

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

CTHalloway (2)

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

August 2, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: William O. Miller, Chief

License Fee Management Branch, ADM

FROM:

Charles J. Fitti

Executive Secretary, ASLBP

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR PROFESSIONAL STAFF EFFORT -

BLACK FOX 1 & 2

Judge Sheldon Wolfe, Chairman of Black Fox 1&2, says the case was contested (obviously until the applicant's withdrawal). As a contested case no actual manpower records were maintained.

cc: B. P. Cotter, Jr.

R. M. Lazo

E. W. Leins

Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 2,555, Attention; Docketing and Service Eranch.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CON-TACT: Mr. W. O. Miller, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, 301-492-7225.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### BACKGROUND

The Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) predecessor, adopted its first license fee schedule on October 1, 1968. This schedule was promulgated pursuant to Title V of the Independent Offices Appropriation Act ("IOAA", 21 U.S.C. 483(a), a statute authorizing and encouraging Federal regulatory agencies to recover to the fullest extent possible costs attributable to services provided to identifiable recipients.

The relevant text of the IOAA is as follows:

It is the sense of the Congress that any work, service, publication, report, document, benefit, privilege, authority, use, franchise, license, permit, certificate, registration, or similar thing of value or utility performed. furnished, provided, granted, prepared, or issued by any Federal agency (including wholly owned Government corporations as defined in the Government Corporation Control Act of 1945) to or for any person (Including groups, associations organizations. partnerships, corporations, or businesses). except those engaged in the transaction of official business of the Government shall be self-sustaining to the full extent possible, and the head of each Federal acency is authorized by regulation (which in the case of agencies in the executive branch, shall be as uniform as practicable and subject to such policies as the President may prescribe) to prescribe therefor such fee charge, or price, if any, as he shall determine, in case none exists, or redetermine, in case of an existing one, to be fair and equitable taking into consideration direct and indirect cost to the Government, value to the recipient public policy or interest served, and other pertinent facts and any amount so determined or redetermined shall be collected and paid into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

The schedule contained a construction permit application fee, a construction permit fee, an operating license fee, fees for three types of materials licenses, and annual fees for facilities licenses and certain materials heenses. These fees were designed to recover a small portion of the Commission's costs attributable to specific services oprocessing of aurileations) provided to identifiable recordents. Only those costs that were associated with the review of an application and related to an identifiable teneficiary were included in the cost base for the establishment of a fee schedule. Activities and services, such as inspection of licensed programs, compliance and enforcement, rule making, standards development, research, safeguerds, administration of the Agreement State Program, the indemnity

On February 5, 1971, the AIC revised its October 1, 1968 a module to personal for expanding service a militheir master-ated costs. This revised schedule continued the AEC policy of literature cost recovery to licensing revises, attributable to identifiable benchmarks. This schedule was further revised on April 25, 1972 to include health and safety inspection services attributable to identifiable beneficiaries.

The current schedule was adopted by the AEC on August 16, 19/3. This schedule was designed to incorporate costs arising from statutorily mandated environmental and antitrust reviews. It reflected a policy of recovering only those costs attributable to identifiable beneficiaries for the processing of applications, permits and licenses, amendments to experiments and licenses, and he "th and safety inspection which were part of the licensing process.

On March 4, 1974, the Supreme Court decided two cases challenging the validity of annual licensing fees usued by the Federal Communications Commission and Federal Power Commission under the IOAA. "National Cable Television Association, Inc. v. U:.itcd States," 415 U.S. 336 (1974) ("NCTA") and "Federal Power Commission v. New England Power Company," 415 U.S. 345 (1971) ("New England Power"). The Court ruled that the IOAA allowed an arercy to charge fees only for special benefits rendered to identifiable persons measured by the "value to the recipient" of the agency service. In "NCTA," it set aside the challenged portion of the FCC's fee schedule because the schedule had been constructed on factors more expansive than the value of the aneney's service to the recipient company. Similarly, in the companion "New England Power" case, the Court invalidated the FPC's annual fee rules because its fee structure assessed an annual fee arminst the reculated industry at large without considering whether each company had received benefits from any Commission services during the year in question.

Responding to the Court's decisions, the AEC promptly eliminated annual license fees and announced procedures for requesting refunds of annual license fees previously assessed. The Commission left unchanged the remainder of the fee schedule.

On November 11, 1974, the AEC miblished proposed revisions to its schedule of Leense fees (39 FR 39734). Since that time the Commission has ocen reviewing public comments and conditioning a var. ety of approaches for proper e-plustion of its expending services and proper assessment based upon the c increase in rising costs. A sustantial effort has been devoted to re-evaluating the Supreme Court decisions, some aspects of which were notarily comand devising an updated solution. Wir de this effort was underway, the Court of Appeals for the District of Coumera Circuit issued four opinions on La conter 15. 1976, invalidating brene promulgated by the bester. tions Commission. "National Cable Leic-

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[ 10 CFR Part 170]

FEES FOR FACILITIES AND MATERIALS LICENSES UNDER THE ATOMIC EN-ERGY ACT OF 1954, AS AMENDED

> Proposed Revision of License Fee Schedules

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is proposing to amend its regulations to revise its schedule of fees for facilities and materials applications and licenses. The revised schedule would take into account the approaches to standardization implemented by the Commission. It would establish fees for (1) requests filed by vendor and architect engineers for standardized reference design approvals; (2) license amendments and renewals; (3) routine inspections; (4) special projects: (3) requests for approval of spent fuel casks and shipping containers; and (6) requests for approval of sealed sources and devices containing or utilizing byproducts, source, or special nuclear material. The proposed amendments would implement recent licensing fee decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals for the District o! Columbia.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before June 1, 1977.

ADDRESSES: The Commission will hold programs, compliance and enforcement, public meeting to discuss this notice at 10 a.m., May 12th. The public meeting search, safeguards, administration of the will be held in Room P-110, 7520 Norfolk Agreement State Program, the indemnity Avenue. Bethesda, Maryland, Written program, and export hierass, were excomments should be submitted to the cluded from fee schedule computation.

vision Association v. Federal Communications Commission "No. 75-1653; ct. al., "National Association of Brondeasters v. Federal Communications Commission." No. 75-1087 et al. "Lies tronic Industries Association v. Federal Communications Commission," No. 75-1129 et al. and "Capital Cities Communication. Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission." No. 75-1503 et al These cares have provided the Commission with additional guidance for the prompt adopting and promulgation of an updated license fee schedule.

#### GUIDELINES FOR FEE DEVELOPMENT

 Based on the Court decisions, the NRC has developed new guidelines for use in establishing a new proposed schedule of fees. In summary, the guidelines provide that

1. Fees may be assessed to persons who are identifiable recipients of special benefits conferred by specifically identified activities of the NRC. The special benefits would include services rendered at the request of a recipient. This includes all services necessary for the issuance of a required permit, license, approval, or amendment, and all services necessary to assist a recipient in complying with statutory obligations or obligations under the Commission's regulations

2. All direct and indirect costs incurred by the NRC in providing special benefits

may be recovered by fees.

3. It is not necessary to allocate costs in proportion to the degree of public or private benefit resulting from conferring a special benefit on a recipient.

4. Where the identification of the ultimate beneficiary of NRC activity is obscure, the cost of the activity may not be included in the cost basis for fees

- 5. A fee on the average should not exceed the sum of the direct and indirect costs which the NRC incurs in furnishing the service for a member of the class of recipients as to which the fee is \*ssessed
- 6. Calculation of arency costs shall be performed as accurately as is reasonable and practical, and shall be based on speeific expenses identified to the smallest practical unit and associated with the rendering of the type of agency service to the particular class of recipients.

#### RECULATORY PUNCTIONS

NRC is reponsible under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 as amended, for the regulation of facilities and materials as defined in the Act. These activities may be broadly categorized as follows:

1. The processing of applications for permits licenses, amendments, and ap-

provals

- 2. Health, safety, environmental and special nuclear material safeguards inspections of licensed activities and their anvirons.
- 3. Facility quality asurance inspections. and evaluations of facilities licensed under 10 CFR Fart 50.
- 4. The processing of topical reports covering special projects and reactor components.

5 The conduct of section 189 hearings for construction permit applications and such other hearings as are necessary.

6 Antitrust reviews of Part 50 appli-

cations

7. Development of standards including regulations, regulator, guides, codes, and criteria for applications of nuclear enerry and materials.

Safety and confirmatory research 8 for facilities and materials applications.

9. Generic licensing studies

- 10. Enforcement of applicable regulations, orders, and license conditions,
- 11. Early review of prospective reactor
- 12. Inspection of major reactor components and systems.

13 Export licensing

14. Indemnification program for Part 50 production and utilization facilities.

15. Agreement State Program 16. Cooperation and participation in

international programs

The "Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation" has responsibility within NRC for processing Part 50 applications for licensing and regulation of nuclear power plants. Before a company can build a power plant at a particular site, it must file an application and obtain a construction permit from the NRC. In support of the application, the applicant files a Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR) which presents the design criteria and preluninary design information for the facility structures, systems and components, as well as comprehensive data on the site for the plant. The report also discusses various hypothetical accident situations and the safety features which will be provided to prevent accidents or, if they should occur, to mitigate their effects on both the public and the facility's employees. In addition, the applicant must submit a comprehensive Environmental Report evaluate the environmental impact of the proposed plant. Information must also be submitted for use by the Attorney General and the NRC in the reviews of the antitrust aspects of the proposed facility.

When an application is submitted to the NRC, it is subjected to a preliminary review to determine whether it contains sufficient information to satisfy NRC requirements for a detailed review. If an application is not sufficiently complete. the applicant is requested to submit specif c additional information. An application is formally docketed only if it meets certain minimum acceptance criteria. In addition, when the PSAR is submitted, a substantive review and inspection of the applicant's quality assurance program covering design and procurement is con-

The NRR staff reviews an application for a construction permit to determine whether the public health and safety and the environment will be fully protected If any portion of the application is considered to be madequate, the applicant is requested to modify the plant so that it will be acceptable. If the appropriate modifications are not made, authoritiation to begin construction will not be

The review is to determine whether the plant deson is consistent with BRC rule, and regulations, regulatory guides, and other regulatory requirements Desum methods and procedures of calculations are examined to establish their validity. Checks of actual calculations and other procedures of design and analysis are made to establish the validity of the applicant's design and to determine that the applicant has conducted his analysis and evaluation in sufficient depth and breadth to support required findings with respect to safety.

During the staff's review, an applicant is required by regulation to provide such additional information as is needed to complete its evaluation. The principal features of the evaluation include

1. A review of the population density and use characteristics of the site and environs, and the physical characteristics of the site of the proposed power plant. including seismology, meteorology, reo'ogy, and hydrology to determine that these characteristics have been properly evaluated and have been given appropriate consideration in plant design, and that the characteristics of the site are in accordance with the siting criteria set forth in 10 CFR Part 100, taking into consideration the design of the facility including the engineered safety features provided.

2. A review of the facility design and of the programs for fabrication, construction, and testing of the plant structures, systems, and components important to safety to determine that they are in accord with the regulations, regulatory guides, and other regulatory requirements, and that any departures from these requirements have been fully

identified and justified.

3. Evaluation of the response of the facility to various anticipated operating transients and to a broad spectrum of hypothetical accidents. The potential consequences of these hypothetical accidents are then evaluated concervatively to determine that the culculated potential offsite radiation doses that might result, in the very unlikely event of en accident occurrence, would not exceed the guidelines for site acceptability given

in 10 CFR Part 100.

4. A review of the applicant's plans for th conduct of plant operations, including the organizational structure, the technical qualifications of operating and technical support personnel, the nicusures taken for industrial security, and the planning for emergency actions to be taken in the unlikely event of an acci-An important sepect of this review includes review and assertment of the applicant's programs for equity at urnince and enality control to assure compliance with NRC's requirements. This review forms the barts for determining whether the apparant is technically to commute the plant and whether he has established effective orpartizations and plats for continuing sale 5. Evaluation of the design of systems provided for control of the radiological efficients from the plant to determine that the proposed systems can control the release of radioactive wastes from the nuclear power station to the limits specified by appropriate regulations and that the applicant will operate the facility in such a manner as to reduce radioactive releases to levels that are as low as practicable.

The review and evaluation of an application for a construction permit is performed by the NRC staff and its consultants over an average period of 24 months. To the extent feasible and appropriate, the staff makes use of previous evaluations of other reactors approved for construction or operation, standardized designs, and previous evaluations of various aspects of reactor design devarious aspects of reactor design devariations of the statement of the s

scribed in topical reports. The licensing process includes the consideration of programs proposed by an applicant for a construction permit to verify plant design and to confirm design margins. The licensing process includes consideration of programs of basic research and development necessary to assure the resolution of questions associated with safety features or facility components and must identify any research and development work that will be conducted to confirm the adequacy or to resolve any safety questions associated with the design of a particular facility along with a schedule for completion of the research and development work showing that such safety questions will be resolved prior to operation of the facility.

When the staff review and evaluation of the application has progressed to the point that acceptable criteria, preliminary design information and financial information are documented in the application, a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) will be prepared. This report represents a summary of the staff review and evaluation of the application.

The "Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards" (ACRS), an independent statutory committee established to provide advice to the NRC on reactor safety is required to review each application for a construction permit or an operating license for a commercial nuclear power plant. At the time an application for a construction permit as docketed, copies of the PSAR are provided to the ACRS Each application is assigned to a project subcommittee. During the course of the review by the NRC staff, the ACRS is kept informed of the staff's request for additional information from the applicant and of meetings held with the applicant so that the ACRS subcommuttee chairman is aware of any developments that may warrant a change in the plant.

Normally, the full ACRS considers a project upon receipt of the staff SER and the report of the ACRS subcommittee. The ACRS sives special attention to those items which have particular safety significance for the reactor involved and of the new or advanced features proposed to the applicant. The full committee meets at least once with the NRC.

staff and with the applicant to discuss the application. These full committee meetings are open to the public. When the committee has completed its review, its report is submitted to the NRC in the form of a letter to the Chairman.

The NRC staff prepares a supplemental SER to address the ACRS report and to include any other information made available since issuance of the original SER.

Either concurrent with or separately from the radiological safety review, an environmental review is performed by the staff and its consultants. This review is to evaluate the potential environmental impact of the proposed plant and to provide comparisons between the benefits to be derived from the plant and the possible risk to the environment. After completion of this review, a Draft Environmental Statement (DES) containing conclusions on environmental mat-ters is issued. The DES is circulated to interested Federal and State agencies for review and comment. It is also available for comment by individuals and by organizations representing the public. After receipt of all comments and resolution of any outstanding issues, a Final Environmental Statement (FES) is published and made available to the public.

A mandatory public hearing is held before a construction permit is issued for a nuclear power plant. Upon docketing an application, the NRC issues a notice of hearing. The evidentiary public hearings will be held after completion of the safety and environmental reviews. Opportunity is afforded to members of the public to intervene as participants or make limited appearances at the hearing. At an early stage in the review process, potential intervenors are invited to meet informally with the NRC staff to discuss their concerns respecting the proposed nuclear power plant.

The public hearing for an application for a construction permit is conducted by a three-member "Atomic Safety and Licensing Board" (ASLB) appointed from the NRC's "Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel." The board is composed of one lawyer, who acts as chairman for the proceeding, and two other technically qualified persons. The safety evaluation, supplements to the SER and the FES are offered to the board as evicence by the NRC staff at the public hearing. The hearing(s) may be a combined safety and environmental hearing or in the case of a split application, separate hearings. The board considers all the evidence which has been pre-ented by Comma from applicant and interentry to rether with fine in a of fact and tions of a transfer to the further. and a less an initial economy. If the initial continuo regarding the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act and sufery matters in favorable, a construction permit is dated to the applaced. The brand's in the certain is tal, if to review by an Airman Safety and Lemmins Appear Lord (ASLAD) r mittin or will territors Under comment entering the ASLAB

decision may be reviewed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commissioners.

Prior to a decision on an application for a construction permit. Commission regulations provide that the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation may authorize limited amounts of work to be performed by the applicant prior to the r suance of the construction permit. This authorization is known as a Limited Work Authorization (LWA), An LWA may be granted only after the ASLB has made all of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) findings required by the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51 for the Issuance of a Limited Work Authorization and has determined that there is reasonable assurance that the proposed site is suitable with the site suitability regulations of the Commission.

Statutes require that antitrust aspects of a nuclear power plant heense application be considered in the licensing process. The antitrust information required in the application for a construction permit is sent to the Attorney General of the United States for his advice on whether activities under the proposed license would create or maintain a situation inconsistent with antitrust laws. The Attorney General's advice is promptly published and opportunity is provided for interested parties to raise antitrust issues. An antitrust hearing may be held upon the recommendation of the Attorney General or on the petition of an interested party. The NRC is required to make a finding on anticrust matters in each case where the issue is raised. Antitrust hearings are held separately from hearings on environmental and safety matters.

At such time as the construction of the nuclear power plant has promessed to the stage where most of the final design information and plans for operation are ready, the applicant submits the I mal Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) in support of an application for an operating license. The FSAR sets forth the pertinent details on the final design of the facility. The FSAR also supplies plans for operation and procedures for coping with emergencies. The staff makes a detailed review of the FSAR. Amendments to the application and reports may be submitted from time to time. The staff again prepares a SER and, as during the construction permit stage, the ACES again makes an independent evaluation and presents its advice to the Commission by letter

A public hearing is not mandatory prior to the issuance of an operating license; herever after incorptance of the chirating license and at on, the Commission publishes notice that it is considering issuance of the license. The notice provides that any person whose interest may be affected by the proceeding may person the NRC to hold a hearing.

Each becase 1906d by the NRC for operation of a name of reactor contains. Technical Specifications which at Ioria the particular solids and environmental protection measures to be unposed upon

the facility and the conditions of its

The Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation also has re ponsibility within NRC for the processing of Part 50 applications for licensing of test facilities and research reactors. This office also reviews standardized reference designs for nuclear power plants, conducts reneric licensing studies, topical report reviews, and develops research proposals necessary to aid in evaluation of reactor safety.

The licensing process for test facilities and other production and utilization facilities follows the review and licensing pattern for nuclear power plants. Research reactors are not subject to mandatory hearings and antitrust review, however, they are subject to a safety revies.

Sites for proposed production or utilization facilities under 10 CFR Part 50 may be reviewed and evaluated in co .junction with an application for a construction permit, as described above or prior to the filing of an application. Such early site reviews for nuclear power plants may be complete or partial. The procedure for Commission review of a site, which may later be a part of a construction permit application, is identical to the comprehensive review of a site included as part of the application for a construction permit.

The "Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards" (NMSS), under statute and regulation, licenses and regulates all non-reactor facilities and materials associated with the processing. transport, use and handling of special nuclear, source, and byproduct materials. This office also reviews the safeguards of non-reactor facilities and spe-

cial nuclear materials.

The KMSS fuel cycle safety and environment program involves the processing of license applications for uranium nulls, conversion facilities, reprocessing centers, fuel fabrication plants, spent fuel storage, and waste disposal. Each applicant is required to describe the site(s) of proposed use, plant design, method of criticality control, radioactivity waste management, operating procedures, and impact on the plant environs. The NRC staff and its consultants review each application to determine the adequacy of the proposed facility. operation, and safety and environmental controls

The NMSS safeguards licensing program has responsibility for the review of all applications to license ron-reactor facilities and materials vision involve the processing transport storing and handling of quata hos of special number and evaluates the applicant's descriptions of his physical protection and material control programs to determine adequacy. NMSS is also remarable for developing continuency plans to deal with threats, thefre, and the fore and monitoring testing and ungraning tate. guards systems.

NMSS evaluates the design and to 1. ing of shipping casks, parkages and contamers to determine that they meet regulatory requirements.

The NMSS radioisctope licensing program reviews and processes heer-e applications for the possession and the of by; roduct, source, and small quantities of special nuclear material. These noplications cover medical usage, basic and applied research, teaching, consumer products, and various industrial usages such as radiography, well-logging irradiation facilities, nuclear laundries, etc. Such staff reviews cover safety and the environmental aspects of the proposed radioisotope program and cover factors. such as the training of user personnel, procedures for the use of licensed materials, contamination control, controlling exposure to personnel, adequacy of proposed facilities and instrumentation and waste management.

Activities of NMSS also encompass generic licensing studies and safeguards assessment studies. These generic activities cut across the fuel cycle and radioisotope licensing programs. The office also participates in standards development activities and recommends re-

search requirements.

"The Office of Inspection and Enforcement (IE)" is responsible for NRC's inspection and enforcement program and the program is based on the precept that nuclear quality requirements are mandatory and enforceable under Federal law. The NRC's IE inspects the industry quality assurance process on a continuing basis and takes enforcement action where necessary. The program is designed to assure that applicants for NRC permits and licenses. as well as existing licensees, conduct their activities in a manner that adequately protects the health, safety, and security of the public and the environment in which they live.

The IE performs three essential functions:

1. Inspects facility and materials licensees and their contractors and suppliers to ascertain whether their quality assurance programs and ectivities are being conducted in accordance with NRC rules and regulations and conditions of their licenses.

2. Investigates incidents, accidents, allegations and other unusual circumstances involving matters subject to NRC jurisdiction to ascertain the facts and to recommend or take appropriate corrective action.

3. Enforces compliance through issuance of notices of violation, imposition of coal monetary penalties, and promulnation of orders to suspend, modify or reache locuses or to coase and desist licensed operations.

A key component in the cons ruction and operation of nuclear power plants is quality assurance. This intlies a pi timed management program of checks i controls designed to assure that plants are concentra, built, and overated to retrict a both stores of sonfigence in Last wie verformance, I will prospective

veloping a detailed quality respective plan which also includes the of product quality from its remarked and vendors. The requirements prospect which licensee quality assumance plans and activities are measured are specified in NRC regulations, national codes and standards, conditions specified in permits and heenees and the applier nt's or licensee's own approved operating procedures.

IE tenins reviewing the organization and plans, six to nine months prior to the submission of an application for a construction permit for a nuclear power plant, to determine that the proposed quality assurance program is fully

responsive to regulations.

IE inspections are of two general types, safety and environmental protection inspections; and materials and facility protection inspections (safe-guards). The first type covers quality assurance activities related to health, safety and environmental concerns for power and other reactors; fuel cycle facilities; architect-engineers, vendors and suppliers; and materials licensees. including universities, hospitals, research organizations, and other firms or institutions using nuclear materials. The second type deals with quality assurance in physical protection and safeguarding of special nuclear materials and facilities held or owned by licensees. Through direct observation, interviews, independent testing and review of records, NRC inspectors gather facts to ascertain compliance with approved quality assurence programs and with other NRC requirements.

The IE inspection program for nuclear power plants begins with quality assurance planning and extends over the

facility's entire lifetime.

Based on the premise that the applicant or licensee is responsible for the design, construction, and safe operation of its facility, NEC inspectors examine the licensee's efforts to obtain assurance that this responsibility is being niet, and to prepare the way for corrective action if it is not.

IE inspections cover five phases of a

nuclear power plant's life:

Preconstruction activities. Prior to docketing of an application for a construction permit, inspection focus on the prospective licensee's quality assurance program. An acceptable program must to in existence before the application will be accepted for formal NRC review. Subsequent to docketing and prior to issu-Suance of a construction permit, inspections are corried out to confirm that an accounte program has, in fact, been implemented

Construction cetivities. During construction, IE inspects to verify that the described quality assumance program for construction is being properly implemented When components are received onette, IE inspectors, on a selective sampling tasks verify conformance with s, edifications and ensure that quality a surance procedures for hand my and storene are implemented. During plant erestion and the installation of compofeactor heenage is responsible for de- nents, they selectively observe activities

such as welding, concrete installation and electrical and instrument cable installation, and review the results of test. to determine whether requirements are being met

Preoperational testing and startup. The frequency of inspections is increased significantly during preoperational testing and startup. Inspectors observe selected preoperational and starting tests and check results to verify that components and safety systems do perform their intended functions. They also examine the operating organizational structure, training of personnel, per-formance of equipment and personnel, monitoring and sampling programs for radiation and efficient control, results of environmental monitoring, plans and training for emergencies, security provisions, and administrative controls for safety.

Operations activities. After routine operations of the plant begins, periodic inspections ascertain whether the licensee is operating in a safe and responsible manner in conformity with NRC requirements. Particular attention is devoted to evaluating corporate and plant management to determine whether its steps to prevent safety problems are effective. and whether it takes positive and timely corrective action in the event of abnormal occurrences.

During 1975, the NRC initiated the Licensee Contractor and Vendor Inspec-tion Program (LCVIP). The purpose of LCVIP is to verify that industry has quality assurance programs which are consistent with NRC criteria established in 10 CFR Part 50; Appendix B. Under this program, selected vendors are inspected directly by IE inspectors rather than in association with licensee or utility inspectors, as previously done. This provides a more uniform application of the Commission's quality assurance requirements and, at the same time, reduces the need for repetitive audits and evaluations by licensees of their suppliers' generic quality assurance programs.

The routine inspection program has been structured so that certain elements of a licensee's authorized activities tinvolving personnel, procedures, operations, facilities, materials, and equipment) are inspected at a prescribed frequency. The inspection is made to assure that a licensee's activities are being conducted in accordance with regulator requirements and that associated facilities and equipment are operated in a safe manner. To ensure that adequate inspection of each of the identified elements of a licensees' activities are teing conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements and the assistant aschities and equipment are operated in a safe manner, procedural requirements have been established and appropriately keyed to licensee activities. The scheduling and frequency for inspection account the various requirements for each licentile depends upon the scope and complexity of the licensed procram For the majority of the NRC Intented, i.e. nonpower reactors, source material and

most byproduct and special market maternal uses, the inspects us pare, pain they sifies that all requirement elastic be scheduled for inspection during one visit at the site and that, depended up on the nature of the licensed pregram, the inspection frequency would be once each year, once every two years, once every three years, once every five years or no more than approximately once every three years. Inspection of newly licensed activities would be within 1'2 years after issuance of the license.

The inspection program for operating test and power reactors, some research reactors, which are rated at greater than one megawatt, fuel reprocessing facilities, licensed activities involving large quantities of special nuclear material for research and development, processing or fabrication and major processors of byproduct material, specifies that some of the regulatory and safety requirements should be scheduled on a staggered basis throughout a 12 month period (and in a few instances over a 36 month period). The scope and complexity of these licensed activities are such that it is not feasible or prudent to schedule and complete all inspection requirements during one site visit. The inspection requirements for these licensees are normally clustered into three 4-month periods or four 3-month periods which comprise an inspection year. Due to the nature of the inspection program which involves operational, environmental and radiological safety, as well as emergency planning and many different inspector skills, several inspections may be performed during a 3 or 4 month period in order to satisfy the inspection requirements. The frequency of inspections is set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

IE conducts safety and environmental inspections of more than 3 500 materials and fuel facility licensees, including spent-fuel reprocessors, fuel fabricators, waste disposal licensees, major radiopharmaceutical firms, radiographers, and operators of medical facilities, educational institutions, exporters. Federal and State agencies, and various indus-

trial organizations.

It should be noted that, for most nonpower reactors and the majority of the materials beenses, the frequency of inspections ranges from intervals of two per year to one every ten years. There is a group of materials licenies which authorize small quantities where no prescribed frequency is specified Rather the policy is to select approximately five percent of these licenses each year. For licenses authorizing major typroduct uses, such as processing and manufacturing operations, fuel fatrication, fuel reprocessing and power reactors, the inspection program focuses a set of inspection requirements which must be completed during a 12-month period Several of the inspection requirements mucht be completed at one time. A single fee would be collected for the total inspection.

Inspections of materials and fuel fa-

cles determined by a classification system bared on the relative well he river to safety considerations. Fuel facility insepctions focus on the evaluation of management quality assurance programs and controls over operations A typical inspection might include a review of processing and equipment, such at filtration systems, checks for releases of radioactive effluents, and monitoring personnel to determine if regulatory requirements are being followed The IE gathers on a selective sampling basis detailed information to ascertain whether licensees are conducting their activities with due regard to nuclear criticality control and radiological health and safety.

The NRC's safeguards inspection program, covering physical prefection of nuclear materials and reactor facilities, control and accountability of these materials, including direct measurements to verify licensees control and accountability, is conducted by IE. This program involves the inspection of licensees possessing given quantities of special nuclear material. The inspection staff conducts physical protection, nuclear material control and accounting, and inventory verification inspections of licensees which include fuel cycle tacilities, reactors, research and development facilities, fuel reprocessing facilities, and universities having research reacters Frequencies of inspections are determined by the quantity, quality, and accessibility of special nuclear materials which the licensees are authorized to possess and depend on the type of inspection corducted. The basic elements of an inspection include a review of material controls systems and procedures. physical inventory controls, measurement controls, and records and reporting controls. NRC inspectors the specially equipped vans to verify, through selective on-site sampling, the empired uranium and 'or plutonium certent of inventory. (The mobile equipment also is used to analyze low-level radicactivity in air and water effluents as part of the inspection program of confirmatory measurements of environmental releases.)

Physical protection inspections involve the review of physical protection systems, procedures and personnel to determine if adequate protections have been implemented in compliance with the existing security plan, licerie conditions, rules and regulations. These reviews consist of direct observation of systems performance, examinations ci records and documentations, in there's with beensee and local law enforcement personnel, and selected lating of certain hardware and procedures

IE conducts surveillance programs for nuclear materials in transit which require that the export and imnort of sicnificant quantities of special resieur material, and not less than 21 p reent of all other shipments, be monitored by IE inspectors

Shipments by all modes of transportation are subjected to uninthour no oncalify licenses are performed at frequen- spections examination at points eferious

transfer and destination, observation and other surveillance by Mic in pectors to detremine compliance with appropriate regulations and to assess the adequacy

of the protection.

The main objective of the NRC's environmental monitoring program is to determine if there is a buildup of radioactivity in the environment. Each nuclear facility licensee is required to monitor major and potentially significant paths for release of pascous and liquid radioactive effluents during normal operation. IE inspectors check the licensee's radiological monitoring and waste systems to assure they are built as designed and operated to keep releases within regulatory limits. If a regulatory limit is exceeded, the licensee must so inform the NRC and take appropriate action. Each power plant licensee also is required to monitor major pathways in the environment. During NRC inspections, random samples of monitoring records, procedures, and reports are examined and confirmatory measurements are made to assess the accuracy and consistency of licensee measurements of radioactivity in effluent and environmental samples.

Enforcement action is taken to assure that persons who do not comply with regulatory requirements will act promptly to bring their programs into compliance. Notifications of deviations from approved codes, standards and guides, and from licensee commitments to the Commission, are forwarded to licensees and, if corrective measures are not properly implemented, appropriate enforcement actions are imposed.

A significant part of the NRC's inspection and enforcement effort is involved in responding to reports of radiation incidents, abnormal occurrences, equipment problems, and allegations of im-

proper or unsafe operations.

Standards are basic to the NRC's comprehensive pregram for the control and safe use of nuclear energy. Developed by the NRC's "Office of Standards Development," (SD), they govern protection of the public and nuclear industry workers from radiation, safequarding nuclear materials and plants, and protection of the quality of the environment.

In setting forth safety requirements, including quality assurance requirements for the design, construction, and operation of nuclear reactors, standards, provide the mechanism for codifying sound engineering practices and the lessons of

experience.

The standards development function of NRC also provides a mechanism for resolving frequently recurring technical issues through renenc rulemaking, provides a forum for all segments of the public to proving input to proposed standards, and clearly establishes NRC's

bases for impostion.

NRC develops two kinds of standards: Regulations and resulatory puices NRC regulations, established by the Commission and published in This 10 Chapter I. of the Code of Federal Deculations, sev forth both pereral and specific require-ments that must be met NGO regulatory suides describe and make available to the ent basis. This includes:

public methods accordable to the NRC stan for the barbarate mache parts of the Commission regulations, defineate techniques a ed by the staff in evaluating specific problems or perfulated accidents. or provide numbance to applicants. Public input to the development of NRC regulations and guides is encouraged.

The major responsibilities and activi-

ties of the SD are:

1. Developing siting safety and environmental impact standards for selection and evaluation of sites for nuclear

2. Developing nuclear power plant safety engineering standards for design. procurement, construction, testing, operations, and decommissioning of power reactors.

3. Developing fuel cycle facility safety engineering standards for fuel cycle plants including waste storace.

4. Developing safeguards standards for physical protection of nuclear materials and facilities and for control of nuclear materials.

5. Developing standards for safety in transportation of radioactive materials and standards for use of radioactive materials in medical, industrial and consumer product applications.

6. Developing radiation protection

standards.

7. Providing and managing technical interaction with national and international standards-development groups

The "Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research" has the responsibility to develop and analyze technical information on reactor safety, safeguards and environmental protection as a basis for licensing and other decisions in the regulatory process. The office performs research, characterized as "confirmatory assessment," which relates specifically to regulatory decisions for the safe and environmentally compatible operation and protection of nuclear facilities and materials.

The goal of the NRC's reactor safety research program is to develop an independent basis and means to reliably and credibly analyze the course of events in hypothetical nuclear reactor accidents and to estimate the consequences of such accidents. The program proceeds on two interlocking approaches: experimental programs, and analytical model development. The experimental programs generate the independent data base for developing and validating the analytical models. The models, in turn, are used to extrapolate between laboratory scales or conditions and full-scale reactors, and the validity of the extrapolation is tested through further integral experiments

This program attempts to develop methods of analysis by which the safety of reactors can be independently assessed by NRC, and to provide information and methods needed to achieve safe operation

The overall objective of the reactor safety research program is to develop analytical methods that can confidently be used by NRC to alless the safety of nuclear pamer fearthra en an incepted.

1. Establishe and testing, on a sound envioceting base and improved analytical methods of safety analysis;

2. Improving the engineering data base concerning the conditions that might

trigger a reactor accident;

3. Extending and improving of independently-derived technical information against which to compare applicant or liceusee safety justifications in licenship actions, and

4. Reducing present margins of uncertainty in the data and models so that the degree of conservatism applied to safety assessment may be further

quantified.

Water reactor safety research is directed at providing a capability for independent confirmatory assessment of the safety of the current generation of nuclear plants under postulated accident conditions. The research data and analytic methods applied to the assessment of hypothetical nuclear plant accidents is intended to result in a greater measure of confidence that the margins of safety identified in the licensing review are well defined and quantified.

