

RELRISK MODEL-E L.E.=70.510 LOSS LE(MONS)= .68 D/10^5= 1179.0 EXCESS D/10^5= 483.5

ABSRISK MODEL L.E.=70.136 LOSS LE(MONS)= 5.17 D/10^5= 2598.4 EXCESS B/10^5= 1913.0

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

D.

10 ! STANDARD INPUT PARA METERS FOR RADRISK 20 DATA 30,0,100,.01,8,. 00001,10,1,Y,-.01,Y,SENS It should be noted that if either the relative or absolute risk coefficient is specified as zero, RADRISK skips execution of that model because no effect is assumed a priori.

The program next asks for

LATENCY IN YEARS?>

The reply must be in the range of 0 to 45 or an "out of bounds" error will appear on the printer (see Figure 5A).

The next quantity requested is

## ANNUAL EXPOSURE IN WLM?>

This asks for the constant working level month per year exposure that is assumed. Replies in the range of 0 to 100 are accepted. Otherwise, an "out of bounds" error is generated (Figure 5A).

The next query is

## **EXPONENTIAL CORRECTION**?>

If your reply is Y, the prompt

#### EXPONENT=?>

appears. This asks for a constant in the range of -0.2 to 0, which is used to decrease effective exposure (the r of Equation 45) over time.

Replying N to the query "EXPONENTIAL CORRECTION" results in no correction (i.e., r = 0).

The next prompt in the sequence is

## AGE SPECIFIC SENSITIVITIES?>

This asks whether a data file containing 101 numbers specifying age-specific sensitivities for the ages 0 to 100+ is to be read in. If the answer is Y, the query

#### FILE FOR AGE SENS?>

asks for the name of the file. At this point, one can either provide a valid file name or reply

#### NONE

which aborts the request for a sensitivity file and assumes all age-specific sensitivities are unity. A sample input file for this option is shown in Figure 5B. This file assumes that children under 10 are 3 times as sensitive to radon damage as those who are older and that sensitivity declines to 1 at ages 13 and beyond.

#### 4.6.2 Designation of Population at Risk and Interpretation of Output

At this point the model parameterization (Figure 5C) is listed, and the prompt

## FILE NAME FOR INPUT?>

asks for the file containing the multiple-decrement life table that describes the mortality experience of the population assumed at risk (e.g., an output file of MULDEC or SMOKER). If the name NONE is entered, the "NEW INITIAL CONDITIONS?>" prompt described below is displayed because RADRISK assumes that you do not want to run any populations at the exposure regime specified. Obviously, you cannot name a valid input file NONE. Following the entry of a valid file, the prompt

## PURGE INPUT FILE?>

appears. If your answer is Y, the input file will be erased from memory; otherwise, it will be retained in memory. This feature is included to prevent memory overflow when a number of input files are loaded from tape (described below under Section 4.6.4). After this, the output shown in Figure 5C is generated.

In the model parameterization shown in Figure 5C, the relative risk coefficient is 0.01; both forms of the relative risk model have been selected; the absolute risk coefficient is 0.00001; age at first exposure is 0; age at last exposure is 100 (lifetime exposure is assumed); age at first risk is 30; the exposure is 1 WLM per year; latency is 10 years; an exponential correction (r) of -0.01 is assumed; and age-specific sensitivities of the form shown in Figure 5B are input from a file called SENS.

The first population entered is WMSMMD, a population of male smokers. The part of the output labeled BASELINE shows that with no radon exposure the life expectancy of this population is about 64.8 years, and 9,529 lung cancer deaths per 100,000 are expected. The section labeled RELRISK MODEL-M shows that, under our multiplicative relative risk model, life expectancy is reduced 6.41 months, and 4,855.7 premature lung cancer deaths (EXCESS DEATHS/10^5) per 100,000 persons at risk are expected. The next section, labeled RELRISK MODEL-P, shows that the proportional form of the relative risk model predicts somewhat greater effects. Here the loss in life expectancy is 8.26 months, and the premature deaths are 6,294.8. The section labeled ABS RISK MODEL shows that for the absolute risk model loss in life expectancy is 3.95 months, and the premature deaths are 1,670.7.

Close inspection of the output shown in Figure 5 also makes the point that premature lung cancer deaths are not precisely the same thing as excess lung cancer deaths. As noted above, the premature deaths under the multiplicative relative risk model equal 4,855.7. If we instead tried subtracting total lung cancer deaths expected in the absence of exposure from total lung cancer deaths expected in the presence of exposure  $(D/10^{4})$ , we get

$$14005.6 - 9529.9 = 4475.7$$

or a difference of 380 deaths from our "premature" figure. Similar differences are apparent in our other models. This illustrates the fact that, because an excess source of cancer mortality "competes" with the baseline level of the cancer, the total cancer observed in the exposed population is always less than would be expected from summing baseline and premature cancer deaths.

After the output for population 1 (WMSMMD) is generated, we receive the prompt

#### RUN ANOTHER POPULATION ?>

In our example we answered Y, which generated

#### FILE NAME FOR INPUT?>

Our reply was WMNSMD, which specified a file for male nonsmokers and generated the final section of the output using the new population and the previously entered model specification.

RADRISK will process populations for a given set of input parameters until one answers N to "RUN ANOTHER POPULATION?>." At this point, the prompt

#### NEW INITIAL CONDITIONS?>

appears. An answer of N stops execution and causes the message

#### EXECUTION ENDS

to appear on the display. An answer of Y returns us to the prompt "INPUT: KEYBD.(K) OR FILE (F)?>."

#### 4.6.3 Input Via File

If we answer F to the prompt just mentioned, we are asked

## FILE NAME ?>

which requests the name of a file configured as in Figure 5D. If the answer to this prompt is "NONE," you will be returned to keyboard input. The only necessary part of such a file is the data statement which contains, in this order, age at first risk, age at first exposure, age at last exposure, the relative risk coefficient, the choice of relative risk models (a reply <u>must</u> be included; if the relative risk coefficient is zero, use B), the absolute risk coefficient, latency, exposure level in WL, the answer to whether or not an exponential reduction factor is desired, a value for the exponential factor (this <u>must</u> be included; if a reduction is not specified, use zero), the answer to whether or not a file of age-specific sensitivities is to be used, and the name of such a file (here, too, a name <u>must</u> be given; if the preceding answer is N, use the name "NONE"). For the example file, the reader can verify that we have specified the same model parameterization used in our example output for RADRISK. If this format is not followed, error messages will be generated as described in the following section.

A useful feature of output from a file is that all the error checks provided for keyboard input are in effect for file input. Thus one will be asked for substitute values for any bad arguments (but <u>only</u> for bad arguments). A specific example might be reversing age at first and last exposure. This generates the message

## AGE F.E. (nnn) > AGE L.E. (mmm)

on the printer and prompts for revised ages of first and last exposure. Such an "error" could be of practical use. Say that we want to consider the same risk models for individuals exposed at different age ranges (as in an occupational setting). We can construct an input file with the age of first exposure greater than the age of last exposure. Each time we run the model we specify this file and are prompted only for ages of first and last exposure. In practice this saves a bit of time and typing.

## 4.6.4 Error Handling and Loading Input Files from Cassette

Thus far in our discussion of input files, we have assumed that valid files were resident in memory. If this is not the case, the message

#### FILE XXXX???

will appear on the printer, where XXXX is the problem file name, and the prompt

#### ON CASSETTE?>

appears. This sequence means either that there is something wrong with the file format of XXXX or that it is not in memory. If your response is Y, RADRISK attempts to load the file named XXXX from cassette. The user should be cautious in answering Y to the last prompt. That is, make sure a cassette containing the file of interest is in the drive. If this is not the case, a further error occurs and RADRISK, having determined that the necessary file is not in memory or on cassette, halts execution and displays the messive

## EXECUTION ENDS

To prevent such a termination, reply N and you will be returned to the prompt asking for the relevant information (e.g., either "INPUT: KEYBD (K) OR FILE (F)?>," "FILE FOR AGE SENS?>," OR "FILE NAME FOR INPUT?>"). The first two of these may be aborted by answering "NONE." The last <u>must</u> be answered with a valid file name. If no valid input file for the population exists, one must be created using GRADUATE, MULDEC, and SMOKER.

#### 4.6.5 Additional Output Features

Figure 6 displays 3 additional outputs from RADRISK. These are intended to illustrate how changes in model specification affect the output. In the first, the model specification is the same as shown in Figure 5 except that age at first exposure is changed to 10, the exponential form of the relative risk model is specified, and the absolute risk coefficient is given as zero. Note that, as stated earlier, specifying the coefficient as zero prevents execution

#### FIGURE 6

# THREE ALTERNATIVE PARAMETERIZATIONS OF RADRISK MODEL

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

RADON RISK MODEL

BASELINE L.E.=56.848 DEATHS/10^5 -LC= 8871.1

RELRISK MODEL-E L.E.=56.500 LOSS LE(MONS)= 4.18 D/10^5=11990.1 EXCESS D/10^5= 3344.0

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

RADON RISK MODEL

BASELINE L.E.=38.109 DEATHS/10^5 -LC= 9117.1

ABSRISK MODEL L.E.=38.052 LOSS LE(MONS)= .68 D/10^5= 9553.4 EXCESS D/10^5= 470.3

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*

RADON RISK MODEL

R.R. COEF=0.00E+000/WLM OPTION= A.R.=0.00E+000/PY/WLM AGE AT F.E.= 0 AGE AT L.E.=100 AGE AT FIRST RISK= 30 WLM PER YR.=00.00E-001 LATENCY=10 EXPONENT COR=00.00E-001 SENSITIVITY=1 \*\*\*\*\*\*

POP. 1 =WMSMND

BASELINE L.E.=65.081 DEATHS/10^5 -LC= 8632.8

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

of the absolute risk model and that specifying the age at first exposure as 10 changes life expectancy to 56.57 years. The latter change is because we are now calculating life expectancy at age 10 rather than at age 0.

The next two outputs drop the exponential correction and age-specific sensitivities, change age at first exposure to 30 and 50, respectively, and suppress execution of first the relative risk and then both models.

## 4.6.6 Conclusion

This completes what is designed to be a tutorial on running the RADRISK model. Review of this material should enable even persons with little prior knowledge of computers or computer programming to run RADRISK and thus produce a wide variety of radon risk models. A program listing is given in Appendix A.

## 4.7 LIST Utility

We noted earlier that GRADUATE, MULDEC, and SMOKER all generate output files that cannot be listed using the system LIST command. This section describes the LIST utility that allows review of both file types (remember, MULDEC and SMOKER generate the same file format). To use LIST, simply enter

RUN "LIST"

You will (assuming the file is in memory) receive the prompt

PRINTER (P) OR DISPLAY (D)?>

if you answer D, the file will be listed on the display; if you answer P, the file will be listed on the printer (other replies cause the printer to be selected by default).

