## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al

Docket No. 50-445 50-446

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 & 2)

Deposition of: Wayne Mansfield

Location: Glen Rose, Texas

Pages: 44,500-44,566

Date: Tuesday, July 10, 1984

Original to 5. Aleasant
H-1149 TROI 0/1

lugge to E. Johnson, Region 10

TAYLOR ASSOCIATES

Court Reporters 1625 | Street, N.W. Suite 1004 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 291-1950

8407170319 840710 PDR ADOCK 05000445

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY & LICENSING BOARD

In the matter of:

FEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC

COMPANY, et al.

Companche Peak Steam Electric

Station, Units 1 and 2)

Station of the matter of:

Station of the matter of the matter

Glen Rose Motor Inn Glen Rose, Texas

July 10 , 1984

Deposition of: Wayne Mansfield called by examination by counsel for Intervenors taken before Terri L. Hague, Court Reporter, beginning at 1:00 p.m., pursuant to agreement.

Tical

Appearances: 2 FOR THE APPLICANT: 3 MARK L. DAVIDSON, ESQ. Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds 1200 Seventeenth Street NW Washington, D.C. 20037 5 FOR THE NRC STAFF: 6 ROY VOEGELI, ESQ. Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 8 Washington, D.C. 20555 9 FOR THE INTERVENOR: 10 LES COCHRAN, ESQ. Barnhart, Mallia, Cochran & Luther 11 16th Floor, 806 Main Building Houston, Texas 77002 12 FOR THE WITNESS WAYNE MANSFIELD: 13 R. JEFFREY COPPOCK, ESQ. 14 Vinson & Elkins First National Bank Building 15 Houston, Texas 77002 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

# CONTENTS

WITNESS:

EXAMINATION BY

PAGE

WAYNE MANSFIELD Mr. Cochran

44,505

Mr. Davidson 44,536

#### PROCEEDINGS

3

4

5

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVIDSON: My name is Mark L. Davidson. I am a member of the law firm of Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds, Counsel for Texas Utilities Electric Company, Applicant in this proceeding.

I appear here today in that capacity and in conjunction with Mr. Jeffrey Coppock of Vinson & Elkins, Attorneys for Warren Mansfield, a TUGCO employee.

Before proceeding further, I wish to point out that Mr. Mansfield is appearing voluntarily and that he is not under subpoena. Mr. Mansfield's testimony has been requested from the Applicant by Case, the Intervenor in this proceeding on the topics specified in Case's letter to Leonard W. Belter, dated June 27, 1984, a copy of which has been marked for identification by the reported and appended to the transcript of Mr. Vega's deposition, as Exhibit A. The Applicant has already noted its objections to the deposition procedures and schedule ordered by the Board, and it intends no waiver of those objections by Mr. Mansfield's appearance today.

At this time I would like to summarize the guidelines established by the Board for this proceeding and the taking of this deposition. Under the order issued by the Board on March 15, as modified by a series of subsequent telephone conference rulings, the scope of this deposition

is limited to the taking of evidence and the making of discovery on harassment, intimidation or threatening of quality assurance/ quality control, that is QA/QC personnel. With one exception, allegations regarding any claimed harassment or intimidation of craft personnel have been specifically ruled by the Board to be beyond the scope of this examination and these proceedings. The Board has ruled that only evidence based on personal knowledge may be adduced and that hearsay, rumor, innuendo and the like are not proper subjects of the evidentiary portion of this deposition.

Finally, the Board has instructed the parties to separate the evidentiary portion of their examination of the witness. To give effect to the rulings, as well as to insure exhibitious completion of this deposition, we now ofter Mr. Mansfield as a witness for the evidentiary portion of this deposition.

The issues for this portion of the deposition are defined by Case's letter of June 25, a copy of which has been marked as Exhibit A to Mr. Vega's deposition.

At the conclusion of that evidentiary deposition, the evidentiary record would be closed. And, with the opening of a new transcript, to be separately bound, the discovery deposition of Mr. Mansfield would commence, should Case decide to conduct such a deposition.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

When the transcripts are available, the witness will sign the original of each of these depositions on the understanding that should the executed original not be filed with the Board within seven days after the conclusion of the deposition, a copy of either of the transcripts may be used to the same extent and effect as the original.

MR. COCHRAN: In response to the opening statement made by the Applicant, the Intervenors would state for the record that they do not concur in the representations made, nor in the analysis of the meaning of the prior rulings of the Court, nor the limitations on the deposition, and preserve all our rights for ruling at a later time.

MR. VOEGELI: I have no statement.

MR. COPPOCK: My name is Jeff Coppock. I'm an associate with the law firm of Vinson & Elkins. I'm here today representing Mr. Wayne Mansfield, a Brown & Root employee, and I would like to note that Mr. Mansfield is appearing here voluntarily to assist the Board in its license efforts.

#### EXAMINATION

BY MR. COCHRAN:

- 0. Would you state your name for the record, please.
- Wayne Mansfield. A.
- 0. For whom are you employed, Mr. Mansfield?

1	A. Brown & Root.
2	Q. How long have you been employed by Brown & Root?
3	A. Five years.
4	Q. What was your job title when you entered Brown
5	& Root's employment?
6	A. QC inspection.
7	Q. What had been your history in quality control prior
8	to joining Brown & Root?
9	A. I had worked at Ingle Ship Building as an
10	NDE specialist.
1.1	Q. Let me break your answer down in two parts. What
12	is an NDE specialist, first?
13	A. Non-destructive examination.
14	Q. Okay. Now, go ahead with your answer.
15	A. Okay. I also worked in the auclear submarine overhaul
16	program as an inspector.
17	Q. How long were you with Ingle Ship Building?
18	A. I worked there from '70 to '73, went back in '75,
19	and worked through '78. In 1978, I went to work for Bechtel
20	in Milland, Michigan as a quality control inspector.
21	Q. That was at the nuclear plant in Midland?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. How long were you with Bechtel?
24	A. About six months.
25	(Outside interruption.)

1		BY MR. COCHRAN:				
2	Q.	You worked six months for Bechtel at Midland,				
3	Michigan?					
4	Α.	Yes.				
5	Q.	Where did you then go?				
6	Α.	Brown & Root.				
7	Q.	To Brown & Root?				
8	Α.	Yeah, 1979.				
9	Q.	What level inspector did you join Brown & Root				
10	as?					
11	Α.	Level two.				
12	Q.	What level do you now hold?				
13	Α.	I'm a level two.				
14	Q.	Under whose supervision are you presently?				
15	Α.	I am a lead inspector. I work for Bob Sievers.				
16	Q.	When did you become a lead inspector?				
17	Α.	I'm going to say the latter part of 1982.				
18	Q.	That's a supervisory position, is it not?				
19	Α.	Basically.				
20	Q.	How many inspectors do you have under your				
21	supervisio	n?				
22	Α.	Eight.				
23	Q.	During you tenure at Brown & Root, have you attended				
24	any traini	ng programs, the subject matter of which would be				
25	Brown & Root's philosophy of its quality control program?					