Safety research in systems engineering is addressed primarily to the study of postulated loss-of-coolant accidents in reactors and the effectiveness of emergency core cooling systems. In general, the research is conducted through two types of tests: (1) "Separate effects" tests to obtain data on those portions of a postulated accident where transient heat transfer and fluid flow phenomena are isolated, thus reducing the number of test variables and simplifying understanding of those complex phenomena, and (2) "integral systems" tests to study combined phenomena representing an entire postulated accident sequence, both to assess the significance of knowledge gained from separate effects.

In conjunction with the safety review of nucelar power plant applications, the NRC technical staff conducts evaluations of potential safety problems that may apply to many reactors of a given design type. The detailed reviews and independent analyses of emergency core cooling system performance, the reliability of automatic shutdown (scram) systems, and containment pressure during accidents. are examples of this type of study. The staff also conducts engineering audits of reactor vendors' and architect-ensincers' design calculations and procedures to assure conformance with safety design practice.

Data obtained from research and development programs on particular facilities and from the Commission's confirmatory research program are factored unto the beensing reviews performed by the NRC staff.

Program Direction and Administration Offices" provide overall policy direction. resource management effectiveness, administrative and logistic support to the NRC and includes the staff offices of the Commanioners and the Executive Direcfor for Operations. They are, Office of Commission, Office of the Secretary, O:fire of the General Counsel. Office of Policy Evaluation, Office of Inspector and

Auditor Of r of Course signal Affairs, Office of Funds Affines Office of the Laecutive Director for Operations Office of Admini tration, Office of the Controller, Office of Planning and Analysis, Office of Management Information and Program Control, and Olice of Equal Employment Opportunity

The "Commissioners" are the coverning body of NRC who exercise the overall responsibilities of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 and the Atomic Energy Acts of 1946 and 1951, as amended They provide the fundamental policy guidance and administration and management direction necessary to assure that the civilian use of nuclear energy is developed in a manner consistent with the public health and safety, environmental quality. national security, and antitrust laws,

The "Office of the Secretary" develops policies and procedures for complete secretariat services for the conduct of Commission business and implementation of Commission decisions; advises and assists the Commission and all NRC staff offices on the scheduling and conduct of Commission business; records Commission meetings; plans, directs and operates the NRC staff paper system; operates the Commission Correspondence & Records Facility and a consolidated mail facility for the NRC Washington, D.C. office; maintains the Commission's official docket; coordinates the protocol activities at Commission level: provides logistic assistance to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel and the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; performs services of the Federal Advisory Committee Management Officer; operates a reproduction facility; directs a historical program; operates the classified document control system for the Commissioners; provides personnel, administrative and locatical support services to the Commission and other NRC offices located in Washington, D.C.; and supervises and administers the NRC Public Document Room.

The "Office of the General Counsel" is the chief legal advisor to the Commission and provides legal opinion, advice, and consultations to the Commission in connection with the quasi-judicial responsibilities of the Commusion and in the development of substantive policy matters. It represents the Commission in matters relating to bilgation, and, in cooperation with the Department of Justice, represents the Commission in court proceedings affecting the NRC program. The office also provides legal advice with respect to levislative matters of eincern to NRC, including drafting of least ation preparation and rever of testimony and preparation and transmission of ctatements of views requested on proposed legislation.

The "Office of Policy Evaluation" advises the Commission on a broad rance of substantive policy matters to enhance the information base on which Commis-

sion decisions are made.

The "Office of the Inspector & Antitor" is responsible for disclosure; house,

and management audit program including planning and directing the longrange comprehensive audit program ps. well as conducting day-to-day internal audit activity; conducting investigations and inspections, as necessary, to ascertain and verify the facts with regard to the integrity of all operations, employees, organizations, programs and activities referring suspected or alleged crae-mal violations to the Department of Justice; and serving as the point of contact with the General Accounting Office and maintaining liaison with the Department of Justice and other law enforcement agencies

The "Office of Congressional Affairs" assists and advises the Commission and senior staff on Congressional matters, coordinates interagency Congressional relations activities, and is the principal linison for the Commission with Conpressional committees and members of Congress

The "Office of Public Affairs" plans and administers NRC coordinated and comprehensive programs to inform the public of Commission policies, programs and activities, as appropriate, and for informing NRC management of public affairs activities of interest to the Commission.

The "Executive Director for Operations" coordinates and directs the Commission's operational and administrative activities and is responsible for coordinating and developing policy and program options generated by the directors of the program offices.

The "Office of Administration" is responsible for personnel administration; security and classification; document control; facilities and materials license fees; contracting and procurement; rules. administration of Freedom of Information requests, proceedings and document services; telecommunications; automatic data processing; building management; printing and reproduction; records management; and a variety of other housekeeping functions. Additionally, the office is responsible for directing the activities of management and administrative support programs, and for developing policy options for Commission consideration.

The "Office of the Controller" provides the budgetary and fiscal management organization for the NRC, including the development and maintenance of a financial control system and a system of accounting which conforms to the standards prescribed by the Comptroller General

The "Office of Management Information and Program Control" provides a comprehensive management information and central system for program planning, scheduling, regerting and analysis of program performance for the NRC.

The "Office of Planning and Analysis" assists the Executive Director for Operations in program assessment and policy analysis and development. The office's major objectives are to define and estimate the economic parameters of the hcen ed nuclear industry: complete cost- ing direct programs support to MET for ad standards that govern the homeral benefit guidelines for NRC; direct and all of its intermedical activities.

support efforts to improve regulatory effeetiveness; and continue to incolement and refine management systems

The 'Office of Laural Employment Onportunity" is responsible for examine the procedur's and practices necessary to attain and maintain equal employment opportunities within the NRC. The office develops and prepares the agency's Affirmative Action Plan, advises and assists on recruitment plans, and provides promot investigation of discrimination complaints when necessary

Offices supplying direct Program Technical Support to the NRC are the Office of the Executive Legal Director, the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, the Office of State Programs, and the Office of International Programs. with the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel and the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel performing adjudicatory functions. The services provided for the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel and the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel were discussed earlier in 1 & notice.

The "Office of the Executive Legal Director" is responsible for providing legal advice and services to the Executive Director for Operations and the programmatic and support offices reporting to him. These responsibilities include representation of the NRC Staff in administrative proceedings involving the licensing of nuclear facilities and materials, and the enforcement of license conditions and NRC regulations, with respect to safeguards mat tracts, security, patents, administration, research, personnel, and the development of regulations to implement applicable Federal statutes.

The "Office of State Programs" is responsible for developing and implementing plans, policies, and programs for the coordination and integration of Federal and State responsibilities in the remaintion of nuclear materials and facilities: carrying out NRC's federally assigned "lead-agency" role in providing training and technical assistance to State and local governments to enhance their radiological emergency response planning and operations capabilities: developing NRC's national-level emergency preparedness program; administering the State Agreements program whereby qualified States assume certain NRC rejulatory functions; and providing direct program support to NRC in all aspents of State-related activities, including the monitoring of all State Legislation and activities including the activities including the NRC The College of La majoritation Propriests is restated to far to the last one

implementation of regulatory chil safety information exchange agreements with other countries, beensing the amport and export of numbear materials and numbear facilities. NRC nonproliferation and international saferuards policy published. antives and coordination, and starid-

#### Brecial BENEFITS

Services providing "special benefit" includes those rendered by an agency at the request of the recipient and services necessarily rendered to aid the recipient in complying with statutory and reculatory obligations. Respecting NRC services, this includes all services necessary for the issuance of a license or amendment to process and use material, to construct or operate a facility, export or import facilities or materials, to review a standardized design or special project or conduct an inspection. Services neither requested by the applicant nor strictly necessary to assist private recipients in complying with statutory or regulatory requirements may be considered as "independent public benefit"

Based on analysis of all NRC offices their responsibilities and activities, and the Commission guidelines, which were formed around the decisions of the Supreme Court in the FCC and FPC cates and the Appeals Court decisions in the FCC cases, the services of these offices have been categorized as follows. Only those services which provide special benents to identifable recipients have been included for computation of fees; those for which the beneficiary is obscure, or which confer independent public benefit. have been excluded from fees. The services have been designated as included or excluded. The fact that a particular service is designated as excluded should not be taken to imply that the Commission does not view the service as a spccial benefit

1. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. (a) Processing of applications for facility construction permits and operating licenses by the NRC staff and consultants. This involves safety, environmental, and antitrust and special nuclear materials safeguards reviews uncluded.

(b) Processing of applications for facility license amendments and technical specification changes performed by the NRC staff and consultants (included 1

(c) Review of topical reports filed by licensees and vendors of reactor components (included).

(d) Review of standardized reference designs for nuclear steam supply systems

Aled by vendors (included).

(e) Review of standardized reference designs for balance of nuclear plants filed by architect ensineers uncluded).

(f) Examination and testing of the qualifications of prospective reactor operators (included)

(g) Staff assistance in development of standards, codes, criteria and licensing guides texeluded

thi Staff effert in facilities research

projects excluded

(i) Licensing effort which is generic in nature, i.e., not specifically identified with applications on file (excluded).

2. Adulsory Committee on Exactor Safeguards. The services of this other are concerned with facilities. Its safety review is included in the computation of fees. Generic later met is carch and standards conclument ellert are all excluded

. 3. Atomic Salety and Licensing Poord Panel Boards appointed from this punct in accordance with the pressions of section 191 of the Atomic Poercy Act of 1904, as amended, conduct mandatory hearings for power reactors and test facilities and issue initial decisions with respect to granting, suspending, revoking, or amending licenses or authorizations Effort in this office concerned with uncontested facility hearings is included in fee computation. All other effort was excluded

4. Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board. These boards review decisions affeeting facility beensing. Effort concerned with uncontested cases is included in fee computation; the remainder concerning contested cases is excluded.

5. Office of Nuclear Materials Salety and Safeguards, (a) Processing of applications for non-reactor facility construction permits and operating licenses, fuel cycle licenses, and materials licenses by the NRC staff and consulants. This includes safety, environmental, and special nuclear material safeguards reviews (included). Antitrust reviews as required by 10 CFR Part 50 non-reactor facility applications (included).

(b) Processing of applications for license renewal and amendments by the NRC staff and consultants (included)

(c) Examination and testing of the qualifications of non-reactor facility operators (included).

(d) Staff assistance in development of standards and licensing guides (excluded).

(e) Staff effort to research projects (excluded).

(f) Licensing effort which is generic in nature, i.e., not specifically identified with applications on file (excluded).

(g) Staff assistance in development of safeguards contingency plans, and safeguards assessment activities (excluded).

6. Office of Inspection and Enforcement. (a) Routine health, safety, environmental and safeguards inspections of licensed activities (included).

(b) Quality assurance inspections during the preconstruction, construction, preoperational and operations phases of facility licensing (included).

(c) Staff assistance in development of standards and inspection criteria (ex-

(d) Nonroutine inspections: Investigations, incident inspections audit of licensee management and enforcement activities (excluded).

(e) Generic inspection activities, i.e., inspection activities which are not concerned with a specific Literace, facility or vendor (excluded)

7. Office of Standards L. Coprest. (a) All standards services vould be excluded even though these activities provide rubstantial benefit to applicants. i.censees and vendors by helical to define NRC requirements and produces and helping to establish product about of the regulatory process. Hor over the lucation fiable recipient of the service a colcure.

8 Office of Nuclear Regulatory Presearch, (n) Research or confirmatory assertment which generally relates to regulatory decisions for the sair and environmentally compatible operation and protection of nuclear facilities and materials has been excluded from fee computation because the identifiable recirient of the benefit is obscure

9 The Office of the Commissioners. (a) The Commissioners are the coverning body of NRC who exercise the overall responsibility for policy puidance and administration and management of the Commission. Accordingly, it is not practical to isolate and allocate the services of this office to individual activities. The services provided by this office have been

excluded from fee computation.

10. The Office of the Secretary, (a) The allocation of services as well as related costs to the various offices was determined by examination of the functional workload associated with each onerating activity of the Office of the Secretary. Those activities supporting the licensing and inspection process were included in fee computation.

11. Office of the General Counsel. Effort in this office is devoted to contested hearings as well as providing legal opinion and advice in connection with quasijudicial responsibilities of the Commission and in policy development, litigation and legislative matters. These services are not directly concerned with the licensing and inspection process, except for the effort in contested hearings. All services provided by this office were excluded from fee computation.

12. Office of Policy Evaluation. This office advises the Commissioners on a broad range of substantive policy matters and provides an independent review of positions developed by the NRC staff which require policy decisions by the Commission. Services provided by this office do not generally deal directly with licensing or inspection activities and are thus excluded from fee computation.

13. Offices of the Inspector and Anditor. Congressional Affairs, Public Affairs and Equal Employment Opportunity. The services provided by these offices provide an independent public benefit and were excluded from fee computation.

14. The Executive Director for Operations. This office coordinates and cirects the Commission's operational and administrative activities. It is concerned directly with the licensing, inspection, standards and research activities of the Commission. The services and related costs of this office, with the exception of the Second Projects Branch, were allocated to the operation offices after analyour of the services provided. The e services supporting the lumnant and maker. tion phote s were included in fee computation. The Special Projects Broken is concerned with special projects which are not directly concerned with licensing, inspection, standards, or research notive ities and therefore, the services of this branch were excluded from fee compu-

18 The Offer of Abministration Analysis shows that this office, with the

exception of the Division of Rules and tions covering the various uses of by-Records, provides service to the re-pretive NRC offices e centually on a per capita basis, and the is the basis for distribution of its services for fee commutation purposes. Those rervices supporting the licensing and inspections process were included in fee computation. The Division of Rules and Records is concerned primarily with requests under the Freedom of Information Act. Privacy Act, and the Federal Reports Act and provides support involving changes to rules and regulations. It services an independent public interest and it was excluded for fee computation purposes

16. The Office of the Controller. Analysis shows that this office provides services to the respective NRC offices essentially on a per capita basis. Those services supporting the licensing and inspection process were included in fee

computation.

17. The Office of Management Information and Program Control. This office provides management information and control systems dealing with project status and schedules for several of the NRC offices. Based on analysis, the services, as well as costs, were allocated to the offices receiving the services. Those services supporting the licensing and inspection process were included in fee computation.

18. Office of Planning and Analysis. This office assists the Executive Director for Operations in program assessment and policy analysis and development. It does not deal with licensing or inspection activities and the services were ex-

cluded from fee computation.

19. Office of the Executive Legal Director. Ana ysis of the services provided by this office shows that the effort goes to licensit g. hearings, and providing legal advice to the Executive Director of Operations. Those services supporting the licer sing and inspection process were included in fee computation.

20. Offices of State Programs and International Programs. These offices provide an independent public benefit and all of their services were excluded from

fee computation.

#### SMALLEST PRACTICAL UNIT

The smallest practical units for the various NRC services, which were used in the license fee determination relating to the licensing of facilities was the basic application or license. This includes applications for a construction permit, operating license, standard design approval early review of a facility site, review of a topical report or of a special process. The applicant for a musicar paper so permit or license may prepose to are of the standardiration approplemented by the Commission of the application for a unit that is collected reviewed. The several types of applications and requests filed by utilities and vendors became the basis for categories used for fee purposes.

The smallest practical units for allocating regulatory services and determining fees associated with express rial are the different types of aller-

product material, er, industrial radioererby, he pitale, oil well legging, etc. In certain in tances applications were further divided into sub-unity based on the difference in professional time required to review the sub-units. An example of this is field radiography v. radiography at one location.

The smallest practical units for licensing special nuclear material are based on the type of material (plutenium, enriched uranium, etc.), use of material (reactor fuel fabrication, research etc.). and the quantity of material. The amount of professional effort required to process a special nuclear material license or conduct an inspection is directly related to these factors. Using this approach applications and licenses were divided into 10 sub-units or fee categories.

The smallest practical units for source material licensing are based on the use of the source material, i.e., uranium mills, refining mill concentrates to uranium hexafluoride, recovery of uranium through in-situ leaching operations, etc. Using this approach source material applications and licenses were divided into four sub-units or fee categories.

Other fee categories which cover special applications or reviews are based on the type of application or request, eg. evaluation of spent reactor fuel shipping casks; manufacture and distribution of power sources; evaluation of sealed sources containing byproduct, source, or special nuclear material, and evaluation of devices or products containing or utilizing byproduct, source, or special nuclear material.

In all cases the fee categories developed by the Commission represent the smallest practical units of NRC services.

The current schedule of fees for power reactor construction permits and overating licenses is particlly on a sliding scale based on the capacity of the plant (megawattage). When fees were first adopted in 1968, proposed reactors were all custom utits in design and increasing in size and capacity, and vendor designs still evolving based on limited experience.

When the current fee schedule was adopted some stabilization in design had occurred; however, the review process was still custom in nature because of growing safety and environmental con-

cerns

With implementation of the standardization program in vendor design and the licensing review and the leveling off in size and power level capacity, the manpower required to review an applicallon for a construction permit and operating thense is about the same for all new land water reactors of a particular and culturated end ands upon whether it is a standard resign and whether the site has been previously reviewed. In view of this change, the sliding scale of fees for nuclear power reactors has been communited. Fees will be based on a fixed charge and remain so unless the pattern of reactor domin and licensing requirements dicht a chance

When it of pration covers two or more lections power plants at a single site or at additional sites, fee categories reflect the reduced becausing effect

For certain categories of new service or special project reviews, it was not practical to develop a fee for the catepory because of the extremely wide variation in review requirements. Charges will be made at the time the review process is completed, and will be based on the professional manpower required to complete the review. Examples of services in this category are reviews of reactor component designs submitted by vendors or suppliers and early site reviens

The NRC processes large numbers of applications to amend reactor operating licenses or to make changes in the technical specifications of a nuclear power plant. Fee categories have been developed to cover these services. Applications for amendments have been grouped into six classes which reflect differences in the effort required to complete these reviews.

The current fee category for a reactor manufacturing license has been separated into two categories which reflect current application review procedures. The new categories are (1) review of the preliminary design, and (2) review of the final design.

The current fee category. "Other production or utilization facility" has been separated into two categories, namely, (1) fuel reprocessing plant complex, and (2) uranium enrichment plant. This category has been sulit to provide greater equity because the licensing process for uranium enrichment plants requires less effort.

The fee categories for test reactors and research reactors have been con-

The current catevories for fuel cycle licenses and applications have been subdivided to reflect substantive changes which have occurred in licensing requirements and procedures since the current fee schedule was develored. The most significant changes have been increased safety, environmental, and natural phenomena considerations in the review of applications for licenses for uranium and plutonium fuel processing and fabrication plants. With respect to uranium, the complexity of the review from a safeguards point of view is also colored by whether the uranium containing uranium 235 is enriched to 20 percent or more. In the case of livensing a pluton um fuel processing and fabrication plant, an environmental review is required prior to the start of construction. An applicant must submit its environmental report and its safety analyes nine months prior to the expected start of construction so that NRC car. complete the environmental review and issue a construction approval prior to the start of construction. A new fee catepery. "Application for construction approval" has been developed because of this licensing change.

Because of the expected increase in storage of spent reactor fuel and the extensive safety and environmental matters to be received in licensing such installations, a fee category has been er- as endments provide special benefit to other special protects tended and tablished for this type of application and license.

Because of the substantial increase in licensing effort resulting from additional environmental considerations, a separate fee category has been developed for licenses authorizing uranium mills. In situ leaching operations or heap leaching operations are in a separate fee category, as are licenses for refining of uranlum mill concentrates to uranium hexafluoride. Licenses for quantities of source material, except when used in milling or refining operations, have been combined into one fee category. This was done because the quantity of source material is not a significant consideration in licensing.

Each of the fee categories covering licenses for small quantities of byproduct, source, or special nuclear material was analyzed to determine whether it adequately describes the effort required by the Commission. As a result of this analysis several new license fee categories have been developed while others have been modified. New fee categories established cover licenses or reviews for (1) the processing or manufacturing and distribution of radiopharmaceuticals using byproduct material. (2) authorization to receive prepackaged waste byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material from other persons and transfer to persons authorized to dispose of the material, (3) safety evaluations of devices or products containing byproduct material, or special nuclear material, (4) safety evaluations of scaled sources containing byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, (5) the manufacture and distribution of encapsulated byproduct material or special nuclear material for use in power generation sources, (6) evaluation of spent fuel casks and other shipping containers and packages, and (7) special projects. The fee category for licenses authorizing the possession of byproduct material for processing of items containing byproduct material for commercial distribution has been modifled to remove the phrase, "that require safety evaluation." This modification will permit all processing or manufacturing of commercial nems or products, except for power sources, to be covered by one license fee category and simplify the license fee program. The fee category for licenses issued to medical institutions authorizing the human use of hyproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, has been amended to include the set covered too of more

Physiciatic un a social liverse. Under the current streamle of feet no. charges are made for routine health. safety, enterenmental or safequards inspections of licensed activities; however, since there activates provide special benefit to reconfide to the state of the stat ule of fer has been briefly of for these services. Likewise, there is correctly no charge for license amenar, his except these increases the poses develof as operating a set founds and the e g.-

id . tifuble recipients, and fee have been ministrative superviery and hannaredealoped for amendments. The means that the Commission has been processing about 4,000 amendments and conducting about 1.400 routine inspections each year without any charge.

## FEE DEVELOPMENT

After each of the services performed by the NRC staff were analyzed to determine the existence of special benefit, the program support services (contractual line items, were individually reviewed to determine whether they support the review of applications, permits, licenses. approvals or inspections. If a contractual service was found to be supportive of the review, licensing, or inspection process, it was considered as providing special benefit and included in the appropriate fee computation For example, a contract laboratory completes most of the statutorily required environmental review for nuclear power plants. If the contractual service was in support of a specific license, approval, or inspection activity, the average cost per license was computed and used in developing the license for the specific fee category.

Each operating office responsible for processing of applications and conducting inspections developed the average professional manpower required to process each category or type of application. license, amendment, approval, and inspection. The categories are described in \$\$ 170 21, 170.22, 170.23, 170.24, 170.31, and 170.32 of this notice of proposed rulemaking. The professional manpower time is necessary to calculate the fee for each license and inspection fee category.

The NRC has a manpower system where employees conducting reviews and inspections submit weekly records identifying where their effort was expended. These records are periodically audited and entered into the NRC's automated data retrieval system. This information is retrievable as professional mannower expended against the several milestones involved in the review of facility applications. For materials it is retrievable for a class or type of application. This raw information was further analyzed after retrieval and used to develop the average manpower expended for each type of application, license, er inspection.

After each NRC service was properly categorized, contractual services analyzed, and the professional manpower figures obtained for each fee category, the cost per man-year to maintain a professional employee (professional man-year rate, was diveloped for the Offices of Nuclear Lougist Regulation. Number Materials 8 daty and Saveaustds and Inspection and Enforcement, and the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Atomic Safety Licensing Board Punel and the Atomic Safety Liconsing Appeal Panel These rates were developed by using all each, office's costs of personnel compensation (solar,es), personnel benefits, cumum trative support and travel, (2) the number of profor somal employees who were scentified creasing the stope of a literate. These as working on literating, inspection, and

ment direction employeess, and (a) the overhead support provided to the Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Nuclear Materials Eafety and Safeguards, Inspection and Enforcement, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Atomic Safety Licensing Board Panel and Atomic Safety Licensing Appeal Doard toperating offices) by the Program Direction and Administration and Program Technical Support offices. To determine overhead support these offices were analyzed to identify what service, if any, they provided to the operating offices.

After the analysis, the manpower and other costs of the offices of the Secretary. Controller, Management Information and Control, Administration, Executive Legal Director, and the Executive Director for Operations were allocated as overhead support to other FRC offices. Each of these offices, with the exception of the Offices of Controller and Admin-Istration. analyzed its operations in terms of the support it provides to the various operating offices. Based on this analysis, each office allocated its effort on a percentage basis. This overhead was applied to the total cost of the office receiving the support. The costs for the Offices of Administration and Controller were distributed to all of the NRC offices on a pro-rata basis based on distribution of manpower. This procedure was followed for the offices of the Controller and Administration because their support directly follows the needs of the statling of the various NRC offices. PDA and PTS offices excluded from fees are the Offices of Commission, General Counsel, Policy Evaluation, Inspector and Auditor, Congressional Affairs, Public Affairs, Planning and Analysis. Equal Employment Opportunity, and International and

State Programs The following shows how the professional man-year rate was developed for the Offices of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Nuclear Materials and Safeguards. Inspection and Enforcement, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards. Atomic Safety Licensing Board Panel. and Atomic Safety Licensing Appeal

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) - Average cost per man-year computation (fiscal year 1977)

	Cos:	Staff
•		
Firm some form personal and	\$17,000 con	613
A in a strategy support	4.400.00	
P 100 3	\$17,600	
Subsetal Less consultants	\$1,5 \\ \0.00 100.473	€.3
Total	24,402 30	
NRE's proportionate share of	5,771,171	
Nicht Ma Continues and the	500.5	*******
A cled to the field man years X		
Tatal	30.60.20	1413

See footnotes at end of table.

	Costs	51
Average entimenagest to main tain a professional entities et	\$30,673,244 \$70,01	143
*PDA * program direction and a program technical support. *The added force up on the intention plant and expected to proposed. *Of the fold bit but, but enjoying bed as professionals extra a refuseupervisory, and management.		
Office of Nuclear Mater Safeguards (NMSS)—, man-year computation (fi	rial Safety	0
431.	Costs	81
Personnel compensation costs Personnel benefits costs. Administrative surplest costs. Travel and transportation of per	1,720,000	2
month cours	\$30,000	-
Lets consultants	9,550,000 85,621	2
Total	9,464 376	
NMSS proportionate share of PDA and PTS 1 evert Added factor, 1216 man years x 1265		
man-years	. 81,420	
Total costs	. 12, 186, 747	* 2
Professional employee	\$12,189.747+ =\$69,243	
PDA program direction and adprogram technical support.  Added factor a program interest plant and cay this equipment.  Of the 276 total, 116 have been long many cars.	and depreciate identified as p	on o
Office of Inspection and Ent	cur compute	1110
	Costs 8	taff
Office of Inspection and Enf Aceroge cost per man-ye (fiscal year 1977)		taff
Office of Inspection and Enf Average cost per man-ye (fiscal year 1977)  Personnel componentian costs	\$15,150,000 1,570,(44) 5,970,(40)	50
Office of Inspection and Enf Average cost per man-ye (fiscal year 1977)	\$15, 150, 000 1, 370, 000 3, 970, 000 1, 770, 000 22, 240, 600	50
Office of Inspection and Enf Average cost per man-ye (Ascal year 1977)  Personnel compensation costs ersonnel b news costs ersonnel b news costs fravel and transpersation of persons costs  Bubtotal	\$15, 150, 000 1, 370, 000 3, 220, 000 1, 770, 000 27, 240, 600 3, 663, 623 56, 000	50
Office of Inspection and Enf Average cost per man-ye (Ascal year 1977)  Personnel compensation costs  Personnel benefit across  Personnel to make costs  Personnel to make costs  Personnel to make costs  Personnel to make costs  Personnel compensation costs  Personnel compensation of persons costs  Bubtotal  E's proportionate share of PDA and PTs ' costs  E training costs  E training costs  Attackment years strate was the same persons costs  Attackment years strate was the same persons costs	\$15, 150, 000 1, 570, 000 5, 970, 000 1, 770, 000 27, 240, 000 3, 663, 623 56, 000 275, 130	52

*PDA program direction and a fin program technical support. *Added factor to technic interest at plant and captalle to the *Of the 20 total was have been id afortal man your	nd depreciation on
Addison Corneller on Read (ACLS)—Aleman c st per pulciton (feed year 1977)	or Saleguards moneyear com-

		c	osta	Staff
Personnel companies on east	11	5	*11 413	37
Eubtota)	1	177	224	

	Costs	Stat
Administrative support once	265,424	
Program support costs	50 fee	******
Subtotal	2, 791, 215	37
ACRE's proportionate share of	236, 976	******
Added formers, 57 moneyears x 5,757 moneyear	10,915	N
Total costs	2, 512, 149	1 27
Average ent/man year to maintain a professional employee	\$2,570.1 28.57=1	

\*PDA = program direction and administration, PTS = program technical support.

Added factor represents interest and depreciation on plant as a capital equipment.

FOI the 37 total, 28.5 have been identified as profes sional man-years.

Atomic Sofety and Licensing Roard Panel (ASLBP)-Average cost per men-year computation (fiscal year 1977)

	Costs	Stati
Personnel compensation costs	\$1, 175, 445 116, 253	42
Subtotal	1, 291, 658	
Administrative support costs	304, 676	
Program support costs	127,000 230,000	
Subtotal	1,913,516	42
ACLBP's proportionate share of PDA and PIS (costs	436, 683 . 12, 330 .	
Total costs	2, 424, 471	142
Average cost/men year to maintain a professional employee	12, 421, 471 -185, 429	+128 38

1 PDA-Program direction and administration: PTS-prestam technical support

Added factor represents interest and depreciation en plant and capital equipment.

" Of the 42 total, as 36 have been identified as profes" simal man years.

Atomic Safety and Licerting Appeal Panel (ASLA!') - Actrony cost per manyeer computation (fiscal year 1917)

	Costs	Sint
Personnal compensation costs	\$475,775 47,605	17
Subtotal	677, 630	******
Administrative support costs.  Travel and transportation of persons	123, 530	*****
Program support costs.		******
Subto:al	666, 160	
ASLAP's proportionate share of PDA and PTS legals. Added factor 1, 17 man years x 520%	150, 623	
man year	5, 015	*******
Total costs	811,796	\$17
Average confunent year to maintain a professional.	\$611,775.4 = 556.	

PDA-program direction and administration, PTS-

program technical support.

Added factor represents interest and depreciation on plant and capital enumerat.

Of the 17 total, 9.36 have been identified as professional man years.

The costs of contested hearings were excluded in fee computation. The statutory hearing plays a significant role in the licensing of production and utilization facilities. Most of these hearings are contested proceedings and may consume several man-years of Commission time. The hearing is an adjudicatory process which gives the public an opportunity to intervene or participate in the licensing process. It also serves an educational purpose. The Commission has no way of estimating, in advance, the cost of a hearing. Accordingly, based on a policy decision, the costs of contested hearings were excluded in fee computation.

The actual fee for a specific category was computed by multiplying the average professional manpower required to perform the service by the professional manyear or man-hour rate, and adding the average share of the costs of the contractual support services. The following example illustrates how fees were calculated for nuclear power reactors. The example covers a duplicate design plant.

Nuclear power plant- C. struction permit-1st unit on site

Organization providing service	Average prof scoral process of time (man-year)	Professional man year rate	Cost elements of proposed fre
NER sof ty and environmental (marrower) NER sof y and environmental (contractor support)	6.1	\$70,612	\$107,07
N.R.R. sa' rearres (man power). N.R.R. sa' rearres (man power). N.R.R. sa' rearres (man power).	.2	70,012 70,012	21. 6 - 31. 14. 6 - 31. 21. 6 -
It so by an interpretable contracts support	1.60	64,623	120, 174 20, 174 30, 174
IE very training	1.5	\$ - (*-4	150,641
A-LIF CON	4	85.455 85.654	34, 17, 2, 377
Total	*******	************	1,008,534

After an application fee of \$125,000 permit fee moved up front so that when plication fee is part of the construction view costs.

was deducted from the \$1,000,014 the applications for nuclear power plants are construction formul fee became \$344,000 withdrawn, cancelled or denied, the (rounded to the nearest \$100). The an- Commission will recover part of its re-

Organization performing service	professional processing time (managear)	Professional man-year rate	Cost elements of proposed fee
NRR safety and environmental (manponer). NRR safety and environmental (contract support)	8.8	\$70,012	\$100,000
N R R anti-read triumpacer). N R R lanfor ands (margewort). N R R considerates.	:1	70, 612 70, 612	163, 215 7, 001 21, 001 510 30, 248
N R R oper the examination of the appropriate (F. safety and ensurance of all (manpower).	4.13	64,623	266, 811
E safety and environmental (contract support).  E safe wards (manpower)  E safe wards (contract support).		64, 623	15, 6c 5 6, 462 445 106, 913
CRS review.	1.2	80,004	106, 913
Total			1,024,472

The operating license fee (rounded) becomes \$1,024,500 for the first reactor unit on site. The fees covering review of (1) concurrent units esecond, third, etc., units of the same design at a single power station and reviewed at the same time) and (2) the first identical unit located at a different site, were computed using the method shown above. The fee for an identical unit located at a different site is substantially lower than the fee for a first unit of a kind. Information used to develop fees for nuclear power plants; facility manufactur-ing licenses; the Clinch River Breeder reactor; review of preliminary and final standardized designs filed by vendors and architect engineers; test facilities; re- for illustrative purposes.

search reactors; reprocessing facility complexes and uranium enrichment plants, is available for public review in the Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, Washington, D.C. Individual materisis licenses have been separated into 41 fee categories based on the type of license and inspection performed. The 41 categories cover applications, licenses and inspections for special nuclear material, source material, byproduct material, sealed source and device evaluations and the review of packages designed to transport radioactive materials. The development of three materials license application fees are shown below

Plutonium processing and fuel fabrication plant

1.6 0.32	809, 243 69, 243	\$2%3, 122 220, 0X 22, 154 23, 0X
0.32	€,343	22, 15
STORE TO STUDY OF THE PARTY OF		500, 281
2.1	60,243	145, 416 63, 632 31, 153
		241, 566
0.4	6,10	27, 697 50, 000
		107, 607
4.5	128	180
	0.4	

1 Man-bour.

The computation of all the fees for the materials license categories followed the method illustrated above. The cost detail is available for public review in the Commission's Public Document

Room, 1717 H Street, Washington, D.C. The following illustrates how inspection fees were developed for facility and materials licenses.

	Average professional tespecial same (man-year)	Professional Boat year rate	Cost elements of proposed fee
Power reactor (lst unit):  If safety and et vin amental (manpower).  IE (contract support).	11.004	KI CO	\$64, 887 10,75)
Total			

See footnotes at end of table.

	Sieral procestic time (man year)	Professional man profesie	Cort elements of proposed he
Special nuclear material licenter with quantities of 5 kg or more of 1 to 1 to d to fuel processing and labrication:  It softly (manipower)  It (contract support)	10.02	64, 623	4.524
Total			The second second second second

Per year.

The computation of all inspection fees (safety and safeguards) were developed using the method shown above. The cost detail is available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, Washington, D.C.

Inspection fees cover not only the time the inspector spends at the licensee's site but also takes into account the time the inspector or inspection team spends in reviewing the application and supporting documentation and records, the time required to prepare the inspection report, and travel costs.

It is the intent of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to assess inspection fees as follows:

 Upon completion of an inspection, where the frequency of the site visit is once per year or less.

