

October 11, 1995

Mr. John P. Stetz
Vice President - Nuclear, Davis Besse
Centerior Service Company
c/o Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
5501 North State Route 2
Oak Harbor, OH 43449

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 92-08 - DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION,
UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. M85542)

Dear Mr. Stetz:

We have reviewed Toledo Edison Company's responses of December 8, 1994, March 22, 1995, and June 13, 1995, to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 15, 1994, and December 23, 1994, respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs.

On the basis of our review, we have determined that your responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the enclosure. You are requested to inform us within 30 days of receipt of this letter when you plan to submit a description of the anticipated analytical methodology including typical calculations which will be used to determine the ampacity derating parameters for the Thermo-Lag fire barriers.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1380 or Mr. Ronaldo Jenkins of the Electrical Engineering Branch at (301) 415-2985.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:
Linda L. Gundrum, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-346

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File RJenkins
PUBLIC MGamberoni
PDIII-3 R/F EConnell
JRoe WAxelson, Region III

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DAVISBES\DB85542.RAI

*See previous concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PD33-LA	E	PD33-PM	E	EELB-BC		PD33-PD
NAME	DFoster-Cursen		LGundrum:lg	JCalvo*			GMarcus <i>GM</i>
DATE	10/11/95		10/11/95	10/10/95			10/11/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

160071
9510160090 951011
PDR ADOCK 05000346
F PDR

FILE CENTER COPY

*DF01
11*



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 11, 1995

Mr. John P. Stetz
Vice President - Nuclear, Davis Besse
Centerior Service Company
c/o Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
5501 North State Route 2
Oak Harbor, OH 43449

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 92-08 - DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION,
UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. M85542)

Dear Mr. Stetz:

We have reviewed Toledo Edison Company's responses of December 8, 1994, March 22, 1995, and June 13, 1995, to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 15, 1994, and December 23, 1994, respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs.

On the basis of our review, we have determined that your responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the enclosure. You are requested to inform us within 30 days of receipt of this letter when you plan to submit a description of the anticipated analytical methodology including typical calculations which will be used to determine the ampacity derating parameters for the Thermo-Lag fire barriers.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1380 or Mr. Ronaldo Jenkins of the Electrical Engineering Branch at (301) 415-2985.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Linda L. Gundrum".

Linda L. Gundrum, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-346

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

Mr. John P. Stetz
Toledo Edison Company

cc:

Mary E. O'Reilly
Centerior Energy Corporation
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Mr. William T. O'Connor, Jr.
Manager - Regulatory Affairs
Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Plant
5501 North State - Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts
and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Regional Administrator
U.S. NRC, Region III
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60523-4351

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
1700 Rockville Pike, Suite 525
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Resident Inspector
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
5503 N. State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Mr. John K. Wood, Plant Manager
Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
5501 North State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
Unit No. 1

Robert E. Owen, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
Service
Ohio Department of Health
P. O. Box 118
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0118

Attorney General
Department of Attorney
General
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Mr. James W. Harris, Director
Division of Power Generation
Ohio Department of Industrial
Regulations
P. O. Box 825
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
DERR--Compliance Unit
ATTN: Zack A. Clayton
P. O. Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0149

State of Ohio
Public Utilities Commission
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

Mr. James R. Williams
State Liaison to the NRC
Adjutant General's Department
Office of Emergency Management Agency
2825 West Granville Road
Columbus, Ohio 43235-2712

President, Board of County
Commissioners of Ottawa County
Port Clinton, Ohio 43452

FOLLOWUP REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING

GENERIC LETTER 92-08

"THERMO-LAG 330-1 FIRE BARRIERS"

DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-346

1.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF SEPTEMBER 15, 1994

In the RAI of September 15, 1994, the NRC staff requested information regarding important barrier parameters, Thermo-Lag barriers outside the scope of the NEI program, ampacity derating, alternatives, and schedules.

In its submittal of December 8, 1994, the licensee asserted that there are unresolved technical issues in the ampacity derating area. The licensee stated that it would continue to follow developments in the resolution of this issue.