Following this, you will receive the prompt

FILE NAME ?>

If the answer is the name of a GRADUATE output file, you will get the output shown in Figure 7A; if the answer is the name of a MULDEC or SMOKER output file, you will get the output shown in Figure 7B.

In either case, as soon as output begins, you will receive the message

## HIT ANY KEY TO STOP

As the message implies, hitting any alphanumeric key will stop the file from listing and will generate the prompt

## LIST ANOTHER FILE?>

If this is answered Y, you return to the PRINTER (P) OR DISPLAY (D)?> prompt; otherwise

#### EXECUTION ENDS

appears on the display.

In the event that you enter a name that is invalid, you will receive the message

#### BAD OR NONEXISTENT FILE

on the printer and will be prompted for a revised file name. LIST cannot load files from tape, so any files you wish to list must be in memory.

A fina! problem that may arise is that, if the ATTN key is hit during the listing of a file on the display, subsequent print operations will be directed to the display. To remedy this, enter

PRINTER IS ": PR"

or

RUN "LIST"

# FIGURE 7A

# REPRESENTATIVE OUTPUT FROM LIST UTILITY FOR A GR FILE (AGES 0 - 45)

NAM	E=WMLCGR F=CR	22	1.985-003
	L-Un	23	1.96E-003
AGE	۹x	24	1 995-997
0	2.13E-002	24	1.700-005
1	8.265-004	25	1.84E-003
2	8.265-004	26	1.78E-003
3	8.265-004	27	1.73E-003
4	8.26E-004	28	1.75E-003
5	4.80E-004	29	1.77E-003
6	4.805-004	30	1.80E-003
7	4.882-004	31	1.82E-003
8	4.805-004	32	1.85E-003
9	4.895-004	33	1.98E-003
10	4.80E-004	34	2.13E-003
11	4.80E-004	35	2.29E-003
12	4.80E-004	36	2.46E-303
13	4.88E-884	37	2.65E-003
14	4.88E-884	38	2.89E-003
15	9.47E- <del>00</del> 4	39	3.185-003
16	1.20E-003	48	3.49E-003
17	1.51E-003	41	3.83E-003
18	1.60E-003	42	4.21E-003
19	1.69E-003	43	4.62E-003
20	1.78E-003	44	5.08E-003
21	1.88E-003	45	5.59E-003

# FIGURE 7B

# REPRESENTATIVE OUTPUT FROM LIST UTILITY FOR A MULDEC FILE (AGES 0 - 45)

H

HITE:	=MULDEC	21	1.88E-003 1.45E-006
	140-	22	1.988-003 1.918-006
HDI M 69	ING= 9 LC	23	1.965-003 2.275-006
GE	abx acx	24	1.90E-003 2.56E-006
0 3	2.13E-002 0.00E+000	25	1.84E-003 2.83E-006
1 1	8.26E-004 0.00E+000	26	1.78E-003 3.08E-006
2 1	8.26E-004 0.00E+000	27	1.72E-003 3.31E-006
3 1	8.26E-004 0.00E+000	28	1.74E-003 6.26E-006
4 1	8.26E-004 0.00E+000	29	1.76E-003 9.30E-006
5 .	4.88E-884 0.88E+800	30	1.798-003 1.248-005
6	4.80E-084 0.00E+000	31	1.81E-003 1.56E-005
7	4.88E-884 0.08E+080	32	1.83E-003 1.89E-005
8	4.80E-864 0.00E+000	33	1.95E-003 2.73E-005
9	4.805-004 0.005+000	34	2.095-003 3.705-005
10	4.805-004 0.805+000	35	2.24E-003 4.80E-005
11	4.88E-084 0.08E+080	36	2.40E-003 6.04E-005
12	4.88E-894 8.88E+886	37	2.57E-003 7.44E-005
13	4.885-884 8.885+889	38	2.88E-883 9.43E-885
14	4.88E-884 8.88E+888	39	3.06E-003 1.18E-004
15	9.47E-004 0.00E+000	48	3.34E-003 1.45E-004
16	1.20E-003 0.00E+000	41	3.65E-003 1.77E-004
17	1.51E-003 0.00E+000	42	3.99E-003 2.13E-004
18	1.60E-003 3.07E-007	43	4.37E-803 2.44E-004
19	1.68E-003 6.49E-007	44	4.80E-003 2.79E-004
		45	5. 27F-887 7. 19F-884

followed by the ATTN key in answer to the first prompt. Either sequence will reactivate the printer.

This completes the discussion of the LIST utility. A program listing is given in Appendix A.

## 4.8 DUPER Utility

The last program to be included in the GARR package provides the means for making a backup copy of all the files included in the GARR standard tape (see listing in Figure 8). To run this program, enter

## copy "DUPER: CA" TO "DUPER"

(make sure the GARR tape is in the drive; also make sure at least 16,000 bytes of memory are free before DUPER is copied). Then enter

#### RUN "DUPER"

Several minutes will elapse while the tape drive loads files from tape to memory. The HP-75 will beep when this operation is completed and the message

## CHANGE CASSETTE (GARR>BACK) AND ENTER Y WHEN READY

will appear on the printer along with the prompt

#### READY?

on the display. When this occurs, remove the GARR tape from the drive, replace it with the tape that will contain the backup copy, and enter

#### Y

to the prompt.

Several more minutes will elapse while files are written to the backup tape. When writing is completed, the HP-75 will beep again and print the message

CHANGE CASSETTE (BACK>GARR) ENTER Y WHEN READY

and the display will prompt

READY?

When this occurs, change cassettes and enter

Y

Several more minutes will elapse while the remaining programs are copied to memory. The message to change from the GARR tape to the backup tape is then printed, and the READY prompt appears. Remove the GARR tape, insert the backup, and enter a final Y. The tape drive will be busy for a few more minutes. When it stops, the backup tape contains a copy of the GARR tape. This can be verified by entering

CAT ": CA"

which allows you to scan the file directory of the cassette.

This completes our discussion of DUPER. A program listing is given in Appendix A.

4.9 GARR Tape

The final section describes the files resident on the GARR tape as created by DUPER. The listing of files shown in Figure 8 gives the contents of the GARR tape.

The first two files, GRADUATE and MULDEC, contain the GARR programs of the same name. The next, NONSLC, contains a copy of the nonsmoker lung cancer rate file shown in Figure 4. Following this is SMOKER, which contains the

# FIGURE 8

OUTPUT LISTING FILES ON STANDARD GARR TAPE

76H1 6M	•		
Name	Type	Len	Time
Date			
GRADUATE	8	2568	09:18
10/18/83			
MULDEC	8	2569	16:33
11/01/83			
NONSLC	8	512	16:44
06/21/83			
SMOKER	8	5376	16:29
11/01/83			
STANDARD	8	256	10:22
09/14/83			
RADRISK	9	6144	11:25
11/07/83			
SENS	8	768	16:45
11/02/83			
MMLC	B	768	11:26
11/04/83			
WFLC	8	768	13:14
84/19/83			
LIST	8	1280	11:46
11/04/83			
DUPER	8	768	15:34
11/04/83			

GARR program of the same name. The STANDARD file contains a copy of the input file for RADRISK parameters shown in Figure 5A. The RADRISK file contains our risk assessment model. The SENS file contains the age-specific sensitivity file whose listing appears in Figure 5B. The files WMLC and WFLC contain the type of mortality input files required by GRADUATE and MULDEC (WFLC is listed in Figure 1). Finally, LIST and DUPER contain the GARR utilities of the same names.

Taken together, these files contain all the information needed to work through the examples given in the preceding sections and should enable new users to rapidly verify that they understand how to run the various GARR programs.

## 4.10 Conclusion

This concludes our discussion of GARR on the HP-75. At this point, the reader has a firm grasp of how to go about constructing radon risk models. I would greatly appreciate user feedback as to the truth of the last statement. Can you construct input files that work? Is the syntax confusing? Does the SMOKER program provide sufficient flexibility in specifying smoker versus nonsmoker populations? Has your favorite radon health risk model been omitted from RADRISK? Answers to these questions and any other comments the user may have would be greatly appreciated. In the meantime, I hope that the present form of GARR is a useful tool for radon risk assessment.

#### REFERENCES

- Archer, V.E., G. Saccomanno, and J.H. Jones, "Frequency of Different Histologic Types of Bronchogenic Carcinoma As Related to Radiation Exposure," Cancer 34:2056-2060, 1974.
- Axelson, O., and L. Sundell, "Mining Lung Cancer and Smoking," <u>Scandinavian</u> Journal of Work and Environment 4:46-52, 1973.
- Bunger, B.M., J.R. Cook, and M.K. Barrick, "Life Table Methodology for Evaluating Radiation Risk: An Application Based on Occupational Exposures," Health Physics 40:439-455, 1981.
- Chiang, C.L., <u>Introduction to Stochastic Processes in Biostatistics</u>, John Wiley and Sons, NY, 1968.
- Cohen, B.L., "Failures and Critique of the BEIR III Lung Cancer Risk Estimates," Health Physics 42:267-283, 1982.
- Collé, R., and P.E. McNall, Jr. (eds.), "Radon in Buildings," National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 581, 1980.
- Cook, J.R., B.M. Bunger, and M.K. Barrick, "A Computer Code for Cohort Analysis of Increased Risks of Death," United States Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Radiation Programs Technical Report 520/4-78-012, 1978.
- Cox, D.R., "Regression Models and Life Tables (with discussion)," <u>Journal</u> of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, 34:187-220, 1972.
- Elandt-Johnson, R.C., and N.L. Johnson, <u>Survival Models and Data Analysis</u>, John Wiley and Sons, NY, 1980.
- Evans, R.C., "Engineers' Guide to the Elementary Behavior of Radon Daughters," Health Physics 38:1173-1197, 1980.

- Fleiss, J.L., <u>Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions</u>, John Wiley and Sons, NY, 1981.
- Garfinkel, L., "Time Trends in Lung Cancer Mortality Among Nonsmokers and a Note on Passive Smoking," JNCI 66:1061-1066, 1981.
- Harley, N.H., and B.S. Pasternack, "A Model for Predicting Lung Cancer Risks Induced by Environmental Levels of Radon Daughters," <u>Health Physics</u> 40:301-316, 1981.
- Harley, N.H., and B.S. Pasternack, "Environmental Radon Daughter Dose Factors in a Five-Lobed Human Lung," Health Physics 42:789-799, 1982.