2. Upon completion of 90 percent or more of the inspection requirements where the frequency of the site visit is more than once per year.

Routine inspections conducted by resident inspectors will be assessed a fee once per year for the inspection service.

No charge will be assessed for management audits, incident inspections, investigations, and enforcement activities. These activities fall outside the routine inspection program and they are considered to be an independent public benefit.

In special situations the following procedures will be used in the assessment of inspection fees.

1. When a person holds multiple materials because with use restricted to one location, and more than one of these licenses is inspected during a single inspection visit, the licensee will be assessed only the fee for the license for which the highest fee is due.

2. When a person holds one materials license which authorizes use of material at more than one location, an inspection fee will be assessed for each location when inspected.

3. When a single license authorizes materials which fall into more than one fee category, a single inspection fee will be assemed based on the highest fee category.

4. When more than one operating power reactor is inspected concurrently at a single site, one unit will be accessed the regular routine inspection fee and the additional unit(s) will be assessed a lower fee.

Each person holding a facility or materials because will be informed by letter of the frequency for which fees for routine inspections will be assected. The schedule of fees in \$1,170.22, 170.24 and 170.22 contain improvious frequencies. Persons receiving licenses on or after the

effective date of this amendment will be informed of the inspection frequency when the license is issued. It should be recognized that changes in individual programs or in the quantity of material authorized under a license may require a change in inspection frequency. When a change occurs, the licensee will be informed in writing.

"Special project", as used in the fee schedule, means those projects for which the review is not intended to result in a permit or license and for which the fee is not stated numerically in Part 170.

A separate schedule of fees has been established for uranium enrichment facilities. These charges are substantially less than those for reprocessing facilities, because the review is less complex.

Because of changing considerations in processing fuel cycle applications, fees for such applications are based on limited experience. Accordingly, the Commission plans to reassess the professional manpower required to process each fuel cycle application for a license or amendment when the review process is complete. No applicant will be charged more than that specified in this schedule of fees.

All new applications filed on or after the effective date of this amendment will be subject to the fees prescribed by this amendment to Part 170. Construction permits, operating licenses, manufacturing licenses, standardized design approvals, issued on or after the effective date of this amendment and special project reviews completed on or after the effective date of this amendment will be required to pay the fee prescribed by this amendment.

Fees for construction permits, operating licenses, facility manufacturing licenses, approvals of standardized reference designs, early site reviews, and special project reviews will be collected upon issuance of the permit, license, and approval, or upon completion of the review.

Collections under the revised schedule of fees are estimated to be approximately \$18 million in FY 1077, or about seven percent of the NRC budget. This estimate furumes adoption of the revised schedule on August 1, 1977. In FY 1978, estimated collections would be approximately \$40 million.

Following the Supreme Court decirions on March 4, 1974, in "National Caule Television Association, Inc. v. United States" 415 U.S. 336 (1974), and "Federal Power Commission v. New England Power Co.," 415 U.S. 345 (1974), the Commission eleminated annual livery fees and notified licensees that a request

may be filed for refund of numural feer collected. We again advice heeneds that a refund of annual fees is available A request for refund should include the name and address of the licensee and the license number. Each specific annual feer refund claim should include the invoice number, the amount paid by year, the amount of the refund requested, and the amount of any previous refund. Requests for refunds should be mailed to the Office of the Controller, U.S. Nuclear Requests ulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

The Commission will hold a public meeting to discuss this Notice at 10 a.m., May 12, 1977, in Room P-110, 7020 Norfolk Avenue. Bethesda, Maryland. At that time, data used in developing the proposed schedule of fees will be made available and the Commission will explain how the proposed schedule of fees was developed.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, and section 553 of title b of the United States Code, notice is hereby given that adoption of the following amendments to Title 10. Chapter I. Code of Federal Regulations, Part 170, is contemplated. All interested parties who desire to submit written comments for consideration in connection with the proposed amendment should send them to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, by June 1, 1977.

#### Section 170.2 is amended to read as follows:

## 6 170.2 Scope.

Except for persons who apply for or hold the licenses exempted in \$ 170 11. the regulations in this part apply to a person who is an applicant for, or helder of, a specific license for byproduct material license issued pursuant to Parts 23 and 32-35 of this chapter, a specific source material license issued pursuant to Part 40 of this chapter, a specific special nuclear material license issued pursuant to Part 70 of this chapter, or a production or utilization facility construction permit and operating license issued pursuant to Part 50 of this chapter, to rountine safety and safeguards inspection of a license person, and to a person who applies for approval of a reference standardized design of a nuclear steam supply system or balance of plant, for review of a facility site prior to the submission of an application for a construcfich permit or for a special project review which the Commission consplices of makes whether or not in conjunction a hear a application on file of to). Th may be filled

## Section 170.3 is amended to add: \$ 170.3 Definitions.

.

.

(c) "Nutlear Steam Supply System" converts of the reactor core, reactor core, reactor core, and tractor core of the first tractor distribution of the concentration core couldn't system; and the core of the system; and

coolant volume and chemical control where the fees are payable upon notifi- \$ 170.21 Schedule of fees for produc-Eystem

(r) "Balance of plant" consists of the remaining systems, components, and structures that comprise a complete nuclear power plant and are not included in the nuclear steam supply system.

(s) "Special Projects" means those projects for which the review is not intended to result it. a permit or license and for which a fee is not stated nu-

merically in this chapter.

(t) "Routine Inspection" means an inspection performed at frequencies or during a certain period of time prescribed by the Commission for purposes of reviewing a licensee's authorized activities to assure that they are being conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements and that associated facilities and equipment are being operated in a safe manner.

## 6 170.11 [Amended]

3. The introductory language in paragraph (a) and paragraph (9) of § 170.11 is amended to read as follows:

(a) No application filing fees, license fee, amendment fees, renewal fees, or inspection fees shall be required for:

- . . (9) A license for possession and use of byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material applied for by. or issued to, an agency of a State or any political subdivision thereof, except for licenses which authorize distribution of by product material, source material, or special nuclear material or products containing by product material, source material, or special nuclear material, or licenses authorizing services to any person other than an agency or political subdivision of the State.
- . 4. Paragraph (b) (3) of § 170.11 is deleted
- 5. Paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 170.12 are amended to read as follows:

#### \$ 170.12 Payment of fees.

(b) Facility fees. Construction permit fees, manufacturing license fees, operating license fees, reference standardized design approval fees, special project fees, amendment fees, and safety and safeguards inspection fees.

(1) Fees for construction permits, operating licenses, manufacturing licenses. and reference standardized design approvals are payable when the construction permit, operating license, manufacturing license, or standardized design

approval is i sued.

(2) Fees for special projects are payable upon notification by the Commission when the project is completed.

(3) Fees for amendments are payable upon notification by the Commission.

(4) Fees for inspections are payable upon notification by the Commission.

(c) Meterials fees. Amendment, inspection, and special project fees.

(1) Fees for material hoence amendments thall accompany the application except for Categories 1A. 1B. 1C, 1H. 2A. 2C, 4A, 11A, 11E, and 11C, in \$ 170.31 cation by the Commission.

- (2) I'ces for a special project involving byproduct, source, or special nuclear material are payable upon notification by the Commission.
- (3) Fies for inspections are payable upon notification by the Commission.
- 6. Section 170.21 of Part 170 is amended to read as follows:

tion and utilization facilities, review of reference standardized designs and special projects.

(a) Applicants for construction permits, manufacturing licenses, operating licenses, and approval of reference standardized facilities designs, thall pay the fees set forth in the table Lelow

(b) Applicants for special projects reviews shall pay fees as separately determined by the Commission.

### Schedule of facility fecs

	Types of fees	F(- '
A. Power tentiors		
1 Custom	Application-construction permit	\$105,00
4 WINDERSON	Con-Inscient termin-first unit	641,00
	Construction (semin-first ure)	174.04
	Operator : in the original unit	1, 7534, 719
	Operating high scongurrent unit ?	372, 63
2. Standardized design-duplicate unit	Appliention construction permit	1.5. **
	Construction permitted at unit. Construction permitteen current unit.	174,60
	Construction permatelet identical unit additional	214,00
	# te(s)	7.7
	Operating Loomso first unit	1.604.5%
	Oberging Tosus comcurrent must	20,00
	Operating literise-1st identical unit additional	***
3. Standardized design-replicate unit 4	Application construction permit	712,000 125,000
a communica acada telectare ann	Construction permit-last unit	611, 6 x
	E AD TRACT OR BUT II MORNING WAS BUT IN THE	161, 200
	Construction permit-arst identical unit additional	
	Site s	725, 930
	Operating he notifiest unit	617 43
	Operator of the management to the first	233, 93
	Operating beense first idential unit additional	*** ***
4. Standardited Design-Reference Systems	M(f,5)	601, 500
Concept A		
a Utility referencing a nuclear	Application construction permit	125,000
steam supply spatem and cus-	Construction bermit-first unit	6.13, 14 10
tom balance of plant.	Construction permit-concurrent unit :	107,500
	Construction permit-first identical unit additional	723,900
	Operating license-first unit	934, 100
	Operating he assessement unit !	272, 100
	Operating herenseferst identical unit additional	6.1, 2.19
	\$1e-s).	
b. Utility referencing a nuclear	Application-existraction pencit	125,000
ardized balance of plant.	Contract on the part of the time	1. 4.00
mounte batance of frant.	English permit of the transfer of the state	1
	Construction permut-last identical unit additional	723,100
	Operating license-first unit	823, 100
	Operational Literate on during at unal formation	202,100
	Operating Leense-first identical unit additional	6.4,200
5. Manufacturing hornes concept .	<ul><li>4.10(5).</li></ul>	
A Lenderstreet of ardiminate	Application	
design.	Aran afternaring license	125,000
b. Vendur-review of final design	Frank den die Amerikans	7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
e. Utuity to beforeing a manufactor-	Applicationed situation permit	123.0.0
ing license.	Construction permit-list unit	13.000
	Construction permit concurrent unit	61, 500)
	Operating I renked at unit	1,0 20
6. Breeder reactors	Op rating he assessment unit !	1,(-1,20
** ***********************************	Construction to finit.	
	Oterst re i carse	
Standard reference des go ressew 1	Operating itemse	11, 954, 300
1. Ver 1 defor duct ted nucleur steam sup-	Operating itemse	
1. Ver 1 de la duci ded nuclear steam sup-	Operating interse	
1. Ver 1 de land ded numeur s'eam sup- ply source . Retains of preliminary reference	Application	\$0,600
Ver i de la del ded nucleur s'earm sup- ple ser de cof preliminary reference de con	April cation	50,600 412,100
Ver i de tot del i ted nucleur s'eam sup- per ser en et preliminary reference de con	Application.	\$0,000 412,100 50,000
Ver I defined and sed nuclear steam sup- ply \$1.10.     Rest w of preliminary reference d.s.co.     But sw of final refer needes.co	April cation	50,600 412,100
Ver i de tot del i ted nucleur s'eam sup- per ser en et preliminary reference de con	Application.	\$0,000 412,100 50,000
1. Ver i defended hed nuclear steam supply \$1.20  2. Renew of preliminary reference  3. Set to the final reference des co  2. Arthresh of final reference des co  2. Arthresh of president dead bulings of place.	Application. Application. Application. Application. Application. Application.	\$0,000 412,100 50,000 652,460
1. Ver i defended hed nuclear steam supply for the Action of preliminary reference d. S. at.  2. Artificial representational following of pasts at leaves of polymers, returning a license of polymers.	Application Application Application Application Application Application Application Application	\$0,600 412,100 50,600 453,460
1. Ver i defended hed nuclear steam supply \$0.00.  2. Renow of preliminary reference distant  3. Renow of final reference design  2. Artificial representational relations of plant  2. I have a figuration, returned.	Aprication. Aprication. Aprication. Aprication. Aprication. Aprication. Aprication. Aprication. Aprication.	50,600 412,109 50,600 453,460 50,000 453,460
1. Ver i defendad sed nuclear steam supply \$1.00  2. Kern w of preliminary reference  3. Kern w of final reference des co  2. Arthress empreer standard and bolimes of parts  4. Leaves of politicary returning  5. Forest of a reference des co	Application.	50,000 412,100 50,000 453,460 50,000 453,460
1. Ver i de tradici ded nucleur steam supply \$1. 20.  2. Kernew of preliminary reference d. \$2.  2. Architecture of final reference des co  2. Architecture of preliminary returnite d. I leaves of polymers, returnite b. France of polymers des co  Test facility.	Application Applic	50,600 412,100 50,000 653,460 50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 50,000
1. Ver i defended ded nucleur steam supply state of preliminary reference  2. Archive of final reference described.  2. Archive appears supply dead believe of plant  2. I have a final reference described.  3. Leave of polymore, returned  4. Leave of final reference described.  Test face of	Application Applic	50,600 412,100 50,000 653,460 50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 50,000
1. Ver i defended ded nucleur steam supply state of preliminary reference  2. Archive of final reference described.  2. Archive appears supply dead believe of plant  2. I have a final reference described.  3. Leave of polymore, returned  4. Leave of final reference described.  Test face of	Application Applic	\$0,600 412,100 50,600 452,460 50,000 452,460 50,000 50,000 51,000 51,000 51,000
1. Ver i de tradici ded nucleur steam supply \$1. 10.  2. Retiew of preliminary reference describe.  2. Architecture processional disciplinate of particular and processional disciplinate describe and processional disciplinate describe and particular describes and particu	Application Applic	50,000 412,100 50,000 453,460 50,000 413,100 50,000 413,100 51,000 51,000 51,000 51,000 51,000 51,000
1. Ver i defended hed nuclear steam supply \$1. th  2. Keen wild final reference des co  2. Arthress of final reference des co  2. Arthress of final reference des co  3. Leaves of final reference des co  4. Leaves of final reference des co  Test facility  Research reactor  1. Verification des co  1. Test facility  Research reactor  1. Test facility  1. Test facili	Application Applic	50, 600 412, 100 50, 600 452, 460 50, 600 402, 100 50, 600 50, 600 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500
1. Ver i de tradici ded nucleur steam sup- ply sold in  B. Rettiew of preliminary reference  d. Sold  b. Rettiew of final reference des cold  2. Artification processional dial bolimose of plant  a. Letties of politication for culturate  b. Forest of all relimine dialetties  Test facility  Research reactor  Reprocessing plant complex t.	Application Applic	50,000 412,100 50,000 453,460 50,000 453,460 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000
1. Verification during a suppose state of preliminary reference  2. And the experience of final reference design.  2. And the experience and other design.  3. I have a final reference design.  4. I have a final reference design.  Test facility.  Research reactor.  Reprocessing plant complexit.	Application. Appli	50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 50,000
1. Ver i defined and ded nuclear steam sup- ply \$1. th  B. Rest w. of preliminary reference  d. S. of  D. Bettew of final reference des co  2. Artificial representational distance  of plant  E. Lewis of politically relations  b. Forest of politically relations  b. Forest of political relations distance  b. Forest of political relations distance  Research reactor.  Reprocessing plant of mples t	Application Applic	50,000 412,100 50,000 453,460 50,000 453,460 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000
1. Ver i defined and ded nuclear steam sup- ply \$1. th  B. Rest w. of preliminary reference  d. S. of  D. Bettew of final reference des co  2. Artificial representational distance  of plant  E. Lewis of politically relations  b. Forest of politically relations  b. Forest of political relations distance  b. Forest of political relations distance  Research reactor.  Reprocessing plant of mples t	Application Applic	50,000 412,100 50,000 603,400 50,000 612,100 50,000 612,100 50,00
1. Ver i defined and ded nuclear steam sup- ply \$1. th  B. Rest w. of preliminary reference  d. S. of  D. Bettew of final reference des co  2. Artificial representational distance  of plant  E. Lewis of politically relations  b. Forest of politically relations  b. Forest of political relations distance  b. Forest of political relations distance  Research reactor.  Reprocessing plant of mples t	Application Applic	50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 412,100 61,100 61,100 5,000 51,100 11,100
1. Ver i defined and ded nuclear steam sup- ply \$1. th  B. Rest w. of preliminary reference  d. S. of  D. Bettew of final reference des co  2. Artificial representational distance  of plant  E. Lewis of politically relations  b. Forest of politically relations  b. Forest of political relations distance  b. Forest of political relations distance  Research reactor.  Reprocessing plant of mples t	Application Applic	50,000 412,100 50,000 453,460 50,000 413,100 50,000 50,000 51,100 50,000 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,100 51,000
1. Ver i defined and ded nuclear steam sup- ply \$1. th  B. Rest w. of preliminary reference  d. S. of  D. Bettew of final reference des co  2. Artificial representational distance  of plant  E. Lewis of politically relations  b. Forest of politically relations  b. Forest of political relations distance  b. Forest of political relations distance  Research reactor.  Reprocessing plant of mples t	Application Applic	50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 50,000 412,100 412,100 61,100 61,100 5,000 51,100 11,100

### Schedule of facility fees - Continued

Facility eatrgories	Types of I es	Fee !
7. Urenium enrichment plants	Application parateurion permit	125.000
	Construction permit	457, 200
O. Special projects and reviews 18	Operating license	457, 20

1 Where a partial he for a power tractor operation license has been paid prior to the effective date of this amendment, the amount paid shall be deducted from the fire prescribed by this amendment and the difference will be due when the operating license for the pet power is round.

1 Concurrent unit. A concurrent unit is do and as a power reactor of the same design at a single power station that was subject to concurrent the intent to your.

2 Duplicate unit. A displicate unit involves a single terries of a facility design when an applic intent group of applicants propose to concurrent several identical units at most are single appendix N, to CF it Pari So.

3 Replicate unit. The review of a riplicate unit universes such a single application by a unity for a regrain or license for a number power plant utility of a point design that was previously submitted by the same utility or by another utility. Its utilizate objective would be the duplication of plants through the detailed design and construction phases.

\* Reference system: The application for a construction permit or operating license references an approved standardized design covering order the nuclear steam supply or the "balance of plant." (See Appendix O, 10 CFR Part 50.)

\* Manufacturing license concept: This type of review encompasses a number of identical units to be manufactured at one location and moved to a different largular for operation. "See Appendix M, 10 CFR Part 50.)

Twhen review of application is compared to its will be abouted against professional manufactured and related contractual services costs to justed to process the application and in no event will have exceed those shown in the Schedule of Facility. For some of the operation of the little of Facility.

traction) services costs to justed to process the application and in no event with less exercultions shown in the concurred ule of I active Fees.

Standard reference design review. The standard reference design review involves the review of an entire facility design of major mesons on a famility design would be referenced by a business in blende applicable in cover 4 Appendix O, lot 4 k Fair 50.)

A major after the six is defined as one requiring evaluation of a major after of herein discrete the proposed action could present a procedular rest to pulsar health and soft 1). A minor amendment is difficience one that is primarily administrative in nature, where safety and environmental or safeguards considerations may be easily resolved.

resolved.

Charge will be a paralely determined by the Commission taking into account the professional manpower required to conduct the review multiplied by the applicable cost per man-year, plus any program support (contractual) costs incurred.

#### 7. A new \$ 170.22 is added to read as follows:

#### § 170.22 Schedule of fees for facility license amendments.

Schedule of amendment fees for facility permits, licenses, or design approvals

8. A	new	₹ 170.23	is	added	to	read	25
follows							

### § 170.23 Schedule of fees for routine inspections of facilities.

Schedule of facility routine inspection fees!

	Fee ! (it	dollars)
Class of Amendment	Power reactors 1	Test and research reactors
Class I: Amendments that are a duplicate of an amendment for a second essentially relativest and at the same site, where both pro-		
processed, and it used at the same		
forms, administration in nature, or do not have a sure in nature.	400	********
Class III. Amendments that in-	1,200	600
acceptability for the considera- tion clearly identified by a regu- latory position, or are deemed not to luvolve applicant hyporis		
consideration  Lass IV; Amendment, that in- woise a compact time or more than one orne branen, sveral charges of one to a litter in comparated may be a large of Ernt, or have been juicate of trothe structure barrane cou-	4,000	2,000
sideration.  Hase V. Anne constitute returns exclusive process of family operation and the service state safety of the service	12, 300	6, 000
involverum or horse and definition of the state of the control of the state of the	23,500	12, 6X
ACL and an armonity are a brance	45, 900	20,000

	-
At the time the and the time of led, the Com-	tina and
the parties of the parties parties	
Contracts	32.5 40

Category	Fee ! (dollars)	Minimum frequency
L. Power reactor:		
First unit	75,700/yr	O115.
Additional units	60,400 yr	Do.
2. Test reactor	4,500 per inspec-	2/yr.
3. Research reactor	4.5 or per inspec-	(*).
4. Other production or Lititation facility	C.19. yr	Continu-
5. Production or utility- tion limits been ad- for possision but not operation.	630 pr	1/ут.

I Routine inspections are safety, environmental, and bealth physics inspections performed at specified frequencies for purposes of reviewing a licensed program to assure that the outhorized activities are being conducted in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, Commission regulations, and the terms and con Licons of the license. These in persions involve, as necessary, direct observations of operations, prosonn I interviews, independent measurements and evaluations, and schooling record and procedure examination. They do not include saf guards inspections of special nuclear minerial. Fees will be due upon receipt of notice from the Commission.

I The frequency of imperitors depends upon the type of breast which a end formula, the quantities of material used or pre-resed, and the in-recal potential so' ty buryds. The frequency may change because of protients experienced by breasees or previous trapection Calais

A to laved for will be charged when the inspection of an all annual unit at the same site is conducted concarried by with the first unit.

\* The intermina frequency for research reactors and er. 2 finding tar es from once every two years to one every there years, depending on the beene dipower F # 1

AF with application of the fuel reprocessing facility and for a manium shrithment facility.

## PROPOSED RULES

9. A new \$ 170.24 is added to read as

\$ 170.21 Schedule of fees for routine safeguards inspections of facilities.

Schedule of facility routine safeguards inspection fees

Category	Fee 1 (dollars)	Minimum Frequency
1. Power reactor:		
First unit	\$11.800/yr	2/yr.
Additional unit	9,54P, yr	2/57.
2. Test reactor (fuel of high strugging im- portance).	6,500 per inspec- tion.	1/yr.
<ol> <li>Research reactor (fuel of moderate strategic impor- tence).</li> </ol>	1,300 per inspec- tion.	1 every 2 yrs.
4. Other production or builtration facility.	28,700/yn	3/yr.

Inspection fees are due upon receipt of notice from the

Inspection fees are due upon receipt of notice from the Commission.

The frequency of inspections depends upon the type of increased activities and facilities, and upon the type of inspections conducted. The term "irreguency" means the number of times per year that a special inspection requirement is performed. Thus, a frequency of time per year may involve more than one trap to the Lenkry to complete the requirement. The frequency may change because of problems, especialize, or inspection findings.

A reduced fee will be charged who the inspection of additional unit(s) at the same site is conducted concurrently with the first unit.

Fee is applicable for a fuel reprocessing heality and for a uranium enrichment facility.

10. Section 170.31 is amended to read as follows:

§ 170.31 Schedule of fees for materials licenses and special projects.

Applicants for materials licenses and holders of materials licenses shall pay the following fees.

Schedule of materials license fees

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee !	Fee
L. Special nuclear material.		
A. Licenses for possession and use of 5 kg or more of contained ureni- um 235 in uranium enriched to 20 pct or more, or more than 2 kg of uranium 233, for fuel processing and fabrication.	Application—new licenses Annwal	\$136,670 76,600
	Major-safety and envi-	34, 600
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	Minor-safety and envi-	1, 400
D. There is no server and an inter-	Minor-salveuards	2, 300
B. Licenses for possession and use of 5 kg or more of contained uranium Thin uran unnempeled to kess than to jet, for fuel processing and fabrication.	Kenewal	104, 800 71, 900
and and indication.	Amendment	34, 800
	reament.	
	Major—safety and envi-	1, 400
<ul> <li>A subtribute to the control of the con</li></ul>	Minor-safeguards	3,500
C. Licenses for possession and use of more than 2 kg of plutonium for fuel processing and fabrication.	Application for construction	\$30, \$00
	1 keense fee	241,600
	Ameniment	170, 600
	Major-safety and envi-	75, 000
	Major - safety and envi-	1, 400
	M.nor-sal ruards	6.000
D. Licences for possession and use of more than 5 kg of contain d	Arr stich new Livinge	34, 610
uranium Color und and Aractivales other than fuel processing and fatnestics.	An an iment	15, 0.0
	Fa' 'y andenvironment	1.4%
E. Literage for powers on and are of quantities of platen am exerved.	\$2° \$137 ds	2 50
Ang 2 ag for activities a construction land process ag and fabrication.	Argusten-new Lynne	6.50
The state of the s	Ameniment	36, 190
	Safety on 1 environment	1,400
F. Licenses for possession and use of 200 g to 2 kg of plutonium	Application, new brease	47,100
The state of the s	Am adments	29, 5.0
	Safety and environment	-1.470
C Viene Committee Committe	C. M. Strife	4 40
G. Liveray for power on and un of the grad he of contained uran ura-	Attachen big bitte	2.86
233 or 200 g to 2 kg of arrate accessed.	Am Liment	11, 130
	gainty and tensurenment	1,4%
	. Salig Lards	-

( Ategory of seasons a seasons	Trivottel	Tre
D. Licenses for receipt and storage of state actor fuel s	Application, new herner	311,470
	Amendment * Miajor, safety and environ	\$4,500
	Ment. Major, safeguards	
	Minor, safety and environ-	3,500
<ol> <li>Licenses for persession and use of special nuclear in sterial in scaled sources contained in devices used in industrial incuruting</li> </ol>	Minor, saferuards.  Application, new license Licenwal.	150
3. All other special nuclear material licenses, except here a authorizative appears nuclear material in conduction that would consist ture a critical quantity as doing 1 in right 159. Hof pt. 179 which shall pay the same rate as category 10.	Renewal	460
A. Literages for portession and use of source material in million opera- tions, except in situ kaching and heap-hacking operations.	Amendment:	107,700 100,800
	Major, safety and entrion- ment.	20, 800
	Minor, safety and environ-	3, 500
B. Licenses for processing and recovery of source material in in situleacture operations or heap-leaching operations.	(production scale activ-	60,500
	(R. & D. Ecale Activity).	23. 500
	Amendarent	17,310 4,210 167,700
C. Licenses for refining transium mill concentrates to transium beta-	Application, new license	45, 530
	Amendment: A Major, safety and environ-	20,500
	Minor, safety and environ-	3,500
D. All other source material licenses	Application, new license	140
	Amendment	40
Byproduct material:  A. Liceness for possession and use of hyproduct material issued purmanant to pis. 30 and 33 of this chapter for processing or r anufacturing of items containing byproduct material for commercial	Renewal	460 460 110
B. Licenses issued pursuant to sec. 32.72 of this chapter authorizing	Application, new license	190
the processing or manufacture and distribution of radio pharma- ceuticals centa nine by product material.	Amendment	150
C. Licenses for hyproduct no tenal issued parsurat to pt. Stofthis chapter for industrial radiography of rations at one location.	Ren wel	150
D. Licenses for byproduct material issued pursuant to pt. 34 of this	Am nament	40
chapter for industrial radiography operations at more than one location.	Amen Inval	110
E. Liernes for possession and use of hyproduct material in sealed sources for irradiation of materials where the source is not re-	Application, new license	150
F. Licenses for possession and use of hyproduct material in scaled	Arrentment.	40
sources for uradiation of materials where the source is exposed for uradiation purposes.	Ren wal	460
O. Liceness usual purposes to subpt. B of pt. 32 of this chapter to distribute items contain he by no fact mitterful or quantities of bypoduct mitterful to present our may be removed unter pt all or 35 of this chapter, except species he new authorizing reduction of the research by the first him to december the under a specific hereas and beauty and to the december of the tribution to persons generally because during pts. 31 or 35 of this chapter.	Am-nin-ni Ar-ti-wion, new licens lich wil Ameniment	\$10 \$30 \$30
II. Library 19 used pursuant to suspit. A of pt. 22 of this chanter to distribute stems containing byproduct in facial or operation of byproduct infarral to persons exempt from the kenning requirements of pt. 20 of this chapter, except (1) sees with 1 and 2.13 of this chapter, (2) see make in a nuthorizon redumbation of terms and quantities which have been manufactured or imported under a specific heads and hone-of by the Commissional Commission.	Application, new Ecrase Ecrawal Amendment	810 810 230
sion for distribution to persons exempt from the livensing re- quirements of pr. 30 of this chapter, and (3) second livenses		17. 15
L Licets a fauged purchased to a 22 is of this chapter to d dispute	Application, new beense	120
heeming requirements of its 30 of this engages.	Amenitarit	150
J. Lineness issued parament to see, 3. 14 of this compare to distribute time process, hands and a distribute and hydrogen 3 or process.	At p. cation, new license	130
thing 147 to personal exempt from the according requirements of a pt. 20 of this chapter.	A:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	40
K. Elemans for press seen and use of begreduct material for coman hand development, earlier it has been assessment as earlier and a Armab, and increase and the pressure of the authorized and armab armab and armab and armab and armab armab and armab armab and armab a	In (Whi	100 100 40
L. All other species byer that material because, except those in categories 4A through in b.	Arrivarion, new Leense	119 119 40
A. Waste disposal Lories specifically surficing the record of waste.	Arrivoron, new license	
byproduct material, source naterial, or special numeral material from either per and fir the purpose of our increase dispetal by	Letenal	95, 5,0
land or see burial by the waste unporal Licensee.	Lugar, safety and environ-	197,700
	Miner, safety and environ-	690
by reducing a real surer value of a large on stead from other provides in a page with a large, rader at Table 200 and a contract of the state of the	Arrivation, new Decise	1,100
C. Feled P. Marie to the Control of the Control	Les and the second	. 100
portar of the process	Az judicell	140

Category of n averals hormes	Type of fee 1	Fre
A. Well legging and well approve and teams and dear and	-	-
A Little of the control of the contr	Application, new license,	
by product material for well legging, well surveys, and trace	r li new i	46
& Nuclear Interiors	Aruchdinent	110
A. Ligeners for commercial editorion and launder of trans-		- 0
were the with the frequent first fight address to alread the account the	Aprilication, new license	460
		110
7. Muman use of hyproduct material source material, or special nuclear		*10
A. Liters a issued pursuant to pra 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter for		
		300
nucleur material in stated sources contain d in telecherapy	Amendment	\$70
B. Licences issued pursuant to pts. 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter to		*0
		190
	Fig. The Sa 18	150
		60
C. Licenses issued pursuant to present a continue that chapter to an individual physician for human use of hyperdust material,	Application, new license,	190
	Ki newal	150
eategory 7A.	Amendment	40
8. Cirl defense A. Licenses for possession and use of hyproduct material,	Application, new license	190
and the state of t	Kenewal	150
Device, product, or scaled source safety evaluation:	Anichdelent.	40
A. Dairin engine of district of contract contrac	Application application	
	Application, evaluation	570
Sector fuel devices and devices or products the state at the product the state at the product		
pursuant to pts. 30, 4, and 10 of this country		
and belling evaluation of a lateral room and a late	Application evaluation	
	Application, evaluation	110
exempt from the reminiments for a license pursuant to pts. 30,		
BU. Power source A Licenses for the consultation of A	Amplication non-ti-	
	Application, new license	1,900
11. Transportation of heatsaid material	A brendment	460 460
A. Evaluation of spent fuel casks and air shipping packages for pluto-		****
blum.	Application, evaluation	\$3, 100
	Alajor	6,900
B. Evaluation of high level waste cashs and later transfer	Minor	1 500
B. Evaluation of high level waste casks and large irradiator packages.	APPLICATION, evaluation	70,200
	Amendment:	
C. Evaluation of all other sections	Major	6,900
C. Evaluation of all other packages	Appuration, evaluation	8,500
	Amendment	2 440
9 Sourial projects &	Major	3, 500
2. Special projecta: 1	***************************************	860

\*Types of feet: Suparate charges as shown in this schedule will be assessed for applications for new licenses, amendments, and renewals to existing licenses. The following rund-lines apply to these charges:

Application has Applications for materials licenses executing more than one for category shall be accompanied by the presented application fee for each category. Where a license has expired, the full application fee shall be due.

B. Renewal feet: Applications for renewal covering more than one fee category shall be accompanied by the prescribed fee for each category.

4. Amendment feet: Applications for amendments will not be accepted for filter unless accompanied by the prescribed amendment feet rate for shall. In. 18, 18, 10, 111, 124, 20, 44, 114, 111, and 111, where the feets due up in note, along a type of the feets fat, 18, 10, 111, 124, 20, 44, 114, 111, and 111, where fee category shall be not applied by the prescribed amendment about the term of a product of the feets of the feets of the major and the feet of the feets of the fe

11. Section 170.32 is added to read:

\$ 170.32 Schedule of fees for health and safety, and safeguards inspections for materials licenses.

Schedule of materials license inspection fees

Market and the second second and the second			
Category of materials breases	Type of fee	Fee 1	Minimum bequeacy.
A. Line has for possession and use of 5 kg or more of contained used until the manufacture of the second se		\$3,300	1°77.
B. Links for it and a tribe of a kind of the first tribe of the contract of	Sat guards	10,300 5,500	2'97. 2 57.
C. In the stope of the law of more than the of platenoum for fuel processing and laboration.	H. Sand	10,500	1'57. 4.31.
	Bui guarde	11,700	3 yr.