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Tell me when those occurred.
3	A. Well, everyone has to go through a QA or
4	orientation, which they show you a film and basically go
5	through and explain 10 CFR 50 Appendix B.
6	Q. How long is this orientation session in which
7	Appendix B is explained?
8	A. How long is it?
9	Q. How long is it? Is it one hour, one day, one
10	month, what?
11	A. The orientation itself, I would say, probably lasts
12	in the neighborhood of an hour and a half to two hours. You
13	have to sit down, and a gentlemen will give you a basic
14	orientation, and you have to watch a film which explains the
15	plant and their policies. And then after the film, they ask
16	you to take a test.
17	Q. So breaking it down, there's initially in this
18	hour to hour and a half session, a lecture; that is, a man
19	standing up and talking to you?
20	A. The beginning, yes.
21	Q. Okay, the beginning. Then there's a film that
22	covers the plant generally and the policies of Brown & Root?
23	A. Right.

Does the policy explanation cover--

25

24

Q.

(Outside interruption.)

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. COCHRAN:

- Q. Now, tell me generally about the lecture portion of the orientation.
- A. It basically covers the--well, they start with the importance of quality, and they go through quite a bit in 10 CSR 50. They talk about reporting of non-conformances, just basically general QA orientation.
- Q. And the film does the same thing; is this what you're telling me?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What general subject matter does the test cover?
  - A. 10 CSR 50, Appendix B.
    - Q. Is it strictly on the Appendix B?
  - A. As best I remember, yes.
- Q. As best you remember, it covers no other subject matter other than Appendix B?
  - A. Yes.

MR. DAVIDSON: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

BY MR. COCHRAN:

- Q. Have you been through more than one such orientation since then?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. How often do you go through such an orientation?
    - A. Any job you work, you have to go through it. If

,

you go with a different company--I terminated from Brown & Root in 1980, and went to work for Stone & Webster and had to go through the QA orientation with Stone & Webster. And I went to work with Wright, Showhart, Boecon & Geri. You know, it's basically the same thing any plant you go to. And when I came back to Brown & Root in 1981, I had to go through it again.

- Q. Well, what you're saying, then, is when you change companies as a new employee, the new company would require you to go through that orientation?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Once you have joined that company, though, speaking, for instance, of Brown & Root, is there a periodic review that's required of its quality assurance people?

MR. DAVIDSON: I would like to make an objection similar to the one I made earlier in this series of examinations to the effect that there has been an extensive and exhaustive record made in a prior proceeding of the QA/QC program in operation under Brown & Root and TUGCO's direction at Comanche Peak. That record is a thorough one. All the issues have been briefed by all parties, and the record in that matter has been closed and is before the Board.

I believe that examination as to the general

Ŧ

nature of the program at Comanche Peak for QA/QC, therefore, not only is not relevant to the defined and narrow scope of the proceedings, but, in addition, is merely cumulative of a record that has already been made.

With that objection, however, Mr. Mansfield, you can answer his question, you may answer the question.

MR. COCHRAN: You may now answer the question.
That's lawyer talk.

THE WITNESS: Can I ask you to repeat your question, please?

BY MR. COCHRAN:

Q. Let me try to rephrase it for you, rather than have the reporter read it back.

Have you been through such an orientation more than once at Brown & Root other than when you came back to work for them?

A. Well, yes, sir. I'm a quality control inspector, and I deal with quality, and, you know, you're continuously going through procedures and continually in and out of the code books.

O. Okay.

A. They have had an orientation recently that-
I want to say it's called Quality Hotline, but they
reinstructed everybody, you know, in accordance with
reporting the non-conformances, and--

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Q. I'm going to ask you about the Hotline 2 situation in a few minutes, but what I'm trying 3 to find out is, and, again, without belaboring the 4 point or unnecessarily getting into the prior record, 5 I want to find out what your understanding is of 6 the periodic review or reorientation of its quality 7 control inspectors like at Brown & Root, and is 8 there such -- for instance, do they have to go through a reorientation on any set periodic 10 basis, like every three months, every six months, 11 every year, or anything of that nature?

A. Well, yes, they do, as far as procedures are concerned. You know, anytime anything is revised, they're reinstructing.

Q. Okay.

A. As far as certifications are concerned, we have to recertify every three years. And it's not just going up and taking a test. You've got to go, be reinstructed and take your test for certification.

Q. Well, the recertification procedure you're talking about, then, relates to the subsidive areas, that is, liquid penetration versus visual inspection--

A. Right.

25

state --

-- things of that nature? 0. Yes. A . In that recertification procedure, does 4 the inspector being recertified receive a new 5 orientation on Appendix B? A . No. Q. Other than his on-the-job training 8 and on-the-job use of the codes, is there a 9 formal reorientation on Appendix B and its 10 requirements? 11 A. I could say yes in my case, because 12 I have just gone through for another certification 13 period. I went through two weeks of class and 14 Appendix B was a primary part of it. 15 Q. So is your answer that it may be a part 16 of the certification process for a new 17 certification? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 May I conclude from that, that it's not 20 a part of a generalized reorientation procedure on 21 any sort of set periodic basis? 22 MR. DAVIDSON: I'm going to object. I 23 don't think that you have any right to make any

conclusions from the witnesses' answers. They

MR. COCHRAN: I asked --2 MR. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry. I'm not finished. His testimony is factual. He's made statements. If you want to draw conclusions, that's 5 your privilege, in your brief, but I don't think you 6 should ask him to join in with you in making 7 confirmations to argumentative statements, and I 8 think the witness should not answer that. BY MR. COCHRAN: 10 Is there any such generalized reorientation 11 procedure for quality control inspectors? 12 MR. DAVIDSON: If you know it. MR. COCHRAN: If you know. That's fine. 13 14 THE WITNESS: No. 15 MR. COCHRAN: The answer is no? 16 MR. DAVIDSON: Was the answer no or I don't know? 17 18 THE WITNESS: I really don't know what you're trying to get me to say. I don't understand 19 20 what your question is. 21 MR. DAVIDSON: Fair enough. 22 BY MR. COCHRAN: 23 I think my question is very clear. 24 You testifed that your initial orientation in

the Brown & Root's quality control philosophy

was through an initial orientation which included a lecture, which included a film and which included an examination. I've asked you repeatedly if there's any subsequent such orientation which Brown & Root quality control inspectors must go through on a periodic basis.

MR. DAVIDSON: And I'm going to object to the question on the basis of my earlier statement that this is an interrogation designed to elicit facts relevant not to Mr. Mansfield's performances or of his responsibilities of a QC inspector and not with the respect to the topics of these proceedings, which is alleged claims and incidents of harassment, intimidation and threatening of QC personnel.

These questions, sir, are plainly designed to elicit information about the nature, scope and implementation of the QA/QC program in operation at Comanche Peak by Brown & Root and TUGCO, and, as such, they are, as I earlier represented, not proper subjects of this hearing, but rather parts of a record previously made. And extensive and exhaustive examination of this subject and the QA/QC program has been made. The record in that matter has been closed. It is

before the Board.

This is not only cumulative, but it is really beyond the bounds of relevance in this proceeding, and I'm going to have to ask the witness not to answer any questions in this line.

Now, if you wish to ask questions, sir, with respect to his personal experience in orientation programs, I think that's perfectly acceptable, but if you want him to try and describe a program in operation at Comanche Peak, I think that's a closed subject, as far as I'm concerned.

#### BY MR. COCHRAN:

Q. Do you know?

MR. DAVIDSON: He's not answering those questions, sir, so I think you ought to put one before him that he can.

#### BY MR. COCHRAN:

- Q. Have you ever been through any reorientation besides through your new certifications?
  - A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. Tell me about it.
  - A. The Quality Hotline.
  - Q. Okay. Is that the only one?

Α. The reorientation, yeah.