Hewlett Packard, HP-75 Owner's Manual, Hewlett Packard Company, 1982.

- Hofmann, W., "Dose Calculations for the Respiratory Tract from Inhaled Natural Radioactive Nuclides As a Function of Age II: Basal Cell Dose Distributions and Associated Lung Cancer Risk," Health Physics 43:31-44, 1982.
- Hornung, R.W., and S.S. Samuels, "Survivorship Models for Lung Cancer Mortality in Uranium Miners - Is Cumulative Dose an Appropriate Measure of Exposure?" in <u>Radiation Hazards in Mining: Control, Measurement, and Medical Aspects</u>, M. Gomez (ed.), Society of Mining Engineers of American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, Inc., NY, pp. 363-368, 1981.
- ICRP, "Limits for Inhalation of Radon Daughters by Workers," <u>Annals of the ICRP</u> (Publication 32), Pergamon Press, NY, 1981.
- Keyfitz, N., <u>Introduction to the Mathematics of Population</u>, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1977.
- Kunz, E., J. Sevc, V. Placek, and J. Horacek, "Lung Cancer in Man in Relation to Different Time Distribution of Radiation Exposure," <u>Health Physics</u> 36:699-706, 1979.

- Lundin, F.E., J.K. Wagoner, and V.E. Archer, "Radon Daughter Exposure and Respiratory Cancer Quantitative and Temporal Aspects," United States Public Health Service, NIOSH-NIEHS Joint Monograph No. 1, 1971.
- Lundin, F.E., V.E. Archer, and J.K. Wagoner, "An Exposure-Time-Response Model for Lung Cancer Mortality in Uranium Miners: Effects of Radiation Exposure, Age, and Cigarette Smoking," in <u>Energy and Health</u>, N.E. Breslow and A.S. Whittemore (eds.), Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 243-264, 1979.
- Morrison, H.I., D.T. Wigle, H. Stocker, and A.J. de Villiers, "Lung Cancer Mortality and Radiation Exposure Among the Newfoundland Fluorspar Miners," in <u>Radiation Hazards in Mining: Control, Measurement, and Medical Aspects</u>, M. Gomez (ed.), Society of Mining Engineers of American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, Inc., NY, pp. 372-376, 1981.
- NAS, <u>The Effects on Populations of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation</u>: <u>1980</u>, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1980.
- NCHS, "Vital Statistics of the United States 1969," Volume II, <u>Mortality</u>, Part A, United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Publication No. (HRA) 74-1101, National Center for Health Statistics, Rockville, MD, 1974.
- NCHS, United States Decennial Life Tables for 1969-1971," Volume 1, No. 1, United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Publication No. (HRA) 75-1150, National Center for Health Statistics, Rockville, MD, 1975.
- Peterson, G.R., and L.E. Sever, "An Appraisal of Selected Epidemiologic Issues from Studies of Lung Cancer Among Uranium and Hard Rock Miners," Hanford Environmental Health Foundation Report USUR-02, Hanford, WA, 1982.
- Radford, E.P., and K.G. St. Clair Renard, "Lung Cancer in Swedish Iron Miners Exposed to Low Doses of Radon Daughters," <u>New England Journal of Medicine</u> 310:1485-1494, 1984.
- Thomas, D.C., and K.G. McNeill, "Risk Estimates for the Health Effects of Alpha Radiation," Research Report INFO-0081, Atomic Energy Control Board, Ottawa, Canada, 1982.
- UNSCEAR, "Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation," United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation 1977 Report to the General Assembly, United Nations, NY, 1977.
- USRPC, "Report of the Task Force on Radon in Structures," United States Radiation Policy Council Publication RPC-80-002, 1980.
- U.S. Public Health Service, "Smoking and Health, A Report of the Surgeon General," United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Publication PHS-79-50066, 1202 pp., 1979.
- Waxweiler, R.J., R.J. Roscoe, V.E. Archer, M.J. Thun, J.K. Wagoner, and F.E. Lundin, Jr., "Mortality Follow-up Through 1977 of the White Underground Uranium Miners Cohort Examined by the United States Public Health Service," in <u>Radiation Hazards in Mining: Control, Measurement, and Medical Aspects</u>, M. Gomez (ed.), Society of Mining Engineers of American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical, and Petroleum Engineers, Inc., NY, pp. 823-830, 1981.
- Whittemore, A.S., and A. McMillan, "Lung Cancer Among U.S. Uranium Miners: A Reappraisal," <u>JNCI</u> 71:489-499, 1983.
- Wise, K.N., "Dose Conversion Factors for Radon Daughters in Underground and Open Cut Mine Atmospheres," <u>Health Physics</u> 43:53-64, 1982.
- Wright, E.S., and C.M. Couves, "Radiation-Induced Carcinoma of the Lung The St. Lawrence Tragedy," <u>Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery</u> 74:495-498, 1977.

APPENDIX A

PROGRAM LISTINGS AND VARIABLE LISTS

### GRADUATE VARIABLE LIST

VARIABLE

### DESCRIPTION

C1	Step increment
C7	Quadratic correction term
F1\$	Abridged input file name
F2\$	Output file name
I	Loop counter
19	Flag variable
J	Loop counter; delimiter
K, K1, K2	Working variables, age-specific probability of death
L	Loop counter
L1, L2	Loop delimiters
L9	Life expectancy
01(18)	Abridged life table
02(100)	Graduated life table
S	Step incrementer (line 510); years lived beyond 100th birthday (line 830)
S1(18)	Age-specific step increments
\$9	Survival
T7\$	Abridged file title
V1	Age variable

10 ! GRADUATE STANDARD A BRIGED LIFE TABLE TO 0 T 0 188+ 15 ! FINAL REVISION 10/1 8/83 20 PRINT USING 30 30 INGGE //\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* / "GRABUATE LIFE TABLE\*/\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*/// 40 DIM Q1(18), Q2(100), S1 (19) 50 INPUT "FILE FOR ABRIG ED?) \*: F1\$ 60 PRINT "INPUT FILE=";F 1\$ 70 F2\$=F1\$&\*GR\* 88 ON ERROR GOSUB 1888 \* **GOTO 58** 90 RSSIGN # 1 TO F1\$ 100 READ # 1 ; T7\$ 110 READ # 1 ; 91() @ AS SIGN # 1 TO # 120 OFF ERROR 125 DISP "NORKING" 130 PRINT \*TITLE= \*; T7\$ @ PRINT \*\* 148 ! DO INTERVAL & AGE 8-1 150 02(0)=01/0) 160 ! DO INTERVAL 1 AGE 1-4 170 K=(1-Q1(1))^.25 @ K= 1-K 180 FOR I=1 TO 4 190 Q2(I)=K 200 NEXT I 210 ! RESCALE INTERVALS 2-18 220 ! TO ANNUAL AVERAGE (GEOMETRIC) RISK OF 238 1 BEATH AND GET GE? METRIC INCREMENTS (S1) F OR INTERPOLATION 248 91(2)=1-(1-91(2))^.2 258 91(3)=1-(1-91(3))^.2 268 FOR I=4 TO 17 278 01(1)=1-(1-01(1))^.2

280 S1(I)=(01(I)/01(I-1) )^.2 290 NEXT I 300 S1(18)=(S1(17)+S1(16 )+S1(15))/3 319 ! CORRECT Q1 FOR S 326 FOR 1=4 TO 17 330 C1=(S1(I)+S1(I+1))/2 340 IF C1(1.04 THEN C7=0 @ GOTO 370 350 C7=-.77816+1.57115\*C 1-.79272\*C1^2 360 Q1(I)=C7+Q1(I)+Q1(I) 370 V1=(1-1)\*5+2 380 PRINT USING 390 ; V1 ,Q1(I),C7,C1 390 IMAGE \*ex(\*,dd,\*)=\*, d.dddd/"B=",d.ddddd/"I=" ,dd.ddd/ 400 NEXT I 418 ! DO INTERVALS 2-3 A GES 5-14 420 FOR I=1 TO 2 430 L1=5+1 448 L2=L1+4 450 FOR J=L1 TO L2 460 Q2(J)=Q1(I+1) 479 NEXT J 480 NEXT I 490 ! DO INTERVALS 4-17 AGES 15-82 500 K1=01(3) @ K2=01(4) 510 S=S1(4) 520 Q2(15)=K2/S^2 530 02(16)=K2/S 548 Q2(17)=K2 550 J=13 560 FOR 1=5 TO 17 578 K1=K2 @ K2=Q1(I) 580 S=S1(I) 598 J=J+5 8 K=J+4 600 FOR L=J TO K 618 K1=K1+S @ 92(L)=K1 628 NEXT L 630 NEXT I 648 ! PREPARE EXTRAP. 83 -100+ 650 S=S1(18)

668 PRINT USING 670 ; S 670 IMAGE /\*1(85+)= \*, dd .ddd/ 680 K1=02(82) 690 19=0 700 FOR 1=83 TO 99 710 K1=K1+S 720 02(I)=K1 730 IF 92(1)(1 THEN 780 740 IF 19=0 THEN PRINT U SING 750 ; I 750 IMAGE /\*FIRST 1 AT F GE ", ddd./ 760 19=1 770 02(1)=1 780 NEXT I 790 PRINT USING 800 ; Q2 (99) 800 IMAGE /\*P OF DEATH 1 88= ",d.ddd/ 810 02(190)=1 820 ! \*\*FINISHED\*\*.. NOW CHECK LE 838 S=.8/92(99) 848 S9=1-02(8) 8 L9=S9+0 2(8)\*.1 850 FOR I=1 TO 99 860 L9=.5+S9+02(1)+L9 878 S9=S9\*(1-Q2(I)) 880 L9=L9+S9 890 NEXT I 900 IMAGE "LE=", dd. dddd 918 L9=L9+S9#S 928 PRINT USING 930 ; \$9 930 IMAGE /\*PROB OF SURV IVAL \*/\*TO 100=\*, d. ddddd/ 940 PRINT USING 900 ; L9 950 ASSIGN # 1 TO F2# 960 PRINT # 1 ; 62() 978 ASSIGN # 1 TO \* 980 DISP "EXECUTION ENDS 990 END 1000 PRINT USING 1010 1010 IMAGE //\*BAD OR NON EXISTENT \*/\*FILE\*// 1020 ASSIGN # 1 TO # 1030 RETURN

