Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:

Pre-Hearing Conference

In the Matter of Dr. James E. Bauer

Docket Number:

IA-95-011

ASLBP No.: 94-696-05-EA

Location:

Rockville, Maryland

Date:

Wednesday, October 11, 1995

Work Order No.:

NRC-355

Pages 1-10

RIGINAL

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

TR.01

160036

9510160002 951011 PDR ADDCK 05000387 T PDR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + +

2

3

4

5

6

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

____X

In the matter of: : Docket No. IA-95-011

DR. JAMES E. BAUER :

: ASLBP No. 94-696-05-EA

(Order Prohibiting Involvement :

11 in NRC-Licensed Activities) :

12|| -----X

Wednesday, October 11, 1995

Hearing Room T 3B45

Two White Flint North

11545 Rockville Pike

Rockville, Maryland

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing,

pursuant to notice, at 1:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

G. PAUL BOLLWERK, III Chairman

DR. CHARLES N. KELBER Administrative Judge

DR. PETER S. LAMB Administrative Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Dr. James E. Bauer:

MARCY COLKITT, ESQ.

Indiana, PA 15701

P.O. Box 607

(412) 463-3570

3

4

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

7 0

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

MARIAN L. ZOBLER, ESQ.

of: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

(202) 415-1572

ALSO PRESENT:

CATHERINE MAREO

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHCDE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(1:04 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: Good morning. This

afternoon we're here to conduct an initial pre-hearing

conference in the James E. Bauer proceeding. This

proceeding involves a challenge by Dr. Bauer to a May 1994

immediately effective NRC staff order. Among other

things, that order prohibits Dr. Bauer from any

involvement in licensed activities for a period of five years.

The staff bases its order on various instances of purported conduct by Dr. Bauer while using licensed materials at the Indiana Regional Cancer Center located in Indiana, Pennsylvania.

By order issued October 2nd, 1995, we scheduled this pre-hearing conference to have the staff give us an update on the current status of the criminal investigation being conducted by the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania. The investigation in question involves Dr. Bauer's activities at the Indiana Regional Cancer Center.

The status of that investigation is of significance to this proceeding because acting at the request of both parties by order issued July 18th, 1994 we stayed discovery in this proceeding as a result of that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 investigation.

Over the course of the last 15 months, staff has filed 19 status reports on the investigation, most recent on September 29th, 1995. In its September 29th report, the staff stated the investigation is now complete, but there still has not been a determination by the United States Attorney's Office as to whether to decline or initiate prosecution of Dr

Bauer. The staff's report stated that the decision would be made soon. And in this regard, it has come to the Board's attention this morning that there has been a recent development concerning the prosecution decision.

Before we begin hearing the parties'

presentations on the current status of the criminal

prosecution, I'd like to introduce the Board members. To

my right is Dr. Charles Kelber. Dr. Kelber, a physicist,

is a full-time member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing

Board panel. To my left is Dr. Peter Lamb. Dr. Lamb, who

is a nuclear engineer, also is a full-time member of the

panel. My name is Paul Bollwerk. I'm an attorney and the

Chairman of the Licensing Board.

At this point I'd like to have counsel for the parties identify themselves for the record. Why don't we start with counsel for the petitioner, Dr. Bauer, and then

NEAL R. GROSS

go to the staff counsel? 1 MS. COLKITT: Good afternoon. My name is 2 Marcy Colkitt. I am counsel for Dr. James E. Bauer. 3 MS. ZOBLER: Good afternoon. My name is 4 Marian Zobler. I'm counsel for the NRC staff. 5 CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: All right. As I mentioned 6 before, apparently there have been some developments with 7 regard to the status of the prosecution. And maybe Ms. 8 Zobler would like to fill us in on that. 9 MS. ZOBLER: Of course, Your Honor. Yesterday 10 I spoke on the phone to the Assistant U.S. Attorney who is 11 in charge of the investigation, the criminal 12 investigation. She informed me that a decision had been 13 made about whether to initiate a prosecution. She said 14 that they have declined to seek an indictment and that she 15 would put that in writing in the form of a letter to the 16 NRC within the next few days, as soon as she's able to, 17 and that when that occurs, I will provide copies of this 18 letter to the Board as well as to the parties in this 19 proceeding. 20

CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: All right. It would seem depending on what the letter says -- do you have any response to that, Ms. Colkitt, in terms of what your understanding is?