Category of materials licenses	Type of feet	Fec 1	Minimum Incountry
D. Litrenues for pay and and use of more than 5 kg of con-		4,000	1/yr.
fained transcent and or transcent 223 for activities other than fuel processing and laboration	Fall First ds	7.60	2'yr
E. Licenses for provious and use of quantities of plutonium expending 2 kg for activities other than fuel processing and tablication.	\$35-13.		1/51.
F. Licenses for possession and use of 200 grams to 2 kg of plutoni-	Sufety.		2'yr. 1/yr.
O. Licenses for porcession and use of 310 grams to 5 kg of contained granium 235 or 200 g to 2 kg of uranium 253.	Bafreu irds		1/yr. 1 every 2 yr.
B. Licenses for receipt and storage of spent reactor fuel	Saferuards		1/yr. 1/yr.
Licenses for possession and use of special nuclear material in scaled sources contained in devices used in industrial measur-	Safety, Safetynde licelith and safety.		2/yr. Levery 5 yr.
ing systems.  J. All other special nuclear material licenses		780	1/yr.
Source material:     A. Licenses for possession and use of source material in milling operations, except in situ leading and heap-leading opera-		1,800	1/57.
B. Licenses for processing and recovery of source material in in .	do	1,800	1/ут.
C. Meenes for refining uranium mili concentrates to uranium . headingorde.	do	1,800	1/ут.
D. All other source material licenses	do	460	1 every 2 yr.
A. Licruses for porsession and use of hypreduct material issued	do	* ***	1/44
A. Liceuses for possession and use of hyproduct material issued pursuant to pis. 50 and as of this empter for processing or manufacturing of iterus containing by product material for commercial distribution.		760	1/yr. 1/yr.
B. Licensee issued pursuant to see: 32.72 of this chapter authorizing the processing or manufacture and distribution of radiopharmaceuticals contacting byproduct material.	Health and salety.	650	1 every 3 yr.
C. Licenses for by project material resided pursuant to pt. 31 of this chapter for audustrial radiography operations at one location.	do	720	L/yr.
D. Liceuses for byproduct noterial issued pursuant to pt. 34 of . this chapter for industrial radiography operations at more	do	980	1/51.
E. Licenses for possession and use of bypreduct material in scaled sources for irradiation of materials where the source is not removed from its shield is distributed units.	do	390	1 every 5 yr.
F. Licenses for possession and use of hyproduct material in scaled .  Sources for tradition of numericals where the source is exposed for irradiation purposed.	do	300	1 every 3 yr.
C. Licenses issued pursuant to subpt. B of pt. 32 of this chapter to distribute stems containing byproduct material or quantities of byproduct material to persons generally her used under pts. 31 of 25 of this chapter, except synchological redistribution of items which have been manufactured or imported under a specific license and items d by the Cemmission for distribution to persons generally li-	40	290	Do.
B. Licenses issued pursuant to subpt. A of pt. 32 of this chapter, to distribute items containing byproduct material or quintities of byproduct material to persons exempt from the	do	200	Do.
hernsing requirements of pt. 50 of this chapter, except (1) sees. 32.11 and 32.15 of this chapter, except (1) sees. 32.11 and 32.15 of this chapter, except her not sufficient prediction but of thems and quantities when have been manufactured or interted unfor a specific house and having day the Commission for distribution to persons extend from the bean interequipment is of 12. 50 persons extend from the bean interequipment is of 12. 50	,		
of this chapter, and the specific houses which authorize distribution of timespeces, hands, and data.  Licenses issued pursuant to see, but a of this chapter to dis-	do	390	Do.
tribute quantities of hypiocast material to persons exempt from the his many requirements of pt. 30 of this chapter. 3. Licenses issued pursuant to see, 30.14 of this chapter to dis-	60	200	Do.
tribute timepieces, hands and dials, continuing hydrodyn 3 or promethium 147 to persons earmpt from the licensing requirements of pt. 30 of this chapter.			
K. Liberhus for possition and use of hyproduct material for research and development, except those from a covered by extegories 3A or 3B, and brones covered by categories 7B or 7C authorities medical research.	do	390	Da.
L. All other specific byproduct material licenses, except those in categories 4A through lob.	do	330	1 every 5 yr.
A. Waste disposal licenses specifically authorizing the receipt of waste byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material from other twicks for the purpose of commercial disposal by land or sia burial by the waste disposal	dɔ	950	1/ут.
B. Beste disposal licenses specifically authorizing the receive of a material form of the receive of a material form of the property and the purpose of the way ment to another property and the material between the property of the material by the property of the purpose of the	60	630	1 еусту 3 уг.
C. Waste disposal hornous specifically authorizing the receipt of a proportioned waste typic light movemal, source material, or special nuclear material from other persons. The hornous will dispose of the material by transfer in to another persons.	do	630	Do.
Well begins and will running and tracer states. A. L. course for pasture of a number of pasture of a number of pasture of a number of pasture o	<b>ć</b> o	5.70	Do.
Published a located were reveal and train resource.  Nutrian laundry. A long section a union calcium tast and laundry.  Only he contaminated I with by product material, source material, or  special nutrial material.	60	500	Do.

Category of materials formes	Type of fee !	Fee !	Minimum frequency i
7. Human use of by product material, source material, or special nuclear material.			
A. Licenses haved pursuant to pis 20, 40 and 10 of this chapter for human use of the product resternal source material or special nuclear material in Seuled Sources contained in telescheraps desires.		460	1 every 2 yr.
D. Literage issued pursuant to pix 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter to medical instantance, or two or more phaseria, son a curle beens, for human use of hydroduct material, our material, or special nuclear material, every bleeners in category 7A.		460	1 every 3 yr.
C. Licenses issued pursuant to Paris 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter to an individual physician for human in e of hyproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, except because in Category 7A		330	De.
field, autre material, or special nuclear material for evel defense	do	200	1 every 10 yr.
8. Device, product, or scaled source safety evaluation:  A. Safety evaluation of devices or products containing hyproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, ex- cept reactor fuel devices and devices or products destributed to general licensees or persons exempt from the tequire ments for a license pursuant to pes, 30, 40, and 10 of the shaper.	Not applicable		No Inspec- tions con- ducted
terial, source marrial or special must be product that terial, source marrial or special must are marrial exercit re- actor furl and scaled sources distributed to prograf breaks es- or person exempt from the marrial marrial breaks es-	do		Do.
and to pis 30, 40, and 70 of this extinct.  10. Power source: A Licenses for the manufacture and distribution of encapsulated by product material or extend nuclear material for use in power generation, ever it reactor fuel.	Mealth and	780	1/yr.
Transportation of his used material     A. Evaluation of spent fuel cashs and air shipping packages for plutonium.	Not applicable	*****	No inspec-
3. Evaluation of high level waste cashs and large irradiator pockages.	do		Do.
C. Evaluation of all other packages	do		Do.

1 Types of fees: Separate charges as shown in this schedule will be assessed for each routine inspection which is performed at frequencies prescribed by the Office of Inspection and Enforcement, boutine major tions are health and safety, and safethed inspections performed at specified programs to assure that the authorized activities are being conclusions for the house. These instancing health a member of activities are being conclusions of the house. These instancings are defined an econdation of the house. These instancings are defined as fewer and conditions of the house. These instancings are defined as fewer and conditions of the house. These instancings are defined as fewer and evaluations, and elective record and procedure examinations.

Inspection fees are due upon to invite from the Commission. The inspection fee for Leaners covering more than one for category will be charged only for the highest he category assumed the house, if the inspection of the entire because it is an at the same time. Where a location holds more than one materials hereing at a sincle location, a fee equal to the highest fee category covered by the house will be assessed, if the inspections are conducted at the same time.

3 The frequency and scope of inspection depends upon the type of licensed activities, the quantities of material med or processed, the inherent potential safety hatards, and problems experienced by hornsees and previous inspection findings.

4 For inspection purposes, hare and small processes and problems experienced as follows:

a Large programs. These hornsees handling of processing horse or impacting for tale to others.

Email processes, harded sources for introduction into products or repassaging for tale to others.

12. Section 170.41 of Part 170 is amended to read as follows:

\$ 170.41 Failure by applicant or licensee to pay prescribed fees.

In any case where the Commission finds that an applicant or a licensee has failed to pay a prescribed fee required in this part, the Commission will not process any application and may suspend or revoke any license involved or may issue an order with respect to licensed activities as the Commission determines to be appropriate or necessary in order to carry out the provisions of this part, Parts 30, 40, 50, and 73 of this chapter, and of the Act.

(Bec. 801, 65 Stat 290, (3) USC. 482a).)

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. .

SAMUEL J. CHILK. Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc 77-12447 Filed 4-27-77,11:30 am]

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION RULES and REGULATIONS

TITLE 10. CHAPTER 1, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS - ENERGY



## FEES FOR FACILITIES AND MATERIALS LICENSES... AND OTHER REGULATORY SERVICES UNDER THE ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954, AS AMENDED \*

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

170.1 Purpose. 170.2 Scope 170.3 Definitions. 170.4 Interpretations. 170.5 Communications. 170.11 Exemptions. 170.12 Payment of fees.

#### SCHEDULE OF PEES

170.21 Schedule of fees for production and utilization facilities, review of reference standardized designs, and special projects.

170.22 Schedule of fees for facility license amendments

170.23 Schedule of fees for routine health, safety and environmental inspections of facililities.

170.24 Schedule of fees for routine safeguards inspections of facilities.

170.31 Schedule of fees for materials licenses and other regulatory services.

170.32 Schedule of fees for health and safety, and safeguards inspections for materials licenses.

#### ENFORCEMENT

170.41 Failure by applicant or licensee to pay prescribed fees.

Authority: Sec. 501, 65 Stat. 290 (31 U.S.C. 443a): Sec. 301, Pub. L 92-314, 86 Stat. 222 (42 U.S.C. 2201w); Sec. 201(f). Pub. L 93-438, 88 Stat. 1243 (42 U.S.C. 5841).

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

## \$170.1 Purpose.

The regulations in this part set out fees charged for licensing services rendered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission as authorized under Title V of the Independent Offices Appropri-ation Act of 1952 (65 Stat. 290; 31 U.S.C. 483a) and provisions regarding their payment.

## § 170.2 Scope

Except for persons who apply for or hold the permits, licenses, or approvals exempted in § 170.11, the regulations in this part apply to a person who is an applicant for, or holder of, a specific byproduct material license issued pursuant to Parts 30 and 32-35 of this chapter, a specific source material

license issued pursuant to Part 40 of this chapter, a specific special nuclear material license issued pursuant to Part 70 of this chapter, a specific license for the storage of spent fuel issued pursuant to Part 72 of this chapter, a specific approval of spent fuel casks and shipping containers issued pursuant to Part 71 of this chapter, a specific request for approval of sealed sources and devices containing byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, or a production or utilization facility construction permit and operating license issued pursuant to Part 50 of this chapter, to routine safety and safeguards inspections of a licensed person, to a person who applies for approval of a reference standardized design of a nuclear steam supply system or balance of plant, for review of a acility site prior to the submission of an application for a construction permit, for review of an independent spent fuel storage installation pursuant to Part 72 of this chapter, and for a special project review which the Commission completes or makes whether or not in conjunction with a license application on file or which may be filed

#### § 170.3 Definitions.

As used in this part:

(a) "Byproduct material" means any radioactive material (except special nuclear material) yielded in or made radioactive by exposure to the radiation incident to the process of producing or utilizing special nuclear material.

(b, "Government agency" means any executive department, commission, independent establishment, corporation, wholly or partly owned by the United States of America which is an instrumentality of the United States, or any board, bureau, division, service, office, officer, authority, administration, or other establishment in the executive analytical purposes; branch of the Government.

(c) "Materials License" means a byproduct material license issued pursuant to Part 30 of this chapter, or a source material license issued pursuant to Part 40 of this chapter, or a special nuclear material license issued pursuant to Part 70 of this chapter, or a license for the storage of spent fuel issued pursuant to Part 72 of this chapter.

(d) "Nuclear reactor" means an apparatus, other than an atomic weapon, designed or used to sustain nuclear fission in a self-supporting chain reac-

(e) "Other production or utilization facility" means a facility other than a nuclear reactor licensed by the Commission under the authority of section 103 or 104 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and pursuant to the provisions of Part 50 of this chapter

(f) "Power reactor" means a nuclear reactor designed to produce electrical or heat energy licensed by the Commission under the authority of section 103 or subsection 104b of the Act and pursuant to the provisions of \$50.21(b) or § 50.22 of this chapter.

(g) "Production facility" means:

(1) Any nuclear reactor designed or used primarily for the formation of plutonium or uranium-233; or

(2) Any facility designed or used for the separation of the isotopes of uranium or the isotopes of plutonium, except laboratory scale facilities designed or used for experimental or analytical purposes only; or

(3) Any facility designed or used for the processing of irradiated materials containing special nuclear material except:

(i) Laboratory scale facilities designed or used for experimental or

(ii) Facilities in which the only spea cial nuclear materials contained in the irradiated material to be processed are uranium enriched in the isotope U235 and plutonium produced by the irradiation, if the material processed contains not more than 10-6 grams of plutonium per gram of U235 and has fission product activity not in excess of 0.25 millicurie of fission products per 0.25 millicurie of fission products per gram of Uns, and

(iii) Facilities in which processing is conducted pursuant to a license issued under Parts 30 and 70 of this chapter, or equivalent regulations of an Agreement State, for the receipt, possession, use, and transfer of irradiated special nuclear material, which authorizes the processing of the irradiated material on a batch basis for the separation of selected fission products and limits the process batch to not more than 100 grams of uranium enriched in the isotope 235 and not more than 15 grams of any other special nuclear material.

(h) "Research reactor" means a nuclear reactor licensed by the Commission under the authority of subsection 104c of the Act and pursuant to the provisions of § 50.21(c) of this chapter for operation at a thermal power level of 10 megawatts or less, and which is not a testing facility as defined by paragraph (m) of this section.

product material that is encased in a g source, or special nuclear material, or capsule designed to prevent leakage or the radiation therefrom, to human escape of the byproduct material.

(j) "Source material" means:

(1) Uranium or thorium, or any combination thereof, in any physical or chemical form; or

(2) Ores which contain by weight one-twentieth of one percent (0.05%) or more of (i) uranium, (ii) thorium, or (iii) any combination thereof. Source material does not include special nuclear material.

nuclear material" "Special (k) means

(1) Plutonium, uranium-233, uranium enriched in the isotope 233 or in the isotope 235, and any other material which the Commission, pursuant to the provisions of section 51 of the Act, determines to be special nuclear material but does not include source material; or

(2) any material artificially enriched by any of the foregoing, but does not include source material.

(1) "Manufacturing license" means a license pursuant to Appendix M of Part 50 of this chapter to manufacture a nuclear power reactor(s) to be operated at sites not identified in the license application.

(m) "Testing facility" means a nuclear reactor licensed by the Commission under the authority of subsection 104c of the Act and pursuant to the provisions of \$ 50.21(c) of this chapter for operation

(1) A thermal power level in excess of 10 megawatts; or

(2) A thermal power level in excess of 1 megawatt, if the reactor is to contain:

(1) A circulating loop through the core in which the applicant proposes to conduct fuel experiments; or

(ii) A liquid fuel loading; or

(ttt) An experimental facility in the core in excess of 16 square inches in cross-section.

(n) "Utilization facility" means any nuclear reactor other than one designed or used primarily for the formation of plutonium or U" and any other equipment or device determined by rule of the Commission to be a utilization facility within the purview of subsection 11cc of the Act.

(o) [Deleted 43 FR 7210.]

(p) "Human use" means the internal (i) "Sealed source" means any by or external administration of byproduct, beings.

> (q) "Nuclear Steam Supply System" consists of the reactor core, reactor coolant system, and related auxiliary systems including the emergency core cooling system; decay heat removal system; and chemical volume and control system.

> (r) "Balance of plant" consists of the remaining systems components, and structures that comprise a complete nuclear power plant and are not in-cluded in the nuclear steam supply

system

(s) "Special projects" means those projects submitted to the Commission for review and for which specific fees are not prescribed in this chapter. Examples of special projects include, but are not limited to, topical reports, early site reviews, waste solidification facilities, fuel reprocessing facilities, and amendment or renewal of standardized reference design approvals.

(t) "Routine inspection" means an

inspection performed at frequencies or during a certain period of time pre-scribed by the Commission for purposes of reviewing a licensee's authorized activities to assure that they are being conducted in accordance with regulatory or statutory requirements and that associated facilities and equipment are being operated in a safe manner

(u) "Duplicate unit" means one of a limited number of the same kind of units which are to be constructed within a limited time span and subject to review at the same time by the

staff. (v) "Replicate unit" means a unit based on the reuse of a plant design, previously reviewed and approved for construction by the same utility or by another utility as part of another con-struction permit application.

(w) "Reference systems concept" means a concept that involves the review of an entire facility design or major fraction of a facility design outside of the context of a license application. The standard design would be referenced in subsequent license applica-

(x) "Advanced reactor" means any nuclear reactor concept other than light water reactors and high temperature gas cooled reactors.

§ 170.4 Interpretations.

Except as specifically authorized by the Commission in writing, no interpretation of the meaning of the regulations in this part by an officer or employee of the Commission other than a written interpretation by the General Counsel will be recognized to be binding upon the Commission.

\$ 170.5 Communications.

Ali communications concerning the regulations in this part should be addressed to the Executive Director for Operation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Communications may be delivered in person at the Commission's offices at 1717 H Street NW, Washington, DC on or at 7920 Norfolk Ave., Bethesda, MD

§ 170.11 Exemptions.

133

(a) No application fees, license fees, amendment fees, renewal fees, approval fees, or inspection fees shall be required for:

(1) A license authorizing the export only of a production of cultration facility

(2) A license authorizing the export only or import only of byproduct material, source material or special

nuclear material.

(3) A license authorizing the receipt, ownership, possession, use or production of byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material incidental to the operation of a production or utilization facility licensed under Part 50 of this chapter, including a license under Part 70 of this chapter, authorizing possession and storage only of special nuclear material at the site of a suclear reactor for use as fuel in operation of the Inuclear reactor or at the site of a spent fuel processing plant for processing at the plant.

(4) A construction permit or license applied for by, or issued to, a nonprofit educational institution for a production facility or willization facility, other than a power reactor, to be used for teaching, training, or medical purposes, or for byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material to be used for teaching, training, or medical purposes, or in connection with a facility, other than a power reactor, used for teaching,

training, or medical purposes.

- (5) A construction permit or license applied for by, or issued to, a Govern-ment agency, except for a utilization facility designed to produce electrical or heat energy pursuant to section 103 or & 1040 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended
  - (6) [Deleted 38 FR 18443.]
  - (7) [Deleted 38 FR 18443.]
- (8) A license authorizing the use of source material as shielding only in devices and containers, provided, however, that all other licensed byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material in the device or container will be subject to the fees prescribed in § 170.31
- (9) A license for possession and use of byproduct mate ial, source material, or special nucle ir material applied for by, or issued o, an agency of a State or any rolitical subdivision thereof, except for licenses which au-thorize distribution of byproduct material, source material, or special nu-clear material, or products containing byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, or licenses authorizing services to any person other than an agency or political subdivision of the State.

'(10) Activities of the Commission undertaken, pursuant to Part 75 of this chapter, solely for the purpose of implementation of the US/IAEA Safeguards Agreement.

(b) (1) The Commission may, upon application by an interested person, or upon its own initiative, grant such exemptions from the requirements of this part as it determines are authorized by law and are otherwise in the public interest.

(2) Applications for exemption under this paragraph may include activities such as, but not limited to, the use of licensed materials for educational or noncommercial public displays or scientific collections.

(3) ¡Deleted 43 FR 7210.]

§ 170.12 Payment of fees.

(a) Application Fees. Each application for which a fee is prescribed shall be accompanied by a remittance in the of full amount of the fee. No application will be accepted for filing or processed prior to payment of the full amount specified. Applications for which no remittance is received may be returned to the applicant. All application fees will be charged irrespective of the Commission's disposition of the application or a withdrawal of the applica-

(b) License fees Fees for review of applications for construction permits. operating licenses, manufacturing licenses, and materials licenses, are payable upon notification by the Commission when the review of the project is completed. For the purposes of this part the review of a project is completed when a permit or license is issued, or an application for a permit or license is denied, withdrawn, suspended, or action on the application is postponed.

(c) Amendment Fees. The appropriate amendment lee shall accompany the application for amendment when filed with the Commission. Where applicable, the applicant shall provide a proposed determination of the amendment class and state the basis therefor as part of the amendment request and shall remit the fee corresponding to this determination with the applica-tion for amendment. The Commission will examine the amendment fee and will, where applicable, refund any overcharges or bill the applicant for the additional amendment fee

d) Renewal Fees. The appropriate renewal fee shall accompany the renewal application when filed with the Commission.

(e) Approval Fees. Fees for review of applications for spent fuel cask and shipping container approvals. standardized spent fuel facility design approvals, and construction approvals are payable upon notification by the Commission when the review of the project is completed. For the purposes of this part the review of a project is completed when the approval is issued. or the application for an approval is denied, withdrawn, suspended, or action on the application is postponed. Fees for facility reference standardized design approvals will be paid in five (5) installments based on payment of 20 percent of the approval fee (see footnote 3 \$ 170.21) as each of the first five (5) units of the approved design are referenced in an application(s) filed by a utility or utilities. In the event the atandardized design approval application is denied, withdrawn, suspended, or action on the application is postponed, fees will be collected when the review is completed and the five (5) installment payment procedure will not apply.

(f) Special Project Fees. Fees for review of special projects are payable upon notification by the Commission when the review of the project is completed. For the purposes of this part the review of the project is completed upon notification by the staff that it has finished its review, upon withdrawal of the request, or suspension or

postponement of further review.

(g) Inspection Fees. Inspection fees are payable upon notification by the Commission.

(h) Method of Payment. Fee payments shall be by check, draft, or money order made payable to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

(i) This section applies to all applications for licenses, permits, approvals or requests for review of special projects on file with the Commission on or after March 23, 1978.

- § 170.21 Schedule of fees for production and utilization facilities, review of reference standardized designs, and special projects.
- (a) Applicants for construction permits, manufacturing licenses, operating licenses, and approvals of reference standardized facilities designs, shall pay the fees set forth in the table below.
- (b) Applicants for special project reviews shall pay fees as separately determined by the Commission.

### SCHEDULE OF PACILITY PEES

A Power reactors		
A. PUREL PERCIOIS.		
1. Custom	Application-Construction permit	\$ 125,000
	Construction permit - First unit	944.00
	Construction permit—Concurrent unit	174,000
	Operating license-First unit	1,024.50
	Operating license Concurrent unit	302.80
2. Standardized design-	Application Construction permit	125,00
duplicate unit'.	Construction permit - First unit	944.00
	Construction permit - Concurrent unit *	174.00
	Construction permit First identical unit additional site(s)	757,10
	Operating license-First unit	1.024.50
	Operating license-Concurrent unit	300,200
A Brandwidter de Marie	Operating license -First identical unit additional site(s)	712,000
3 Standardized design		125,000
replicate unit	Construction permit—First unit	811,600
	Construction permit - Concurrent unit	164,200
	Construction permit—First identical unit additional site(s)	725.900
	Operating license First unit	914.400
	Operating license-Concurrent unit	293,900
Standardized design-	Operating license—First identical unit additional site(s)	691.500
Reference systems		
a Utility referencing a	Application—Construction permit	125.000
standarized nuclear	Construction permit—First unit	853.600
steam supply system	Construction permit Concurrent unit	162.500
and custom balance of	Construction permit. First identical unit additional site(s)	725,900
plant for both CP and	Operating license - First unit	934.100
OL stages	Operating license Concurrent unit	292,100
	Operating license. First identical unit additional site(s)	669,200
b. Utility referencing a	Application Construction permit	125.000
standardized nuclear	Construction permit - First unit	721,800
steam supply system	Construction permit - Concurrent unit	162,500
and standardized	Construction permit - First identical unit additional site(s)	725,900
balance of plant for	Operating license-First unit	829,100
both the CP and OL	Operating license- Concurrent unit	292,100
stages.	Operating license-First identical unit additional site(s)	669.200
5. Manufacturing license concept .		
a Vendor review of	Application	125,000
preiminary design	Manufacturing license	1.477,500
b. Vendor review of	Final design amendment	448,100
final design.		
c. Illility referencing a	Application Construction permit	125,000
manufacturing license		730.000
	Construction permit—Concurrent unit	61,500
	Operating license-Pirst unit	1.001,200
	Operating license-Concurrent unit	221,000
6. Advanced reactors	Application Construction permit	125,000
	Construction permit	1.781,000
Standard reference design	Operating license	1,954,900
Vendor Standardized nuclear steam supply		

#### SCHEDULE OF PACILITY PEES

(Continued)		
Pacility categories	Types of fees	Fee
a. Roview of preliminary	Application	50,000
reference design	Approval	
. Review of final	Application	
reference design	Approval	
2. Architect engineer – Standardized balance of		
plant:		
a. Review of preliminary	Application	50.000
reference design.	Approval	412,100
b Review of final	Application	50,000
reference design.	Approval	501.200
Test facility:	Application Construction pern il	5,000
J. Atoc Inclines.	Construction permit	67,200
	Operating license	100,300
Research reactor:	Application-Construction per nit	5,000
- remaining remaining	Construction permit	24,900
	Operating license	55,000
E. Uranium enrichment	Application-Construction permit	
plants:	Construction permit	
press.	Operating license	457.20%
P Special projects and project		secondarion i week

Where a partial fee for a power reactor operating license has been paid prior to the effective date of this amendment, the amount paid shall be deducted from the fee prescribed by this amendment and the difference will be due when the operating license for 106 pct power is issued.

\*Concurrent unit. A concurrent unit is defined as a power reactor of the same design at a single power station that was subject to concurrent licensing review.

\*When review of the permit, license, arproval, or amendment is complete, the expenditures for professional manpower and appropriate support services will be determined and the resultant fee assessed, but in no event will the fee exceed that shown in the schedule of facility fees. When one application for a preliminary design approval or final design approval contains more than one design, the additional approvals are subject to a maximum fee which is he aum of the application fee and approval fee.

\*\*Charge will be separately determined by the \*\*Domnission taking into account the professional manpower required to conduct the review implified by the applicable cost per man-year, plus any appropriate furgoort services costs incurred. Wh. \*\*- fee has been paid for a facility early site review, the charge will be deducted from the fee for a construction permit issued for that site. A separate charge will not be assessed for a site review where the person requesting the review has an application for a construction permit on file for the same site, except where the application is withdrawn by the applicant or denied by the Commission. The maximum fee for review of a topical report shall not exceed \$20,000.

## § 170.22 Schedule of fees for facility license amendments.

#### Schedule of Amendment Fees for Reactor Facility Permits, Licenses, and Other APPROVALS REQUIRED BY THE LICENSE OR COMMISSION REGULATIONS

	Fee*	
Class of Amendment	Power reactors	Test and research reactors
CLASS I: Amendments that are a duplicate of an amendment for a second escentially identical unit at the same site, where both proposed amendments are received, processed, and issued at the same time.  CLASS II: Amendments that are pro forms, administrative in nature, or have no safety or environmental	\$400	
charge in nature, or have no safety or charge in significance.  CLASS III Amendments, exemptions, or required approvals that involve a single environments! safety, or other issue have acceptability for the issue clearly identified by an NRC position, or are deemed not	1,200	£50
to involve a signifyrant mazards consideration.  CLASS IV: Amendments, exemptions, or required approvals that involve a complex haue or more than one environmental safety or other issue or several changes of the class III type incorporated into the proposed amendment, or involve a significant hazards consideration, or require an extensive environmental impact appraisal, or result from dismantling	4,000	2,06
or license termination orders	12,300	6.00
environmental impac: statement.  CLASS VI. Amendments, exemptions, or required approvals that require evaluation of a new Safety Analysis Report and rewrite of the facility license (including technical specifications), such as may be re-	25,600	12.00
quiren for s license renewal	45,900	20,0

At the time the application is fried, the licensee or application is fried, the licensee or application of amendment class and state the busis therefor as part of the amendment or modification request and shall remit the fee corresponding to this determination. The Commission will evaluate the proposed amendment class determination and inform the accesse or applicant if reclassification is required. Reclassification that changes the class of amendment will result in the refund of over-charges to the licensee or applicant for additional fees.

\*License amendments or applicant for additional fees.

\*License amendments resulting in an initial increase in power to 103 percent of the initial disign power level are not subject to these fees, except as provided in footnote 1 to § 170.21. Class I, II, or IiI amendments which result from a writter. Commission request for the application may be exempt from fees when the amendment is to simplify or clarify license or technical specifications: the amendment has only minor safety significance, and is issued for the convenience of the Commission.

§ 176.23 Schedule of fees for routine health, safety and environmental inspections of facilities.

SCHEDULE OF FACILITY ROUTINE HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION PEES

Category	Pee '	Maximum frequency
(1) Power reactor Pirst unit Additional units at same site* (2) Research reactor (4) Other production or utilization facility* (5) Production or utilization facility licensed for possession but not operation.	\$4,200 per inspection \$42,100 per year	Do. 2 per year. 1 every 2 years. Continuous.

'Routine inspections are safety, environmental, and health physics inspections performed at specified frequencies for purposes of reviewing a licensed program to assure that the authorized activities are being conducted in socordance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, Commission regulations, and the terms and conditions of the license.

'The frequency shown in the schedule is the maximum number of routine inspections for which a fee will be assessed.

'A reduced fee will be charged when the inspection of an additional unit at the same site is conducted concurrently with the first unit.

currently with the first unit.

'Pee is applicable for a fuel reprocessing facility and for a uranium enrichment facility.

# § 170.24 Schedule of fees for routine safeguards inspections of facilities

SCHEDULE OF PACILITY ROUTINE SAFEGUARDS INSPECTION PEES

Category	Pee	Maximum frequency
Additional unit at same site'	\$11.800 per year \$9,500 per year \$6,500 per inspection \$1,300 per inspection	Do. 1 per year.
tance: (4) Other production or utilization facility'	\$38,700 per year	3 per year.

'The frequency shown in the schedule is the maximum number of safeguards inspections for which a fee will be assessed. Power reactors and other production and utilization facilities will be assessed the yearly inspection fee shown in the above table.

'A reduced fee will be charged when the inspection of additional unit(s) at the same site is conducted concurrently with the first unit.

'Pee is applicable for a fuel reprocessing facility and for a uranium enrichment facility.

# § 170.31 Schedule of fees for materials licenses and other regulatory services.

Applicants for materials licenses and other regulatory services and holders of materials licenses shall pay the following fees.

## SCHEDULE OF FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES AND OTHER REGULATORY SERVICES

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee'	Pee
Special nuclear material:		*14.00
A Tienness for mossession and use of 5	Application	\$14,000 122,600
be so more of contained uranium	New license	76.80
235 in uranium enriched to 20 pct or I	Renewal	76,80
more, or 2 kg or more of uranium	Amendment:*	
233, for fuel processing and fabrica-	Major-Safety and environmental	34,60
	Major Safeguards	8,30
tion .*	Minor-Safety and environmental	1,40
	Minor-Safeguards	3,50
	Administrative	15
		12.00
B. Licenses for possession and use of 5	Application	112.80
ke or more of contained uranium	New license	71.90
235 in uranium enriched to less	Renewal	
than 20 pct, for fuel processing and	Major-Safety and environmental	34.6
fabrication.*	Major-Safeguards	6.9
	Major-Sareguards	1.4
	Minor Safety and environmental	3,5
	Minor-Safeguards	1
	Administrative	
	Application for construction approval	50,0
C. Licenses for possession and use of 2		480.3
ke or more of plutonium for fuel	License (ce	241.6
processing and fabrication."	Renewal	170,8
	Major-Safety and environmental	75.0
	Major-Safety and environmental	13.8
	Major-Safeguards	1.4
	Minor-Safety and environmental	6,2
	Minor-Safeguards	1
	Administrative	a market

SCHEDULE OF FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES AND OTHER REGULATORY SERVICES-Continued

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee	Pec
D. Licenses for possession and use of 5	Application	3.0
	New license	31,6
	Renewal	18,0
more of uranium 233 in unsealed		1.4
form for activities other than fuel processing and fabrication.	Safety and environmental	2.8
processing and raorication.	Administrative	1
	A No. of the last of the l	6.00
E. Licenses for possession and use of	Application New license	56.3
more in unsealed form for activities	Renewal	38,1
other than fuel processing and fab-		
rication.*	Safety and environmental	1,40
	Safeguards Administrative	6,9
	Administrative	
P. Licenses for possession and use of	Application	5.0
	New license	29,8
um in unsealed form.	Amendment:	49,0
	Safety and environmental	1.4
	Safeguards	4,8
	Administrative	1
C. Licenses for possession and use of	Application	2.0
350 g but less than 5 kg of contained	New license	18,8
	Renewal	11,1
200 g but less than 2 kg of uranium	Amendment:	
233 in unsealed form:	Safety and environmental Safeguards	1.40
	Administrative	11
H. Licenses for receipt and sten- age of spent fuel of spant fuel at an Independent spent fuel stenage installation (SESs): <sup>4</sup>		
(1) License application for an ISFSI of custom design raquiring a full design review:		
(a) Storage facility to be located	Application	\$35,00 290,00
at a new site.	New license	32,00
	Amendment:	
	Major-Safety and environmental	88,50
	Major-Safeguards	6,20
	Minor Safety and environmental	3,50
	Administrative	15
(b) Storage facility to be located	Application	25.00
at the site of an existing nuclear	New license	32,00
facility.*	Amendment.*	32,00
	Major-Safety and environmental	88,56
	Major-Safeguards	6,2
	Minor-Safety and environmental	3,56
	Minor Safeguards Administrative	3,5
(2) License application for an ISFSI shach references on approved standardized design:		
(a) Storage facility to be located	Application	25.0
at a new site.	New license	236,6 32,0
	Amendment:	33,5
	Major-Safety and environmental	88.5
	Major-Safeguards	6,2
	Minor-Safety and environmental Minor-Safeguards	3.5
	Administrative	1
(b) Storage facility to be located	Application	15.0
at the site of an existing nuclear	New license	130,0
facility.*	Renewal	32.0
	Amendment.*  Major—Safety and environmental	88.5
	Major-Safeguards	6,2
	Minor-Safety and environmental	3,5
	Minor-Safeguards	3,5
(3) License application for an ISFSI of duplicate design—design which is identical to a previously licensed detail design.	Administrative	
	Application	15.0
at a new site.	New license	159.2
	Renewal	32.0
	Amendment: Major-Safety and environmental	88.5
	Major Safeguards	6.1
	Minor - Safety and environmental	3.5
	Minor-Safeguards	
the State of Artificial to be because	Administrative	
(b) Storage facility to be located		3.5 1 10.0 73.5

SCHEDULE OF PEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES AND OTHER REGULATORY SERVICES—Continued

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee'	Fee
	Amendment:	88,50
	Major-Safety and environmental	6.20
	Major Safeguards Minor Safety and environmental	3.50
	Minor Safeguards	3,56
	A desired to the part of the p	18
and the other and the of the	Application New license	11
		1
special nuclear material in scaled in	Amendment	
T All other emerial muclear material	Application—New license	41
		41
special nuclear material in unsealed form in combination that would constitute a critical quantity as defined in \$150.11 of Part 150 which shall pay the same rate as Category IG and special nuclear material for use in power generation which shall pay the fee in Category 10.*	Amendment	
Source material:	Application	11.0
		96,7
source material in milling oper-	Renewal'	100,8
ations, except in in situ leaching		
and heap-leaching operations.	states Safety and environmental	20,8
	Minne Safety and environmental'	3,5
	Administrative	- 1
B. License: for processing and recov-	Production scale activity:	200
ery of source material in in situ	Application	7,0
teaching apprehions or hear, leach-	New license'	59,5
ing operations.	Research and development scale activity:	
mig operations	Application	21.8
	New license	*17,3
	Renewal	1.14
	Amendment:' Major-Safety and environmental'	*4.2
	Minor Safety and environmental	47
	Administrative	*1
C. Linners for refining meaning mill	Application	11,0
C. Licenses for retining uranium min	New license*	96.1
ide	Renewal '	45,6
ide	Amendment:	-
	Major-Safety and environmental	2 1
	Minor-Safety and environmental	3,
	Administrative	
D All other source material licenses	Application New license	
	Renewal	
	Amendment	
Byproduct material:	Application—New license.	
byproduct material issued pursuant to Parts 30 and 33 of this chapter for processing or manufacturing of items containing byproduct material for commercial distribution, except byproduct material for use in power generation which shall pay the fee	Amendment	
in category 10.	Application—New license	
of this abantar authorizing the pro-	Renewal	
cessing or manufacture and distri-	Amendment	
bution of radiopharmaceuticals con-		
trining hyperdical material		
C Livenies for hunsoduct material	Application-New license	
issued pursuant to Part 34 of this	Renewal	
operations performed in shielded ra- diography installation(s) or perma- nently designated area(s) at the		
to tiermen for humanduct material	Applicat on-New license	
chapter for industrial radiography operations performed in a shielded radiography installation(s) and a multiple temporary locations at the addressies) shown in the licenses of at temporary jobsites of the licenses in the field.	Amenament	
	f Application—New license	
En tierness for massession and use of		
sources for irradiation of materials where the source is not removed	d	
byproduct material in sealer sources for irradiation of materials where the source is not removed from its shield (self-shielded units)	d	
byproduct material in sealer sources for irradiation of materials where the source is not removes from its shield (self-shielded units)	d	
byproduct material in sealer sources for irradiation of material where the source is not removed from its shield (self-shielded units) F. Licenses for possession and use o	Application—New license	
byproduct material in sealer sources for irradiation of material where the source is not removed from its shield (self-shielded units) F. Licenses for possession and use o	d	

See footnotes at end of table.