## MULDEC VARIABLE LIST

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION
A\$	Answer to prompt line 930
D1(2,21)	Deaths array - converted to probabilities (line 330)
F1\$	General input file name
F2\$	Output file name
H1\$	Heading for output
I	Loop counter
J	Loop counter
К	Number of specific causes of death (always 1)
K\$	Keyboard variable
L1, L2	Loop delimiters
М	Loop counter
N	Index variable (line 530), loop counter (line 640)
Q2	Single-year life table; working array (line 870)
Q3(2,100)	Multiple-decrement life table
S	Additive step increment
S\$	Format variable
T1(21)	Working arrayreads in death data
Z	Working variable

#### MULDEC PROGRAM LISTING

18 S\$=\*/\* 20 ! MULDEC CALCULATES M ULTIPLE 30 ! DECREMENT LIFETABLE FROM INPUTS FROM 40 I DEATH FILE AND OUTF ILE OF GRADUATE 45 ! FINAL REVISION 11/1 380 NEXT J 50 PRINT USING 60 60 IMAGE //, \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 70 DIM D1(2,21),02(100). T1(21),03(2,100) 80 INPUT "SINGLE YR LIFE TABLE FILE?>\*:F1\$ 98 PRINT "MORTALITY FILE =";F1\$ 100 ON ERROR GOSUB 1090 @ GOTO 80 110 IMAGE ddd, 2X, d. ddde 120 ASSIGN # 1 TO F1\$ 
 120 HSSIGN # 1
 92()
 500 HEAT

 130 READ # 1 ; 92()
 510 + DO I

 140 ASSIGN # 1 TO #
 510 + DO I

 150 INPUT \*FILE FOR DEAT
 GES 5-14

 520 FOR M\*
 520 FOR M\*
 160 ON ERROR GOSUB 1110 @ GOTO 150 178 PRINT \*\* 180 PRINT "DEATH DATA="; F1\$ 190 ASSIGN # 1 70 F1\$ 200 READ # 1,35 ; K 210 OFF ERROR 220 FOR 1=0 TO K 230 READ # 1 ; T1() 240 FOR J=0 TO 21 250 D1(I,J)=T1(J) 260 NEXT J 270 NEXT 1 280 ! SCALE DEATHS TO PR OPORTIONS 290 ASSIGN # 1 TO . 300 FOR J=0 TO 21 310 Z=1 315 ON ERROR GOTO 1140 329 FOR 1=1 TO K

330 D1(I,J)=D1(I,J)/D1(0 , J) 348 Z=Z-D1(1,J) 350 NEXT I 360 IF 2(0 THEN GOTO 114 . 370 D1(0.J)=Z 390 INPUT "HEADING FOR O UTPUT?)";H1\$ 400 INPUT "OUTPUT FILE N 410 PRINT --429 PRINT "OUTFILE= ":F2 \$ 430 PRINT --440 DISP "NORKING" 450 ! SCALE DEATH P'S 0 TO 100 460 FOR 1=0 TO K 470 Q3(1,8)=D1(1,8) 480 FOR J=1 TO 4 490 Q3(I,J)=D1(I,1) 500 MEXT J 510 1 DO INTERVALS 2-3 P 520 FOR M=1 TO 2 530 L1=5+M @ L2=L1+4 @ H =#+1 548 FOR J=L1 TO L2 558 Q3(1, J)=D1(1, N) 560 NEXT J 570 NEXT M 580 ! DO INTERVALS 4-20; AGES 15-97 590 S=(D1(1,4)-D1(1,3))/ 5 600 Q3([,15)=D1([,4)-2+5 610 Q3(1,16)=D1(1,4)-S 620 Q3(1,17)=D1(1,4) 630 L1=13 640 FOR N=5 TO 20 650 Z=N-1 660 S=(D1(I,N)-D1(I,Z))/ 5 678 L1=L1+5 @ L2=L1+4 @ T3=D1(1,Z)

680 FOR J=L1 TO L2 698 T3=T3+S # 03(1, J)=T3 700 NEXT J 710 NEXT N 720 03(1,98)=03(1,97)+S 730 03(1,99)=03(1.98)+5 740 Q3([.100)=D1([.21) 750 NEXT 1 768 I CREATE BEATH PROB S BY CAUSE 778 FOR 1=8 TO K 788 FOR J=8 TO 188 790 Q3(I,J)=Q3(I,J)+Q2(J 800 NEXT J 810 NEXT I 820 ! COPY TO OUTFILE AS INSTRUCTED 838 ASSIGN # 1 TO F25 840 PRINT # 1 ; H15.K 850 FOR I=0 TO K 860 FOR J=0 TO 100 878 92(J)=03(1,J) 880 NEXT J 890 PRINT # 1 ; 02() 900 NEXT I 910 DISP "DONE" 928 INPUT "LIST MULDEC?) \*:85 930 IF AS="Y" THEN 950 940 GOTO 1060 950 DISP "HIT ANY KEY TO STOP-968 PRINT "AGE 4bx ACX" # PRINT \*\* 978 FOR J=8 TO 188 988 K\$=KEY\$ # IF K\$()\*\* THEN 1060 998 PRINT J: 1000 FOR [=0 TO K 1010 PRINT USING 1020 ; Q3(1, J); 1020 IMAGE 1X, d. dde 1030 NEXT I 1848 PRINT USING S\$ 1050 NEXT J

### MULDEC PROGRAM LISTING (continued)

1060 DISP \*EXECUTION END S\* 1070 CLEAR VARS 1080 END 1090 PRINT USING 1120 1100 RETURN 1110 PRINT USING 1120 1120 IMAGE /\*8AD OR MONE XISTANT FILE\*/ 1130 RETURN 1140 PRINT USING 1150 1150 IMAGE //\*FAILED CON SISTANCY CHECK\*/\*ORDER O F CAUSES WRONG?\*// 1160 END

4

÷

## SMOKER VARIABLE LIST

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION
A2, A3	Working variables
A\$	Answer to prompt line 160
A7\$	Answer to prompt line 2080
D(1,8)	Nonsmoker lung cancer death rates
F1\$	General input/output file name
H1\$	Headings for output files
1	Loop counter
J	Loop counter
KI, K2	working constants
N.	Loop delimiter
L 12	Loop counter
10	Loop derimiters
MO	Ninimum molative mick of lung cancon
112	emoken versus nonsmoken
N	Flag variable (male fomale)
01	Relative risk of lung cancer smoker
	versus nonsmaker
02	Age-specific SMR
P	Proportion of smokers in the population
P2	Proportion of deaths which are due to lung cancer
03	Proportion of deaths who were smokers
P4	Proportion of deaths who were nonsmokers who died of lung cancer
P5	Proportion of deaths who are smokers who died of lung cancer
0(100)	Working array
Q0, Q1	Working mortality variables
Q2(1,100)	Nonsmoker multiple decrement lifetable,
	working array (line 1860)
Q3	Input and smoker (line 1660) multiple
	decrement lifetable
S	Multiplicative increment-graduation of
	nonsmoking lung cancer (line 240),
	smoker versus nonsmoker SMR
	(line 660), expected number of years
03	Inved beyond louth Dirthday (The 1930)
59	(line 1920)
T	Additive increment, all-cause SMR
0	Multiplier for nonsmoker lung cancer
2	Constant equal to 1
29	Counter for age-specific output

10 ! MAKE LUNG CAN. MULT . DECREMENT LIFE TABLES 20 ! NONS. AND SMOK. 30 PRINT USING 40 40 IMAGE //\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* UNGC-MULDEC\*/\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*/// 50 DIM D1(1,8),02(1,100) ,93(1,100),9(100) 60 INPUT FILE FOR MULDE C?) ";F1\$ 70 PRINT "INFILE=";F1\$ 88 ON ERROR GOSUB 1810 @ GOTO 68 90 ASSIGN # 1 TO F1\$ 100 READ # 1 ; T7\$, 1, Q3( ,) 110 ASSIGN # 1 TO \* 129 ON EPROR GOTO 2060 130 ASSIGN # 1 TO "NONSL C\* 140 READ # 1 ; D1(,) 150 ASSIGN # 1 TO \* 155 OFF ERROR @ N=1 160 INPUT "MALE OR FEMAL E?(M/F))\*;A\$ 170 IF AS="N" THEN N=8 180 IF AS="M" THEN PRINT "SEX=MALE" ELSE PRINT " SEX=FEMALE" 182 INPUT "MULTIPLIER FO R NONS. L.C.?>";U 184 IF UK.5 OR UX3 THEN 186 ELSE 198 186 PRINT USING 188 ; U € GOTO 182 188 IMAGE /\*\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\*\* /\*U= \*, dd. ddd/\*OUT OF RA NGE .5(U(3"/ 190 PRINT "U= ";U 195 ! SCALE RATE TO PROB 200 FOR I=0 TO 8 218 D1(N, I)=D1(N, I)/1000 00 @ D1(N,I)=D1(N,I)+U 228 NEXT I 230 ! DO AGES 45-100 248 K1=D1(N.8) @ K2=D1(N ,1) @ S=(K2/K1)^.2

260 02(1,45)=K1/S^2 270 Q2(1,46)=K1/S 280 Q2(1,47)=K1 290 K2=D1(N,0) 388 J=43 310 FOR I=1 TO 8 320 K1=K2 @ K2=D1(N.I) 338 S=(K2/K1)^.2 348 J=J+5 @ K=J+4 350 FOR L=J TO K 360 K1=K1+S @ 02(1,L)=K1 378 NEXT L 380 NEXT I 390 ! PREPARE EXTRAP. 88 -198+ 400 K1=D1(N,8) 418 FOR I=88 TO 99 420 K1=K1+S 430 Q2(1,1)=K1 449 NEXT I 450 FOR I=0 TO 44 460 FOR J=0 TO 1 478 Q2(J,I)=Q3(J,I) 488 NEXT J 498 NEXT I 500 FOR I=35 TO 44 510 IF 03(1,1))02(1,45) THEN 02(1,1)=02(1,45) 520 NEXT I 530 FOR 1=86 TO 99 540 IF Q3(1, I)(Q2(1, I) T HEN 02(1, I)=03(1, I) 559 NEXT I 560 92(1,100)=93(1,100) 570 ! GRADUATION OF NONS . L.C. COMPLETE 589 ! 596 ! BEGIN SMOKE-N.S. C ALC. 600 INPUT .STARTING P OF SMOKERS?>";P 610 IF P).1 AND P(.9 THE H 650 620 PRINT USING 630 ; P 630 IMAGE /\*\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\*\* /\* P= \*, ddd. ddd/\*OUT OF RANGE . 1(P(.9"/ 648 GOTO 688