2

Is that the only reorientation you've 0. ever been through at Brown & Root?

4

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me, Mr. Mansfield.

5

Are you having trouble understanding the question?

6

THE WITNESS: Well, that's the only

7

reorientation I've been through. I don't know

8

BY MR. COCHRAN:

10

0. Is that your answer?

11.

A . Yeah.

what else to say.

12

MR. DAVIDSON: Please don't feel under

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an obligation to provide anything other than a factual response. If that is all you know, that is a perfect answer because it's all you know. As long as you are responsive to Mr. Cochran's questions and you answer truthfully and you state what you know, and you respect the limits of your knowledge, and you do not guess and do not speculate, your answer is complete as it is and stands and is perfectly correct. Don't feel under any obligation to accept any characterizations from Mr. Cochran or any arguments or assumptions. Merely state what you know as a fact from your personal knowledge. That's perfectly fine.

#### BY MR. COCHRAN:

н

Q. Explain to me how your understanding of claims of intimidation or harassment are to be handled within Brown & Root's overall quality assurance program.

- A. First, if I was harassed as an inspector, first thing I would do would be to go to my supervisor and talk with him, tell him what happened. In turn, I would think that he would go to his supervisor, and he would get the problem corrected. And if I did not get a response from him, I would go to his boss personally, myself.
- O. Now, part of the time that the hotline was instituted, what was your understanding of your options in the event the harassment and intimidation on doing the job was coming from your supervisor?
  - A. Would you ask me that again, please?
- Q. What was your understanding of what your options were prior to the hotline if the intimidation and harassment was originating from your supervisor?

MR. DAVIDSON: In other words, what could you do? Where could you go to make a

1 complaint about such alleged harassment? A. If I couldn't get anything from Brown 2 & Root, I could call the NRC. 3 BY MR. COCHRAN: 4 Okay. Did you ever do so? 0. 5 A . 6 No, sir. Do you know of instances where your 7 0. fellow inspectors did, in fact, call the NRC? 8 9 Α. No. MR. DAVIDSON: I think that question 10 was asked and answered. 11 BY MR. COCHRAN: 12 Were there affirmative policies that 13 you were aware of to encourage the inspectors to 14 seek out and find the non-conforming items as they 15 saw to do their job? 16 MR. DAVIDSON: Do you understand the 17 question, Mr. Mansfield? 18 THE WITNESS: I think I do. I'm going 19 to try and answer it. 20 MR. DAVIDSON: Now, be certain, because 21 I know Mr. Cochran joins me in saying to you he 22 doesn't want you to answer a question you don't 23 completely and thoroughly understand. Don't try 24

and guess at what the proper answer is. You

have to be sure you understand the question in order to give a proper answer.

Would you like the question repeated? THE WITNESS: Would you please repeat

it?

MR. COCHRAN: Can you read it back?

(Record read by the reporter as requested.)

A. There was a procedure that we used to report non-conforming conditions.

BY MR. COCHRAN:

- Q. And that's the procedure of writing an NCR or an unsat IR, is that correct?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And what's your understanding of when those two forms were to be used?
- A. You write an unsat IR when you're doing an in-process inspection.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. If an unsat IR cannot be corrected through an engineering change or whatever the case may be, if it comes back as a use as is disposition, that unsat IR is closed and an NCR is written, because we cannot accept a use as is disposition on an unsat IR.

1 Q. Okay. Okay. An NCR is written if we have 2 an actual non-conforming condition. If it does not comply to the drawing, procedure or 4 specifications as it is installed in the plant, 5 6 it is a non-conforming condition. Q. Let me see if I understand what you've 8 just said to me. If you write an unsat IR, a 9 CMC is written, did I understand you -- I'm not clear. I'm just asking for some clarification. 10 Did I understand you to say that the inspector 11 12 then has the responsibility to write an NCR? MR. DAVIDSON: Mr. Cochran, I'm certainly 13 convinced you don't understand his testimony. MR. COCHRAN: I'm asking for clarification. 15 MR. DAVIDSON: Maybe it would be best 16 to do it by question, Mr. Cochran. 17 MR. COCHRAN: I did. 18 MR. DAVIDSON: What was the question? 19 MR. COCHRAN: I think the witness 20 understood the question. MR. DAVIDSON: I would like to hear 22 the question repeated, Ms. Reporter. 23

Q. What happens with an unsat IR after it

BY MR. COCHRAN:

24

leaves the inspector's hands? A. First thing, he has got to get a number on for tracking purposes. Then it's 3 sent to the responsible organization to be corrected. That problem being corrected, whether 5 it would take a CMC or a rev--6 MR. DAVIDSON: Does "rev" stand 7 8 for revision? Q THE WITNESS: Yes. (continuing) -- additional documentation, 10 it really depends on what the problem is, but the 11 unsat IR in your question is forwarded to the 12 responsible organization to correct the problem. 13 What happens if it comes back use as is? 14 A. We write an NCR and close out the 15 16 unsat. That's where I misunderstood you before. 17 0. 18 Okay. Α. Q. Who in that situation would make the 19 determination directing that it be used as is? 20 21 Engineering. A . Q. And so if engineering made the determination 22 on an unsat IR to use as is, what does the 23 inspection department then do? 24

A. We in turn write an NCR because we cannot

accept a use as is disposition on an unsat IR.

Q. So it's part of the QC inspector's responsibilities to not accept that engineering judgment, is that correct?

MR. DAVIDSON: I'll object to the form of the question.

MR. COCHRAN: You may answer. That's just for the record.

A. The responsibility of the QC is to identify, "Hey, we've got a problem." And it's up to engineering to make the determination as to what to do about it. And if they accept—if we feel like they've got a problem and we accept it or not accept it, I don't think that falls under the category of quality control. That's up to engineering.

BY MR. COCHRAN:

Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this.

MR. DAVIDSON: Excuse me. I would like to talk to the witness, please. I'm having trouble following his responses.

MR. COCHRAN: You just don't like what he said. You just want to woodshed him. We're in the middle of the deposition. And I want that on the record.

MR. DAVIDSON: Mr. Cochran, you can make whatever assertions you want. 2 (Discussion off the record.) 4 MR. DAVIDSON: Mr. Cochran, we can go back on the record. The witness has straightened me 5 6 out. 7 BY MR. COCHRAN: Q. Mr. Mansfield, were you acquainted with 8 9 Robbie Robinson? A. Yes, I was. 10 Q. What was the nature of your acquaintance 11 with him? 12 A. I was a QC inspector in the fab shop, and 13 he was the general foreman in the fab shop. 14 Q. Were you in the fab shop--or what period 15 of time were you in the fab shop? 16 17 A. Oh, in mid-1979 to mid-1980. Q. What was the period of time that you 18 had left Brown & Root? Tell me that again. You 19 initially joined them in 1979, and then there 20 was a break in there. When was that? 21 A. I left in 1980, in October of 1980. 22 Q. And you rejoined them when? 23 A. October of 1981. 24 Q. So it was during your first term of 25

employment that you were in the fab shop? 2 Yes. 3 What department are you currently a 4 QC inspector in? 5 A. What department? 6 Yes. If that's not the right term, I 0. 7 apologize; but what area do you supervise as a 8 QC inspector? MR. DAVIDSON: What discipline? 10 MR. COCHRAN: What discipline. 11 We do hangers, piping, mechanical 12 equipment. 13 Q. When you first rejoined that Brown 14 & Root in 1981, what discipline were you a QC 15 inspector for? 16 A. Hangers. 17 Q. How long were you working only with 18 hangers? How long were you a QC inspector only 19 for the hangers discipline? 20 A. At what time period? 21 O. From 1981 forward. Are you telling me 22 it was sporadic, that you were in and out of that 23 discipline? 24 MR. DAVIDSON: Objection to the form 25 of that question.