## SCHEDULE OF FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES AND OTHER REGULATORY SERVICES-Continued

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee	Pee
part B of Part 32 of this chapter to	Application—New license Renewal Amendment	95/ 570 230
part A of Part 32 of this chapter to	Application—New license  Renewal  Amendment	950 570 236
of this chapter to distribute quanti-	Application—New license Renewal Amendment	190 150 40
of this chapter to distribute time-	Application—New license  Renewal  Amendment	190 150 40
K. Licenses in possession and use of byproduct material for research and development, except those licenses covered by categories 3A or 3B, and licenses covered by categories 7B or 7C authorizing medical research. L. All other specific byproduct material	Application—New license Renewal Amendment Application—New license	190 150 40
	Amendment	110
the receipt of waste byproduct ma- terial, source material, or special nuclear material, from other per-		32,000 291,100 98,500
disposal by land or sea burial by the licensee.	Administrative	197.70 69 15
the receipt of waste byproduct ma- terial, source material, or special	Application - New license	1,10
for the purpose of packaging the material. The licensee will dispose of the material by transfer to an- other purcon authorized to receive or dispose of the material.		57
the receipt of prepackaged waste	Application—New license	19
Well logging and well surveys and tracer studies: A Licenses for posses- sion and use of special nuclear materi- al and/or cyproduct material for well logging, well surveys, and tracer stud-	Application—New license	46 11
mercial collection and laundry of	Application—New license	46 46 11

See footnotes at end of table

SCHEDULE OF FRES FOR	MATERIALS LICENSES AND	OTHER REGULATORY	SERVICES Continue	ed
----------------------	------------------------	------------------	-------------------	----

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee	Fee
Human use of byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear		
material: A Licenses issued pursuant to Parts	Application New license	300
30, 40, and 70 of this chapter for	Renewal	270
source material, or special nuclear	Amendment	40
material in sealed sources contained in teletherapy devices.		
B. Licenses issued pursuant to Parts	Application—New license	190
	Renewal	150
physicians on a single license, for	Amendment	
human use of byproduct material.		
source material, or special nuclear material except licenses in category		
7A.		100
C. Licenses issued pursuant to Parts	Application—New license	190
individual physician for human use	Amendment	40
of hyproduct material, source mate- rial, or special nuclear material.		
except licenses in category 7A.		
Civil defense: A. Licenses for possession	Application-New license	190
and use of byproduct material, source	Amenument.	150
for civil defense activities.		
Device, product, or sealed source safet		
evaluation: A Safety evaluation of devices or	Application-Evaluation	579
products containing byproduct ma-		
terial, source material, or special nuclear material, except reactor		
fuel devices and devices or products		
distributed to general licensees or persons exempt from the require-		
ments for a license pursuant to		
Parts 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter.		11
B. Safety evaluation of sealed sources containing byproduct material.	do	**
source material, or special nuclear		
material, except: (1) Reactor fuel, (2) sealed sources distributed to gen-		
erai licensees or persons exempt		
from the requirements for a license		
pursuant to Parts 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter, and (3) power sources		
covered by category 10.		
0. Power source: A Licenses for the	Application—New license	1,90
capsulated byproduct material or spe-	Amendment	45
cial nuclear material wherein the		
decay energy of said material is used as a source of power, except reactor		
fuel.		
il. Transportation of radicactive material:		
A. Evaluation of spent fuel cask for	Application	8,00
greater than 20 kW decay heat.	Amendmenta '	75,10
	Major '	6,90
	Minor .	3,50
	Administrative	15 15
B. Evaluation of spent fuel cask for	Application	7,00
less than 20 kW decay heat; air shipping package for plutonium;	Amendments:	62,20
high-level waste casks, and packages	Major	5.50
containing radioactive material		2,80
greater than 2,000 times the type A	Renewal	15
C. Evaluation of fissile packages con-	Application	1,00
taining greater than type A quanti- ties of radioactive material; pack-	Approval '	12,80
ages containing radioactive material	Major	3,50
less than 2,000 times the type A	Minor	69 15
quantity'	Renewal	15
D. Evaluation of fissile packages con-	Application	70
taining less than type A quantities of radioactive material; packages	Approval '	6,20
containing radioactive material less		1.40
than 200 times the type A quanti-	Minor	35
ty.	Administrative Renewal	15
E. Evaluation of packages containing	Application	20
radioactive material less than 20	Approval '	1,20
times the type A quantity."	Amendments.* Major	35
		- 20
	Minor	15

#### SCHEDULE OF FRES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES AND OTHER REGULATORY SERVICES - Continued

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee	Pee	
12. Funders of a standardized inde- pendent spare had storage to statistion deekyn *.	Application	12,000 107,200	
13. Special projects			

\*Types of fees. Separate charges as shown in the schedule will be assessed for applications for new licenses and approvals, issuance of new licenses and approvals, and amendments and renewals to existing licenses and approvals. The following guidelines apply to these charges:

(a) Application fees Applications for materials licenses and approvals shall be accompanied by the prescribed application fee for each category, except that applications for licenses covering more than one fee category of special nuclear material (excluding categor; 1H) to be used at the same location, shall be accompanied by the prescribed application fee for the highest fee category. Where a license or approval has expired, the full application fee for each category shall be due, except for licenses covering more than one fee category of special nuclear material (excluding category 1H) for use at the same location, in which case the application fee for the highest category would apply.

(b) License/approval fees. New licenses and approvals issued in fee categories IA through 1H. 2A. 2B. 2C. 4A. 11A through 1HE. and category 12, shall pay the license or approval fee for each category, as determined by the Commission when the review of the application or project is completed (see footnote 4), except that a license covering more than one fee category of special nuclear material in categories IA through 1G shall pay a license fee for the highest fee category assigned to the license.

(c) Renewal fee: Applications for renewal of materials licenses and approvals shall be accompanied by the prescribed renewal fee for the highest fee category. When the review of an application for renewal is complete for licenses in fee categories IA through 1H. 2A, 2B, 2C, and 4A, the Commission will examine the renewal fee in accordance with footnote 4, and will refund any overcharges of the renewal fee, if applicable.

applicable

(d) Amendment fees. Applications for amendments shall be accompanied by the prescribed amendment (d) Amendment fees. Applications for amendments shall be accompanied by the prescribed amendment feets). At the time an application for amendment is filed for licenses and approvals in fee categories IA through IH. 2A. 2B, 2C. 4A. II A, IIB. IIC. IID. and IIE. the licensee or applicant shall provide an initial determination of the amendment class and state the basis therefor as part of the amendment or approval request, and rhall remit the fee corresponding to that determination; however, when review of the amendment or approval is complete, the Commission will examine the amendment fee in accordance with footnote 4, if applicable, and will refund any overcharges to the licensee or applicant, or bill the licensee or applicant for the additional amendment fee. Amendments which result from written NRC requests may be exempted from these fees at the discretion of the Commission when the amendment is issued for the convenience of the NRC

venience of the NRC.

An application for amendment to a license or approval classified in more than one fee category shall be accompanied by the prescribed amendment fee for the category affected by the amendment, unless the amendment is applicable to two or more fee categories. In which case the amendment fee for the highest fee category would apply. An application for amendment to a materials license or approval that would place the license or approval in a higher fee category or add a new category shall be accompanied by the prescribed application fee for the new category, except for applications for amendments increasing the scope of a licensed program from fee category, except for applications for amendment increasing the accompanied by the increase of a license program shall pay the amendment fee for the higher fee category would apply. An application for amendment reducing the accope of a license's program shall pay the amendment fee of the fee category assigned to the license at the time the application is filed. Applications to terminate licenses shall not be subject to fees.

\*Licensees paying fees under categories 1A through 1H are not subject to fees under categories 1I and 1J for sealed sources authorized in the same license. Applicants for new licenses or renewal of existing licenses that cover both byproduct material and special nuclear material in sealed sources for use in gauging devices will pay the appropriate soplication or renewal fee for fee category 1I only.

A major amendment is defined as one requiring evaluation of many aspects of licensed activities where the proposed action could present a potential risk to the public's health and safety. A minor amendment is defined as one where safety, environmental, or safeguards considerations may be easily resolved. An administrative amendment is defined as an amendment that is proforms routine in nature, or has no safety, en-

istrative amendment is defined as an amendment that is proforms routine in nature, or has no safety, environmental, or safeguards significance.

When the review of an application is complete, the expenditures for professional manpower and appropriate support services will be determined and the resultant fee assessed, but in no event will the fee exceed that shown in the schedule of fees for materials licenses and other regulatory services. All adminis-

Pees would be applicable only in those instances where a site safety and environmental review has been performed and documented by the Commission for the site at which the storage facility is to be locat-

\*Fee is applicable to a license authorizing either production scale activity or research and development scale activity

'A type A quantity is defined in § 71.4(q) of 10 CFR Part 71.

'Charge will be separately determined by the Commission taking into account the professional manpower required to conduct the review multiplied by the applicable cost per man-year, plus any appropriate

§ 170.32 Schedule of fees for health and safety, and safeguards inspections for materials licenses

#### SCHEDULE OF MATERIALS LICENSE INSPECTION FEES

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee	Fee'	Maximum frequency
Special nuclear material  A Licenses for possession and use of five (5) kg or more of contained uranium 235 in uranium, enriched to 20 pct or more, or two (2) kg or more of uranium 233, for fuel processing	Health and safety	\$5,300 10,300	3 per year. Do.
and fabr ration.  B. license. (or possession and use of five (5) kg or more of contained uranium 235 in uranium enriched to		5,300 10,300	Do. i per year.

See footnote at end of table

SCHEDULE OF MATERIALS LICENSE INSPECTION PEES-Continued

Category of materials licenses	T; pe of fee	Pee*	Maximum freque
less than 20 pct, for fuel processing			
and fabrication		4.600	4 per year
C. Licenses for possession and use of two (2) kg or more of plutonium for	Safeguards	11,700	3 per year.
fuel processing and fabrication.  D. Licenses for possession and use of	Health and safety	4,900	1 per year.
five (5) kg or more of contained ura	Safeguards	7,600	2 per year.
nium 235 in unsealed form, or two (2) kg or more of uranium 233 in un-			
sealed form for activities other than fuel processing and fabrication.			
E. Licenses for possession and use of			1 per year.
againstities of plutonium of two (2)		5.400	2 per year.
tivities other than fuel processing			
and fabrication.  P. Licenses for possession and use of			I per year.
200 g but less than two (2) kg of plu- tonium in unsealed form.	Saleguards	2,300	D0.
G. Licenses for possession and use of			1 every 2 years. 1 per year.
350 g but less than five (5) kg of contained uranjum 235 in unsealed		1,000	- per year.
form, or 200 g but less than two (2) ag of uranium 233 in unsealed form.			
as or unwitten 200 th undexted form.			
H. Licenses for receipt			
and storage of sperit fuel at an independent			
spent fuel storage in- stallation (ISFSI):			
(1) Licenses application			
for an ISFSI of custom design requiring a full			
decign review			
(a) Storage facility to be located			
at a new site. (b) Storage facility to be located	Safeguards		2 per year. 1 per year.
at the site of an existing nuclear			2 per year.
facility.			
(2) License application for an SFSI which red- ensnose an approved			
standardized design:			
(a) Storage facility to be locate at a new site.	Safeguards	2,900	1 per year. 2 per year.
(b) Storage facility to be locate	d Health and safety	780	1 per year. 2 per year.
at the site of an existing nuclea facility	u saleguards	2,00	
(0) License application			
for an ISFSI of dupli- cate design—a design			
which is identical to a previously ficeneed			
getail design			
Storage facility to be located	Health and safety		l per year.
at a new site. (b) Storage facility to be located	Safeguards		2 per year. 1 per year.
at the site of an existing nuclear			per year.
facility. Licenses for possession and use of	Health ar i safety	330	every 5 years.
special nuclear material in scaled	exactly as a salesy account	990	
sources contained in devices used in industrial measuring systems.			
All other special nuclear material	do	780	per year.
licenses, except licenses authorizing special nuclear material in unscaled			
form in combination that would			
constitute a critical quantity as de- fined in \$150.11 of part 150 which			
shall pay the same rate as category IG and special nuclear material for			
use in power generation which shall			
pay the fee in category 10.			
See footnote at end of table.			

SCHEDULE OF MATERIALS LICENSE INSPECTION FEES-Continued

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee	Pee'	Maximum frequency
Source material:			
A. Licenses for possession and use of		1,800	Do.
source material in a lifting oper- ations, except in in situ leaching			
and heap-leaching operations.			
B. Licenses for processing and recov-	do	1,800	Do.
ery of source material in in-situ			
leaching operations or heap-leach-			
ing operations.			Fine.
C. Licenses for refining uranium mill concentrates to uranium hexafluor-		1,800	Do.
ide			
D. All other source material licenses	do	460	1 every 2 years.
Byproduct material			The state of the s
A. Licenses for possession and use of			
byproduct material issued pursuant			1 per year.
to parts 30 and 33 of this chapter for processing or manufacturing of	Small program	780	Do.
items containing byproduct material			
for commercial distribution, except			
byproduct material for use in power			
generation which shall pay the f-			
in Category 10.  B. Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.72	Weslib & Safatu	250	1 1
of this chapter authorizing the pro-		650	1 every 3 years.
cessing or manufacture and distri-			
bution of radio-pharmaceuticals			
containing byproduct material.			
C. Licenses for byproduct material		720	1 per year.
issued pursuant to part 34 of this chapter for industrial radiography			
operations performed in a shielded			
radiography installation(s) or per-			
manently designated area(s) at the			
address(es) listed in the license.		100	
D. Licenses for byproduct material		980	Do.
issued pursuant to part 34 of this chapter for industrial radiography			
operations performed in a shielded			
radiograph installation(s) and at			
multiple temporary locations at the			
address(es) shown in the license or			
at temporary jobsites of the licensee in the field.			
E. Licenses for possession and use of	do	300	1 every 5 years.
byproduct material in sealed		390	1 every 5 years.
sources for irradiation of materials			
where the source is not removed			
from its shield (Self-shielded units).			
F. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material in sealed		390	1 every 3 years.
sources for irradiation of materials			
where the source is exposed for irra-			
diation purposes.			
G. Licenses issued pursuant to Sub-		390	Do.
part B of part 32 of this chapter to			
distribute items containing byprod- uct material or quantities of by-			
product material to persons general			
ly licensed under parts 31 or 35 of			
this chapter, except specific licenses			
authorizing redistribution of items			
which have been manufactured or			
imported under a specific license			
and licensed by the Commission for distribution to persons generally li-			
censed under parts 31 or 35 of this			
chapter.			
H. Licenses saued pursuant to Sub-		390	Do.
part A of part 32 of this chapter to			
distribute items containing byprod- uct material or quantities of by-			
product material to persons exempt			
from the licensing requirements of			
part 30 of this chapter, except (1)			
\$\$ 32 11 and 32.18 of this chapter.			
(2)ecific licenses authorizing re-			
distribution of items and quantities			
which have been manufactured or imported under a specific license			
and licensed by the Commission for			
distribution to persons exempt from			
the licensing requirements of part			
30 of this chapter, and (3) specific			
licenses which authorize distribu-			
licenses which authorize distribu- tion of timepieces, hands and dials.			De
licenses which authorize distribu- tion of timepieces, hands and dials. I. Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.18		390	Do.
licenses which authorize distribu- tion of timepieces, hands and dials.  I. Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.18 of this chapter to distribute quanti-		390	Do.
licenses which authorize distribu- tion of timepieces, hands and dials.  I. Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.18 of this chapter to distribute quanti- ties of byproduct material to per-		390	Do.
licenses which authorize distribu- tion of timepieces, hands and dials.  I. Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.18 of this chapter to distribute quanti-		390	Do.

SCHEDULE OF MATERIALS LICENSU INSPECTION PEES-Continued

do		Do.  Levery 5 years.  per year.
do	390	l every 5 years.
	980	1 per year.
Health de Saiety	650	1 every 3 years.
do	650	Do.
do	520	Do.
do	590	Do.
do	460	1 every 2 years.
do	460	l every 3 years.
do	330	Do.
do	200	every 10 years.
Not applicable		No inspections
approach		conducted.
	do	do 520  do 590  do 460

SCHEDULE OF MATERIALS LICENSE INSPECTION FEES-Continued

Category of materials licenses	Type of fee	Fee!	Maximum frequen
B. Safety evaluation of sealed sources containing byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, except (1) reactor fuel, (2) sealed sources distributed to general licensees or persons exempt from the requirements for a license pursuant to parts 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter, and (3) power sources covered by category 10.			No inspections conducted
A. Licenses for the manufacture and distribution of encapsulated byproduct material or special nuclear material wherein the decay energy of said material is used as a source of power, except reactor fuel.  11. Transportation of radioactive mate-			780 1 per year
rial  A. Evaluation of spent fuel cask for greater than 20 kW decay heat.  B. Evaluation of spent fuel cask for less than 20 kW decay heat; air shipping package for plutonium;	do		conducted.
high-level waste casks, and packages containing radioactive material greater than 2,000 times the type A quantity. C. Evaluation of fissile packages con- taining greater than type A quanti- ties of radioactive material; pack	do		Do
ages containing radioactive material less than 2,000 times the type A quantity.  D. Evaluation of fissile packages con- taining less than type A quantities of radioactive material; packages	do		Do.
containing radioactive material less than 200 times the type A quantity. E. Evaluation of packages containing radioactive material less than 20 times the type A quantity.	do	vice - 100000000	Do.
12. Review of a standard- tred independent spent lust storage installation design.	do		Do.

7210

43

Types of Fees—Separate charges as shown in this schedule will be assessed for each routine inspection which is performed.

'Inspection fees are due upon receipt of notice from the Commission. The inspection fee for licenses covering more than one fee category will be charged only for the highest fee category assigned the license; if the inspection of the entire license is done at the same time. Where a licensee holds more than one materials license at a single location, a fee equal to the highest fee category covered by the licenses will be assessed, if the inspections are conducted at the same time.

'The frequency shown in the schedule is the maximum number of each type of inspection for which a fee will be assessed.

'Where a license authorizes shielded radiographic installations or manufacturing installations at more than one address, a separate fee will be assessed for inspection of each location, provided, however, that if the multiple installations are inspected during a single visit a single inspection fee will be assessed.

'For inspection purposes, large and small programs in Category 3A are defined as follows: A. Large Programs—Those licensees handling or processing loose or unsealed material for the manufacture of tagged compounds or products such as secled sources and distribution of same to others. Small Programs—Those licensees who are processors of "finished products," such as previously tagged compounds and sealed sources for introduction into products or repackaging for sale to others.

## § 170.41 Failure by applicant or licensee to pay prescribed fees.

In any case where the Commission finds that an applicant or a licensee has failed to pay a prescribed fee required in this part, the Commission will not process any application and may suspend or revoke any license or approval involved or may issue an order with respect to licensed activities as the Commission determines to be appropriate or necessary in order to carry out the provisions of this part, Parts 30, 40, 50, 70, 71, and 72 of this chapter, and of the Act.

## U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION DETERMINATION OF PROPOSED LICENSE FEES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977

References Federal Register No. 42 FR 22149

Office of Administration
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

-79 \$464 \$ 3A

Available from
National Technical Information Service
Springfield, Virginia 22161
Price: Printed Copy \$5.50; Microfiche \$3.00

### U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION DETERMINATION OF PROPOSED LICENSE FEES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977

References Federal Register No. 42 FR 22149

Date Published: May 1977

Office of Administration
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

	Page
NRC License Fee Development	3 - 5
SECTION 1 - Determination of Average Cost Per Man-Year to Maintain a Professional Employee for: NRR, IE, NMSS, ACRS, ASLBP, ASLAP	6 - 13
SECTION 2 - Distribution of Program Direction and Administration (PDA) and Program Technical Support (PTS) Costs	14 - 23
SECTION 3 - Analysis of Program Support (Contractual) Costs for NRR, IE, and NMSS	24 - 40
SECTION 4 - Added Factor Computation	41 - 42
SECTION 5 - NRC Professional Manpower Required to Conduct Application Reviews and Health & Safety and Safeguards Inspections	43 - 71
SECTION 6 - Determination of Proposed Fees	72 - 110

#### NRC License Fee Development FY 1977 Budget

NRC staff has developed proposed license fees based on the Supreme Court decisions of March 4, 1974, the Court of Appeals decisions dated December 16, 1976 and internal guidelines developed as a result of these court decisions. (See Federal Register Notice 42 FR 22149)

The proposed license fees were developed using the following steps:

- The activities performed and the services provided by all NRC offices were carefully reviewed and analyzed. Only those services which provided special benefits to identifiable recipients were included for fee computation. These services include the processing of applications for permits, licenses, amendments and approvals, routine health, safety, environmental and safeguards inspections, facility quality assurance inspections, vendor inspections, the processing of special projects including topical reports, and early site reviews.
- 2. The following offices were identified as those directly involved in the review, licensing, approval, or inspection process: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS), Office of Inspection and Enforcement (IE), Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS), Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel (ASLBP), and the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel (ASLAP). Since professional employees from each of these offices are involved in the day to day processing of applications and conducting inspections or hearings, an average cost/man-year to maintain a professional employee (professional rate) was developed for each office (See Section 1)

3. In addition to the six offices described in item 2 above which are directly involved in the licensing or inspection process, there are other offices within the NRC which provide indirect support to the licensing and inspection process and which have been included, after a detailed analysis, in fee computations. These are the Offices of Secretary, Controller, Management Information and Program Control, Administration, Executive Director for Operations, and Executive Legal Director (See Section 2) The program support services (contractual line items) were individually reviewed to determine whether they support the review of applications, permits, licenses, approvals or inspections. Those contractual services which supported the review, licensing, inspection, or hearing process were considered as providing special benefit and included in the fee computation. (See Section 3) An "Added Factor" was also included in the fee computation. The "Added Factor" is intended to cover the cost to finance the investment in the plant and capital equipment inventory (interest) and the appropriate charge to operations (depreciation) for utilization of this equipment in NRC's day to day operations. (See Section 4) 6. Each operating office responsible for the processing of applications and conducting inspections and hearings, developed the average professional manpower expressed in man-years or man-hours required to process each category or type of application, license, amendment, inspection, or hearing. (See Section 5) - 4 -

7. The proposed fee for a specific category was computed by multiplying the average professional manpower required to perform the service times the professional man-year or man-hour rate, and adding a proportionate share of the costs of contractual services. (See Section 6)

#### SECTION 1

DETERMINATION OF AVERAGE
COST PER MAN-YEAR TO MAINTAIN A PROFESSIONAL
EMPLOYEE FOR:

OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION (NRR)
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT(IE)
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR MATERIALS SAFETY AND SAFEGUARDS (NMSS)
ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS (ACRS)
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL (ASLBP)
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL PANEL (ASLAP)

# Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Average Cost Per Man-Year Computation FY 1977 Budget

	Costs	Staff
Personnel Compensation Costs	\$17,700,000	613
Personnel Benefits Costs	1,590,000	
Administrative Support Costs	4,460,000	
Travel and Transportation of Persons Cost	810,000	
Subtotal	\$24,560,000	613
Less Consultants	(106,675)	
	\$24,453,325	613
NRR's proportionate share of PDA & PTS1/Costs	5,731,134	
Training costs (From Program Support (contractual) line items)	300,000	
Added Factor <sup>2/</sup> 613 Man-years x \$295/man-year	180,835	
Total Costs	\$30,665,294	6133/
Average Cost/Man-year to maintain a professional employee	\$30,665,294 ÷ 438	3/= \$70,012
Average Cost/Man-hour to maintain a professional employee	\$70,012 ÷ 1800 4/	= \$39
Average Cost/Man-day to maintain a professional employee	\$39 x 8 = \$312	

<sup>1/</sup>PDA - Program Direction and Administration PTS - Program Technical Support

<sup>2/</sup>Added Factor represents interest and depreciation on plant and capital equipment apportioned on a cost per man-year basis.

<sup>3/</sup>Of the 613 total, 438 have been identified as professional man-years.

<sup>4/1800</sup> man-hours = 1 productive man-year.

# Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) Average Cost Per ManYear Computation TY 1977 Budget.

		Costs	Staff
Personnel Compensation Costs		\$ 6,700,000	276
Personnel Benefits Costs		600,000	
Administrative Support Costs		1,720,000	
Travel and Transportation of P	ersons Costs	530,000	
	Subtotal	\$ 9,550,000	276
	Less Consultants	(85,624)	
		\$ 9,464,376	276
NMSS proportionate share of PI	DA & PTS <sup>1</sup> /Costs	2,640,951	
Added Factor <sup>2/</sup> 276 man-years x \$295/man-years	ar	81,420	
	Total Costs	\$12,186,747	2763/
Average Cost/Man-Year to main employee	tain a professional	\$12,186,747;176	3/ \$69,243
Average Cost/Man-Hour to main employee	tain a professional	69,243;1800	4/= \$38
Avearge Cost/Man-Day to maint employee	ain a professional	\$38x8=\$304	
1/PDA - Program Direction and PTS - Program Technical Sup	Administration		
2/Add-d Factor manuscents int	exact and depreciation		

<sup>2/</sup>Added Factor represents interest and depreciation on plant and capital equipment apportioned on a cost per man-year basis.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{0}$ f the 276 total, 176 have been identified as professional

<sup>4/1800</sup> man-hours=1 productive man-year

# Office of Inspection and Enforcement (IE) Average Cost Per Man-Year Computation FY 1977 Budget

		Costs	Staff
Person	nnel Compensation Costs	\$15,180,000	592
Person	nnel Benefits Costs	1,370,000	
Admin	istrative Support Costs	3,920,000	
Trave	1 and Transportation of Persons Costs	1,770,000	
	Subtotal	\$22,240,000	592
IE's	proportionate share of PDA & PTS-/Costs	3,665,623	
Train	ing Costs (From Program Support (Contractual)	56,000	
Added	Factor <sup>2</sup> /	275,130	
	Man-years x \$295/man-year = \$174,640 Special equipment only = 100,490		
	Total Costs	\$26,236,753	5923/
Avera	ge Cost/Man-year to maintain a professional loyee	\$26,236,753 ÷ 4	$406^{3/} = $64,623$
Avera	ge Cost/Man-hour to maintain a professional loyee	\$64,623 ÷ 18004	1/= \$36
Avera	ge Cost/Man-day to maintain a professional loyee	\$36 x 8 = \$288	
F12	- Program Direction and Administration - Program Technical Support		
2/Add	ed Factor represents interest and depreciation	on on plant and	capital equipment

apportioned on a cost per man-year basis.

3/Of the 592 total, 406 have been identified as professional man-years.

4/1800 man-hours = 1 productive man-year.

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS (ACRS) AVERAGE COST PER MAN-YEAR COMPUTATION FY 1977 BUDGET

	Costs	Staff
Personnel Compensation Costs	\$1,035,511	37
Personnel Benefits Costs	102,4131/	-
Subtotal	\$1,137,924	37
Administrative Support Costs	268,424	
Travel and Transportation of Persons Cost	348,000	
Program Support Costs	537,000	
Subtotal	\$2,291,348	37
ACRS's proportionate share of PDA & PTS2/ Costs	236,926	
Added Factor3/ 37 Man-years x \$295/man-year	10,915	
Total Costs	\$2,539,189	374/
Average Cost/Man-year to maintain a professional employee Average Cost/Man-hour to maintain a professional employee	\$2,539,189 + 28.5 \$89,094 ÷ 1800 =	

- 1/ Represents 9% of \$1,137,924, the total of personnel compensation and benefits costs combined.
- 2/ PDA Program Direction and Administration PTS - Program Technical Support
- 3/ Added Factor represents interest and depreciation on plant and capital equipment apportioned on a cost per man-year basis.
- 4/ Of the 37 total, 28.5 have been identified as professional man-years.
- 5/ 1800 man-hours = 1 productive man-year.

## ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL (ASLBP) AVERAGE COST PER MAN-YEAR COMPUTATION FY 1977 BUDGET

	Costs	Staff
Personnel Compensation Costs	\$1,175,445	42
Personnel Benefits Costs	116,2531/	
Subtotal	\$1,291,698	42
Administrative Support Costs	304,698	
Travel and Transportation of Persons Cost	127,000	
Program Support Costs	230,000	
Subtotal	\$1,953,396	42
ASLBP's proportionate share of PDA & PTS2/ Costs	458,685	
Added Factor 3/		
42 Man-years x \$295/man-year	12,390	
Total Costs	\$2,424,471	424/
Average Cost/Man-year to maintain a professional employee	\$2,424,471 + 28.3	$8^{4/} = $85,429$

- $\underline{1}$ / Represents 9% of \$1,291,698, the total of personnel compensation and benefits costs combined.
- 2/ PDA Program Direction and Administration PTS - Program Technical Support
- 3/ Added Factor represents interest and depreciation on plant and capital equipment apportioned on a cost per man-year basis.
- $\underline{4}/$  Of the 42 total, 28.38 have been identified as professional man-years.

## ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL PANEL (ASLAP) AVERAGE COST PER MAN-YEAR COMPUTATION FY 1977 BUDGET

	Costs	Staff
Personnel Compensation Costs	\$475,775	17
Personnel Benefits Costs	47,0551/	
Subtotal	\$522,830	17
Administrative Support Costs	123,330	
Travel and Transportation of Persons Cost	25,000	
Program Support Costs	15,000	
Subtotal	\$686,160	17
ASLAP's proportionate share of PDA & PTS $^{2/}$ Costs	150,623	
Added Factor3/		
17 Man-years x \$295/man-year	5,015	
Total Costs	\$841,798	174/
Average Cost/Man-year to maintain a professional employee.	\$841,798 + 9.5	$66\frac{4}{}$ = \$88,054

<sup>1/</sup> Represents 9% of \$522,830, the total of personnel compensation and benefits costs combined.

<sup>2/</sup> PDA - Program Direction and Administration PTS - Program Technical Support

<sup>3/</sup> Added Factor represents interest and depreciation on plant and capital equipment apportioned on a cost per man-year basis.

<sup>4/</sup> Of the 17 total, 9.56 have been identified as professional man-years.

#### Computation of Average Cost Added To Each Construction Permit for IE Vendor Inspection Program

#### FY 1977

\$64,623	IE Average Cost/Man-Year to maintain a professional
X 16.5	IE Professional Man-Years of effort - FY 1977
\$1,066,280	FY'77 Costs - IE Vendor Program
X 4	Number of years inspections continue until unit complete
\$4,265,120 ÷	Estimated IE costs for 4 year period
116	Number of units for which inspections will be conducted (50 Units under construction plus 66 units undergoing construction permit review per 2/18/77 Orange Book)
\$36,800	Average cost to be applied to each construction permit for IE Vendor Inspection Program

#### SECTION 2

#### DISTRIBUTION OF PROGRAM DIRECTION AND

ADMINISTRATION (PDA) 1/AND PROGRAM TECHNICAL SUPPORT (PTS) 2/COSTS

- PDA Includes offices of Commission, Secretary, General Counsel, Policy Evaluation, Inspection and Auditor, Congressional Affairs, Public Affairs, Executive Director for Operations, Administration, Controller, Plans and Analysis, Management Information and Program Control, and Equal Employment Opportunity.
- PTS Includes offices of Executive Tegal Director, International and State Programs, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel.