650 PRINT "% SMOKERS=";P 668 INPUT "SMOKER VS. HS . TOTAL SMR?)";S 678 IF SHI AND SC5 THEN 710 680 PRINT USING 690 ; S 690 INAGE /\*\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\*\* /"SMR= ", ddd. ddd/"OUT OF RANGE 1(SMR(5"/ 700 GOTO 660 719 PRINT -SMR= -;S 728 INPUT .MIN RELRISK L .C.?>";M9 730 IF M9>1 AND M9(20 TH EN 778 740 PRINT USING 750 ; M9 758 IMAGE /\*\*\*\*ERROR\*\*\*\* /\*RELRISK= \*, ddd. ddd/\*0U T OF RANGE 1(RP(20"/ 768 GOTO 728 770 PRINT -MIN RELRISK-L .C.= ";#9 780 DISP .MORKING. 790 ! ADJUST INTERVALS 3 5-43 800 OFF ERROR 810 T=(S-1)/10 @ S9=1+T 820 L1=35 @ L2=43 838 29=48 840 ! CALL NESSM 850 GOSUB 1450 860 ! NOW DO 44-99 879 T=8 @ S9=S 888 L1=44 @ L2=99 890 ! CALL NESSM 900 GOSU8 1450 910 ! DO INTERVAL 160 NO NSMOKERS 1ST 928 08=02(0,99)+02(0,98) 930 Q1=02(1,99)+02(1,98) 948 92(0,100)=08/(00+01) 958 82(1,108)=1-82(8,108 1 968 98=93(8,99)+93(8,98) 978 01=03(1,99)+03(1,98) 988 03(0,100)=00/(00+01) 998 93(1,100)=1-93(0,100 )

### SMOKER PROGRAM LISTING (continued)

1000 FOR I=39 TO 99 STEF 10 1010 A2=02(8, I)+02(1, I) 1920 IF A2>1 THEN PRINT "N.S. P>1 AT AGE "; I 1030 A3=03(0,1)+03(1,1) 1848 IF A3>1 THEN PRINT "SMOKER P>1 AT AGE "; I 1050 01=Q3(1,I)/Q2(1,I) 1060 02=03/02 1070 PRINT USING 1080 ; 1, 82, 83, 01 1080 IMAGE /\*AGE=\*, ddd/\* P. OF D. -N. S. =", d. dde/"P . OF D.-S.=",d.dde/"R.R. -L.C.=",d.dde 1090 PRINT USING 1100 ; 02 1100 IMAGE "SMR(TOTAL)=" ,d.dde/ 1110 NEXT I 1120 Z=1 1130 ! PREPARE TO OUTPUT 1148 INPUT "HEADING FOR NONS. ?>";H1\$ 1150 INPUT FILE NAME FO R HONS. ?)"; F1\$ 1168 ASSIGN # 1 TO F1\$ 1178 DISP .WORKING. 1180 PRINT "NONS. FILE=" ;F1\$ 1190 PRINT # 1 ; H1\$,Z 1200 FOR I=0 TO 1 1210 FOR J=0 TO 100 1228 Q(J)=02(1,J) 1238 NEXT J 1240 PRINT # 1 ; Q() 1250 NEXT I 1268 ASSIGN # 1 TO # 1270 INPUT "HEADING FOR SMOK. ?)";H1\$ 1280 INPUT "FILE NAME FO R SMOK. ?>";F1\$ 1290 ! SUBROUTINE NESSM 1300 RSSIGN # 1 TO F1\$ 1310 DISP "NORKING" 1320 PRINT "SMOK. FILE=" ;F1\$

1330 PRINT # 1 ; H1\$,Z 1340 FOR I=0 TO 1 1358 FOR J=8 TO 188 1368 Q(J)=Q3(I,J) 1370 NEXT J 1380 PRINT # 1 ; 0() 1390 NEXT I 1400 ASSIGN # 1 TO # 1410 GOSUB 1840 1420 DISP "EXECUTION END S\* 1430 CLEAR VARS 1448 END 1450 IF L1>35 THEN 1480 1460 PRINT --1470 PRINT "2 SMOKERS VS . AGE\* 1480 FOR I=L1 TO L2 1498 ! FIND TOTAL DEATH P-GEN POP 1500 Q0=Q3(0,I)+Q3(1,I) 1510 ! FIND TOTAL DEATH P-NONS. 1528 Q1=00/(1-P+S9\*P) 1530 ! GET BACKGROUNP NO NS. 1548 02(0,1)=01-02(1,1) 1550 ! FIND % DEATHS DUE TO L.C. 1568 P2=03(1,1)/08 1570 ! FIND % DEATHS WHO SMOKE 1580 P3=P+01+59/00 1590 ! FIND & DEATHS N.S .-L.C. 1600 P4=(1-P3)+Q2(1,1)/( Q2(0, I)+Q2(1, I)) 1610 ! FIND % DEATH SM.-L.C. 1628 P5=P2-P4 1630 ! FIND P. OF DEATH-TOTAL FOR SMOK. 1640 00=59+01 1650 ! FIND P. OF D. SMO KER-L.C. 1660 Q3(1,1)=00\*P5/P3 1670 01=03(1,I)/02(1,I)

1680 IF 01(M9 AND I)45 T HEN Q3(1,1)=M9+02(1,1) 1690 ! GET SMOKER BACKGP OUND 1700 Q3(0.I)=00-Q3(1,I) 1710 S9=S9+T 1720 00=1-00 0 01=1-01 1730 P=P+00/(P+00+(1-P)+ 91) 1748 Q(1)=P 1750 IF Z9>I THEN GOTO 1 798 1768 PRINT USING 1770 ; LF 1770 IMAGE "AGE=", dd, 2%, "P="d.dde 1780 29=29+10 1790 NEXT I 1800 RETURN 1810 PRINT USING 1820 1820 IMAGE //\*BAD OR NON EXISTENT FILE \*// 1830 RETURN 1840 ! FIND LIFE EXPECTA NCY NONS. ; SMOK. 1850 FOR J=0 TO 100 1860 02(0.J)=02(1.J)+02( 8, J) 1870 Q2(1, J)=Q3(0, J)+Q3( 1. J) 1880 NEXT J 1890 PRINT USING 1900 1900 IMAGE /\*LIFE EXPECT ANCIES \*/ NONSMOKERS FIRS T-/ 1910 FOR I=0 TO 1 1928 L9=0 @ S9=1 1938 S=1/02(1,99) 1940 FOR J=0 TO 99 1950 L9=L9+.5\*S9\*02(1,J) 1960 S9=S9\*(1-02(I,J)) 1970 L9=L9+S9 1980 NEXT J 1990 L9=L9+S9\*S-. 4\*02(1, 0) 2000 IMAGE \*LE= \*, dd. dd

#### SMOKER PROGRAM LISTING (continued)

2010 PRINT USING 2000 ; 19 2020 IMAGE \*PROB. OF SUR VIVAL \*/, \*TO 100=\*, d.dde 2030 PRINT USING 2020 ; \$9 2040 NEXT I 2050 RETURN 2060 PRINT \*\* 2070 PRINT "NONSLC INVAL ID OR MISSING" 2075 PRINT \*\* 2080 INPUT "LOAD FROM CA ?>";A7\$ 2090 IF A7\$="Y" THEN 210 0 ELSE 2130 2100 PURCE "NONSLC" 2110 COPY "HONSLC CA" TO "NONSLC" 2120 GOTO 130 2130 DISP .EXECUTION END S\* 2140 END

## RADRISK VARIABLE LIST

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION
A	Loop counter
AO	Age at first risk
A3	A0-1
A5	Age at last exposure
A9	Age at first exposure
A3\$	Answer to prompt line 110
CO	Lung cancer death probability
62	Baseline lung cancer deaths
68	Excess lung cancer probability
69	Lung cancer death probability
DU	Dose variable
DI	Baseline lung cancer deaths
02	KK and AK Models
02	Excess lung cancer deaths
00/1001	RR dnd AR models
09(100)	Excess lung cancon montality
FO	Total lung cancer mortality
ES ES	General input file name
FAS	File name - error handling subroutine
F7\$	File name - sensitivities file
69	Coefficient for exponential correction
H5	Dose range delimiter
H6	Dose range delimiter
I	Loop counter
J	Loop range delimiter-dose subroutine
KO	Age at first exposure plus latency
К1	Loop range delimiter
K2	Loop range delimiter
K6	Working variable
M5	Model specifier flag
M\$	Model indicator for output
LO	Life expectancy
L1	Life expectancy
L2	Loss in life expectancy
L9	Life expectancy
0(1,100)	Multiple-decrement life table
05	Probability of death at age 100
Q9	Total age-specific probability of death

ARIABLE	DESCRIPTION
R1	Relative risk coefficient
R2	Absolute risk coefficient
R9	Local risk coefficient
R5\$	Answer to prompt line 710
S0	Survival
S7(100)	Sensitivity array
S9	Survival
S\$	Answer to prompt line 2170
S7\$	Answer to prompt line 790
T7	Latency
W1	Exposure in WLM/year
Y1	Approximate number of years lived
Z	Number of excess causes of death (Always 1)
Z7	Flag variable
Z\$	Answer to prompt line 1110

10 ! FINAL VERSION 11/1/ 83 20 ! RADON RISK CALCULAT ION FOR ABSOLUTE AND REL ATIVE RISK 30 ! SHOKERS, NONSMOKERS AND GENERAL POP. -MALES AND FEMALES 48 IMAGE // RADON RISK M OBEL \*//\*R.R. COEF=\*,d.dd e, \*/WLM\*/\*OPTION=\*, aa/\*A .R.=",d.dde, "/PY/WLM" 50 IMAGE "AGE AT F.E.=" ddd/"AGE AT L.E.=", ddd/" AGE AT FIRST RISK=", ddd 68 IMAGE "WLM PER YR. =" dd. dde/"LATENCY=", dd/"EX PONENT COR=", dd. dde 70 SHORT 09(100), S7(100) 88 DIM Q(1,100) 98 69=8 100 Z7=8 110 INPUT . INPUT: KEYBD. (K) OR FILE(F)?) \*; A3\$ 129 IF A3\$="K" THEN 218 130 ON ERROR GOSUB 2130 @ GOTO 110 140 INPUT "FILE NAME?) " ;F\$ 150 IF FS="NONE" THEN A3 \$=\*K\* 160 IF A3\$="K" THEN 210 170 ASSIGN # 1 TO F\$ 188 READ # 1 ; A8, A9, A5, R1, M\$, R2, T7, W1, R5\$, G9, S7 \$,F7\$ 190 ASSIGN # 1 TO . @ OF F ERROR 200 IF A3\$()-K. THEN 220 210 INPUT "AGE AT FIRST RISK?> ";A0 228 IF AB(5 OR AB)50 THE N 238 ELSE 258 238 PRINT USING 248 ; A8 @ GOTO 218 240 IMAGE / AGE AT FIRST RISK(", ddd, ")"/"OUT OF BOUNDS; 4(A8(51\*