.

	1
	2
	3
	3
	4
	5
	6
	-
	7
	8
	0
	9
	,
1	0
	-
1	1
į	L
1	2
	3
J	3
1	4
1	-4
1	5
ŕ	-
1	6
1	7
	300
1	8
,	0
ı	9
2	0
-	1/2
2	1
Ť	ñ
2	2
2	3
2	4

	Α.	. 1	No,	I'm	not	telling	you	tha	at I v	vas
in	and	out	, bu	t I	am	certified	to	do	more	than
ius	st ha	ange	r in	spe	ctio	n.				

- Okay. I was not asking the areas you were certified in.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. I'm asking you what you actually did on the job, what your job assignment was. And you told me initially it was in hangers.
  - A. Right.
- Q. How long were you an inspector, as far as job duties are concerned, only for hangers?
- A. Right now? I mean, during this time period or --
  - O. Yes, from 1981 forward.
- A. Since I went back with them in 1981, I have worked hangers -- the majority of my work has been in hangers, let me say that.
- Q. Had you worked in hangers in the 1979 to 1980 period?
  - A. Yes, I did.

Q Who was your supervisor at that period 2 of time? 3 When I first joined Brown & Root in 1979, 4 a man by the name of Chuck Irby was the supervisor. Did he remain your supervisor until you left in October of 1980? 6 A No. 8 Who replaced Mr. Irby? Q A man by the name of Joe Crossland. 10 What position did they hold, Mr. Irby 11 and Mr. Crossland? 12 Supervisors. 13 Were they lead inspectors such as you now --0 14 A No. 15 MR. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry. I didn't 16 hear you, Mr. Mansfield. 17 THE WITNESS: No, they were not lead inspectors. Chuck Irby was a supervisor and so 19 was Joe Crossland. 20 Who replaced Joe Crossland? 0 21 James Patton. 22 When did Mr. Patton become supervisor? 0 23 1980. I can't tell you the exact time. 24 Did you discuss with Robbin Robinson your 25 work as a hanger inspector?

Did I discuss my work with him? 0 Yes. Jobwisa, yes. Yes, jobwise. 0 Yes. Did you ever state to him you didn't 7 inspect hangers because you knew you would be overridden? 9 No, I didn't. 10 Did you ever say anything to him close to 11 that? 12 No, sir, I didn't. 13 Can you point any conversation -- that's 14 a bad question. 15 Do you recall any conversation with Mr. 16 Robinson that would have led him to have believed 17 that you were making a statement of that nature? 18 MR. DAVIDSON: I'm going to --19 MR. COCHRAN: That's a bad question, too. 20 MR. DAVIDSON: Now, listen, Mr. Cochran, 21 you have to let me object to the questions before 22 you do. 23 BY MR. COCHRAN: 24 Q Do you have any idea why Mr. Robinson 25 would have thought -- or what would have occurred

The state of the s

that would have caused Mr. Robinson to think you were telling him that? I can't do it any better.

MR. DAVIDSON: I've got to object to that question, Mr. Cochran. I don't think he can answer that.

### BY MR. COCHRAN:

Q When did the orientation relating to the Hotline take place?

A I want to say the standard in the latter part of 1980, but I can't be sure.

Q Okay. November of '80 sound about right?

A Possibly.

MR. DAVIDSON: I just want to point out, Mr. Mansfield, that the reporter is taking your deposition down in stenotype, and when you shake your head or nod, or use a hand gesture, she can't put that in the record, and although we know you're shrugging your shoulders and suggest that's as good a guess as any, that doesn't get across, so if you will try when Mr. Cochran asks a question to say yes, no, or I don't know, that will be more helpful.

#### BY MR. COCHRAN:

Q Well, you mentioned there was an orientation in regard to the new Hotline. Tell

me what you recall about that orientation. 2 A We were given a phone number that we 3 would call if we felt like there was a nonconforming condition that was not being properly handled. We 4 5 were also informed that there was a full-time attorney on site and was given his number that we could 7 call and talk to him. 8 O What were you told about the reasons 9 why the Hotline procedure and the full-time 10 attorney were instituted? A Because we wanted to build a quality plant. 11 12 Who gave the orientation that you 13 attended? 14 A I don't know. 15 Were there multiple orientations with small groups or was it merely one giant orientation 16 17 with everybody there at the same time? A There was only, you know, 30 or 40 people 18 19 per orientation. 20 (Outside interruption.) 21 BY MR. COCHRAN: 22 There were only a few people at your 23 orientation session?

A What?

25

Q Did I understand you to say there only

a few people at your orientation session in regard 2 to the Hotline? 3 MR. DAVIDSON: I object to the question. 4 I think that's a mischaracterization --5 MR. COCHRAN: That's why I asked. I 6 didn't remember, and I want to have her read it 7 back. 8 MR. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry. 9 BY MR. COCHRAN: 10 How many people, do you remember? 11 30 or 40 people. I'm not sure. 12 Was it handled by departments or 13 by work groups or just on a time basis of come by 14 when you can get it in? How was it set up? 15 I would say it was handled by departments. 16 Were you told anything about why it was being instituted beyond that we want to have 17 18 a good quality control and good quality plant? 19 I feel like that they wanted to give 20 people the opportunity to be able to voice a concern. 21 Without feeling intimidated? 22 A Right. 23 Without feeling that they were under 24 the threat of harassment. 25 I would say so.

And without feeling that they were 2 putting their jobs on the line? 3 I would say yes. 4 Q Prior to the institution of the Hotline 5 had you felt like your job would be on the line 6 if you complained about any harassment or intimidation 7 that you saw? 8 A No, sir. 9 Q Did you see inspectors being harassed 10 or intimidated or interfered with in the performance 11 of their job? 12 No, sir. 13 Were you ever harassed, intimidated, 14 or interfered with in the performance of your job? 15 A No. sir. Were any of your unsatisfactory inspection 17 reports or NCR's ignored? 18 No, sir. 19 Were any of your -- did any of your 20 supervisors attempt to influence you to not write 21 NCR's or to not write as many NCR's or unsat. IR's? 22 A No, sir. 23 Are you aware thatother inspectors 24 have felt like they were so harassed and intimidated? 25 MR. DAVIDSON: I'm objecting to that question

because it seems to inevitably lead to eliciting hearsay testimony and unsubstantiated assertions from an unidentified source.

MR. COCHRAN: That question doesn't call for a hearsay, and I'm entitled to an answer from that question.

MR. DAVIDSON: I think you're asking for rumor and innuendo. I don't think this is the kind of record since it's evidentiary that should be cluttered up with scuttlebutt, but rather with valid admissible testimony.

MR. COCHRAN: I asked whether he was aware of such instances. Whether I ask him a follow-up question is my decision, which I have not made yet.

MR. DAVIDSON: Would you repeat the question, Ms. Reporter?

MR. DAVIDSON: I must object to that question. There is no evidence in the record to establish a foundation for the premise implicit in that question, that there are or exist QC inspectors who have felt harassed or intimidated.