# Program Direction and Administration (PDA) and Program Technical Support (PTS) Budgeted Costs

PDA Offices of:	Man Years	Budget Amount Personnel Compensation and Benefits		Admin. Support	Travel	Total Costs
Commission Secretary General Counsel Policy Eval. Insp. & Audit Cong. Affairs Pub. Affairs Exec. Dir. Admin. AdminExcl. Controller Plans & Anal.	28 30 17 19 25 5 18 23 247 - 65 16	\$ 688,249 737,409 417,865 467,026 614,507 122,901 442,445 565,347 6,071,332 1,597,719 393,284	1,120,000 5,000 150,000 5,000 5,000 1,676,000 24,000 480,000 100,000	206,934 117,263 131,058 172,445 34,489 124,161 158,650 1,703,759 448,358 110,365	12,000 18,000 30,000 17,000 15,000 17,000 63,000	\$ 1,096,388 2,076,343 558,128 778,084 803,952 177,390 588,606 790,997 9,514,091 24,000 2,535,077 618,649
Mgt. Info. Prog. Control. Equal Employ. Opp. Total PDA	4	1,253,595	580,000	351,788 27,591	25,000 2,000	2,210,383
w/o Equipment	548	\$13,470,000	\$4,300,000	\$3,780,000	\$ 370,000	\$21,920,000
Offices of: Exec. Legal. Dir. Int. State Prog. ACRS ASLBP ASLAP	90 26 37 42 17	\$ 2,767,925 799,623 1,\\$37,924 1,291,698 522,830	\$ 40,000 565,000 537,000 230,000 15,000	188,623	60,000 348,000 127,000	1,613,246 2,291,348
Total PTS	212	\$ 6,520,000	\$1,387,000	\$1,538,000	735,000	\$10,180,000
Total PDA & PTS w/o Equipment	760	\$19,990,000	\$5,687,000	\$5,318,000	\$1,105,000	\$32,100,000
1/PDA Average Personnel Co and Benefits Costs: Personnel Comp. \$12,36 Personnel Benefits 1,11 \$13,4	50,000	tion	Compensat Personne	age Personn tion & Bene 1 Comp. 1 Benefits		000
PDA People 54	18		PTS Peop	le	212	
Avg. Compensation \$24,58 Used for Distribution	30.29			pensation or Distribu	\$30,754 tion	. 7,2
2/PDA Average Admin. Support Total Costs \$3,780	ort: 0,000		4/PTS Avera	age Admin.	Support: \$ 1,538	,000
Total People 548	3		Total Ped	ople	212	
Avg. Admin. Cost \$6,897 Used for Distribution	7.81	~ 15	Avg. Adm. Used fo	. Cost or Distribu	\$ 7,254 tion	.72

#### Discribution of Office of the Secretary Costs

			Office of Secretary		
	Man-	Budget	Distr.Base		
	Years		%	Cost	Totals
PDA					
Offices of					
Commission	28	\$1,096,388			\$1,096,388
Secretary	30	2,076,343		(\$2,076,343)	
General Counsel	17	558,128	1.3	26,992	585,120
Policy Eval.	. 19	778,084	-		778,084
Insp.& Audit	25	803,952			803,952
Cong. Affairs	5	177,390	. 2	4,153	181,543
Pub. Affairs	18	588,606	.2	4,153	592,759
Ex.Dir-Sp.Proj.Grp.	5	171,646	.67	13,911	185,557
Ex. Dir-All Other Grps.	18	619,351	2.43	50,455	669,806
AdmRules & Rec. Grp.	9	342,507	. 39	8,098	350,605
AdmAll Other Grps.	238	2.171,584	10.41	216,147	9,387,731
AdmExcl.		24,000	-		24,000
Controller	65	2,535,077	1.1	22,840	2,557,917
Plans & Anal.	16	618,649	1.2	24,916	643,565
Mgt.Info.Prog.Control	51	2,210,383	.3	6,229	2,216,612
Equal Employ.	7	147,912			147,912
Total PDA	548	\$21,920,000			
PTS					
Offices of					
Ex.Legal Dir.	90	3,635,850	1.7	\$ 35,298	\$3,671,148
Int., State Programs	26	1,613,246	10.9	226,322	1,839,568
ACRS	37	2,291,348	1.5	31,145	2,322,493
ASLBP	42	1,953,396	10.8	224,245	2,177,641
ASLAP	17	686,160	2.7	56,061	742,221
Total PTS	212	\$10,180,000			
Program Offices:					
N - D (NDP)	612		24.5	508,704	508,704
Nuc.Reactor Reg.(NRF)	613		11.3	234,627	234,627
Nuc.Mat.& Sfgds(NMSS)	592		8.3	172,336	172,336
Inspect.& Enforce(IE)	153		6.6	137,039	137,039
Standards Devel.					
Research	135		3.5	72,672	72,672
Totals	2,529	\$32,100,000	100.0	-0-	\$32,100,000

#### Distribution of Office of the Controller Costs

		Offi	Office of Controller Distr.Base		
	1/	Dist			
	Totals '	MYs	%	Cost	Totals
PDA					
Offices of					
Commission	\$1,096,388	28	1.14	\$ 29,160	\$1,125,548
General Counsel	585,120	17	.70	17,905	603,025
Policy Eval.	778,084	19	.78	19,952	798,036
Insp.& Audit	803,952	25	1.03	26,346	830,298
Cong. Affairs	181,543	5	.21	5,372	186,915
Pub. Affairs	592,759	18	.74	18,929	611,688
Fx.Dir-Sp.Proj.Grp.	185,557	5	.21	5,372	190,929
Fx. Dir-All Other Grps.	669,806	18	.74	18,929	688,735
AdmRules & Rec.Grp.	350,605	9	.37	9,464	360,069
AdmAll Other Grps.	9,387,731	238	9.77	249,908	9,637,639
AdmExcl.	24,000				24,000
Controller	2,557,917			(2,557,917)	
Plans & Anal.	643,565	16	.66	16,882	660,447
Mgt.Info.Prog.Control	2,216,612	51	2.10	53,716	2,270,328
Faual Employ Opp.	147,912	4	.16	4,093	152,005
PTS					
Offices of					
Ex.Legal Dir.	3,671,148	90	3.69	\$ 94,387	\$3,765,535
Int., State Programs	1,839,568	26	1.07	27,370	1,866,938
ACRS	2,322,493	37	1.52	38,881	2,361,374
ASLBP	2,177,641	42	1.73	44,252	2,221,893
ASLAP	742,221	17	.70	17,905	760,126
Program Offices:					
Nuc. Reactor Reg. (NRR)	\$ 508,704	613	25.18	\$644,084	\$1,152,788
Nuc. Mat. & Sfgds (NMSS)	234,627	276	11.34	290,068	524,695
Inspect. & Enforce (IE)	172,336	592	24.32	622,085	794,421
Standards Devel.	137,039	153	6.29	160,893	297,932
Pesearch	72,672	135	5.55	141,964	214,636
			-	141,704	
Tota s	\$32,100,000	2,434	100.00	-0-	\$32,100,000

<sup>1/</sup> Totals from preceding page which include Office of Secretary distribution.

Distribution of Office of Management Information and Program Control Costs

		Mgmt.Info.8	Prog.Con.	
	Distr.Base			
	Totals1/	%	Cost	Totals
PDA				
Offices of				
Commission	\$1,125,548		s -	\$1,125,548
General Counsel	603,025			603,025
Policy Eval.	798,036			798,036
Insp.& Audit	830,298			830,298
Cong. Affairs	186,915			186,915
Pub. Affairs	611,688			611,688
Ex.Dir-Sp.Proj.Grp.	190,929	4.5	102,165	293,094
Ex.Dir-All Other Grps.	688,735	16.1	365,523	1,054,258
AdmRules & Rec. Grp.	360,069	.1	2,270	362,339
AdmAll Other Grps.	9,637,639	2.9	65,840	9,703,479
AdmFxcl.	24,000			24,000
Plans & Aral.	660,447			660,447
Mgmt.Info.Prog.Control	2,270,328		(\$2,270,328)	
Equal Employ Opp.	152,005			152,005
P15				
Offices of				
Ex.Legal Dir.	\$3,765,535		s -	\$3,765,535
Int., State Programs	1,866,938	2.9	65,840	1,932,778
ACRS	2,361,374			2,361,374
ASLBP	2,221,893			2,221,893
ASLAP	760,126			760,126
Program Offices:				
Nuc.Reactor Reg. (NRR)	\$1,152,788	41.0	\$930,834	02 002 622
Nuc.Mat.& Sfgds(NMSS)	524,695	13.0	295,143	\$2,083,622 819,838
Inspect.& Enforce(IE)	794,421	1.6	36,325	830,746
Standards Devel.	297,932	7.1	161,193	459,125
Research	214,636	10.8	245,195	459,831
Totals	\$32,100,000	100.0	-0-	\$32,100,000

<sup>1/</sup> Totals from preceding page which include distribution of Offices of Secretary, and Controller.

#### Distribution of Office of Administration Costs

		Offic	e of Adm	inistration	
	1/	Dist	r.Base		m1-
	Totals1/	MYs	7/	Cost	Totals
PDA					
Offices of					
Cormission	\$1,125,548	28	1.31	\$127,116	\$1,252,664
General Counsel	603,025	17	. 79	76,657	679,682
Folicy Eval.	798,036	19	.89	86,361	884,397
Insp.& Audit	830,298	25	1.17	113,531	943,829
Cong. Affairs	186,915	5	.23	22,318	209,233
Put. Affairs	611,688	18	.84	81,509	693,197
Ex. Dir-Sp. Proj. Grp.	293,094	5	.23	22,318	315,412
Fr hir-All Other Grps.	1,054,258	18	.84	81,509	1,135,767
Adm. Rules & Rec. Grp.	362,339	9	.42	40,755	403,094
Adm All Other Grps.	9,703,479			(\$9,703,479)	
Adm -Excl.	24,000				24,000
Plans & Anal.	660,447	16	.74	71,806	732,253
Equal Employ Opp.	152,005	4	.19	18,437	170,442
PTS					
Offices of					
Ex.Legal Dir.	\$3,765,535	90	4.20	\$407,546	\$4,173,081
Int., State Programs	1,932,778	26	1.21	117,412	2,050,190
ACRS	2,361.374	37	1.72	166,900	2,528,274
ASLBP	2,221,893	42	1.96	190,188	2,412,081
ASLA?	760,126	17	.79	76,657	836,783
Program Offices:					
Nuc. Reactor Reg. (NRR)	\$2,083,622	613	28.58	\$2,773,254	\$4,856,876
Nuc.Mat.& Sfgds(NMSS)	819,838	276	12.87	1,248,838	2,068,676
Inspect.& Enforce(IE)	830,746	592	27.60	2,678,160	3,508,906
Standards Devel.	459,125	153	7.13	691,858	1,150,983
Research	459,831	135	6.29	610,349	1,070,180
Totals	\$32,100,000	2,145	100.00	-0-	\$32,100,000

<sup>1/</sup> Totals from preceding page which include distribution of Offices of Secretary, Controller, and MIPC.

#### Distribution of Office of General Counsel Costs

		Office of Gene	ral Counsel	
	1/	Distr.Base	S. S	
	Totals1/	%	Cost	Totals
FDA				
Offices of				
Commission	\$1,252,664			\$1,252,664
General Counsel	679,682		(\$679,682)	
Policy Eval.	884,397			884,397
Insp.& Audit	943,829			943,829
Cong. Affairs	209,233			209,233
Pub. Affairs	693,197			693,197
Ex.Dir-Sp.Proj.Grp.	315,412			315,412
Ex. Dir-All Other Grps.	1,135,767			1,135,767
AdmRules & Rec. Grp.	403,094			403,094
AdmAll Coher Grps.				
AdmExcl.	24,000			24,000
Plans & Anal.	732,253			732,253
Equal Employ Opp.	170,442			170,442
PTS				
113				
Offices of				
Ex.Legal Dir.	\$4,173,081			6/ 172 001
Int., State Programs	2,050,190			\$4,173,081 2,050,190
ACRS	2,528,274			2,528,274
ASLEP	2,412,081			2,412,081
ASLAP	836,783			836,783
Program Offices:				
Nuc.Reactor Reg. (NRR)	\$4,856,876			\$4,856,876
Nuc.Mat.& Sfgds(NMSS)	2,068,676			2,068,676
Inspect.& Enforce(IE)	3,508,906			3,508,906
Standards Devel.	1,150,983			1,150,983
Research	1,070,180			1,070,180
Other				
Contested Case Costs		15	\$101,952	\$ 101,952
Independent Public Benef	it Costs	85	577,730	577,730
Totals	\$32,100,000	100	-0-	\$32,100,000

<sup>1/</sup> Totals from preceding page which include distribution of Offices of Secretary, Controller, MIPC, and Administration.

Distribution of Office of the Executive Director for Operations Costs

		Office of Ex. Director		
	Totals1/	Distr.Base	Cost	Totals
	Totals	%	Cosc	1000
PDA				
Offices of				
Commission	\$1,252,664			\$1,252,664
Policy Eval.	884,397			884,397
Insp.& Audit	943,829			943,829
Cong. Affairs	209,233			209,233
Post. Affairs	693,197			693,197
Ex.Dir-Sp.Proj.Grp.	315,412			315,412
Ex. Dir-All Other Grps.	1,135,767		(\$1,135,767)	
Adm. Rules & Rec. Grp.	403,094	1.24	14,084	417,178
AdmExcl.	24,000			24,000
Plans & Anal.	732,253	2.21	25,100	757,353
Equal Employ Opp.	170,442	.55	6,247	176,689
Offices of  Ex.Legal Dir. Int., State Programs ACRS	\$4,173,081 2,050,190 2,528,274	12.41 3.59	\$140,949 40,774	\$4,314,030 2,090,964 2,528,274
ASLBP	2,412,081	-		2,412,081
ASLAP	836,783			836,783
Program Offices:				
Nuc. Reactor Reg. (NRR)	\$4,856,876	20.00	\$227,153	\$5,084,029
Nuc.Mat.& Sfgds (NMSS)	2,068,676	20.00	227,153	2,295,829
Inspect.& Enforce(TE)	3,508,906	10.00	113,577	3,622,483
Standards Devel.	1,150,983	10.00	113,577	1,264,560
Research	1,070,180	20.00	227,153	1,297,333
	2,070,100	20.00	,155	1,277,333
Other				
Contested Case Costs	\$ 101,952			\$ 101,952
Independ. Public Benefit	577,730	-		577,730
Costs	\$32,100,000	100.00	-0-	\$32,100,000

<sup>1/</sup> Totals from preceding page which include distribution of Offices of Secretary, Controller, MIPC, Administration, and General Counsel.

Distribution of Office of the Executive Legal Director Costs

		Of. of Ex. I	egal Dir.	
	1/	Distr.Base		
	Totals1/	%	Cost	Totals
PDA				
Offices of				
Commission	\$1,252,664		\$ -	\$1,252,664
Policy Eval.	884,397	-		884,397
Insp.& Audit	943,829			943,829
Cong. Affairs	209,233			209,233
Pub. Affairs	693,197			693,197
Ex.Dir-Sp.Proj.Grp.	315,412	1.62	69,887	385,299
AdmRules & Rec.Grp.	417,178	2.91	125,538	542,716
AdmExcl.	24,000			24,000
Plans & Anal.	757,353	5.17	223,036	980,389
Equal Employ.Opp.	176,689	1.30	56,082	232,771
PTS				
Offices of				
Ex.Legal Dir.	4,314,030		(\$4,314,030)	
Int., State Programs	2,090,964		_	2,090,964
ACRS	2,528,274		_	2,528,274
ASLBP	2,412,081			2,412,081
ASLAP	836,783			836,783
Program Offices				
Nuc.Reactor Reg. (NRR)	\$5,084,029	15.00	\$647,105	\$5,731,134
Nuc.Mat.& Sfgds(NMSS)	2,295,829	8.00	345,122	2,640,951
Inspect.& Enforce(IE)	3,622,483	1.00	43,140	3,665,623
Standards Devel.	1,264,560	5.00	215,702	1,480,262
Research	1,297,333	-		1,297,333
Other				
Contested Case Costs	\$ 101,952	60.00	\$2,588,418	\$2,690,370
Independ. Public Benefit Costs	577,730	-		577,730
Totals	\$32,100,000	100.00	-0-	\$32,100,000

Totals from preceding page which include distribution of Offices of Secretary, Controller, MIPC, Administration, General Counsel, and Executive Director for Operations.

#### SUMMARY OF PROGRAM DIRECTION AND ADMINISTRATION AND

#### PROGRAM TECHNICAL SUPPORT COSTS

PDA & PTS Exclusions from Fees	PDA & PTS Budget Amount	Proportionate Share of Further PDA & PTS Distribution	Totals
PDA			
Offices of			
Commission	\$1,096,388	\$156,276	\$1,252,664
Policy Eval.	778,084	106,313	884,397
Insp. & Audit	803,952	139,877	943,829
Cong. Affairs	177,390	31,843	209,233
Public Affairs	588,606	104,591	693,197
Ex.DirSp.Projects	171,646	213,653	385,299
AdmRules & Rec.	342,507	200,209	542,716
AdmExcl.	24,000	2/1 2/0	24,000
Plans & Anal.	618,649	361,740	980,389
Equal Employ Opp.	147,912	84,859	232,771
PTS			
Offices of			
Inter., State Programs	\$1,613,246	\$477,718	\$2,090,964
Other			
Proportionate Share of PTS & PDA to:			
Office of Standards		\$1,480,262	\$1,480,262
Office of Research		1,297,333	1,297,333
Contested Cases		2,690,370	2,690,370
Independ. Public Benefit		577,730	577,730
Subtotal PDA & PTS Exclusions	\$6,362,380	\$7,922,774	\$14,285,154
To Be Further Considered for Fees-			
Offices of			
ACKS	\$2,291,348	\$236,926	\$2,528,274
ASLSP	1,953,396	458,685	2,412,081
ASLAP	686,160	150,623	836,783
Other			
Proportionate Share of PTS & PDA to:			
Office of WRR		\$5,731,134	\$5,731,134
Office of MMSS		2,640,951	2,540,951
Office of IE	-	3,665,623	3,665,623
Subtotal Further Considered for Fees	\$4,930,904	\$12,883,942	\$17,814,846
TOTAL PDA & PTS	\$11,293,284	\$20,806,716	\$32,100,000

<sup>1/</sup> The following offices within PDA & PTS were distributed (see preceding pages) -Office of Secretary, Office of the Controller, Management Information and
Program Control, Office of Administration, Office of General Counsel, Office
of Executive Director for Operations, and Office of Executive Legal Director.
2/ Although these costs will be further considered for fees, this does not mean

<sup>2/</sup> Although these costs will be further considered for fees, this does not mean that their entire costs will be recovered through fees. For example, there will be contested case work in ASLBP and ASLAP which will be identified and excluded when the total costs for those offices are determined.

#### SECTION 3

ANALYSIS OF PROGRAM SUPPORT (CONTRACTUAL) COSTS FOR NRR, IE, AND NMSS

#### NRR Summary of Program Support (Contractual Services)Costs FY 1977

Included For Fee Computation		\$ In \$1,000's
Safety Casework (CP & OL's)		\$ 650
Environmental Casework		3,630
Operator Exams (Safety)		140
License Amendments (Safety)		50
Clinch River		465
Offshore Plants		15
Training (See NRR Cost/Man-year	Computation)	300
	Subtotal	\$ 5,250
Contractual Line Items		
Contractual Line Items  Excluded From Fee Computation  Generic Studies  Applications \$5,330		\$ 8,875
Generic Studies Applications \$5,330 Operating Reactors 1,750 Advanced Reactors 1,795		
Generic Studies Applications \$5,330 Operating Reactors 1,750	Subtotal	200
Generic Studies Applications \$5,330 Operating Reactors 1,750 Advanced Reactors 1,795	Subtotal	

NRR Computation of Average Program Support (Contractual) Costs To Be Assessed for Construction Permits and Operating Licenses

Contractual Line Items	Amount	Average Contractual Costs Applied to Reviews		
Site Safety Casework		Construction Permit	Operating License	
B5190 COE Foundation Design B5884 USGS Earthquake Prob. B5074 USGS Geology Eval. A7001 LASL Assist. B0195 HNL Concept Code B5061 Newmark Seismic B5490 Hearing Notices	\$ 100.000 185,000 215,000 8,000 17,000 75,000 50,000	\$ 9,615 10,054 11,684 - 923 7,211 2,192	\$ - 10,054 11,684 1,000 923 - 2,192	
Total Site Safety Casework	\$ 650,000	\$ 41,679	\$ 25,853	
Environmental Casework				
A2001 ANL (EIS) B0001 HNL (EIS) B5563 Hendron Soil Behavior B5077 NOAA Meterological B5078 INFONET Time Share B1136 ERDA Fuel Cycle B1137 FPC Need for Power - DOC Obers Proj Energy Consult. B0090 HNL Plt. Cap. Cost B5490 Hearing Notices	\$1,500,000) 1,800,000) 20,000 65,000 50,000 25,000 25,000 15,000 30,000 50,000	\$210,000 823 6,250 2,717 4,807 2,403 2,403 1,442 1,630 2,192	\$130,000 823 2,717 - - 1,630 2,192	
Total Environmental Casework	\$3,630,000	\$234,667	\$137,362	

NRR
Computation of Average Program Support (Contractual) Costs
To Be Assessed for Construction Permits and Operating Licenses

Clinch River Breeder Reactor Contractual Line Items	Amount	Average Co	ntractual Costs Ap		
		Construction Permit			
		Safety	Environmental	Total Safety & Env.	
62001 PNL	\$100,000	s -	\$335,0001/	\$335,000	
B1130 AERO Retention Study	135,000	135,000		135,000	
B1116 NUS LMFBR	75,000	75,000		75,000	
- Venus	40,000	40,000		40,000	
- Structura Analysis	50,000	50,000		50,000	
B20°3 Fuel Behavior	65,000	65,000		65,000	
Subtotal	\$465,000	\$365,0002/	\$335,000	\$700,0002/	
	-	41,6792		41,679	
Total Clinch River	\$465,000	\$406,679	\$335,000	\$741,679	

<sup>1/</sup> Based on costs to date, including \$100,000 in FY'77

<sup>2/</sup> Average safety CP costs for a construction permit added since contracts would assist Clinch River

NRR
Average Costs in Program Support
(Contractual) Dollars To Complete
Various Types of Facility Reviews (Each Site)

Type of Review	Site Safety Casework	Environmental Casework	Total Safety & Environmental
Construction Permit	\$ 41,679	\$234,667	\$276,346
Operating License	25,853	137,362	163,215
Manufacturing License	41,679	400,000	441,6791/
Utility Referencing Manufacturing License	e 56,679	370,000	426,6792/
Clinch River Breeder Reactor	406,679	335,000	741,6793/

<sup>1/</sup> Based on costs to date plus estimate to complete Offshore Power Systems Application for 8 floating nuclear power units.

<sup>2/</sup> Based on costs to date plus estimate to complete Public Service Gas and Electric application for Offshore Units - Atlantic 1 & 2.

<sup>3/</sup> Based on costs to date plus estimate to complete Clinch River Breeder Reactor.

# NRR Computation of Average Cost To License Operators For A Company Receiving and Holding an Operating License

Power Peactors	Average Profess, Time	Cost Per	
Type of Examination	To Administer Exams (Man-Day)		Total Cost
Cold Exam			
NRR Manpower Consultants	42 14	\$368 105.90	\$15,456 1,483
Subtotal			\$16,939
Initial Hut Exams			
NRR Manpower Consultants	33 11	\$368 105.90	\$12,144 1,165
Subtotal			\$13,309
Total Cold and Initial	Hot Exam - Power Reactor OL		\$30,248
Non Power Reactors			
Type of Examination			
Cold/Hot Exams Consultants	7 2	\$368 105.90	\$ 2,576 212
Total Cold/Hot Exam - 1	Ion Power Reactor OL		\$ 2,788

1/NRR Basic Cost Per Man-Year = \$70.012 2/
Add Program Support (contractual) Costs/Man-Year = 12.727 2/
Total Cost/Man-Year = \$82.739

Cost Per Man-Day \$82.739 ; 225 days = \$368

2/\$140,900 Program Support Costs for Operator Exams

: 11 Professional employees

= \$12,727 Cost per professional for program support costs

#### NRR

### COMPUTATION OF AVERAGE PROFESSIONAL HOURLY RATE TO BE USED FOR FACILITY AMENDMENTS

NRR Average Cost/Man-Year to maintain a Professional Employee	\$70,012
Add: NRR Program Support (Contractual) costs relating to amendments	1,2501/
NRR Average Cost/Man-Year to maintain a Professional Employee working on amendments	\$71,262
NRR Average Cost/Man-Hour to maintain a professional employee working on amendments.	$$71,262 + 1,800^{2/} = $40$

- 1/ \$50,000 Program Support Costs for Facility amendments
  - + 40 professional employees
  - = \$1,250 cost per professional man-year for program support costs
- 2/ 1,800 man-hours = 1 productive man-year.

#### IE SUMMARY OF PROGRAM SUPPORT

#### (Contractual Services)

#### COSTS

#### FY 1977

Included for Fee Computation	Costs
Safety Casework	\$ 406,705
Environmental Casework	906,210
Safeguards Casework	633,085
Training (See IE Cost/Man-Years Computation)	56,000
Subtotal	\$2,002,000
Contractual Line Items Excluded from Fee Computation	
Generic Studies	\$1,440,000
Undesignated Contracts	288,000
Undesignated Contracts Subtotal	288,000 \$1,728,000

#### DISTRIBUTION OF IE PROGRAM SUPPORT (CONTRACTUAL) COSTS

	Program	Re	Distribut gional Of.		
	Support		Distributi	on Base	
Functional Area	Costs	MY	%	Cost	Total
Safety Casework	\$ 301,000	394	78.3	\$105,705	\$ 406,705
Environmental Casework	900,000	23	4.6	6,210	906,210
Safeguards Casework	510,000	86	17.1	23,085	633,085
Regional Office Contracts1/	135,000	-		(135,000)	-0-
Generic Studies	1,440,000	-		-	1,440,000
Undesignated Contracts	288,000	-	-		288,000
Training <sup>2</sup> /	56,000				56,000
Total	\$3,730,000	503	100.0%	-0-	\$3,730,000

Program support costs relating to regional support. These costs were considered to be related to the safety, environmental and safeguards casework programs and were distributed to these programs on a pro-rata basis based on manpower.

<sup>2/</sup> Training costs included in IE cost/man-year computation.

## ALLOCATION OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM SUPPORT (CONTRACTUAL) LINE ITEMS TO MAJOR PROGRAMS

Safety	Reactors	Fuel Cycle Facilities	Materials Program	All Other Programs	/ Total
IIIB Reactor Safety Consulting	\$ 25,000				\$ 25,000
IIIC Reactor Safety Consulting	25,000		3 (4)		25,000
VIIA Simulator Training	180,000			-0.3	180,000
VIIB Welding Technology	10,000				10,000
VIIB Electrical & Instrumentation	10,000				10,000
VIIB Non-Destructive Eval.	23,000				23,000
VIIB Concrete Technology	18,000		de la littra		18,000
VIID Video Tapes	7,000			-	7,000
VIID NRR Courses	3,000		-		3,000
Total Safety	\$301,000	<u> </u>	-		\$301,000
Environmental					
IA Radiological Measurements	\$200,000	\$16,000	\$ 9,000	-	\$225,000
IB Analytical Ser. Env. Monitoring	442,000	21,000	2,000	-	465,000
ID Arms Assist	180,000	28,000	2,000	_	210,000
Total Environmental	\$822,000	\$65,000	\$ 13,000		\$900,000
Safeguards					
IIA Analytical & Tech Services	\$ 40,000	\$19,000	\$436,000		\$495,000
IIB Program Support	5,000	2,000	53,000		60,000
IIB Maintain Meas. Van - Reg. 1	-	1,000	9,000		10,000
IIB Maintain Meas. Van - Reg. 2	-	2,000	3,000		5,000
IIB Assay SNM Van - Reg. 3	1,000	5,000	29,000		35,000
IIB Maintain Meas. Van - Reg. 3		2,000	3,000		5,000
Total Safeguards	\$ 46,000	\$31,000	\$533,000	-	\$610,000
Regional Office Contracts Safety Casework	\$ 76,953	\$ 3,277	\$ 15,539	\$9,936	\$105,705
		7 3,211	4 15,559	49,930	4103,703
Environmental Casework	\$ 5,676	\$ 509	\$ 25		\$ 6,210
Safeguards Casework	\$ 7,249	\$ 9,395	\$ 4,825	\$1,616	\$ 23,085

<sup>1/</sup> Includes Test and Research Reactors, Government-owned Reactors, and Special Projects.

## ALLOCATION OF IE SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM SUPPORT (CONTRACTUAL) COSTS TO LICENSE FEE CATEGORIES

Fee Categories	Safety Casework	Reg. Of. Safety Casework	Total Safety	Environ. Casework	Reg. Of. Environ. Casework	Total Environ.
Power Reactors						
CP CL Routine Inspect. Non-Routine Inspect.	\$ 86,000 5,000 210,000	\$ 29,280 13,953 26,849 6,871	\$115,280 18,953 236,849 6,871	\$139,000 683,000	\$ 1,354 4,322	\$140,354 687,322
Subtotal Power Reactors	\$301,000	\$ 76,953	\$377,953	\$822,000	\$ 5,676	\$827,676
Fuel Reprocessing						
CP OL Routine Inspect. Non-Routine Inspect.	\$ - - -	\$ 63 159 624	\$ 63 159 624 —	10,000	\$ - 267 -	10,267
Subtotal Fuel Reprocessing		\$ 846	\$ 846	\$ 10,000	\$ 267	\$ 10,267
Materials Program						
1A & 1B (U. Fuel Fab.)  1D (>5 kg U-235 - other)  3A (Byproduct Mfg large)  4A (Waste Disposal)  Other Materials	\$ -	\$ 2,431 106 846 846 13,741	\$ 2,431 106 846 846 13,741	\$ 55,000 3,000 5,000 5,000	\$ 242 25 - -	\$ 55,242 3,025 5,000 5,000
Subtotal Materials	\$	\$ 17,970	\$ 17,970	\$ 68,000	\$ 267	\$ 68,267
All Other Programs <sup>1</sup> /	\$	\$ 9,936	\$ 9,936	\$ <u>-</u>	\$	\$ <u>-</u>
Totals	\$301,000	\$105,705	\$406,705	\$900,000	\$ 6,210	\$906,210

<sup>1/</sup> Includes Test, Research, Government-owned Reactors, and Special Projects.

# COMPUTATION OF IE AVERAGE SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM SIPPORT (CONTRACTUAL) COSTS APPLIED TO EACH PROFESSIONAL

Fee Category or Type of Activity	Total Safety	Costs Environ.	Total	Profess. N	Man-Years Environ.	Total Prof. M/Ys	Average Cost App. to Each Profess. M/Y
Power Reactors							
CP OL Routine Inspection Non-Routine Inspection	\$115,280 18,953 236,849 6,871	140,354	\$ 115,280 159,307 924,171 6,871	77.00 38.00 72.00 18.00	4.0 14.0	77.00 42.00 86.00 18.00	\$ 1,497 3,793 10,746 382
Subtotal	\$377,953	\$827,676	\$1,205,629	205.00	18.0	223.00	
Fuel Reprocessing Plant							
CP OL Routine Inspection Non-Routine Inspection	\$ 63 159 624 —	10,267	159 10,891	.20 .30 1.50	1.0	.20 .30 2.50	\$ 315 530 4,356
Subtotal	\$ 846	\$ 10,267	\$ 11,113	2.00	1.0	3.00	
Materials							
1A & 1B (U Fuel Fab.)  1D (> 5 kg U-235 - other  3A (Byproduct Mfg 1ge  4A (Waste Disposal)  Other Materials	106 846	\$ 55,242 3,025 5,000 5,000	\$ 57,673 3,131 5,846 5,846 13,741	4.50 .50 1.50 1.15 26.35	.9	5.40 .60 1.50 1.15 26.35	\$10,680 5,218 3,897 5,083 521
Subtotal	\$ 17,970	68,267	\$ 86,237	34.00	1.0	35.00	
All Other Categories or Types of Activities	9,936		9,936	28.00		28.00	355
Total	\$406,705	\$906,210	\$1,312,915	269.00	20.0	289.00	

<sup>1/</sup> Includes Test, Research, Government-owned Reactors, and Special Projects.

# ALLOCATION OF IE SAFEGUARDS PROGRAM SUPPORT (CONTRACTUAL) COSTS TO LICENSE FEE CATEGORIES

Fee Categories	Safeguards Casework	Reg. Of. Safeguards Casework	Total Safeguards	Safeguards Prof. M/Y	Avg. Sfgds. Costs App. to Each Prof. (Col.3 + Col.4)
Power Reactors					
CP OL Routine Inspect. Non-Routine Inspect.	\$ - 12,000 34,000	\$ 1,339 5,910	\$ - 13,339 39,910	3.0 15.0	\$ - 4,446 2,661
Subtotal Power Reactors	\$ 46,000	\$ 7,249	\$ 53,249	18.0	
Fuel Reprocessing Routine Inspect.	\$ 31,000	\$ 808	\$ 31,808	2.0	\$15,904
Materials Program					
1A & 1B (U. Fuel Fab.)  1C (Pu Fuel Fab.)  1D (> 5 kg U-235 - other)  1E (> 2 kg Pu - other)  1F (200 g to 2 kg Pu)  1G (350 g to 5 kg U-235)  1H (Spent Fuel Storage)  1J (Other SNM)  Unirradiated Fuel Storage (Ex		\$ 6,441 2,146 531 162 346 669 162 1,870 1,085	\$241,441 95,146 6,531 10,162 20,346 35,669 5,162 74,870 57,085	17.5 5.0 1.5 .3 .8 1.5 .4 4.0 3.0	\$13,797 19,029 4,354 33,873 25,433 23,779 12,905 18,718 19,028
All Other Programs <sup>1</sup> /	\$ 533,000	\$ <u>13,412</u> \$ <u>1,616</u>	\$ <u>546,412</u> \$ <u>1,616</u>	34.0 6.0	269
Total Safeguards	\$610,000	\$23,085	\$633,085	60.0	

<sup>1/</sup> Includes Test, Research, Government-owned Reactors, and Export Program.