256 IF 93\$()\*K\* THEN 278 260 INPUT "AGE AT FIRST EXPOSURE?) \*: 89 278 IF A9(8 THEN A9=8 288 IF R9399 THEN R9=99 290 IF Z7=1 THEN 310 300 IF A3\$()\*K\* THEN 330 310 INPUT "AGE AT LAST E XPOSURE?) \*: A5 320 Z7=8 338 IF A5(1 THEN A5=8 348 IF A5>100 THEN A5=10 . 358 IF 89(=85 THEN 418 368 PRINT USING 376 ; A9 ,85 370 IMAGE /\*AGE F.E.(\*,d dd, ")) AGE L.E.(", ddd, ") 388 27=1 390 GOTO 260 400 ! GET RISK COEFFICIE NTS 410 IF A3\$()\*K\* THEN 430 420 INPUT "RISK/WLM-R.R. ?> ";R1 430 IF R1>=0 AND R14.2 T HEN 479 440 PRINT USING 450 ; R1 450 IMAGE / RELATIVE RIS K(",d.dde,")"/"OUT OF BO UNDS; 8(P1(.2" 468 GOTO 428 478 IF R1=8 THEN MS=" " @ GOTO 510 475 IF H3\$()\*K\* THEN 490 488 INPUT "R.R. OPTION(M ,E, OR B)?) ";M\$ 490 IF MS="N" OR MS="E" THEN 518 500 M\$="8" 518 IF A3\$(>\*K\* THEN 538 520 INPUT -RISK/WLM/PY-A .R.?) \*;R2 538 IF R2>=0 AND R2(.000 2 THEN 570 540 PRINT USING 550 ; R2 558 INAGE / ABSOLUTE RIS K(", d. dde, ")"/"OUT OF 8N PS; @(R2(2E-4"

560 GOTO 520 578 ! GET LATENCY 589 IF A3\$()\*K\* THEN 600 590 INPUT "LATENCY IN YE ARS?) "; T7 688 IF T7>=8 AND T7(46 T HEN 640 610 PRINT USING 620 ; 17 € GOTO 578 620 IMAGE /"LATENCY(", dd d.ddd. ") "/"OUT OF BOUNDS ; 8(=T7(46\* 630 ! GET CONTINUOUS EXP OSURE AND CONVERT TO WLM 648 IF A3\$ (>\*K\* THEN 660 658 INPUT - ANNUAL EXPOSU RE IN HLM?> "; H1 660 IF W1(0 UR W1)99 THE N 678 ELSE 788 678 PRINT USING 688 ; W1 680 IMAGE / EXPOSURE LE VEL(", dd. dde, ")"/"OUT OF BOUNDS; B(W1(100\* 690 GOTO 650 700 IF A3\$()\*K\* THEN 720 710 INPUT "EXPONENTIAL C ORRECTION?) \*; R5\$ 729 IF R5\$()"Y" THEN 780 738 IF A3\$()\*K\* THEN 758 748 INPUT "EXPONENT=?) " ;69 750 IF G9(0 AND G9)-.2 T HEN 788 760 PRINT USING 770 ; G9 € GOTO 748 770 IMAGE /\*EXPONENTIAL( ", dd. ddE, ")"/"OUT OF BOU NDS; -.2(69(0" 788 IF A3\$()\*K\* THEN 808 790 INPUT "AGE SPECIFIC SENSITIVITIES?> ";S7\$ 800 IF S7\$(>"Y" THEN 890 810 ON ERROR GOSUB 2130 e coto 230 828 IF A3\$()\*K\* THEN 848 838 INPUT "FILE FOR AGE SENS. ?> "; F7\$ 848 IF F7\$="NONE" THEN 8 98 ELSE F\$=F7\$

#### RADRISK PROGRAM LISTING (continued)

850 ASSIGH # 1 TO F\$ 860 READ # 1 ; \$7() 878 ASSIGN # 1 TO \* # 0F F ERROR 880 GOTO 920 898 FOR I=8 TO 188 988 S7(I)=1 910 NEXT I 928 PRINT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* 936 PRINT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* 940 PRINT USING 40 ; R1, M\$, R2 950 PRINT USING 50 ; A9. A5, A0 960 PRINT USING 60 ; W1, 17,69 970 IF S75="Y" THEN 990 980 PRINT "SENSITIVITY=1 - @ GOTO 1090 990 PRINT "AGE SP. SEN="; F7\$ 1999 PRINT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1020 FOR A=1 TO 66 1030 ! GET POPULATION 1849 ON ERROR GOSUB 2138 @ GOTO 1060 1050 PRINT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1060 INPUT .FILE NAME FO R INPUT? : F\$ 1065 IF FS="NONE" THEN 1 690 1070 ASSIGN # 1 TO F\$ 1080 READ # 1 ; H\$,Z,Q(, ) 1090 ASSIGN # 1 TO # 1100 OFF ERROR 1110 INPUT "PURGE INPUT FILE?) ";Z\$ 1120 IF ZS="Y" THEN PURG E FS 1130 DISP "HORKING" 1148 PRINT "POP. "; A: "="; FS

1150 PRINT --1160 ! DO SURVIVAL TO AG E AT FIRST RISK 1170 K0=89+17 1180 IF KG(100 THEN 1210 1190 PRINT USING 1200 8 GOTO 1698 1200 IMAGE //\*NO RISK-\*/ "AGE AT FIRST"/"RISK G.E . 108-/ 1210 K1=A9 1220 A3=A0-1 1230 IF K0)A3 THEN K2=K0 FLSE K2=R3 1240 S9=1 @ L9=0 @ C9=0 1250 GOSUB 1740 1260 ! DO BASELINE K2+1 TO 99 1278 K1=K2+1 1289 S0=S9 @ L0=L9 @ C0= C9 # K2=99 1290 GOSUB 1740 1300 ! FINISH BASELINE 1310 Y1=.8/09 1328 L9=L9+S9\*Y1 1338 C9=C9+S9\*0(1,100) 1340 L1=L9 @ C2=C9+10000 . 1350 PRINT USING 1360 ; L1,C2 1360 IMAGE \*BASELINE\*/\*L .E.=",dd.ddd/"DERTHS/10" 5 -LC=", ddddd. d// 1370 ! GET DOSE DISTRIBU TION BY AGE 1380 IF A>1 THEN 1400 1390 GOSUB 2250 1400 ! NOW DO R.R. MODEL 1410 ! MULTIPLICATIVE VE RSION FIRST 1420 IF R1=0 THEN 1560 1430 IF MS="E" THEN 1510 ELSE 1448 1448 L9=L8 @ S9=S8 @ C9= CO @ C8=0 @ R9=R1 @ M5=2 @ H\$="#" 1450 GOSUB 1900

1460 PRINT USING 1470 ; H\$, L9, L2, D2 1470 IMAGE \*RELRISK MODE L-", aa/"L.E.=", dd. ddd/"L OSS LE(MONS)=", ddd. dd/"D /18^5=", ddddd.d 1480 PRINT USING 1490 ; D1 1490 INAGE "EXCESS D/10" 5=", ddddd. d// 1580 ! THEN DO EXPONENTI AL VERSION-R.R. 1510 IF M\$="M" THEN 1560 ELSE 1520 1520 L9=L0 @ S9=S0 @ C9= C8 @ C8=0 @ R9=R1+1 @ M5 =3 @ H\$="E" 1538 COSUR 1988 1549 PRINT USING 1470 ; H\$.19.12.12 1550 PRINT USING 1490 ; D1 1560 ! DO A.R. MODEL 1570 IF R2=0 THEN 1640 1580 L9=L0 @ S9=S0 @ C9= C0 @ C8=0 @ R9=R2 @ M5=1 1590 GOSUB 1900 1600 PRINT USING 1610 ; L9, L2, D2 1610 IMAGE "ABSRISK MODE L\*/\*L.E.=\*, dd. ddd/\*LOSS LE(MONS)=", ddd.dd/"D/10^ S=", ddddd.d 1620 PRINT USING 1630 ; 11 1630 IMAGE \*EXCESS D/10\* 5=", ddddd. d// 1648 INPUT "RUN ANOTHER POPULATION? ";A\$ 1650 IF AS="Y" THEN 1660 ELSE 1678 1660 NEXT A 1679 PRINT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1680 F=3

#### RADRISK PROGRAM LISTING (continued)

1690 INPUT .NEW INITIAL CONDITIONS?> ";A\$ 1700 IF AS="Y" THEN 98 E LSE 1710 1710 DISP .EXECUTION END S. 1720 END 1739 1 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1748 ! SUBROUTINE LC BAS F 1750 IF K1>0 THEN 1890 1768 09=0(8,8)+0(1,8) 1770 \$9=\$9\*(1-09) 1788 L9=L9+09\*.1+59 1798 K1=K1+1 1899 FOR I=K1 TO K2 1810 Q9=Q(0,I)+Q(1,i) 1820 L9=L9+09\*S9\*.5 1838 C9=C9+S9#Q(1,1) 1840 \$9=\$9\*(1-09) 1850 L9=L9+S9 1860 NEXT I 1878 RETURN 1880 | \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1890 ! RISK SUBROUTINE 1900 FOR 1=K1 TO K2 1918 IF M5(2 THEN K9=1 E LSE K9=Q(1,1) 1920 IF M5(3 THEN E8=D9( I)\*R9\*K9 ELSE E8=(R9\*D9( I)-1)\*K9 1938 E9=E8+Q(1,I) 1948 89=8(8,1)+E9 1950 L9=L9+09\*59\*.5 1968 C8=C8+S9\*E8 1970 C9=C9+S9\*E9 1988 \$9=\$9\*(1-09) 1990 L9=L9+S9 2000 NEXT 1 2010 Y1=.8/09 2020 IF M5(2 THEN K9=1 E LSE K9=0(1,100) 2030 IF M5(3 THEN E8=09( 100)\*R9\*K9 ELSE E8=(R9\*D 9(188)-1)\*K9 2040 E9=E8+Q(1,100) 2950 C8=C8+S9\*E8