There's been absolutely no evidence in the record to

substantiate such assertion or even give rise to such

(The reporter read the record as requested.)

allegation. I will not allow the witness to 2 answer such speculative questions. 3 MR. COCHRAN: Let me rephrase that 4 slightly. 5 BY MR. COCHRAN: 6 Are you aware of other instances? Are 7 you aware of instances of other inspectors being 8 harassed, intimidated or interfered with in the 9 performance of their job? 10 No. 11 Q Has any other inspector said to you 12 that he felt like he was being interfered with in 13 the performance of his job? 14 No. 15 Or that he was being harassed or intimidated? 16 No. 17 MR. DAVIDSON: Off the record. 18 (Discussion off the record.) 19 BY MR. COCHRAN: 20 Q Do you remember a QC manager named 21 Rose Klinist? 22 A Yes, I do, Klinist. 23 Klinist. M rather than N, is it 24 K-1-i-m or K-1-i-n? 25 A I think it's K-1-i-m.

MR. DAVIDSON: Is that M as in Mark, as opposed to N as in Nancy? 2 THE WITNESS: M as in Mark. 3 BY MR. DAVIDSON: 4 Was she QC manager during your first term with Brown & Root, or your second term? 6 A First term. Do you know the circumstances of her 8 being returned to Houston? No. A 10 Were you aware of any differences in 11 the way the QC department was run while she was 12 QC manager as opposed to either before or after her 13 occupying that position? 14 A No. 15 From what you know and what you observed, 16 was the QC department run any tighter or any looser 17 either under her or not under her? 18 No. 19 MR. COCHRAN: I believe I'm going to 20 pass the witness at this time. 21 MR. VOEGELI: I have no questions. 22 MR. DAVIDSON: I have a few questions, 23 if I may. 24 MR. COPPOCK: May I take just a couple 25

XXXXX

minutes? May we have just a couple seconds? 2 MR. COCHRAN: Sure. 3 (Short recess.) MR. DAVIDSON: Let's go back on the record. EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. DAVIDSON: Mr. Mansfield, earlier in this afternoon's proceedings, you testified that you were an NDE, 8 that is, nondestructive examination specialist 10 while involved in the Ingles Shipyard in 11 Pascadula; is that correct? 12 Yes. 13 Let me be clear in this. When were you 14 hired as an NDE specialist in the Ingles Shipyard? 15 A I started to work in July of 1970 as an apprentice. When I left in 1978, I had become 16 17 an NDE specialist. 18 All right, sir. Now, in order to become an NDE specialist, 19 20 did you have to take any training? 21 A Yes, I did. 22 Q Was that training in the form of one 23 course or more than one course? 24 A More than one course for the different 25 phrases of NDE.

1 Q In other words, you were trained in 2 more than one aspect of nondestructive examination? 3 A Yes, sir. 4 Could you list for me each of the areas or disciplines under the NDE program that constitutes the areas in which you were certified? 7 I was a radiographer, a UT examiner. 8 I appreciate you know what UT is, but --10 Ultrasonic testing. Mag particle testing, 11 liquid penetrant testing. I also performed 12 hardness tests and I was certified in acid spot 13 tests. 14 Now, were you certified in each of these 15 examination techniques? 16 A Yes, I was. 14 And how did you become certified in 18 radiography? In other words, what training did 19 you have to receive? 20 I had to go to 40 hours of classroom training in order to become just a helper. Okay. 21 22 And after a certain amount of OJT I had to go back 23 to school for another 40 hours to become a 24 radiographer -- to be certified as a radiographer. 25 Q Did you have to take a test at the

conclusion of this classroom training? 2 A Yes. 3 And did you take it? A Yes. 5 0 Did you pass it on the first attempt? 6 Yes. A Now, you've stated you were certified 8 in ultrasonic testing which I think you called UT. A Right. 10 Did you have to take any training to 11 be certified in UT? 12 Yes, I did. 13 Could you describe that training? 14 All right. Ultrasonics, you have to go 15 40 hours for theory, and then 40 hours for each 16 technique, and I was certified in fitness, quality, 17 and weldments. 18 Those are three separate techniques 19 under the ultrasonic discipline? 20 Correct. A 21 And your testimony is that you had to 22 take 40 hours of classroom training in each of those. 23 Have you completed the initial 40 hours of theory? 24 A Yes. But under weldments you had to go 25 back and go through the 40 hours of theory prior

to being certified in weldment. 2 Q So you had an additional training in 3 theory when you get certified in that sub-technique? 4 Right. Now, at the conclusion of this classroom 0 6 training, did you take a test? A Yes, I did. 8 Did you pass that test? 0 Yes, I did. A 10 Did you pass it on the first attempt? 0 11 Yes, I did. A 12 At the conclusion of the training and 13 the testing, did you then receive any further training 14 in the area? 15 A At the end of the training, I was --16 I mean by that did you receive something 17 in the nature of on-the-job training? 18 Yes. A 19 Did the on-the-job training that you 20 received require a certain stated number of hours 21 before it was completed? In other words, was there 22 a period of time during which you were specifically 23 required to receive this on-the-job training?

24

No, sir.

25

You say you were certified in mag particles,

1 which I think is magnetic particle testing. 2 A Yes, it is. 3 Did you have to take classroom training 4 for that certification? 5 Yes, I did, 40 hours. 6 At the conclusion of that classroom 7 training, did you take a test? 8 A Yes. Did you pass that test? Q 10 Yes, I did. 11 Did you pass it on the first attempt? Q 12 Yes, I did. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

23

20

21

22

24

1	Q.	Did you thereafter have on-the-job training?
2	Α.	Yes, I did.
3	Q.	In order to be certified in what you've
4	testified	in liquid penetrant, which I think you called
5	PT testing	, did you have to take classroom training?
6	Α.	Yes, sir.
7	Q.	How much classroom training?
8	Α.	Forty hours.
9	Q.	And at the conclusion of that classroom training
10	did you ta	ke a test?
11	Α.	Yes, I did.
12	Q.	Did you pass that test?
13	Α.	Yes, I did.
14	Q.	On the first attempt?
1.5	Α.	Yes, sir.
16	Q.	Thereafter did you have additional on-the-job
17	training?	
18	Α.	Yes, sir.
19	Q.	Now, you stated you were certified to perform
20	hardness t	esting.
21	Α.	Correct.
22	9.	Did you receive classroom training for the
23	hardness t	esting?
24	Α.	Yes, I did.
25	Q.	How much time?