# NMSS SUMMARY OF PROGRAM SUPPORT (CONTRACTUAL SERVICES)

#### COSTS

#### FY 1977

Contractual Line Items Included for Fee Consideration	\$ In 1,000's
Safety Casework	\$1,393
Environmental Casework	815
Safeguards Casework	150
Subtotal	\$2,358
Contractual Line Items Excluded from Fee Consideration	\$3,025
Safeguards Non-Casework Generic Studies	2,030
Standards	547
State Agreements Program	240
Research	
Subtotal	\$6,042
Total NMSS Program Support Costs	\$8,400

# NMSS Safety and Environmental Program Support (Contractual) Costs by License Fee Category FY 1977 Budget (S In 1,000's)

Contract	1A > 5Kg U-235 Fuel Fab.	1C Pu Fuel Fab.	1H SXM Spent Fuel Storage	2A Milling Operations	28 Heap Leach Operations	Uranium Entichment Facilities	Reprocessing Complexes	Transportation	Total Contractual Costs
A-2010 Environmental/Mills	Safety Env.	Safety Env.	Safety Env.	Safety Env. \$355	Safety Env. \$ 25	Safety Env.	Safety Env.	Safety Env.	Safety Env. \$380
- Environmental/Enrichment						5 20			20
A-2010 Environmental/Fuel Fab.	\$ 90	\$ 50							140
B-5912 Safety/Enrichment						\$ 15			\$ 15
A-7010 Fuel Cycle Fac/Tech Rev Asst.		\$150					\$150		300
B-0102 Safety Rev. of Nuc Fac.			\$125				400		525
A-0147 Struct. Eval/Fuel Cycle Plts.							100		100
A-2060 UF6 Plant Review Assist							95		95
B-1517 Natural Phenom Existing Pu Fac		150							150
A-2010 Environmental/Reprocessing			\$ 25				\$150		175
B-0276 Supp. Barnwell Env. Statement							25		25
- Environmental/GE Storage			75						75
8-0009 Computer Assist/Cask Anal.								\$208	208
Total Safety & Environmental Contractual Costs	_ \$ 90	\$300 \$ 50	\$125 \$100	- \$355	- \$ 25	\$ 15 \$ 20	\$745 \$175	\$208 -	\$1,393 \$815

# NMSS SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM SUPPORT (CONTRACTUAL) BY LICENSE FEE CATEGORY

FY 1977 Budget (S in 1,000's)

Fee Category		Contractual Costs		ironmental ntractual Costs	& Env	l Safety ironmental etual Cost
Special Nuclear Material  1A > 5 kgs. U-235 Fuel Fab.  1C Pu Fuel Fab.  1H SNM Spent Fuel Storage	s	300 125	\$	90 50 100	\$	90 350 225
Subtotal SNM	\$	425	S	240	s	665
Source Material 2A Milling Operations 2B Heap Leach Operations	\$	-	s	355 25	\$	355 25
Subtotal Source Mat	. \$		ş	380	\$	380
Other Production & Utilization Fac Uranium Enrichment Facilities Reprocessing Complexes	ilities \$	15 745	ş	20 175	\$	35 920
Subtotal Other Prod Utilization Facilit		760	S	195	\$	955
Transportation		208				208
	\$1	, 393	\$	815	\$2,	, 208

#### NMSS Safeguards Program Support (Contractual) Costs By License Fee Category FY 1977 Budget

		No. of the last of	
Contractual Line Item	1C Pu Processing and Fabrication	Fuel Reprocessing	Total
Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Formats		\$50,000	\$50,000
Fuel Reprocessing and Plutonium Fabrication (EIS)	\$50,000	50,000	100,000
Total	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$150,000

#### SECTION 4

ADDED FACTOR COMPUTATION

#### ADDED FACTOR COMPUTATION WORKSHEET FY 1977

			PT 19	"					
			PI	LAN	T AND CAPITA	L E	QUIPMENT (P	SCE!	2
(1)	Balances 6/30/75 1/	\$	IE 309, 581	s	Research -0-	\$	A11 Other 4,190,280	\$	Totals 4,499,861
(2)	Budget Estimates 2/ FY 1976		120,000		9,600,000		370,000		10,090,000
(3)	FY 1976 - Trans Qtr.		60,000		1,080,000		70,000		1,210,000
(4)	FY 1977		320,000		8,000,000		390,000		8,710,000
(5)	Total P&CR as of 9/30/77	\$	809,581	\$	18,680,000	\$	5,020,280	\$	24,509,861
(6)	Less 1/2 of Fr 19773/ P6CE Fadget		160,000		4,000,000		195,000		4,355,000
(7)	P&CE Added Factor Computation Base	ş	649,581	\$	14,680,000	\$	4,825,280	\$	20,154,861
	FY 19	77 AD	DED FACTO	9 (	COMPUTATION				
(8)	Depreciation 07.6721/	\$	49,823	\$	1,125,956	\$	370,099	\$	1,545,878
(9)	Interest 07.821/		50,667		1,145,040		376,372		1,572,079
(10)	Total Added Factor	\$	100,490	\$	2,270,996	\$	746,471	\$	3,117,957
	FY	1977	BUDGETAR	Y A	NALYSIS				
(11)	Dollar Amounts 2/	\$26	,290,000	\$1	22,390,000	\$10	00,750,000	\$2	49,430,000
(12)	Less P&CE2/		320,000		8,000,000		390,000		8,710,000
(13)	Net Budget 2/	\$25	,970,000	\$1	14,390,000	\$10	00,360,000	\$2	40,720,000
(14)	Personnel Distribution No. of Manyears		592	antho man	135		1,802		2,529
		AD	DED FACTO	RR	ATE				
(15)	Cost Per Manyear	_	170	,	16,822		295		1,233
(16)	Percent of Budget	-	.397	1	1.99%	,	.312		1.30%

<sup>1/</sup> Per Division of Accounting's letter dated June 18, 1976.

- 6! Line 10 (ISE Col.) + Line 14 (ISE Col.)
- 5/ Line 10 (162 Col.) + Line 13 (162 Col.)
- 6/ Line 10 (RES. Col.) + Line 14 (RES. Col.)
- 1/ Line 10 (RES. Col.) + Line 13 (RES. Col.)
- 8/ Line 10 (All Other Col.) + Line 14 (Total Col.)
- 9/ Line 10 (All Other Col.) . Line 13 (Total Col.)
- 10/ Line 10 (Total Col.) + Line 14 (Total Col.)
- 11/ Line 10 (Total Col.) + Line 13 (Total Cci.)

<sup>2/</sup> NRC FY 1977 Budget Estimate as of January 21, 1975 This document provided by the Division of Budget.

<sup>3/</sup> It is assumed that 1/2 of the FY 1977 PSCE purchases would be costed throughout FY 1977.

#### SECTION 5

NRC PROFESSIONAL MANPOWER REQUIRED TO CONDUCT APPLICATION REVIEWS AND HEALTH & SAFETY AND SAFEGUARDS INSPECTIONS

Type of Raview	NRC Manbower (M/Y)							
			Subtotal Safety -	Anti-	Safe-			
Power Reactors	Safety	Env.	Env.	Trust	Guards	Total		
Custom Design Review CP - 1st Unit								
NRR	4.4	1.7	6.1	.2	.3	6.6		
IE	1.86		1.86	-		1.86		
ACRS	1.5		1.5			1.5		
ASLEP	.4	15	.4			.4		
ASLAP	027	- del	.027	-		027		
Total CP	8.187	1.7	9.887	.2	.3	10.387		
OL - 1st Unit								
NRR	4.4	1.4	5.8	.1	.3	6.2		
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	- 1	.1	4.23		
ACRS	1.2		1.2			1.2		
Total OL	9.28	1.85	11.13	.1	.4	11.63		
Total CP & OL Combined	17.467	3.55	21.017	.3	.7	22.017		
CP - Concurrent Unit		i Ang						
NRR	1 - 1	100	. 1			-		
IE	1.86	-10	1.86			1.86		
ACRS	.16	7/3-	.16	-	-	.16		
Total CP	2.02		2.02		1-10	2.02		
OL - Concurrent Unit								
NRR	-		A STATE OF ALL	200		-		
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.23		
ACRS		-		-		.15		
Total OL	3.83	.45	4.28		.1	4.38		
Total CP & OI Combined	5.85	.45	6.30		.1	6.40		

Type of Review				power (M/	(Y)	
			Subtotal	Anne	Casa	
Power Reactors	Safety	Env.	Safety & Env.	Anti- Trust	Safe- Guards	Total
Duplicate Design Review						
CP - 1st Unit						
NRR	4.4	1.7	6.1	.2	. 3	6.6
IE	1.86	-	1.86	-	_	1.86
ACRS	1.5	-	1.5	-		1.5
ASLBP	.4		.4	-		.4
ASLAP	.027	-	.027	-	-	.02
Total CP	8.187	1.7	9.887	.2	.3	10.38
OL - 1st Unit			Teres.			
NRR	4.4	1.4	5.8	.1	.3	6.2
IE	3.68	.45	4.13		.1	4.23
ACRS	1.2		1.2			1.2
Total OL	9.28	1.85	11.13	.1	.4	11.63
Total CP & OL Combined	17.467	3.55	21.017	. 3	.7	22.01
CP - Concurrent Unit						
NRR	-		-	-	-	
IE	1.86	-	1.86		-	1.86
ACRS	.16	- 1	.16			.16
Total CP	2.02	-	2.02			2.02
OL - Concurrent Unit						
NRR				_		
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.23
ACRS	.12		.12			.12
Total OL	3.80	.45	4.25		.1	4.35
Total CP & OL Combined	5.82	.45	6.27		.1	6.37
CP - 1st Unit - 2nd Site						44.0
NRR	1.1	1.7	2.8		. 3	3.1
31	1.86	_	1.86		-	1.86
ACKS	.75	-	.75			.75
ASLBF	.4		.4		1	.4
ASLAP	.027	- 14	.027		170	.027
Total CP	4.137	1.7	5.837	1	. 3	6.13
OL - 1st Unit - 2nd Site						MIN
NRR	.8	1.4	2.2	-	.3	2.5
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.23
ACRS	.6		.6			.6
Total OL	5.08	1.85	6.93	-	.4	7.33
Total CP & OL Combined	9,217	3.55	12.767	D. T. S. Lis	.7	13.46

- 45 -

Type of Review	NRC Manpower (M/Y)							
			Subtotal			5.6 1.86 .8 .4 .02 8.69 5.2 4.23 .75 10.18 18.86 .05 1.91		
Power Reactors	Safety	Env.	Safety & Env.	Anti- Trust	Safe- Guards	Total		
Renlicate Design Review								
CP - Ist Unit								
NRR	3.4	1.7	5.1					
IE	1,86	1./	1.86	. 2	. 3			
ACRS	.8		.8					
ASLBP	.4		.4					
ASLAP	.027		.027	12 1				
Total CP	6.487	1.7	8.187	.2	.3	8.68		
OL - 1st Unit								
NRR	3.4	1.4	4.8		2			
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	.1	. 3			
ACRS	.75	.47	.75		.1			
Total OL	7.83	1.85	9.68	.1	4	10.18		
Total CP & OL Combined	14.317	3.55	17.867	.3	.7	18.86		
CP - Concurrent Unit								
NRR			_					
IE	1.86		1.86			1.86		
ACRS	.05	-	.05	_				
Total CP	1.91	-	1.91		7	1.91		
OL - Concurrent Unit								
NRR								
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.23		
ACRS	.05		.05	-				
Total OL	3.73	.45	4.18	-	.1	4.28		
Total CP & OL Combined	5.64	.45	6.09	-	.1	6.19		
CP - 1st Unit - 2nd Site			The sale		orace. To			
NRR	1.1	1.7	2.8		.3	2.1		
IE	1.86	-	1.86	_		3.1		
ACRS	.4		.4	_	Les de la	.4		
ASLBP	.4	_	.4			.4		
ASLAP	.027	-	. 727	_	_	.02		
Total CF	3.787	1.7	5. 7	77	3	5.787		
OL - 1st Unit - 2nd Site								
NRR	. 8	1.4	2.2		.3	2.5		
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.23		
ACRS	.37	-	.37	-	-	.37		
Total OL	4.85	1.85	6.70		.4	7.10		
Total CP & OL Combined	8.637	3.55	12.187		.7	12.897		

Type of Review			NRC Man	power (M/	Y)	
			Subtotal			
Power Reactors	Safety	Env.	Safety & Env.	Anti- Trust	Safe- Guards	Total
	-	-		-		
Otil, Ref. NSSS/Custom BOP CP - 1st Unit						
NRR	4.0	1.7	5.7	. 2	.3	6.2
IE	1.86		1.86			1.86
ACRS	.8		.8			.8
ASLBP	.4		.4			.4
ASLAP	.027		.027			.027
Total CP	7.087	1.7	8.787	.2	.3	9.287
GL - 1st Unit						
NRR	4.0	1.4	5.4	.1	2	c 0.
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	**	.3	5.8
ACRS	.5		.5		1.1	
	8.18	1.85	10.03			.5
Total OL	0.10	1.00	10.03	, L	.4	10.53
Total CP & Oi. Combined	15.267	3.55	18.817	.3	.7	19.817
CP - Concurrent Unit						
NRR	-					
IE	1.86		1.86			1.86
ACRS	.03		.03			
Total CP	1.89	-	1.89	-	-	1.89
OL - Concurrent Unit						100
NRR						
IE	3.68	.45	4.13		.1	4.23
ACRS	.03	_	.03			.03
Total OL	3.71	.45	4.16	-	-1	4.26
Total CP & OL Combined	5.60	.45	6.05	-	.1	6.15
CB les Vets 2-1 Cts						
CP - 1st Unit - 2nd Site	1.1	1.7	2.8			
NRR IE	1.86	1./			. 3	3.1
	.4		1.86			1.86
ACRS	.4		.4			.4
ASLBP	.027	51				.4
ASLAP Total CP	3.787	1.7	5.487	-	-3	5.78/
OI - let linit - 2nd Site						
OL - 1st Unit - 2nd Site			2.0			
	.8	1.4	2.2	* *	. 3	2.5
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	*	V	4.23
ACRS Total OL	4.60	1.85	6.45		-4	6.85
Total CP & OL Combined	8.387	3.55	11.937	-	.7	12.637

Type of Review	NRC Manpower (M/Y)							
			Subtotal					
			Safety &	Anti-	Safe-			
Power Reactors	Safety	Env.	Env.	Trust	Guards	Total		
Util. Ref. NSSS/STD BOP								
CP - 1st Unit								
NRR	2.5	1.7	4.2	. 2	.3	4.7		
IE	1.86		1.86			1.86		
ACRS	.5		.5			.5		
ASLBP	.4		.4	_		.4		
ASLAP	.027		.027	_		.03		
Total CP	5.287	1.7	6.987	.2	.3	7.4		
OL - 1st Unit								
NRR	2.5	1.4	3.9	.1	. 3	4.3		
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.2		
ACRS	.5	-	.5	-	. 1	.5		
Total OL	6.68	1.85	8.53	.1	4	9.0		
Total CP & OL Combined	11.967	3.55	15.517	.3	.7	16.5		
CP - Concurrent Unit				::::::::::				
NRR								
IE	1.86		1.86			1.86		
ACRS	.03	100	.03	2		.03		
Total CP	1.89	-	1.89	-	-	1.89		
OL - Concurrent Unit								
NRR	-	-		-		3.1		
IE	3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.2		
ACRS	.03	-	.03			.03		
Total OL	3.71	.45	4.16		.1	4.26		
Total CP & OL Combined	5.60	.45	6.05		.1	6.15		
CP - 1st Unit - 2nd Site								
NRR	1.1	1.7	2.8	-	. 3	3.1		
IE	1.86		1.86		7	1.86		
ACRS	.4		. 4	-	-	.4		
ASLBP	. 4	-	. 4	-	-	.4		
ASLAP	.027	-	.027	-		.02		
Total CP	3.787	1.7	5.487		.3	5.78		
OL - 1st Unit - 2nd Site								
NRR	. 8	1.4	2.2	Non-	. 3	2.5		
IE	3.68	.45	4.13		.1	4.23		
ACRS	.12	-	.12	-		.12		
Total OL	4.60	1.85	6.45	7.1	4	6.85		
Total CP & OL Combined	8.387	3.55	11.937	-	.7	12.63		

- 48 -

Type of Review			NRC Man	power (M/	(Y)	
			Subtotal			
	C-6	Para	Safety &	Anti-	Safe- Guards	Total
Power Reactors	Safety	Env.	Env.	Trust	Guards	Total
Manufacturing License						
Preliminary Design						
NRR	8.0	2.0	10.0	. 2	. 3	10.5
IE	3.72	-	3.72	-	-	3.72
ACRS	1.25	-	1.25	-	-	1.25
ASLBP	.4		.4		-	.4
ASLAP	.027	-	.027		-	.027
Total Preliminary Desi	The later of the l	2.0	15.397	.2	- 3	15.897
Final Design Amendment	6.0			,	2	6.4
NRR	6.0		6.0	.1	. 3	0.4
Total Preliminary & Final	19.397	2.0	21.397	.3	.6	22.297
Design						
	* - * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
Utility Reference Manufactu License	iring					
CP - 1st Unit						
NRR	2.0	1.7	3.7	. 2	. 3	4.2
IE	.93	-	.93			.93
ACRS	.4		.4	_	-	.4
ASLBP	.4		.4	-		.4
ASLAP	.027		.027			.027
	3.757	1.7	5.457	.2	-3	5.957
Total CP	3.737	1./	3.437	•/	.,	3,437
OL - 1st Unit						
NRR	2.0	1.7	3.7	.1	. 3	4.1
IE	2.79	. 34	3.13	_	.1	3.23
ACRS	.4	-	.4		_	.4
Total OL	5.19	2.04	7.23	.1	.4	7.73
Total CP & OL Combined	8.947	3.74	12.687	.3	. 7	13.687
Total Gr w of complice	0.247	3.7.4	12.1.57			
CP - Concurrent Unit						
NRR	-		-	-		·
IE	.93	-	.03		* 7	.93
ACRS	-	-		-	-	-
Total CP	.93		.93			.93
OL - Concurrent Unit						
NRR						
IE	2.79	. 34	3.13	700 E	1	3.23
	6.17	. 54	1.13			3,63
ACRS Total OL	2.79	34	3.11	-	1.	3.23
Total CP & OL Combined	3.72	. 34	4.06		.1	4.16

NRC Manpower (M/Y)					
					Total
Safety	Env.				
W	-				
8.0	1.7	9.7	-	.3	10.0
1.86	-	1.86			1.86
3.0		3.0	-		3.0
. 4	-	. 4	-	-	.4
.027	-	.027	-		.027
13.287	1.7	14.987		. 3	15.287
8.0	1.4	9.4	-	.3	9.7
3.68	.45	4.13	-	.1	4.23
2.4	-	2.4	-	_	2.4
14.08	1.85	15.93		.4	16.33
27.367	3.55	30.917	-	.7	31.617
	8.0 1.86 3.0 .4 .027 13.287 8.0 3.68 2.4 14.08	8.0 1.7 1.86 - 3.0 - .4 - .027 - 13.287 1.7 8.0 1.4 3.68 .45 2.4 - 14.08 1.85	Subtotal Safety & Env. Env.  8.0 1.7 9.7 1.86 - 1.86 3.0 - 3.0 .44 .027027 13.287 1.7 14.987   8.0 1.4 9.4 3.68 .45 4.13 2.4 - 2.4 14.08 1.85 15.93	Subtotal Safety & Anti- Safety & Env. Env. Trust  8.0 1.7 9.7 - 1.86 - 1.86 - 3.0 - 3.044027027 - 13.287 1.7 14.987 -  8.0 1.4 9.4 - 3.68 .45 4.13 - 2.4 - 2.4 - 14.08 1.85 15.93 -	Safety & Anti- Safe- Safety & Anti- Safe- W  8.0 1.7 9.73 1.86 - 1.86 3.0 - 3.044027027 13.287 1.7 14.9873  8.0 1.4 9.43 3.68 .45 4.131 2.4 - 2.4 14.08 1.85 15.934

# NRC PROFESSIONAL MANPOWER REQUIRED TO CONDUCT REVIEWS FY 1977 BUDGET

Type of Review			NRC Ma	inpower (M,	(Y)	
			Subtotal			
	Safety	Env.	Safety & Env.	Anti- Trust	Safe- Guards	Total
Vendor Design Review						
Preliminary NSSS						
NRR	6.6	-	6.6	-	-	6.6
IE	-	-	_	-	- 1	-
ACRS	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	6.6		6.6	-	-	F 6
Final NSSS						
NRR	6.6	-	6.6			6.6
IE	-	_	-			0.0
ACRS	.8	-	.8			.8
Total	7.4	-	7.4	-		7.4
Total Prelim. & Final Combined	14.0	-	14.0	-		14.0
Architect Engineer Desig		-	14.0	-	-	14.0
Combined		-		-		
Architect Engineer Desig	n Review	-	6.6	-		14.0
Architect Engineer Designment Preliminary BOP	n Review	-		- - - - -		
Architect Engineer Desig	n Review	-		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	-	
Architect Engineer Desig Preliminary BOP NRR IE ACRS Total	n Review 6.6	-	6.6	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	-	6.6
Architect Engineer Designment Preliminary BOP NRR IE ACRS Total	6.6 - - - 6.6		6.6		-	6.6
Architect Engineer Designer Preliminary BOP NRR IE ACRS Total  Final BOP NRR	n Review 6.6		6.6	- - - - -		6.6
Architect Engineer Designer Preliminary BOP NRR IE ACRS Total  Final BOP NRR IE	6.6 - - 6.6		6.6			6.6
Architect Engineer Designer Preliminary BOP NRR IE ACRS Total  Final BOP NRR	6.6 - - - 6.6		6.6	- - - - - - -		6.6
Architect Engineer Designer Preliminary BOP NRR IE ACRS Total  Final BOP NRR IE ACRS	6.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		6.6			6.6

# NRC PROFESSIONAL MANPOWER REQUIRED TO CONDUCT REVIEWS FY 1977 BUDGET

		NRC Man	power (11/	v)	
		Subtotal Safety &	Anti-	Safe-	
Safety	Env.	Env.	Trust	Guards	Total
.1	_	.1		.1	. 2
. 9	-	. 9	-		.9
1.0	Ţ	1.0		,1	1.1
. 1		.1	-		.1
1.4	-	1.4			$\frac{1.4}{1.5}$
1.5		1.5			1.3
2.5	-	2.5	-	.1	2.6
.1	-	. 1	-	.1	.2
.5	÷	.5		.1	.6
.1		.1			.1
		7		-	.7
.8	Ī	. 0			.0
1.3		1.3		.1	1.4
	$ \begin{array}{r} .1 \\ .9 \\ 1.0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{r} .1 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.5 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{r} .1 \\ .4 \\ .5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Safety Env. Env.  -1199 -1.0  -1.414 - 1.455	Safety Env. Env. Trust $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Safety       Env.       Safety & Anti-Trust       Safe-Guards         .1       -       .1       -       .1         .9       -       .9       -       -       .1         .1       -       .9       -       -       .1         .1       -       .1       -       -       -       .1         .1       -       .14       - <t< td=""></t<>

### NRC PROFESSIONAL MANPOWER REQUIRED TO CONDUCT REVIEWS FY 1977 BUDGET

Type of Review	NRC Manpower (M/Y)						
			Subtotal				
			Safety &	Anti-	Safe-		
Reprocessing Plant Complex	Safety	Env.	Env.	Trust	Guards	Total	
Custom Design Review	The second second						
Construction Permit							
NMSS	2.5	.8	3.3	-	1.2	4.5	
NRR	1.1	. 7	1.8	. 2	1	2.0	
IE	.7	-	.7	-		.7	
ACRS	1.0	_	1.0	_	-	1.0	
ASLBP	.4		. 4	_		.4	
ASLAP	.027		.027	_	-	.027	
Total CP	5.727	1.5	7.227	.2	1.2	8.627	
local or	3.121	1.5	7.22/				
Operating License							
NMS3	3.3	-	3.3	-	1.6	4.9	
NRR	.8	.4	1.2	.1	-	1.3	
IE	2.0	-	2.0			2.0	
ACRS	1.0	_	1.0	_		1.0	
Total OL	7.1	.4	7.5	-1	1.6	9.2	
TOTAL OL							
Total CP & OL Combined	12.827	1.9	14.727	.3	2.8	17.827	
Major Amendment - Reprocessi NMSS	. 4	. 2	.6		. 2	.8	
Minor Amendment - Reprocessi NMSS	.05	-	.05	2.1	.05	.1	
Uranium Enrichment Plant							
Construction Permit							
NMSS	.5	.5	1.0	-	.35	1.35	
NRR	.5	. 2	.7	17.00	-	.7	
IE	.7	-	.7			. 7	
ACRS	1.0	-	1.0			1.0	
ASLBP	.4	-	. 4	-	-	.4	
ASLAP	.027	-	.027	-		.027	
Total CP	3.127	.7	3.827		.35	4.177	
				STORE T			
Operating License						0.00	
Operating License	.5	. 5	1.0	-	.5	1.7	
NMSS	.5	.5	1.0	II.	.5	1.5	
NMSS NRR	.5	.5	.5	3	.5	.5	
NMSS NRR IE	2.0	.5 - -	2.0	3	3	2.0	
NMSS NRR		.5	.5	=	-5 	.5	

			Power Reactors & Design Approval	s Test & Research Reactors
			Profess. Man-Hrs. To Process	Profess. Man-Hrs. To Process
	Class I	- Amendments that are a duplicate of an amendment for a second essentially identical unit at the same site, where both proposed amendments are received, processed and issued at the same time.	NRR 10	
	Class I	I - Amendments that are proforma, administrative in nature, or do not have significant safety considerations.	NRR 30	15
	Class I	II - Amendments that involve a single consideration, have acceptability for the consideration clearly identified by a regulatory position, or are deemed not to involve significant hazards consideration	NRR 100	50
- 54 -	Class I	issue or more than one consideration, several changes of the Class III	NRR 286	150
		type incorporated into proposed amendment, or have been judged to involve significant hazards consideration.	ACRS 18	
	Class V	- Amendments that require evaluation of many aspects of facility operation and the associated safety analysis, are	NRR 600	300
		likely to involve review by the ACRS or involve significant hazards consideration and may require a hearing.	ACRS 36	
	Class V	I - Amendments that require evaluation of a new Safety Analysis Report and rew. e of the facility license (including	NRR 900	500
		technical specifications), are likely to involve significant hazards consideratio or review by ACRS and are known to involve hearing.	ACRS 198	

# NRC PROFESSIONAL MANPOWER REQUIRED TO CONDUCT FACILITY HEALTH & SAFETY AND SAFEGUARDS INSPECTIONS FY 1977 BUDGET

Type of Facility Inspection Power Reactors 1	Safety (M/Y)	Environ- Mental (M/Y)	Subtotal Safety Environ- Mental (M/Y)	Safe Guards (M/Y)
First Unit	.84/yr	.164/yr	1.004/yr	.176/yr
Concurrent Unit	.67/yr	.131/yr	.801/yr	.141/yr
Test Reactor	.07/Insp.	-	.07/Insp.	.10/Insp.
Resear h Reactor	.065/Insp.	5	.065/Insp.	.02/Insp.
Other Production and Utilization Facility2	.48/yr	.131/yr	.611/yr	.43/yr
Production or Utilization Facility licensed for possession but not operation.	.01/yr		.01/yr	

<sup>1/</sup> Fees are also applicable to inspection of a manufacturing license.

<sup>2/</sup> Fee is applicable to a fuel reprocessing and uranium enrichment plant.

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
1. Special Nuclear Material:		
A. Licenses for possession and use of five (5) kilograms or more of contained uranium 235 in uranium enriched to	Application-New License Safety Environmental Subtotal	.75 MY .20 .95
20% or more, or two (2) kilo- grams or more of uranium 233 for fuel processing and	Safeguards Total	$\frac{30}{1,25}$
fabrication.	Renewal Safety Environmental Subtotal	.50 .15 .65
	Safeguards Total	. <u>17</u> . <u>82</u>
	Amendment  Major: Safety Environmental Total	.35 . <u>17</u> . <u>50</u>
	Safeguards	.12
	Minor: Safety Safeguards	.02
	Inspection Health & Safety Safeguards	.07

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
1. B. Licenses for possession and	Application-New License	
use of five (5) kilograms	Safety	.75
or more of contained	Environmental	. 20
uranium 235 in uranium	Subtotal	.95
enriched to less than 20% for fuel processing and fabrication.	Safeguards Total	$\frac{.13}{1.08}$
Tabl reacton.	Renewal .	
	Safety	.50
	Environmental	.15
	Subtotal	65
	Safeguards	.10
	Total	.75
	Amendment	
	Major:	
	Safety	.35
	Environmental	.15
	Total	.50
	Safeguards	.10
	Minor	
	Safety	.02
	Safeguards	.05
	Inspection	
	Health & Safety	.07
	Safeguards	.131

	Cate	egory of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
1.	C. I	icenses for possession and	Request for Construction	
		use of two (2) kilograms	Approval	
		or more of plutonium for	Safety	3.2
		uel processing and	Environmental	6
	f	abrication.	Subtotal	3.8
			Safeguards	.32
			Total	4.12
			Application-New License	
			Safety	2.1
			Environmental	<del>-</del>
			Subtotal	2.1
			Safeguards	.45
			Total	2.55
			Renewal	
			Safety	1.0
			Environmental	.3
			Subtotal	1.3
			Safeguards	.3
			Total	1.6
			Amendment	
			Major:	
			Safety	.50
			Environmental	.15
			Total	.65
			Safeguards	.20
			Minor:	
			Safety	.02
			Safeguards	.09
			Inspection	
			Health & Safety	.07
			Safeguards	.14

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess Processing Time (MY/MH)
. D. Licenses for possession and	Application-New License	
use of five (5) kilograms	Safety	.2
or more of contained uranium	Environmental	2
235, or two (2) kilograms or	Subtotal	$\frac{2}{4}$
more of uranium 233 for	Subcocal	
activities other than fuel	Safeguards	.1
processing and fabrication.	Total	· <u>1</u> · <u>5</u>
	Renewa1	
	Safety	.1
	Environmental	
	Subtotal	$\frac{1}{2}$
	Safeguards	.06
	Total	.26
	Amendment	
	Safety	.02
	Safeguards	.04
	Inspection	
	Health & Safety	.07
	Safeguards	.11
E. Licenses for possession and	Application-New License	
use of quantities of	Safety	.30
plutonium of two (2) kilo-	Environmental	.15
grams or more for activities	Subtota1	.45
other than fuel processing	Safeguards	.45
and fabrication.	Total	.90
	Renewal	
	Safety	.15
	Environmental	.10
	Subtotal	.25
	Safeguards	. <u>30</u> . <u>55</u>
	Total	.55
	Amendment	
	Safety	.02
	Safeguards	.10
	Inspection	
	Health & Safety	.012
	Safeguards	.055

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
1. F. Licenses for possession	Application-New License	20
and use of 200 grams but	Safety	.30
less than two (2) kilo-	Environmental	.15
grams of plutonium.	Subtotal	.43
	Safeguards	.23
	Total	.68
	Renewal	
	Safety	.15
	Environmental	.10
	Subtotal	.25
	Safeguards	.18
	Total	.43
	Amendment	
	Safety	.02
	Safeguards	.07
	Inspection Safety	.012
	Safeguards	.025
	Sateguarus	
G. Licenses for possession and	Application-New License	
use of 350 grams but less	Safety	.1
than five (5) kilograms of	Environmental	$\frac{1}{2}$
contained uranium 235, or	Subtotal	
200 grams but less than two	Safeguards	.1
(2) kilograms of uranium 233.	Total	· <u>1</u>
	Renewal	
	Safety	.1
	Environmental	
	Subtotal	.1
	Safeguards	.06
	Total	.16
	Amendment	
	Safety	.02
	Safeguards	.04
	T	
	Inspection Safety	.012
	Health & Safety	
	Safeguards	.045

	Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
1.	H. Licenses for receipt and	Application-New License	
	storage of spent reactor	Safety	2.2
	fuel.	Environmental Subtotal	2.6
		Safeguards	.15
		Total	2.75
		Renewa1	
		Safety	.2
		Environmental	$\frac{1}{3}$
		Subtotal	.3
		Safeguards	· <u>09</u> · <u>39</u>
		Total	.39
		Amendment	
		Major:	
		Safety	.5
		Environmental	• 2
		Total	.5 . <u>2</u> . <u>7</u>
		Safeguards	.09
		Minor:	
		Safety	.05
		Safeguards	.05
		Inspection	
		Health & Safety	.012
		Safeguards	.038

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
1. I. Licenses for possession and use of special nuclear	Application-New License Safety	5 MH
material in sealed sources contained in devices used in industrial measuring	Renewal Safety	4 MH
systems.	Amendment Safety	1 MH
	Inspection Health & Safety	.005 MY
J. All other special nuclear material licenses, except	Application-New License Safety	12 MH
licenses authorizing special nuclear material in combination that would	Renewal Safety	12 MH
constitute a critical quantity as defined in § 150.11 of Part 50 which	Amendment Safety	3 MH
shall pay the same rate as Category 1G.	Inspection Health & Safety	.012 MY
2. Source Material:		
A. Licenses for possession and use of source material in milling operations, except in-situ leaching	Application-New License Safety Environmental Total	.2 MY .2 .4
and heap-leaching operations.	Renewal Safety Environmental Total	$\begin{array}{c} \cdot 1 \\ \cdot \frac{2}{3} \\ \cdot \frac{3}{2} \end{array}$
	Amendment Major:	·≟
	Safety Environmental	.1 .2 . <u>3</u>
	Total Minor:	
	Safety Inspection	.05
	Health & Safety	.027

	Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
2.	B. Licenses for processing and	Application-New License	
	recovery of source material	Production Scale	
	in in-situ leaching opera-	Safety	.1 MY
	tions or heap-leaching	Environmental	.5
	operations.	Total	. <u>5</u> . <u>6</u>
		Application-New License	
		Research & Development	
		Safety	.1
		Environmental	.2
		Total	· <u>2</u>
		Renewa1	
		Safety	.05
		Environmental	.20
		Total	.25
		Amendment	
		Safety	.01
		Environmental	.05
		Total	.06
		Inspection	
		Health & Safety	.027

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
2. C. Licenses for refining	Application-New License	
uranium mill concentrates	Safety	.2 MY
to uranium hexafluoride.	Environmental	
	Total	• <u>2</u> • <u>4</u>
	Renewal	
	Safety	.1
	Environmental	. 2
	Total	·2 ·3
	Amendment	
	Major:	
	Safety	.1
	Environmental	.2
	Total	· <u>2</u>
	Minor:	
	Safety	.05
	Inspection	
	Health & Safety	.027
D. All other source material	Application-New License	
licenses.	Safety	.002
	Renewal	
	Safety	.001
	Amendment	
	Safety	.0005
	Inspection	.007