MS. COLKITT: That's also my understanding.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: All right. That would seem at least, again, I guess depending on what the letter 2 says to remove the matter that had been delaying discovery 3 in this case. Do either of the parties -- is it time now 4 to begin to set dates in terms of discovery and moving 5 forward with dispositive motions after discovery, a 6 7 hearing, et cetera? MS. COLKITT: Well, Your Honor, back in 8 9

MS. COLKITT: Well, Your Honor, back in November and December of 1994, 11 months ago, Ms. Zobler and I discussed a settlement of this matter. If you will recall, at that time we settled the IRCC matter, which was the licensee matter relating to the conduct of Dr. Bauer relative to the use of strontium.

The reason we were not able to settle the In Re: James Bauer matter was because of the pending criminal investigation. And now that that has been cleared, I anticipate that the parties will be able to settle this promptly.

CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: Ms. Zobler, any comments on Ms. Colkitt's representations?

MS. ZOBLER: Other than that she correctly represents the status of our settlement discussions. We had gotten very close, but that we did have the criminal investigation.

And I would say also that we could continue

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the discussions under the assumption that a letter from the Assistant U.S. Attorney would be forthcoming and would satisfy any concerns that Ms. Colkitt's client would have regarding the criminal investigation. CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: Once Ms. Colkitt has 5 received the letter, how long do you think it's going to 6 take you to discuss the settlement and move forward and reach some kind of decision? MS. COLKITT: Well, I think once I get the 9 letter, it's something that I can probably get back to Ms. 10 Zobler in a couple of days with a decision. CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: And is it at that point 12 that you have to start negotiating again or do you think things are going --MS. COLKITT: Well, I think we are pretty 15 close. And I don't think that there will be a lot. I 16 think we'll be able to resolve it. I'm highly confident 17 that we'll be able to resolve it. 18 MS. ZOBLER: And I would agree for the staff, 19 Your Honor, that it would be very minimal discussions, if 20 any. CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: All right. Why don't the 22

parties do this, then, and I'll put this in an order to formalize it. Once Ms. Colkitt has received the letter, why don't you inform us of that fact? And I guess we'll

NEAL R. GROSS

2

3

4

7

8

11

13

14

21

23

24

get a copy of the latter as well one way or another.

Within 14 days after that, why don't you file with the Board a joint status report? And if that happens to be a settlement motion, that's fine or a status report that indicates that negotiations are ongoing. And in that status report include whatever information you think is relevant in terms of the proceeding going forward.

going to be continuing, you think it can be settled, let us know. Do you think there's a problem? Do you think we need to begin to set dates in terms of discovery? Why don't you let us know that?

Is that acceptable to the parties?

MS. COLKITT: That would be fine. My only other thought would be if this is something we get done prior to that, would you have a problem if we submitted a motion for settlement prior to the 14 days?

CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: Absolutely not. If you reach settlement within 2 days, 10 hours, whatever it is, feel free to file your motion at that point. All right?

MS. COLKITT: All right.

CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: But 14 days is simply to give you some time in case there are some problems that develop.

MS. COLKITT: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

MS. ZOBLER: That would be fine with the staff, Your Honor. MS. COLKITT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: As I say, we'll enter an order today or tomorrow formalizing this, but why don't you go ahead and proceed on that assumption that that's what it will say. Anything else either of the parties need to bring to our attention? MS. ZOBLER: Nothing for the staff.

MS. COLKITT: No. I would just like to thank the Board for putting the stay to this matter. And hopefully now we can get it resolved.

I think just sort of I guess for further licensees or for future individuals, I would suggest that the pendency of a criminal investigation -- and sometimes it takes a long time. And this comes back to my -- I have a thing about getting things closed up. And I just think that sometimes we need to keep in mind that the quicker things can get resolved -- lots of times settlements can get settled quicker. Of course, in this case, we couldn't control it. It was up to the U.S. Attorney.

But it's highly unlikely that when there's a pending criminal matter out there that's unresolved, that any licensees in my opinion are less likely to want to

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

proceed with a matter. And I think it was very helpful that the Board did sort of stay after that and request 2 that the U.S. Attorney provide updates. 3 I think that's very helpful. And I think it 4 brought this thing to a conclusion quicker than it would 5 have otherwise. And on behalf of Dr. Bauer, I thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: Anything else, Ms. Zobler? 7 MS. ZOBLER: Nothing, Your Honor. 8 CHAIRMAN BOLLWERK: If not, then we stand 9 adjourned. 10 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter was concluded 11 at 1:12 p.m.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: Pre-Hearing Conference in the matter of Dr. James E. Bauer

Docket Number: IA-95-011

ASLBP No: 94-696-05-EA

Place of Proceeding: Rockville, MD

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

Paul Thorn

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.