2060 C9=C9+S9\*E9 2070 L9=L9+S9+Y1 2080 L2=(L1-L9)+12 2090 D1=C8+100000 2100 D2=C9+100000 2118 RETURN 2128 1 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 2138 ! ERROR HANDLING FO R DATA INPUT 2148 PRINT \*\* 2150 PRINT "FILE ";F\$;" 2220 2160 PRINT \*\* 2178 INPUT "ON CASSETTE? > \*;\$\$ 2189 IF St() Y. THEN 223 2198 F4\$=F\$\$":CA" 2200 PURCE F\$ 2210 ON ERROR GOTO 1710 2228 COPY F4\$ TO F\$ 2230 RETURN 2248 1 ~~~~~~~~~~ 2250 ! DOSE SUBROUTINE 2268 95=9(8,99)+9(1,99) 2278 05=.8/05 2280 D0=W1+S7(A9) @ H5=A 9+T7+1 @ H6=A5+T7 2298 IF R5\$="Y" THEN 248 . 2300 FOR 1=H5 TO K2 2310 D9(I)=D0 2320 IF 1)H6 THEN 2340 2338 D0=D0+W1+S7(I-T7) 2340 NEXT I 2350 IF A5=100 AND H5(18 0 THEN 2370 ELSE 2360 2368 D9(100)=D9(99) 8 G0 TO 2590 2378 D9(188)=D8+05+W1+S7 (100) 2380 GOTO 2590 2390 ! EXPONENTIAL CORRE CTION 2480 G9=EXP(G9)

2488 G9=EXP(G9) 2410 D0=W1\*S7(A9)\*G9^T7 2420 FOR I=H5 TO K2 2438 B9(1)=D0 2448 D8=D8+C9 2450 IF 12H6 THEN 2478 2460 D0=D0+H1+S7(I-T7)+G 9177 2470 NEXT I 2480 IF P5=100 AND H5(10 8 THEN 2588 ELSE 2498 2490 D0=D0+G9^(05-1) @ G OTO 2580 2500 J=IP(05+.5)-1 2510 IF JK1 THEN 2580 2520 FOR I=1 TO J 2530 K6=I-T7+99 2548 IF K6>188 THEN K6=1 89 2558 08=08+69 2568 B0=B0+W1\*S7(K6)\*G9\* 17 2570 NEXT I 2588 D9(188)=D9 2590 RETURN

# LIST VARIABLE LIST

# VARIABLE

# DESCRIPTION

A1\$	Reply to prompt line 120
D\$	Reply to prompt line 30
F3	Input file name
нэ	MULDEC heading
1	Loop counter
U V	Loop counter
. N.	Loop delimiterread from MULDEC file
N3 0(100)	Keyboard variable
02(1,100)	Array for GR file
(3(1,100)	Array for MULDEC file
23	Format string

#### LIST PROGRAM LISTING

10 ! LIST UTILITY FOR GA RR 20 DIM Q(100),03(1.100) 25 PRINTER IS .: PR" 30 INPUT "PRINTER(F) OR DISPLAY(D)?) \*;D\$ 40 IF DS="D" THEN PRINTE R 15 \* 60 INPUT "FILE NAME?>";F 4 76 GOSUB 200 120 INPUT "LIST ANOTHER FILE?";GI\$ 130 IF A1\$(>"Y" THEN 170 140 PRINT USING 150 150 IMAGE /// 168 GOTO 25 170 DISP .EXECUTION ENDS 175 PRINTER IS .: PR. 18# END 190 1 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 200 ON ERROR GOSUB 370 @ GOTO 340 210 PRINT -- @ PRINT -NA ME=";F\$ 220 ASSIGN # 1 TO F\$ 230 READ # 1 ; Q() 240 ASSIGN # 1 TO # 245 IRINT "TYPE=GR" @ PR INT \*\* 250 OFF ERROR 260 DISP .HIT ANY KEY TO STOP-270 PRINT "AGE 4x" @ P RINT .. 280 FOR J=0 TO 100 285 MAIT .2 290 K\$=KEY\$ @ IF K\$()\*\* THEN 349 300 PRINT J; 310 PRINT USING 320 ; QC J)

320 IMAGE 1X, d. dde/ 330 NEXT J 340 RETURN 358 1 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 368 ! 370 ON ERROR GOSUE 580 @ GOTO 68 375 RESTORE # 1 400 READ # 1 ; H\$,K,Q3(, ) 410 ASSIGN # 1 TO # 428 OFF ERROR 425 PRINT "TYPE=MULDEC" 438 PRINT -- @ PRINT -HE ADING=" @ PRINT H\$ @ PRI NT --448 5\$="/" 450 DISP .HIT ANY KEY TO STOP-460 PRINT "AGE abx ACX" @ PRINT --470 FOR J=8 TO 100 475 WAIT .2 488 K\$=KEY\$ @ IF K\${>\*\* THEN 568 490 PRINT J; 500 FOR I=0 TO K 510 PRINT USING 520 ; 03 (LJ); 520 IMAGE 1X, d. dde 530 NEXT I 548 PRINT USING S\$ 550 NEXT J 560 RETURN 578 1 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 580 ! ERROR HANDLING SUB 590 PRINT USING 600 600 IMAGE /"BAD OR NONEX ISTENT FILE"/ 610 RETURN

## DUPER VARIABLE LIST

# VARIABLE

## DESCRIPTION

D\$	Reply to prompts lines 130, 230
F\$	Internal file name
G\$ I	Cassette file name
K	Loop counter

10 ! DUPER COPIES GARR T O BACKUP TAPE 20 FOR K=1 TO 2 30 IF K=1 THEN RESTORE 2 90 ELSE RESTORE 300 40 FOR I=0 TO 4 50 READ F\$ 68 G\$=F\$&\* : CA\* 70 ON ERROR GOSUB 270 @ **GOTO 80** 80 COPY G\$ TO F\$ 90 NEXT I 100 IF K=1 THEN RESTORE 290 ELSE RESTORE 300 118 BEEP @ BEEP @ BEEP 120 PRINT -CHANGE CASSET TE (GARR>BACK) AND ENTER Y WHEN READY" 139 INPUT "READY?"; D\$ 148 FOR I=8 TO 4 158 READ F\$ 160 COPY F\$ TO .: CA. 179 PURGE F\$ 180 NEXT I 190 IF K=2 THEN 240 200 BEEP @ BEEP @ BEEP 218 PRINT USING 220 228 IMAGE -CHANGE CASSET TE (BACK)GARR) -/-ENTER Y WHEN READY" 230 INPUT "READY?"; D\$ 248 NEXT K 250 COPY "DUPER" TO ":CA 260 END 278 PURGE F\$ 280 RETURN 298 DATA "GRADUATE", "HUL DEC", "NONSLC", "SMOKER", " STANDARD" 300 DATA "RADRISK", "SENS \*, "WMLC", "WFLC", "LIST"

