DUKE POWER COMPANY

P.O. BOX 33189 CHARLOTTE, N.C. 28242

HAL B. TUCKER VIGE PRESIDENT NUCLEAR PRODUCTION

July 13, 1984

TELEPHONE (704) 373-4531

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Re: Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1

Docket No. 50-413

Subject: Applicants' Application for Partial

Exemption from GDC 16, GDC 38, and GDC 50

Dear Mr. Denton:

Pursuant to 10 CFR §50.12, Duke Power company, et al. (Applicants) hereby request an exemption from the requirement of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criteria (GDC) 16, 38, 3, and 50, as such relates to fuel load and pre-critical testing activities.

In a July 2, 1984 letter to Mr. James P. O'Reilly Applicants noted that certain items related to the completion and testing of the Ice Condenser would not be complete at the time of fuel loading. It was further noted that these items would be complete prior to Reactor Coolant System temperatures exceeding 200°F (Mode 4) as required by the proposed Technical Specifications for this unit. As the Technical Specifications have historically recognized this type of pressure suppression system is not required to be operable when there is insufficient scored energy in the Reactor Cooling System to challenge containment integrity. Furthermore, the time period in question relates to a period in which Catawba will have no fission product inventory, and thus the radiological consequences of any containment failure due to design basis accidents would be non-existent.

As previously stated, Applicants will comply with the proposed Technical Specifications. Therefore, this situation is identical to modes of operation that would have been permitted were the license to be issued with the Ice Condenser fully operable and subsequently removed from service. Thus, Applicants meet the test expoused in Shoreham that the proposed operation would be as safe as that had the licensee fully complied with the regulations. This position should apply generally to all situations that may arise where the Applicant will place systems into service as required by the Technical Specifications. Furthermore, although Applicants are submitting the present exemption request, it is Applicant's position that this particular set of circumstances should not warrant an exemption request in the future.

1501

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director July 13, 1984 Page 2

By letters dated June 20, and June 28, 1984 Applicants requested a partial exemption from GDC 17 in order to permit the diesel generators for Unit 1 to be inoperable until initial criticality. It is considered that the information regarding exigent circumstances supporting that request also encompass the situation presented in this application. Therefore, Applicants hereby request that the Commission issue an exemption to GDC 16, GDC 38, and GDC 50 that would allow the above referenced item to be completed prior to Mode 4 entry.

Very truly yours,

Har B. Techen

Hal B. Tucker

NAR/slb

cc: Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

NRC Resident Inspector Catawba Nuclear Station

Robert Guild, Esq.
Attorney-at-Law
P. O. Box 12097
Charleston, South Carolina 29412

Palmetto Alliance 2135½ Devine Street Columbia, South Carolina 29205

Mr. Jesse L. Riley Carolina Environmental Study Group 854 Henley Place Charlotte, North Carolina 28207 HAL B. Tucker, being duly sworn, states that he is Vice President of Duke Power Company; that he is authorized on the part of said Company to sign and file with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission this application; and that all statements and matters set forth therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge.

Hal B. Tucker, Vice President

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of July, 1984.

Sue C. Sherull Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

dept. 20, 1984