During a public meeting on March 14, 1995, with the licensees for the four lead plants for the resolution of Thermo-Lag issues, the staff responded to the question, "Will the resolution of the ampacity derating concern be deferred until agreement is reached on the appropriate testing protocol (i.e., IEEE P848)?" The staff reiterated its position, which was previously stated in the September 1994 RAI, that the ampacity derating concern could be resolved independently of the fire endurance concerns. After a review of the tests performed under the draft IEEE standard P848, the staff transmitted comments which were designated to ensure the repeatability of test results to the IEEE working group responsible for the test procedure. The licensee is requested to submit its ampacity derating evaluations, including any applicable test reports, in order to provide an adequate response to Generic Letter 92-08 reporting requirement 2(c).

2.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF DECEMBER 23, 1994

In the RAI of December 23, 1994, the staff requested information describing the examinations and inspections that will be performed to obtain the important barrier parameters for the Thermo-Lag configurations installed at Davis-Besse. The licensee did not address in detail their ampacity derating plans in its submittal of March 22, 1995. In addition to the assertion that there are unresolved technical issues, the licensee stated in their submittal of June 13, 1995, that plant-specific calculations using data provided by the Thermo-Lag manufacturer indicate that ampacity derating is not expected to be a concern for applicable circuits at Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station.

ENCLOSURE

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff held a telephone conference call with Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. The staff indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. NEI agreed to review the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Unit 2 Safety Evaluation (SE) in order to develop a generic test program. The memorandum dated May 22, 1995, which documents the subject telephone conference meeting, is attached for your information. In addition, a copy of the subject SE dated June 14, 1995, was sent to those licensees who rely on Thermo-Lag installations.

Please submit prior to the final resolution of the Thermo-lag 330-1 issue a description of the anticipated analytical methodology including typical calculations which will be used to determine the ampacity derating parameters for the Thermo-Lag fire barriers that are installed at the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station.

Attachment: As stated.

May 22, 1995

NOTE TO: Brian W. Sheron, Director, DE, NRR
FROM: Carl H. Berlinger, Chief, EELB, DE, NRR
SUBJECT: MEMORANDUM OF RECORD

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff (B. Sheron, C. Berlinger, P. Gill, M. Gamberoni and R. Jenkins) held a telephone conference call with Mr. Alex Marion and Mr. Biff Bradley of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. Mr. Marion contacted the staff regarding two topics: (1) Status of the Safety Evaluation (SE) on the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Unit 2 Ampacity Derating Test Program; and (2) Staff Acceptance of the IEEE Standard P848, "Procedure for the Determination of the Ampacity Derating of Fire Protected Cables."

Dr. Berlinger stated that the subject SE for CPSES 2 had been completed and we expected that it will be transmitted to the licensee within the next two weeks. Dr. Berlinger agreed to notify Mr. Marion by phone after the SE had been issued by the staff. Due to potential generic applications the staff will provide a copy of the CPSES, Unit 2 SE to licensees with Thermo-Lag fire barriers.

The staff has been interfacing with the IEEE Task Force responsible for IEEE P848 over the last 2 years to improve the subject procedure. This effort has resulted in recent revisions to the subject procedure which addressed the majority of the concerns raised by EELB (reference: Letter dated 10/13/94 from C. Berlinger to A. K. Gwal). Although not all of the concerns were addressed by the IEEE Task Force Dr. Berlinger indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. The latest procedure revision (Draft 16) addresses the major test concerns regarding inductive heating and conduit surface emissivities effects.

The staff emphasized that licensees should submit the actual test procedures or plans to the staff for comment. After discussion of the various options to develop a generic test program NEI agreed to review the CPSES 2 SE and then contact the staff as necessary for further discussions or questions on this matter.

cc: Alex Marion, NEI

CONTACT: Ronaldo Jenkins, EELB/DE
415-2985

DISTRIBUTION

GCLainas, NRR Athadani, NRR
KSwest, NRR MGamberoni, NRR
EELB R/F SPLB TSI File

Document Name: G:\SHARED\FY95NEI.NOT

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box C=Copy w/o attachment/enclosure E=Copy with attachment/enclosure N = No copy

OFFICE	EELB:DE:NRR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SC/EELB:DE:NRR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C/EELB:DE:NRR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NAME	RJenkins:nkw	<i>WJ</i>	PGill	<i>Asay</i>	CHBerlinger	<i>WJ</i>
DATE	05/19/95		05/19/95		05/22/95	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ATTACHMENT

9509140068 12