1	Α.	It was a portion of the quality and ultrasonic
2	so that I	received the hardness training.
3	Q.	Did you have to pass a test in hardness testing?
4	Α.	Yes, I did.
5	Q.	And did you take such a test?
6	Α.	Yes, I did.
7	Q.	And did you pass it on the first attempt?
8	Α.	Yes, I did.
9	Q.	You said you were also certified in acid spots
10	testing?	
11	Α.	Yes, sir.
12	Q.	Did you take classroom training for that?
13	Α.	Yes, sir.
14	Q.	How many hours?
15	Α.	Forty hours.
16	Q.	And did you take a test at the conclusion of
17	that class	sroom training?
18	Α.	Yes, sir,
19	Q.	Did you pass that test?
20	Α.	Yes, sir.
21	Q.	On the first attempt?
22	Α.	Yes, sir.
23	Q.	And did you thereafter receive on-the-job training?
24	Α.	Yes, sir.
25	Q.	When you returned to Ingles in 1975, did you return

in the capacity of a quality control inspector? 2 A. Yes, I did. 3 Were the certifications that you had previously 4 attained sufficient to obtain that job for you? 5 I had to recertify before I could go to work. 6 Now, which of the disciplines did you have to 7 recertify in? Radiography, ultrasonic, mag, particle, liquid penetrant, hardness testing, acid spot tests. 10 In other words, you had to be recertified in 11 every single one of these specialties? 12 A . Yes, sir. 13 Did you also say that you worked in the 14 nuclear submarine program at Ingles? 15 Yes, sir. A. 16 Were there certain specifications that you 17 received as an NDE Specialist previously, the same 18 certifications as you received to work in the Navy 19 nuclear submarine program? 20 A. No, sir. 21 Now, did the Navy nuclear submarine program 22 certifications differ from the earlier ones? 23 They were quite a bit more stringent. I had to recertify in liquid penetrant, visual, mag particle. 24 25 When you say, "visual," do you mean visual

1	weld inspection?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And you had to recertify after you returned to
4	work or that was part of that recertification when you
5	returned to work?
6	A. When I moved to the submarine program, it was a
7	different base.
8	Q. So this is the third time you were recertified
9	in these specialties at Ingles?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And what was your job title in the nuclear submarine
12	program?
13	A. I was the nuclear quality assurance inspector.
14	Q. Now, when you left the Ingles program, submarine
15	program, you went to work for Bechtel. Did you work in
16	quality control?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. What specialties were you certified in, sir?
19	A. Typing, mechanical and weld inspection.
20	Q. Did you have to be recertified in those
21	specialties or certified in them for the purpose of Bechtel's
22	employment?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Did you take a test?
25	A. Yes, sir.

	Q.	In each of these three disciplines?
2	Α.	Yes, sir.
3	Q.	And did you pass th t test?
4	Α.	Yes, sir.
5	Q.	Did you pass it on the first attempt?
6	Α.	Yes, sir.
7	Q.	I'm not going to Well, I will. When you
8	went to Bro	own & Root in 1979, did you go through a process
9	of recertif	fication?
10	Α.	Yes, sir.
11	Q.	And did you have to take classroom training?
12	Α.	Yes, sir.
13	Q.	And did you have to pass a test?
14	Α.	Yes, sir.
15	Q.	Did you pass the test?
16	Α.	Yes, sir.
17	Q.	Did you pass it on the first attempt?
18	Α.	Yes, sir.
19	Q.	In each of the disciplines you earlier mentioned,
20	you were ce	ertified in?
21	Α.	Yes, sir.
22	Q.	Were you also in quality control inspection when
23	you left B	rown & Root to work for Stone & Webster in or abou
24	October of	1980?
25	Α.	Yes, sir.

1	Q.	What was your title there?
2	Α.	I was a senior quality control inspector.
3	Q.	Did you have to be recertified?
4	Α.	Yes, sir.
5	Q.	And were you recertified?
6	Α.	Yes, sir.
7	Q.	In what specialties?
8	Α.	Visual inspection, hanger, piping, mechanical
9	equipment,	welding.
10	Q.	I see. What was your job at I hope I've got this
11	right. Is	it WGR?
12	Α.	WBG.
13	Q.	What is it?
14	Α.	WBG.
15	Q.	At WBGand I'm not going to try to repeat the name
16	of it, but	what was your job title there?
17	Α.	I was a quality engineer.
18	Q.	Quality engineer?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	And did you have to be recertified in your
21	specialtie	s there?
22	Α.	No, sir.
23	Q.	And when you returned to Brown & Root in October
24	of 1981, a	s you've testified, were you recertified at that
25	timo?	

1	Α.	Yes, sir.
2	Q.	In all of the specialties we've previously
3	discussed?	
4	Α.	Yes, sir.
5	Q.	Did you have to take classroom training?
6	Α.	Yes, sir.
7	Q.	Did you have to take a test?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Did you have to pass those tests?
10	Α.	Yes, sir.
11	Q.	And did you do so?
12	Α.	Yes, I did.
13	Q.	On the first attempt?
14	Α.	Yes, I did.
15	Q.	Now, for a summary question, Mr. Mansfield, how many
16	years have	you been involved in quality control inspection?
17	Α.	Approximately 14 years.
18	Q.	And you current position is as a lead quality control
19	inspector?	
20	Α.	Yes, it is.
21	ο.	When you returned to Brown & Root in October of 1981,
22	and after	you were recertified in all of these various
23	specialtie	s, to whom were you assigned No, strike that. To
24	what disci	pline were you assigned?
25	Α.	Hangers.

	Q. And who was the superintendent in charge of
2	hanger inspection?
3	A. James Patton.
4	Q. And who was the lead QC to whom you reported as you
5	direct supervisor?
6	A. Billy Snellgrove.
7	Q. Billy Ray Snellgrove?
8	A. If his middle name is Ray, yes.
9	Q. Oh, you didn't know that?
10	A. No.
11	Q. All right, sir. How long were you assigned to the
12	hanger inspection crew that was superintended by James Patton?
13	A. Approximately six months.
14	Q. At the conclusion of chat six-month period, where
15	did you then go?
16	A. I was sent to a group which is called quality
17	engineering completions.
18	Q. Now, who was the superintendent of quality
19	engineering completions?
20	A. Dwight Woodyard.
21	Q. I'm sorry, sir?
22	A. Dwight Woodyard.
23	Q. Dwight Woodyard. And who was the QC lead at that
24	time to whom you reported directly?
25	A. Greg Bennetzen.

1	Q.	Would you spell that?
2	Α.	B-e-n-n-e-t-z-e-n.
3	Q.	And what discipline was that in?
4	Α.	QC completions.
5	Q.	And since that time you have now become a lead
6	QE?	
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Now, earlier you testified that one could not have
9	a use as is	disposition to unsat IR.
10	Α.	That is correct.
11	Q.	Could you have a use as is disposition to an
12	NCR?	
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Is it the job responsibility of a QC inspector to
15	monitor or	otherwise evaluate the job performance or decisions
16	of the engi	neering departments?
17	Α.	No, sir.
18	Q.	When an unsat IR is submitted, it is not procedure
19	to disposit	ion it with a use as is?
20	Α.	That's correct.
21	Q.	But it is to disposition an NCR by a use as is?
22	Α.	Yes, sir.
23	Q.	Sir, when you testified that use as is is not a
24	proper disp	osition in some cases, it was only that the procedure
25	doesn't per	mit it to be entered in response to an unsat IR?

1	MR. COCHRAN: Objection. That's leading.
2	MR. DAVIDSON: Allow me to rephrase that.
3	BY MR. DAVIDSON:
4	Q. Thus, when you testified earlier, you were merely
5	testifying as to the procedures for dispositioning an unsat
6	IR and dispositioning an NCR?
7	MR. COCHRAN: Objection. That's leading and
8	suggestive.
9	MR. DAVIDSON: I don't believe that's leading.
10	A. Yes.
11	BY MR. DAVIDSON:
12	Q. And is it correct, then, that it is a proper
13	procedure to disposition a non-conforming condition with a
14	use as is if engineering so evaluates and approves?
15	A. That is true.
16	Q. And it is not the job of the QC inspector to
17	evaluate the engineering decision?
18	A. That's true.
19	Q. Now, if I may just take youone question on your
20	qualifications, and I didn't quite fit this in. Did you even
21	have any employment other than with a nuclear power plant or
22	a nuclear ship-building program?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What was that?
25	A. Between 1973 and 1975. I worked at various

1	construction sites as a carpenter.
2	Q. Did you ever work in any metallurgical fields?
3	A. Well, when I worked at the shipyard, I worked out
4	of the metrology lab.
5	Q. I see. So you were the NDE specialist in the
6	metrology lab?
7	A. Sure.
8	Q. Did you ever perform some constructive
9	examination for ship parts and bulk heads and plates?
10	A. Yes, I did.
11	We would take welders' samples and cut them,
12	polish them, acid etch them, photograph them, send them
13	to the Navy for records of the welder's certification.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	Turning to your employment at Brown & Root in 1979,
16	I believe you statedand correct me if I'm wrongthat
17	you worked in the fabs jobs, that is the fabrication shop
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Qin or about the middle of 1979 to in or about
20	the middle of 1980, is that correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And it was during the course of your employment
23	there as a QC inspector that you met Robbie Robinson, is tha
24	correct?