# U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1984-421-299:338

A TITLE AND SUBTICLE GARY WAVEN RA., " Regregation of the computer Code for General Analysis of Radon Risks (GARR)       INDECS 1025         A Computer Code for General Analysis of Radon Risks (GARR)       3. RECIMENTS ACCESSION NO.         7. AUTHORISI       S. DATE REPORT COMPLETED         M. Ginevan       Septempler         9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Comp)       Date RepORT ISSUED         Division of Radiation Programs and Earth Sciences       Montpi Tytean         Office of Nuclear Regulatory Commission       a fame Moni         U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission       a fame Moni         Vis. Nuclear Regulatory Commission       a fame Moni         12 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)       DO PROJECT TASK.WORK UNIT NO         12 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)       A fame Moni         13 TYPE OF REPORT       Technical Report       10 PROJECT TASK.WORK UNIT NO         14 (Issue of merch)       Technical Report       11 CONTRACT NO         15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14 (Issue of merch)       11 CONTRACT NO         16 ABSTRACT (200 word) or mull       Evaluating the level of lung cancer misk associated with a given level of accounting exposure over time, and how much a gensonal habit Such as smoking of radon risks that allows the user to specify a large number of possible model's with a sanol how much a gensonal habit Such as smoking of acdon risks that	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	1. REPORT NUMBER (Assigned by DDC
THE AND SUBTILE And Volume Mo., if approximation       2         A Computer Code for General Analysis of Radon Risks (GARR)       3. RECIPIENTS ACCESSION NO.         A UTHORISI       3. RECIPIENTS ACCESSION NO.         A UTHORISI       5. DATE REPORT COMPLETED MONTH       1 VEAR September         PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)       DATE REPORT ISSUED MONTH       1 VEAR September         Division of Radiation Programs and Earth Sciences Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research       0 ATE REPORT ISSUED       10 VEAR September         2. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)       3. A dever Over:1       3. A dever Over:1         3. TYPE OF REPORT       7 RENOD COVERED (Incluive dawn)       10 PROJECTTASK:WORK UNIT NO.         3. TYPE OF REPORT       7 RENOD COVERED (Incluive dawn)         5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. (Lever dawn)         5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. (Lever dawn)         6. ABSTRACT 200 words or Instit       4. (Lever dawn)         6. ABSTRACT 200 words or Institute       5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. (Lever dawn)         6. ABSTRACT 200 words or Institute       5. Complex matter       There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general model form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropritemethod of accounting exposure over time, and how mu	BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	NOREG-1029
AUTHORIS AND CONCENT AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zp Code)  AUTHORIS ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zp Code)  Division of Radiation Programs and Earth Sciences  Office of Nuclear Regulatory Commission  Aushington, D.C. 2055  2 PONBORING ONGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zp Code)  Same as 9.  Division of Redoalization NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zp Code)  A type of REPORT  PERIOD COVERED Include Zm Code)  A type of REPORT  PERI	A Computer Code for General Analysis of Radon	Risks (GARR)
A UTHORINS M. GIAVAN PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zo Code) Division of Raditation Programs and Earth Sciences Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Ashington, D.C. 2055 2 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zo Code) Same as 9. 10 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zo Code) Same as 9. 11 CONTRACT NO 12 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zo Code) 3 TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report 5 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 6 ABSTRACT (200 words or Inclusive date) Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general model form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropriate method of accounting exposure ove: time, and how much a personal habit such as smoking can modify risk that allows the user to specify a large number of possible models with a small number of simple commands. The model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the Ameridan National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wide variety of computers and, in particular, microcomputers. A KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS A KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 17A DESCRIPTORS Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Hodel A VANUABULITY STATEMEND.		3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.
M. ON THE VEAN     MONTH     Vean       PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zg Code)     DATE BEFORT ISSUED       Division of Raditation Programs and Earth Sciences     Date Befort ISSUED       Office of Nuclear Regulatory Commission     a. Entember     1984       U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission     a. Entember     1984       Mashington, D.C., 2055     a. Entember     1984       Same as 9.     TO PROJECTIASKWORK UNIT NO.     a. Entember       3. TYPE OF REPORT     remode organization Name and Mailing Address (Include Zg Code)     To PROJECTIASKWORK UNIT NO.       3. TYPE OF REPORT     remode organization Name and Mailing Address (Include Zg Code)     To PROJECTIASKWORK UNIT NO.       3. TYPE OF REPORT     remode organization of make and Mailing Address (Include Zg Code)     To PROJECTIASKWORK UNIT NO.       3. TYPE OF REPORT     remode organization of make and Mailing Address (Include Zg Code)     To Enclose organization of the State of Code)       3. TYPE OF REPORT     remode organization of Makee and Mailing Address (Include Zg Code)     To Enclose organization of Code organization of State organization of Code organization of State organization of Code organization of Code organizatio Code organization of Code organization of State organization of	AUTHORIS)	5. DATE REPORT COMPLETED
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS INclude Zip Code/       Job Code         Division of Radiation Programs and Earth Sciences       Job Temerouri Souce         Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research       Job Code         U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission       a fame Manki         Assense       a fame Manki         2 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)       a fame Manki         3. TWE OF REPORT       PERIOD Covered Manki         3. TWE OF REPORT       PERIOD Covered Manki         5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14 (Lever Diami)         6. Abstract 200 words or Mail       a general Marki         6. Abstract 200 words or Mail       Evaluating the level of lung Cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whethen one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models or relative risk         BASTRACT 200 words or Mail       Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whethen one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk assessment should apply absolute risk model for general analysis of radon risks that allows the user to specify a large number of possible model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wid	n. unevan	MONTH YEAR
Division of Radiation Programs and Earth Sciences Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mashington, D.C. 2055 2 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code) Same as 9. 3. TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 6. ABSTRACT 200 words or Insti 6. ABSTRACT 200 words or Insti Contract from of risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general modei form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropriate method of accounting exposure over: time, and how much a personal habit such as smoking can modify risk. This document presents a completer model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the Ameridan National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wide variety of computers end, in particular, microcomputers. 7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Hodel ADDENTIFIERSIOPENIENDED TERMS	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Inclu-	de Zip Code) DATE BEPORT ISSUED
Division of Radiation Programs and Earth Sciences Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mashington, D.C. 2055 Same as 9. 3. TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 4. (Lever Owner) 6. ABSTRACT (200 worth or Neul) Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general model form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropriate method of accounting exposure over time, and how much a gensent how for general analysis of radon risks that allows the user to specify a large number of possible wordels with a small number of simple commands. The model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily defined for use on a wide variety of computers and, in particular, microcomputers. 7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Hodel AMALABULITY STATEMEN AMALABULITY STATEMEN		MONTH YEAR
0.3.5. Nuclear Regulatory Commission       a frame Diank!         a Shington, D.C. 20555       a frame Diank!         2 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)       To PROJECT/TASK/WORK UNIT NO.         Same as 9.       11. CONTRACT NO.         11. CONTRACT NO.       11. CONTRACT NO.         3. TYPE OF REPORT       remote Covered (Incluive date)         5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14. (Leve date)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or les)       14. (Leve date)         Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general model form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropriate method of accounting exposure over time, and how much a personal habit such as smoking can modify risk. This document presents a completer model for general analysis of radon risks that allows the user to specify a large number of possible models with a small number of simple commands. The model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the Ameridan National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wide variety of computers and, in particular, microcomputers.         Acey WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         NEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         Networks AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         Networks AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS </td <td>Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research</td> <td>nces <u>September 1984</u> 6. (Leave blank)</td>	Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research	nces <u>September 1984</u> 6. (Leave blank)
2 SPONSORING DRGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code) Same as 9.  6 PROJECT/TASK/WORK UNIT NO.  9. TYPE OF REPORT 7 PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive dami) 7 Cechnical Report 9. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14 (Leave dami) 6. ABSTRACT 200 words or Mest/ Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general model form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropriate method of accounting exposure over time, and how much a personal habit such as smoking can modify risk. This document presents a completer model for general analysis of radon risks that allows the user to specify a large number of possible models with a small number of simple commands. The model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wide variety of computers and, in particular, microcomputers.	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	A Meave Manki
Same as 9.  IDEPROJECT/TASK/WORK UNIT NO  Definition of the second secon	2. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Inclu	ide Zin Codel
11. CONTRACT NO  13. TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report  5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  6. ABSTRACT 200 words or less  6. ABSTRACT 200 words or less  6. ABSTRACT 200 words or less  7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS  7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Model  7. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN/ENDL/D TERMS	Same as 9.	10. PROJECT/TASK/WORK UNIT NO.
13. TYPE OF REPORT       PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive dams)         Technical Report       14 (Lewe Diank)         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14 (Lewe Diank)         16. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Lewe Diank)         Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general modei form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropriate method of accounting exposure over time, and how much a personal habit such as smoking can modify risk. This document presents a computer model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wide variety of computers and, in particular, microcomputers.         IPA DESCRIPTORS         Proceeding Cancer, Computer Hodel         AVAILABILITY STATEMEND		11. CONTRACT NO.
3. TYPE OF HEPORT       PENIOD COVERED (Inclusive dams)         Technical Report       14 (Leave dims)         5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       14 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       17 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       15 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       17 (Leave dims)         6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)       17 (Leave dims)         7. Tech (200 words or less)       17 (Leave dims)         17. Leave (ANSI) (Leist)       17 (Leave dims)         7. KEY WORD		
Technical Report         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         14. (Lawe d/wik)         16. ABSTRACT (200 words or (est))         17. ADSTRACT (200 words or (est))         18. ABSTRACT (200 words or (est))         19. ABSTRACT (200 words or (est))         19. ABSTRACT (200 words or (est))         10. ABSTRACT (200 words or (est))         11. ADSTRACT (200 words or (est))         12. ADSTRACT (200 words or (est))         13. ADSTRACT (200 words or (est))         14. (Lawe d/wik)         14. (Lawe d/wik)         15. ADSTRACT (200 words or (est))         16. ABSTRACT (200 words or (est))         16. ABSTRACT (200 words or (est))         17. ADSTRACT (200 mords or (est))         17. ADSTRACT (200 mords or (est))         17. ADSTRACT (200 mords or (est))         17. ADESCRIPTORS         17	3. TYPE OF REPORT	PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive dates)
16. ABSTRACT (200 words or less) 17. Statements 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 17. LIFETABLES, Risk Assessment, 17. MICROCOMPUTER, Lung Cancer, 17. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDL D TERMS 17. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDL D TERMS 17. AVAILABBULITY STATEMED	Technical Report	1
6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)  Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk associated with a given level of radon-daughter exposure is a complex matter. There is the question of whether one's risk assessment should apply absolute risk models or relative risk models and, even when a general model form has been selected, there are decisions as to the exact form of risk projection, the appropriate method of accounting exposure over time, and how much a personal habit such as smoking can modify risk. This document presents a computer model for general analysis of radon risks that allows the user to specify a large number of possible models with a small number of simple commands. The model is written in a version of BASIC which conforms closely to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal BASIC and thus is readily modified for use on a wide variety of computers and, in particular, microcomputers.  7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 7. A DESCRIPTORS 7. Computer, Lung Cancer, Computer Hodel 7. The DESCRIPTORS 7. DENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDLO TERMS 7. DENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDLO TERMS 7. A VANLABILITY STATEMEND 7. A DESCRIPTORS 7. A VANLABILITY STATEMEND 7. A VANLABILITY S	5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	14. (Leave plank)
7. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS       17a. DESCRIPTORS         Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Model       17a. DESCRIPTORS         7b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDLO TERMS       17a. DESCRIPTORS	6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)	
Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Hodel	6. ABSTRACT (200 words or less) Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk radon-daughter exposure is a complex mat one's risk assessment should apply absol models and, even when a general model fo decisions as to the exact form of risk p accounting exposure over time, and how m can modify risk. This document presents of radon risks that allows the user to s models with a small number of simple com version of BASIC which conforms closely Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal l use on a wide variety of computers and,	associated with a given level of ter. There is the question of whether ute risk models or relative risk rm has been selected, there are rojection, the appropriate method of uch a personal habit such as smoking a computer model for general analysis pecify a large number of possible mands. The model is written in a to the American National Standards BASIC and thus is readily modified for in particular, microcomputers.
76. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDLO TERMS	16. ABSTRACT (200 words or less) Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk radon-daughter exposure is a complex mat one's risk assessment should apply absol models and, even when a general model fo decisions as to the exact form of risk p accounting exposure over time, and how m can modify risk. This document presents of radon risks that allows the user to s models with a small number of simple com version of BASIC which conforms closely institute (ANSI) definition for minimal luse on a wide variety of computers and, 2. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	associated with a given level of ter. There is the question of whether ute risk models or relative risk rm has been selected, there are rojection, the appropriate method of uch a personal habit such as smoking a computer model for general analysis pecify a large number of possible mands. The model is written in a to the American National Standards BASIC and thus is readily modified for in particular, microcomputers.
8 AVAILABILITY STATEMEN)	16. ABSTRACT (200 words or less) Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk radon-daughter exposure is a complex mat one's risk assessment should apply absol models and, even when a general model for decisions as to the exact form of risk p accounting exposure over time, and how m can modify risk. This document presents of radon risks that allows the user to s models with a small number of simple com version of BASIC which conforms closely Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal use on a wide variety of computers and, 2. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Hodel	associated with a given level of ter. There is the question of whether ute risk models or relative risk rm has been selected, there are rojection, the appropriate method of uch a personal habit such as smoking a computer model for general analysis pecify a large number of possible mands. The model is written in a to the American National Standards BASIC and thus is readily modified for in particular, microcomputers.
Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21 NO OF PAGES	The ABSTRACT (200 words or less) Evaluating the level of lung cancer risk radon-daughter exposure is a complex mat one's risk assessment should apply absol models and, even when a general model for decisions as to the exact form of risk p accounting exposure over time, and how m can modify risk. This document presents of radon risks that allows the user to s models with a small number of simple com version of BASIC which conforms closely Institute (ANSI) definition for minimal use on a wide variety of computers and, A KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Radon, Cigarette Smoking, Lifetables, Risk Assessment, Microcomputer, Lung Cancer, Computer Hodel Th. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS	associated with a given level of ter. There is the question of whether ute risk models or relative risk rm has been selected, there are rojection, the appropriate method of uch a personal habit such as smoking a computer model for general analysis pecify a large number of possible mands. The model is written in a to the American National Standards BASIC and thus is readily modified for in particular, microcomputers.

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

> OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

FOURTH-CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID USNRC WASH. D. C PERMIT No. <u>G.67</u>

120555078877 1 IANIRH US NRC ADM-DIV OF TIDC PULICY & PUB MGT BR-PDR NUREG W-501 WA SHINGTON DC 20555