That's correct.

A.

- Q. Earlier in this afternoon's proceedings, you were asked whether you had ever discussed your job with Mr. Robinson. Do you remember that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And I think you said, "Well, do you mean did
  I talk about my job?" And Mr. Cochran said, "Yes." And
  you said, "Well, I talked to Robbie job-wise."
  - A. Right.
- Q. And I, frankly, am not sure I understood what you meant by the word "job-wise."
- A. What I meant by that, I didn't discuss the job as a personal relation to Robbie. I discussed the job as job-related problems that we ran into in the fab shops. One particular problem that I had was that the fab shop craft people were shooting Nelson studs on an embedded plate prior to having the gun certified. And at that time I discussed with Mr. Robinson that problem.
- Q. My question—and I think I understand you now—
  my question is what you meant by the word that you had
  discussions with him job—wise. And I take it what you
  mean is that you didn't have personal discussions with Mr.
  Robinson about any number of unrelated matters and your own
  personal feeling, but you had regular intercourse with Mr.
  Robinson because your job demanded it? He was the general
  foreman of the fab shop, and you were a quality control

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what you're saying? A . Yes, sir. A. Right. perform inspections. Is that true? A. No. 0.

inspector in that job, and you discussed with him the work that the two of you had between each other? Is that

- I understand. So when you say, "job-wise," you mean you were having discussions about the job at hand?
- Now, Mr. Mansfield, in earlier testimony submitted in these proceedings, Mr. Robinson says that you refused to
- Well, let's take the matter of -- what was that you called it?
  - The Nelson stud.
- I'm sorry. -- the Nelson stud. You had a discussion with Mr. Robinson about that. How did that all come about?
- I was called over to make inspections on some Nelson studs, and when I got over there and I found out that the gun had not been certified, which procedure requires that the gun be certified and test study shot, bent and inspectorinspected to make sure that it was up to par to shoot these studs. When I got there, I found the gun had not been certified. I went back to my lead, told him the problem, and we both in turn went and discussed it with Robbie Robinson.

25

1	We showed him exactly in the procedure
2	Q. Let me see if I understand now. You were called
3	to inspect some Nelson studs?
4	A. Gorrect.
5	Q. Nelson studs are implanted in the
6	A. Embed plate.
7	Q. By using a stud-shooting gun?
8	A. Right.
9	Q. Do I understand correctly, are you saying in
0	order to use such a gun, the gun itself must be first
1	certified, as you said, for, what, before it can be used?
2	What has to be done to it?
3	A. Well, in order to certify the gun, there are
4	test studs that have to be shot, and you have to do a bend
5	test on them in order to make sure that the weld is good and
6	the stud is not going to break off prior to shooting
7	production.
8	Q. Does a quality control inspector have to witness
9	that test?
20	A. Yes, they do.
21	Q. So before they can use the gun, you've got to
22	certify the gun or inspect the gun's use with these tested
23	studs?

And then they would shoot the studs, and then you

Yes, sir.

Α.

Q.

1	would testexcuse me. I mean, you would inspect the Nelson
2	studs that were then embedded?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Now, going back to this incident, you were called
5	over to inspect Nelson studs, and they had already been
6	embedded; is that what your testimony is?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. And you had not witnessed or otherwise certified
9	the gun itself that was used?
10	A. That's true. I had not witnessed it.
11	Q. And that was not according to procedure?
12	A. That was not according to procedure.
13	Q. Did you then refuse to make the inspection of the
14	Nelson studs?
15	A. Yes, sir. I wasn't willing to inspect them if
16	they weren't any good.
17	Q. Let me see if I understand what you mean by "the
18	weren't any good."
19	A. Let me rephrase it. I could not sign them off
20	because they did not follow procedure.
21	Q. In other words, you would make an inspection,
22	and there would be a package?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And you would take the package and sign off one
25	element or one thing in the package?

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	And is it your statement that you say you would
3	not sign o	ff on these Nelson studs; is that what you're
4	saying?	
5	Α.	Yes, sir.
6	Q.	And the reason you would not sign off on them
7	was you had	d not certified the gun?
8	A.	That's true.
9	Q.	Did you take this matter up with Mr. Robinson?
10	Α.	I and my lead.
11	Q.	I should ask you, who presented you with these
12	stude to b	a inspected?
1.3	Α.	Some of the craftsmen in the shop.
14	Q.	Not Mr. Robinson?
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	And did you tell the people of the craft, "I can't
17	sign off o	n these because I have not witnessed and certified
18	the gun"?	
19	Α.	Yes, sir.
20		MR. COCHRAN: Objection. That's leading.
21		BY MR. DAVIDSON:
22	Q.	What did you tell the craft people?
23	λ.	I told them that I couldn't buy the studs.
74	Q.	What did they say to you?
25	700 A. F	Nothing.

1	Q. Well, they didn't just stand there mutely,
2	did they?
3	A. Well, basically asked, you know, "Why, why not?"
4	And I told them why I couldn't.
5	Q. And what did they say?
6	A. There was nothing that they could say. At that
7	point in time I went with my lead to Mr. Robinson.
8	Q. Let me see if I follow this. Why did you feel
9	it necessary to go back to your lead QC to see Mr. Robinson
10	if the craft accepted your position?
11	A. Because I felt like he needed to be aware of the
12	problem.
13	Q. You mean, Mr. Robinson should be advised?
14	A. Right.
15	Q. And you wanted to bring somebody who was at the
16	appropriate level; is that why you brought your lead?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. And did you and heI should ask you, who was
19	your lead at that time?
20	A. James Patton.
21	Q. And did you and Mr. Patton go and see Mr.
22	Robinson?
23	A. Yes, we did.
24	Q. And did you tell Mr. Robinson you could not sign
25	off or complete the inspection of the Nelson stude because

1	the problem you indicated earlier, because you had not
2	seen or witnessed the certification of the gun?
3	A. Yes, I did.
4	Q. What did Mr. Robinson say?
5	A. "Show me in the procedure where it says that."
6	Q. He wanted proof, he wanted convinced?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. What did you do?
9	A. Showed him the procedure.
10	Q. You showed him the procedure?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Should Mr. Robinson have been fariliar with
13	that procedure?
14	MR. COCHRAN: That calls for a conclusion on
15	the part of the witness.
16	BY MR. DAVIDSON:
17	Q. Is it your understanding, Mr. Mansfield, that the
18	general foreman of the fab shop should be familiar with the
19	procedures applicable to the embedding of Nelson studs by
20	his craft employees?
21	MR. COCHRAN: Same objection.
22	MR. DAVIDSON: You may answer that question.
23	A. Yes, sir. I feel like he should have been
24	aware.
25	BY MR. DAVISON:

1	Q. Can you think of any other occasion where
2	you were unable to make an inspection or sign off on an
3	inspection because of failure to follow procedures in the
4	fab shop?
5	A. No, sir.
6	Q. Now, in earlier testimony filed in these
7	proceedings, Mr. Robinson has alleged that you refused to
8	make inspection. Could it be that he had this incident
9	in mind?
10	MR. COCHRAN: Objection. That calls for
11	speculation.
12	MR. DAVIDSON: I'll accept that.
13	PY MR. DAVIDSON:
14	Q. Mr. Mansfield, other than the incident to which we
15	have just discussed about the Nelson studs, is there any other
16	occasion while you were in the fab shop that you were
17	unable to make an inspection or sign off on presented
18	fabrications because of your perception or understanding
19	that procedures had been violated?
20	A. It's kind of a hard question to answer with a "yes
21	or "no".
22	Q. All right. I'll try an easier question for you.
23	Mr. Mansfield, could material, that is fabrication
24	and components that would be fabricated for hangers, be

released from the fab shop for installation in the field

without full documentation and acceptance by a quality control inspector?

- A. No. sir.
- O. It could not?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. And, therefore, a failure by a quality control inspector in the fab shop to sign off on a component would make it impossible to submit that material to the field for installation?
  - A. That's true.
- Q. Because no material could leave the shop without a full sign-off, was it necessary in order to require a correction that an NCR or unsat IR be filed on in-process work in the fab shop?
- A. During that period of time, the unsat IR program was not in effect.
- Q. Was the program then not to sign off on proffered in-process work? When I say "proffered," I mean when in-process work is presented to you, like components that have not been installed and made in the fabrication shop. Since there was at that time no unsat IR procedure, was the procedure for you merely to refuse to sign off on the because of what you believed to be a violation of procedure?

MR. COCHRAN: I'm going to object. That's a leading question. Just ask him what the procedure was.

BY MR. DAVIDSON:

Q. I think that Mr. Cochran has a point there.

What I'm trying to find out from you, Mr.

Mansfield, is since you said they didn't have the unsat procedure at that time, what we both want to know is what was the procedure for you to implement?

A. Of course, I--you know, procedure, you've got a set of tolerances to go by. If I went over and there was a mistake made in the fabrication of that part, I would tell the craft people that I cannot sign it off, that it is incorrect, and then, in turn, they would re-fab the part, and when it was correct, I would inspect that it was correct per the drawing. I would sign it off, and it would be shipped out.

MR. COCHRAN: So it's verbal, is what you're saying? It's just a verbal exchange between you and the craft?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. COCHRAN: Sorry.

BY MR. DAVIDSON:

- Q. If the craft disagreed with your decision, what avenue did they have? What could they do?
- A. Nothing. They could prove me wrong, I'm willing to accept that.
  - Q. I understand. But, I mean, let us say that they

1 2

3

4

5

6

8

9

ď

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q.

1	disagreed with your view, they thought it was according
2	to procedure, who would they go to to say that they felt
3	that your decision was incorrect and they didn't want to
4	re-fab the part; they wanted to send the part out as is?
5	A. They would come to me first.
6	Q. I assumed that, but you would disagree with the
7	A. Right. And I would more than likely show them
8	in the procedure where
9	Q. You would show them the procedure?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. And then what? I assume sometimes that would
12	convince them, but sometimes it might not?
13	A. If it didn't, they would go to my supervision.
14	Q. Who would they go to over you?
15	A. At that time, James Patton or Joe Crossland.
16	Q. That would be the superintendent?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Would they ever go up the chain of command
19	in the craft area, namely, to their foreman or their
20	general foreman?
21	A. Sure.
22	Q. So they might go, ultimately, to Mr. Robinson,
23	who was at that time, the general foreman of the fab shop?
24	A. Yes.

Did that ever happen?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Can you describe an incident where that happened?
3	A. Well, the basicthe Nelson stud problem.
4	Q. The Nelson stud problem was such an instance?
5	A. Yes. It happened daily, you know.
6	Q. So if I understand, the procedure for you at
7	that time was to refuse to sign off on fabricated in-process
8	work that did not meet the procedure?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And then I understand that if the documentation
11	was not complete, the work could not be sent out to the
12	field for installation?
13	A. That's right.
14	Q. Other than this procedure where you would refuse
15	to sign off on proffered parts, did you ever refuse to
16	actually make an inspection?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. Did anyone ever tell you not to make an inspection
19	A. No, sir.
20	Q. Did anyone ever tell you not to write an unsat IR?
21	A. No, sir.
22	Q. Did anyone ever tell you not to write an NCR?
23	A. No, sir.
24	Q, During the time that you were under the supervision

of Mr. Patton, did he review your packages?

\_

1	Α.	Did
2	Q.	He or his designee, you know, review your
3	Α.	James Patton?
4	Q.	Yes, your hanger packages.
5	Α.	Yes, sir, I'm sure he did.
6	Q.	Do you know the purpose for which he reviewed them
7	Α.	Procedure.
8	Q.	Did he ever ask you to correct a hanger package?
9	Α.	Sure.
10	Q.	Did he ever ask you to change an IR?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	What corrections did he ask you to make on the
13	packages?	
14	Α.	Well, sometimes I would forget to put the rev to
15	the proced	ures or N/A certain blanks that are not applicable.
16	If I had m	ade a mistake and recorded something wrong, 1 had
17	to go out	and reinspect it and come back and make that
18	correction	
19	Q.	You had to reinspect before you could make a
20	correction	7
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	That was also a procedure?
23	Α.	Right.
24	Q.	Did Mr. Patton find a lot of mistakes in your
25	packages?	

1	A. I wouldn't think so.	
2	Q. Is that a no?	
3	A. No.	
4	Q. Did you ever work under the supervision of Bill	у
5	Ray Snellgrove as a lead QC?	
6	A. Yes, sir.	
7	Q. Did he or his designee also review your package	s?
8	A. Yes, sir.	
9	Q. Was your experience with Mr. Snellgrove much th	e
10	same as your experience that you've described with Mr. Pat	ton?
11	A. Yes, sir.	
12	MR. DAVIDSON: I think that concludes the quest	ions
13	that I have at this time.	
14	Any re-examination by you, Mr. Cochran?	
15	MR. COPPOCK: I don't have anything.	
16	MR. DAVIDSON: Mr. Voegeli?	
17	MR. VOEGELI: I have no questions.	
18	MR. COCHRAN: I have no further questions.	
19	MR. DAVIDSON: At this time, I offer to you, Mr	
20	Cochran, the opportunity to close the evidentiary record	
21	and take a discovery deposition of Mr. Mansfield.	
22	MR. COCHRAN: All of our questions have been	
23	evidentiary in nature and will continue to be so.	
24	MR. DAVIDSON: We will close the record for this	S
25	witness.	

(Whereupon, at 2:40 p.m., the deposition was concluded.)

## CERTIFICATE OF PROCEEDINGS

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the NRC COMMISSION

In the matter of: Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2

Date of Proceeding: July 10, 1984

Place of Proceeding: Glen Rose, Texas were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript for the file of the Commission.

TERRI L. HAGUE

Official Reporter - Typed

Jeni A. Blaque Official Reporter - Signature