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
Byproduct Material:		
A. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct	Safety Application-New License	12 MH
material issued pursuant	Renewa1	12 MH
to Parts 30 and 33 of this chapter for processing	Amendment	3 MH
or manufacturing of items containing byproduct material for commercial	Inspection Large Program	.024 MY
distribution.	Small Program	.012 MY
B. Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.72 of this chapter	Safety Application-New License	5 MH
authorizing the processing	Renewal	4 MH
or manufacture and dis- tribution of radio-	Amendment	1 MH
pharmaceuticals containing byproduct material.	Inspection	.01 MY
C. Licenses for byproduct	Safety	
material issued pursuant to Part 34 of this	Application-New License	5 MH
chapter for industrial	Renewal	4 MH
radiography operations	Amendment	1 MH
at one location.	Inspection	.011 MY
D. Licenses for byproduct	Safety	
material issued pursuant to Part 34 of this	Application-New License	12 MH
chapter for industrial	Renewa1	12 MH
radiography operations at more than one location.	Amendment	3 MH
at more than one location.	Inspection	.015 MY
E. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct	Safety Application-New License	5 MH
material in sealed sources for irradiation of materials	Renewa1	4 MH
where the source is not	Amendment	1 MH
removed from its shield (self-shielded units).	Inspection	.006 MY

	Ca	tegory of Materials License	Type of Review		Averag Proces (M		Time
3.	F.	Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material in sealed sources for irradiation of materials where the source is exposed for irradiation purposes.	Safety Application-New Renewal Amendment Inspection	License			MY
	G.	Licenses issued pursuant to Subpart B of Part 32 of this chapter to distribute items containing byproduct material or quantities of byproduct material to persons generally licensed under Parts 31 or 35 of this chapter, except specific licenses authorizing redistribution of items which have been manufactured or imported under a specific license and licensed by the Commission for distribution to persons generally licensed under Parts 31 or 35 of this chapter.	Safety App?ication-New Renewal Amendment Inspection	License			MY
	Н.	Licenses issued pursuant to Subpart A of Part 32 of this chapter to distribute items containing byproduct material or quantities of byproduct material to persons exempt from the licensing requirements of Part 30 of this chapter, except (1) \$8 32.11 and 32.18 of this chapter, (2) specific licenses authorizing redistribution of items and quantities which have been manufactured or imported under a specific license and licensed by the Commission	Safety Application-New Renewal Amendment Inspection	License	15 6	мн мн мн .006	мү

5	Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Pt fess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
3.	for distribution to persons exempt from the licensing requirements of Part 30 of this chapter, and (3) specific licenses which authorize distribution of timepieces, hands and dials.		
1	Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.18 of this chapter to distribute quantities of byproduct material to persons exempt from the licensing requirements of Part 30 of this chapter.	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment Inspection	5 MH 4 MH 1 MH .006 MY
J	Licenses issued pursuant to § 32.14 of this chapter to distribute timepieces, hands and dials, containing hydrogen 3 or promethium 147 to persons exempt from the licensing requirements of Part 30 of this chapter.	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment Inspection	5 MH 4 MH 1 MH .006 MY
K	Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material for research and development, except those licenses covered by Categories 3A or 3B, and licenses covered by Categories 7B or 7C authorizing medical research	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment Inspection	5 MH 4 MH 1 MH .006 MY
L	. All other specific byproduct material licenses, except those in Categories 4A through 10B.	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment Inspection	3 MH 3 MH 1 MH .006 MY

Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
Waste Disposal:		
A. Waste disposal licenses specifically authorizing the receipt of waste by-product material, source material, or special nuclear material from other persons for the purpose of commercial disposal by land or sea burial by the waste disposal licensee.	Application-New License Safety Environmental Total  Renewal Safety Environmental Total  Amendment Major: Safety Environmental Total  Minor Safety Inspection Health & Safety	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ \underline{1.5} \\ \underline{2.5} \\ \hline \\ .5 \\ .2 \\ .7 \\ \underline{7} \\ \underline{1.0} \\ \underline{1.7} \\ \hline \\ .01 \end{array} $
B. Waste disposal licenses specifically authorizing the receipt of waste by-product material, source material, or special nuclear material from other persons for the purpose of packaging the material. The licensee will dispose of the material by transfer to another person authorized to receive or dispose of the material.	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment Inspection	30 MH 15 MH 15 MH .01 MY

	Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
4.	C. Waste disposal licenses specifically authorizing the receipt of prepackaged	Safety Application-New License	5 MH
	waste byproduct material,	Renewal	4 MH
	source material, or special nuclear material from other persons. The licensee will dispose of the material by transfer to another person authorized to receive or dispose of the material.	Amendment Inspection	1 MH .01 MY
5.	Well Logging and Well Surveys and Tracer Studies:		
	A. Licenses for possession and use of special nuclear material and/or byproduct material for well logging, well surveys, and tracer	Safety Application-New License	12 MH
		Renewal	12 MH
		Amendment	3 MH
	studies.	Inspection	.008 MY
6.	Nuclear Laundries:		
	A. Licenses for commercial collection and laundry of items contaminated with byp. oduct material, source material, or special nuclear material.	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment Inspection	12 MH 12 MH 3 MH .009 MY
7.	Human Use of Byproduct Material, Source Material, or Special Nuclear Material:		
	A. Licenses issued pursuant to Parts 30, 40, and 70 of this	Safety Application-New License	8 MH
	chapter for human use of byproduct material, source	Renewal	7 MH
	material, or special nuclear	Amendment	1 MH
	material in sealed sources contained in teletherapy devices	es. Inspection	.007 MY

	Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess Processing Time (MY/MH)
7.	B. Licenses issued pursuant to Parts 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter to medical institutions, or two or more physicians on a single license for human use of byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, except licenses in Category 7A.	Safety Application-New License	5 MH
		Renewal	4 MH
		Amendment	1 MH
		Inspection	.007 MY
	C. Licenses issued pursuant to	Safety	
	Parts 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter to an individual physician for human use of byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material, except licenses in Category 7A.	Application-New License	5 MH
		Renewal	4 MH
		Amendment	1 MH
		Inspection	.005 MY
8.	Civil Defense:		
	A. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material for civil defense activities.	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment	5 MH 4 MH 1 MH
	der de	Inspection	.003 MY
9.	Device, Product, or Sealed Source Safety Evaluation:	Inspection	.003 HI
	A. Safety evaluation of devices or products containing by- product material, source material, or special nuclear material, except reactor fuel devices and devices or products distributed to general licensees or persons execut from the requirements for a license pursuant to Parts 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter.	Safety Application-Evaluation	15 MH

# AVERAGE PROFESSIONAL MAN-YEARS/MAN-HOURS REQUIRED TO CONDUCT LICENSING REVIEWS OR INSPECTIONS FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

	Category of Materials License	Type of Review	Average Profess. Processing Time (MY/MH)
9.	B. Safety evaluation of sealed sources containing byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material except reactor fuel and sealed sources distributed to general licensees or persons exempt from the requirements for a license pursuant to Parts 30, 40, and 70 of this chapter.	Safety Application-Evaluation	3 MH
10.	Power Source:		
	A. Licenses for the manufacture and distribution of encapsulated byproduct material or special nuclear material for use in power generation, except reactor fuel.	Safety Application-New License Renewal Amendment Inspection	50 MH 12 MH 12 MH .012 MY
11.	Transportation of Licensed Material:		
	A. Evaluation of spent fuel casks and air shipping packages for plutonium	Safety Application-Evaluation Amendment: Major	1.2 MY
	B. Evaluation of high level waste casks and large	Minor  Safety Application-Evaluation	.05 MY
	irradiator packages.	Amendment: Major	.1 MY
		Minor	.05 MY
	C. Evaluation of all other packages.	Safety Application-Evaluation	.1 MY
		Amendment: Major	.05 MY
		Minor	.01 MY

## SECTION 6

DETERMINATION OF PROPOSED FEES

Custom Design Review	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CP - 1st Unit MRR Safety & Env. Manpower NPR Safety & Env. Contract NRR Antitrust Manpower NRR Safeguards Manpower NRR Consultants I&E Safety & Env. Manpower I&E Safety & Env. Contract I&E Vendor Program ACRS Review ASLBP Review ASLAP Review Total	.2 .3	\$70,012 70,012 70,012 64,623 89,094 85,429 88,054	\$427,073 276,346 14,002 21,004 556 120,199 2,784 36,800 133,641 34,172 2,377 \$1,068,954	\$125,000 appl. \$944,000
CP - Conc. Unit  NRR Safety & Env. Manpower  1&E Safety & Env. Contract  1&E Contracts  1&E Vendor Program  ACRS Review		\$64,623 89,094	\$120,199 2,784 36,800 14,255	\$174,000
Total			\$174,038	

CP - 1st Unit-2nd Site - See Duplicate Unit
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts
NRR Safeguards Manpower
NRR Consultants
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower
I&E Contracts
I&E Vendor Program
ACRS Review
ASLBP Review
ASLAP Review

Total

Custom Design Review	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
OL - 1st Unit				\$1,024,500
NRR Safety & Env. Manpowe		\$70,012	\$406,070	
NRR Safety & Env. Contrac	ts		163,215	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NRR Cperator Exams			30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower		64,623	266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract	ts		15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	1.2	89,094	106,913	
Total			\$1,024,472	
OL - Conc. Unit				\$ 302,800
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower		\$64,623	\$266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract			15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	.15	89,094	13,364	
Total			\$302,829	

OL - 1st Unit-2nd Site - See Duplicate Unit
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts
NRR Safeguards Manpower
NRR Consultants
NRR Operator Exams
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts
I&E Safeguards Manpower
I&E Safeguards Contracts
ACRS Review

Total

Standardized Design P	Average of essional rocessing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CP - 1st Unit				\$125,000 appl.
MRR Safety & Env. Manpower	6.1	\$70,012	\$427,073	
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts			276,346	944,000
NRR Antitrust Manpower	. 2	70,012	14,002	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	1.86	64,623	120,199	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts			2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	1.5	89,094	133,641	
ASLBP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$1,068,954	
CP - Conc. Unit NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	1 96	-	-	\$174,000
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts I&E Contracts	1.86	\$64,623	\$120,199 2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	.16	89,094	14,255	
Total			\$174,038	
CP - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$757,100
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	2.8	\$70,012	\$196,034	
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts			276,346	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	. 3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	1.86	64,623	120,199	
I&E Contracts			2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	.75	89,094	66,821	
ASLBP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$757,093	

Standardized Design Pr	Average ofessional rocessing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
OL - 1st Unit				\$1,024,500
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	5.8	\$70,012	\$406,070	
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts			163,215	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	. 3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NRR Operator Exams			30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	4.13	64,623	266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts			15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	1.2	89,094	106,913	
Total			\$1,024,472	
OL - Conc. Unit				\$ 300,200
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	4.13	\$64,623	\$266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts			15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts		00.004	445	
ACRS Review	.12	89,094	10,691	
Total			\$300,156	
OL - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$ 712,000
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	2.2	\$70,012	\$154,026	
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts			163,215	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	. 3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NRR Operator Exams			30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	4.13	64,623	266,393	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts			15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	.5	89,094	53,456	
Total			\$711,970	

	Average rofessional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CF - 1st Unit				\$125,000 appl
MRR Safety & Env. Manpower	5.1	\$70,012	\$357,061	
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts			276,346	811,600
NRR Antitrust Manpower	. 2	70,012	14,002	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	1.86	64,623	120,199	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts			2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	. 8	89,094	71,275	
ASLBP Review	. 4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$936,576	
CP - Conc. Unit				\$164,200
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	1 06	ACT (00	0100 100	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts	1.86	\$64,623	\$120,199	
I&E Contracts			2,784	
I&E Vendor Program	0.5	00.007	36,800	
ACRS Review	.05	89,094	4,455	
Total			\$164,238	
CP - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$725,900
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	2.8	\$70,012	\$196,034	
NRR Safety & Env. Contracts	3		276,346	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	. 3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	1.86	64,623	120,199	
I&E Contracts			2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	.4	89,094	35,638	
ASLBP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$725,910	

Standardized Design Replicate Unit Review	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
OL - 1st Unit				\$914,400
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	4.8	\$70,012	\$336,058	
MRR Safety & Env. Contract			163,215	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NRR Operator Exams			30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	4.13	64,623	266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract	S		15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	.75	89,094	66,821	
Total			\$914,368	
OL - Conc. Unit I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	4.13	\$64,623	\$266,893	\$293,900
I&E Safety & Env. Contract		704,023	15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts		04,023	445	
ACR3 Review	.05	89,094	4,455	
Total				
total			\$293,920	
OL - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$691,500
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	2.2	\$70,012	\$154,026	9091,500
NRR Safety & Env. Contract		4,0,011	163,215	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NRR Operator Exams			30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	4.13	64,623	266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract			15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts		04,023	445	
ACRS Review	. 37	89,094	32,965	
Total			\$691,479	

Standardized Design Utility Ref.NSSS-Custom BOP Review	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CP - 1st Unit				\$125,000 appl.
MRR Safety & Env. Manpowe		\$70,012	\$399,068	853,600
NRR Safety & Env. Contrac			276,346	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	. 2	70,012	14,002	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	. 3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpowe		64,623	120,199	
I&E Safety & Env. Contrac	ts		2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	. 8	89,094	71,275	
ASLBP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$978,583	
CP - Conc. Unit NRR Safety & Env. Manpowe				\$162,500
I&E Safety & Env. Contrac		\$64,623	\$120,199	
I&E Contracts		101,020	2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Feview	.03	89,094	2,673	
ACAS FEVIEW		03,034		
Total			\$162,456	
CP - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$725,900
NRR Safety & Env. Manpowe		\$70,012	\$196,034	
NRR Safety & Env. Contrac	ts		276,346	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	. 3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpowe	r 1.86	64,623	120,199	
I&E Contracts			2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	.4	89,094	35,638	
ASIBP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2 377	
Total			\$725,910	
			processing country by companies on	

Standardized Design Utility Ref.NSSS-Custom BOP Review	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
OL - 1st Unit  NRR Safety & Env. Manpower  NRR Safety & Env. Contract  NRR Antitrust Manpower  NRR Safeguards Manpower  NRR Consultants  NRR Operator Exams  I&E Safety & Env. Manpower  I&E Safety & Env. Contract  I&E Safeguards Manpower	5.4 s .1 .3	\$70,012 70,012 70,012 64,623 64,623	\$378,065 163,215 7,001 21,004 556 30,248 266,893 15,665 6,462	\$934,100
ACRS Review Total	.5	89,094	445 44,547 \$934,101	
OL - Conc. Unit  I&E Safety & Env. Manpower  I&E Safety & Env. Contract  I&E Safeguards Manpower  I&E Safeguards Contracts  ACRS Review		\$64,623 64,623 89,094	\$266,893 15,665 6-462 445 2,673	\$292,100
Total			\$292,138	
OL - 1st Unit-2nd Site NRR Safety & Env. Manpower NRR Safety & Env. Contract NRR Safeguards Manpower		\$70,012 70,012	\$154,026 163,215 21,004	\$669,200
NRR Consultants NRR Operator Exams 1&E Safety & Env. Manpower 1&E Safety & Env. Contract 1&E Safeguards Manpower		64,623 64,623	556 30,248 266,893 15,665 6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts ACRS Review Total	.12	89,094	445 10,691 \$669,205	

No. of the second secon	Average rofessional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CP - 1st Unit				\$125,000 appl.
MRR Safety & Env. Manpower	4.2	\$70,012	\$294,050	721,800
NPR Safety & Env. Contracts	3		2.76,346	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.2	70,012	14,002	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	3	70,012	21,004	
NEG Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	1.86	64,623	120,199	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract:			2,784	
1&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	.5	89,094	44,547	
ASLBP Review	. 4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$846,837	
CP - Conc. Unit				\$162,500
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower				
I&E Safety & Env. Contract		\$64,623	\$120,199	
I&E Contracts			2,784	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	.03	89,094	2,673	
Total			\$162,456	
CP - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$725,900
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	2.8	\$70,012	\$196,034	
NRR Safety & Env. Contract			276,346	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
	1.86	64,623	120,199	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower			2,784	
I&E Contracts			36,800	
I&E Vendor Program	.4	89,094	35,638	
ACRS Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLBP Review		88,054	2,377	
ASLAP Review	.027	00,034		
Total			\$725,910	

Standardized Design Utility Ref.NSSS- Standardized BOP	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
OL - 1st Unit				\$829,100
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	3.9	\$70,012	\$273,047	7029,100
NRR Safety & Env. Contract		4,0,012	163,215	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	. 3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NPP Operator Exams			30,248	
I&E Safary & Env. Manpower	4.13	64,623	266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract			15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Sateguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	.5	89,094	44,547	
Total			\$829,083	
OL - Conc. Unit				\$292,100
ISE Safety & Env. Manpower	4.13	\$64,623	\$266,893	V272,100
ISE Safety & Env. Contract		401,023	15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	.03	89,094	2,673	
Total			\$292,138	
OL - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$669,200
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower		\$70,012	\$154,026	
NRR Safety & Env. Contract			163,215	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NRR Operator Exams			30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower		64,623	266,893	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract			15,665	
'&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
ACRS Review	.12	39,094	10,691	
Total			\$669,205	

Manufacturing License Pr	Average ofessional cocessing cime (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
				\$125,000 appl.
MRR Safety & Env. Manpower NRR Safety & Env. Contracts	10.0	\$70,012	\$700,120 441,679	\$1,477,500
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.2	70,012	14,002	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	3.72	64,623	240,398	
I&E Vendor Program			36,800	
ACRS Review	1.25	89,094	111,368	
ASLAP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$1,602,476	
Pinal Parisa Annadana				2442.400
Final Design Amendment				\$448,100
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	6.0	\$70,012	\$420,072	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	
NRP Safeguards Manpower	.3	70.012	21,004	
Total			\$448.077	

Utility Reference Manufacturing License	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
	-	10011		
MRR Safety & Env. Manpower NRR Safety & Env. Contract		\$70,012	\$259,044 426,679	\$125,000 appl. \$730,000
NRR Antitrust Manpower	. 2	70,012	14,002	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	.93	64,623	60,099	
ISE Safety & Env. Contract			1,392	
ACRS Review	.4	89,094	35,638	
ASLBP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$854,963	
CP - Conc. Unit				\$ 61,500
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower		\$ -	ş -	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	.93	64,623	60,099	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts	6		1,392	
Total			\$ 61,491	
OL - 1st Unit				\$1,001,200
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower		\$70,012	\$259,044	
NRR Safety & Env. Contract	S		426,679	
MRR Antitrust Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.3	70,012	21,004	
NRR Consultants			556	
NRR Operator Exams	2.12		30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower		64,623	202,270	
I&E Safety & Env. Contract		61 622	11,872	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts		20 004	445	
ACRS Review	. 4	89,094	35,638	
Total			\$1,001,219	
OL - Conc. Unit				\$221,000
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	3.13	\$64,623	\$202,270	1000
ISE Safety & Env. Contract			11,872	
I&E Safeguards Manpower	.1	64,623	6,462	
I&E Safeguards Contracts			445	
Total			\$221,049	

Clinch River Breeder Reactor	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CP - 1st Unit				\$125,000 appl
MRR Safety & Env. Manpower NRR Safety & Env. Contract		\$70,012	\$679,116	\$1,781,000
NRR Antitrust Manpower			-	
NRR Safeguards Manpower NRR Consultants	.3	70,012	21,004 556	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower I&E Safety & Env. Contract		64,623	120,199 2,784	
I&E Vendor Program ACRS Review	3.0	90 004	36,800	
ASLBP Review		89,094	267,282	
ASLAP Review	.027	85,429 88,054	34,172 2,377	
Total			\$1,905,969	
OL - 1st Unit-2nd Site				\$1,954,900
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower NRR Safety & Env. Contract		\$70,012	\$658,113	
NRR Safeguards Manpower NRR Consultants NRR Operator Exams	.3	70,012	21,004 556 30,248	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower I&E Safety & Env. Contract		64,623	266,893 15,665	
I&E Safeguards Manpower I&E Safeguards Contracts	.1	64,623	6,462	
ACRS Review	2.4	89,094	213,826	
Total			\$1,954,891	

## Safety Review

	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
VENDOR - REVIEW OF PRELIMINARY NSSS DESIGN				
NKR Safety Costs I&E Safety Costs ACRS Review ASIBP Review	6.6	\$70,012	\$462,079	\$ 50,000 App. \$412,100
VENDOR - REVIEW OF FINAL NSSS DESIGN				
NRR Safety Costs  I&E Safety Costs  ACRS Review  ASLBP Review	6.6	\$70,012 - \$89,094	\$462,079 71,275 \$533,354	\$ 50,000 \$483,400
AE - REVIEW OF PRELIMINARY BOP DESIGN				
NRR Safety Costs I&E Safety Costs ACRS Review ASLBP Review	6.6	\$70,012	\$462,079	\$ 50,000 App. \$412,100
AE - REVIEW OF FINAL BOP DESIGN				
NRR Safety	6.6	\$70,012	\$462,079	\$ 50,000
I&E Safety Costs ACRS Review ASLBP Review	1.0	\$89,094	89,094 - \$551,173	\$501,200

		,			
	ŕ	1		ŕ	į
	۰	۰	۰	۰	
7	۰	۰	٠	d	١

	Average Professional Processing	Cost Per		
TEST REACTORS	Time	Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
Construction Permit				
NRR Safety Manpower	.1	\$70,012	\$ 7,001	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	\$ 5,000 App.
I&E Safety Manpower	.9	64,623	58,161	67,200
ACRS		7		
ASLBP		-	-	
			\$ 72,163	
Operating License				
NRR Safety Manpower	.1	\$70,012	\$ 7,001	\$100,300
NRR Operator Exams			2,788	
I&E Safety Manpower	1.4	64,623	90,472	
ACRS			-	
ASLBP				
			\$100,261	
RESEARCH REACTORS				
Construction Permit				
NRR Safety Manpower	.1	\$70,012	\$ 7,001	
NRR Safeguards Manpower	.1	70,012	7,001	\$ 5,000 App.
I&E Safety Manpower	.4	64,623	25,849	34,900
ACRS		-		
ASLBP			-	
			\$ 39,851	
Operating License				
NRR Safety Manpower	.1	\$70,012	\$ 7,001	\$ 55,000
NRR Operator Exams			2,788	
I&E Safety Manpower	.7	64,623	45,236	
ACRS				
ASLBP			PERMITTED AND	
			\$ 55,025	

	Average Professional			
Reprocessing Facility	Processing	Cost Per		
Complexes	Time (MY)	Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CP - 1st Unit				\$125,000 appl.
NMSS Safety & Env. Manpower	3.3	\$69,243	\$228,502	875,700
NMSS Safety & Env. Contracts			320,000	0/3,/00
NMSS Safeguards Manpower	1.2	69,243	83,092	
NMSS Safeguards Contracts			58,000	
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	1.8	70,012	126,022	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	. 2	70,012	14,002	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	.7	54,623	45,236	
I&E Safety & Env. Contracts			221	
ACRS Review	1.0	89,094	89,094	
ASLEP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$1,000,718	
Operating Liennes				\$952,400
Operating License	3.3	\$69,243	\$228,502	7732,400
NMSS Safety & Env. Manpower		307,243	275,000	
NMSS Safety & Env. Contract	1.6	69,243	110,789	
NMSS Safeguards Manpower			84,014	
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	1.2	70,012	7,001	
NRR Antitrust Manpower	.1	70,012		
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	2.0	64,623	129,246	
I&E Safety & Eov. Contracts		90 00/	1,060	
ACRS Review	1.0	89,094	89,094	
Operator Exam	. 4	69,243	27,697	
Total			952,403	
Major Safety & Env. Amendme	nt			\$ 71,500
NMSS Safety & Env. Fanpower		\$69,243	\$ 41,546	
NMSS Safety & Env. Contract			30,000	
Total			\$ 71,546	
Major Safeguards Amendment				\$ 43,800
NMSS Safeguards Manpower	.2	\$69,243	\$ 13,849	
NMSS Safeguards Contracts			30,000	
Total			\$ 43,849	
M' r Safety & Env. Amendme	ent			\$ 3,500
NMS Safety & Env. Manpower		\$69,243	\$ 3,462	
Minor Cafoguarda Amandmant				\$ 3,500
Minor Safeguards Amendment NMSS Safeguards Manpower	.05	\$69,243	\$ 3,462	7 3,300
Mmos sareguards Manpower	.03	407,243	7 3,402	

Uranium Enrichment Plants	Average Professional Processing Time (MY)	Cost Per Man-Year	Cost	Proposed Fee
CP - 1st Unit				\$125,000 appl.
NMSS Safety & Env. Manpower NMSS Safety & Env. Contract		\$69,243	\$ 69,243	388,400
NMSS Safeguards Manpower	.35	69,243	24,235	
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	.7	70,012	49,008	
I&E Safety & Env. Manpower	.7	64,623	45,236	
ACRS Review	1.0	89,094	89,094	
ASLBP Review	.4	85,429	34,172	
ASLAP Review	.027	88,054	2,377	
Total			\$513,365	
OL - 1st Unit				\$457,200
NMSS Safety & Env. Manpower	1.0	\$69,243	\$ 69,243	
NMSS Safety & Env. Contract	S		100,000	
NMSS Safeguards Manpower	.5	69,243	34,622	
NRR Safety & Env. Manpower	.5	70,012	35,006	
1&E Safety & Env. Manpower	2.0	64,623	129,246	
ACRS Review	1.0	89,094	89,094	
Total			\$457,211	

## DETERMINATION OF FACILITY AMENDMENT FEES

					Power Reactors						Non-Power Reactors					
						tess.		Cos			Profess.		Cost			
						-Hrs.		Per		Amend.	Man-Hrs.		Per		Amen	
					To Pr	rocess	Х	Man-Hr.	=	ree	To Frocess	X	man-nr.	-	ree	-
	Class	I		Amendments that are a duplicate of an amendment for a second essentially identical unit at the same site, where both proposed amendments are received, processed and issued at the same time.	NRR	10	×	\$40		s 400		x				
	Class	II	-	Amendments that are proforma, administrative in nature, or do not have significant safety considerations.	NRR	30	×	\$40		\$ 1,200	15	x	\$40	4	\$ 6	600
	Class	111		Amendments that involve a single consideration, have acceptability for the consideration clearly identified by a regulatory position, or are deemed not to involve significant hazards consideration.	NRR	100	x	\$40	=	\$ 4,000	50	×	\$40		\$ 2,0	00
90 -	Class	IV	-	Amendments that involve a complex issue or more than one consideration, several changes of the Class III	NRR	286		\$40 \$50		\$11,440		×	\$40		\$ 6,0	000
				type incorporated into proposed amendment, or have been judged to involve significant hazards consideration.	ACAG	10	^			\$12,340						
	Class	V	-	Amendments that require evaluation of many aspects of facility operation and	NRR	600	x	\$40	2	\$24,000	300	x	\$40		\$12,0	000
				the associated safety analysis, are likely to involve review by the ACRS or involve significant hazards consideration and may require a hearing.	ACRS	36	x	\$50	-	1,800						
	Class	VI		Amendments that require evaluation of a new Safety Analysis Report and rewrite of the facility license (including	NRR	900	x	\$40		\$36,000	500	x	\$40		\$20,0	000
				technical specifications), are likely to involve significant hazards consideration or review by ACRS and are known to involve a hearing.	ACRS	198	x	\$50		9,900						

## DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE HEALTH AND SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION FOR FACILITY LICENSES

	Routine Inspection Fees									
Category of Type  of Activity	Prof. Review Time(PMY) x	$\frac{\text{Cost}}{\text{Per M/Y}} =$	Inspection Fee	Inspection Fee Rounded						
Power Reactors A. First Unit	1.004/yr. Contr'l Costs	\$64,623	\$64,881 10,789 \$75,670	\$75,700/yr.						
3. Concurrent Unit	.801/yr. Contr'l Costs	\$64,523	\$51,763 8,608 \$60,371	\$60,400/yr.						
Test Reactors	.07/Insp. Contr'l Costs	\$64,623	\$ 4,524 25 \$ 4,549	\$ 4,500/Insp.						
Research Reactors	.065/Insp. Contr'l Costs	\$64,623	\$ 4,200 23 \$ 4,223	\$ 4,200/Insp						
Fuel Reprocessing Plant	.611/yr. Contr'l Costs	\$64,623	\$39,485 2,662 542,147	\$42,100/yr.						
Uranium Enrichment Plant	.611/yr. Contr'l Costs	\$64,623	\$39,485 2,662 \$42,147	\$42,100/yr.						
Manufacturing License			2/	2/						
Facility Licensed for Possession but not Operation	.01/Insp. Contr'l Costs	\$64,623	\$ 646 \$ 650	\$ 650/Insn.						

The cost per man-year represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee. This would include the employee's salary benefits, travel as well as administrative, clerical and supervisory support.

<sup>2/</sup> Same as Power Reactor

# DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE SAFEGUARDS INSPECTION FEES FOR FACILITIES

		Routine In		
Type of Facility	Prof. Review Time(PMY) x	Cost Per (PMY) =	Inspection Fee	Inspection Fee Rounded
Power Reactors				
A. First Unit	.176/yr Contr'1. Costs	\$64,623	\$11,374 468 \$11,842	\$11,800/yr.
B. Concurrent Unit	.141/yr. Contr'1. Costs	\$64,623	\$ 9,112 375 \$ 9,487	\$ 9,500/yr.
Test Reactors	.10/Insp. Contr'1. Costs	\$64,623	\$ 6,462 27 \$ 6,489	\$ 6,500/Insp
Research Reactors	.02/Insp. Contr'1. Costs	\$64,623	\$ 1,2\$2 5 \$ 1,297	\$ 1,300/Insp
Fuel Reprocessing Plant	.48/yr. Contr'1. Coscs	\$64,623	\$31,019 7,634 \$38,653	\$38,700/yr.
Uranium Enrichment Plant	.48/yr. Contr'1. Costs	\$64,623	\$31,019 7,634 \$38,653	\$38,700/yr.

		Applicatio	n Fees - Ne	w License	Re	neval Fees		Amendment Fees			
1.	Special Nuclear Material:	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH) 1/	Appl.	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per 1/	Renewal Fee		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH)	Cost per x (MY/MH)1/	Amend
	A. SNM licenses for fuel processing and fabrication.										
	Highly Enriched Uranium (>20%)										
	Safety & Env. Safety & Env. Contracts Subtotal Safety & Env.	.95	\$69,243	\$ 65,781 50,000 \$115,781	.65	\$69,243	\$ 45,008 20,000 \$ 65,008	Major	.5	\$69,243	\$ 34,622 \$ 34,622
	Safeguards Total	. 30	\$69,243	\$ 20,773 \$ 136,554	.17	\$69,243	\$ 11,771 \$ 76,779	Major	.12	\$69,243	\$ 8,309
	Safety & Env. Safeguards							Minor Minor	.02	\$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 1,385 \$ 3,462
	B. SNM licenses for fuel processing and fabrication.										
93	Low Enriched Uranium (<20%)										
1	Safety & Env. Safety & Env. Contracts Subtotal Safety & Env.	.95	\$69,243	\$ 65,781 50,000 \$115,781	.65	\$69,243	\$ 45,008 20,000 \$ 65,008	Major	.5	\$69,243	\$ 34,622 \$ 34,622
	Safeguards Total	.13	\$69,243	\$\frac{9,002}{124,783}	.1	\$69,243	\$ \frac{6,924}{71,932}	Major	.1	\$69,243	\$ 6,924
	Safety & Env. Safeguards.							Minor	.02	\$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 1,385 \$ 3,462

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.

This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

		Applicat	- Ne	w License	Ren	ewal Fees	Amendment Fees					
		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MY) x	per (MY/MH)1/	Appl. Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)L/	Renewal Fee		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH)	x	Cost per (MY/MH)1/-	Aménd. Fee
1. S	pecial Nuclear Material (contd):											
c	Licenses for plutonium processing and fuel fabrication plants.											
	Request for Construction Approval											
	Safety & Env. Safety & Env. Contracts Subtotal Safety & Env.	3.8	\$69,243	\$263,123 220,000 \$483,123								
	Safeguards Safeguards Contracts Subtotal Safeguards	. 32	\$69,243	\$ 22,158 25,600 \$ 47,158								
	Total Safety, Env. & Safeguards			\$530,281								
	New License Application											
94 -	Safety & Env. Safety & Env. Contracts Subtotal Safety & Env.	2.1	\$69,243	\$145,410 <u>65,000</u> \$210,410	1.3	\$69,243	\$ 90,016 60,009 \$150,016	Major	.65		\$69,243	\$ 45,008 30,000 \$ 75,008
	Safeguards	.45	\$59,243	\$ 31,159	.3	\$69,243	\$ 20,773	Major	. 2		\$69,243	\$ 13,849
	Total Safety, Env. & Safeguards			\$241,569			\$170,789					
	Safety & Env. Safeguards							Minor	.02		\$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 1,385 \$ 6,232
	Recap											
	Construction App. 6 New License Comb	ined-Safety Safegua		\$693,533								
		Tota	1	\$771,850								

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee. This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.
This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

		Application Fees - New License			Renewal Fees			Amendment Fees				
		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MN) x	Prof. Rate (MY/MH)	Appl.	Prof. Process. Time (MY/NH) x	Prof. Rate (MY/NH) =	Amend. Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/NSI)	Prof. Rate (MY/MH) =	Renewal Fee		
	1. Special Nuclear Natural (contd):											
- 96	F. SNN licenses for possession and use of 200 grams but less than 2 kilograms of plutonium.  Safety & Env. Safeguards	.45	\$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 31,159 \$ 15,926 \$ 47,085	.25 -18	\$69,243	\$ 17,311 \$ 12,464 \$ 29,775	.02	\$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 1,385 \$ 4,847		
1	Total											
	C. SNM licenses for quantities of 350 grams but less than 5 kilo- grams of U-235, or 200 grams but less than 2 kilograms of U-233.						\$ 6,924	,02	\$69,243	\$ 1,385		
	Safety & Env. Safeguards Total	:1	\$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 13,849 \$ 6,924 \$ 20,773	.06	\$69,243	\$ 4,155 \$ 11,079	.04	\$69,243	\$ 2,770		

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the co t of maintaining a professional employee.

This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

		Application	Fees - Ne	v License	Ren	ewal Fees		Amendment Fees				
		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)1/	Appl.	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)	Renewal Fee		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH)	Cost per x (MY/MH) <sup>1/</sup> =	Amend. Fee	
	1. Special Nuclear Material (contd):							1				
	H. SNM licenses for receipt and storage of spent reactor fuel.											
	Safety & Env. Safety & Env. Contracts Subtotal Safety & Env.	2.6	\$69,243	\$180,032 \$ <u>145,000</u> \$325,032	.3	\$69,243	\$ 20,773 5,000 \$ 25,773	Major	.7	\$69,243	\$ 48,470 \$ 40,000 \$ 88,470	
	Safeguards Total	.15	\$69,243	\$ 10,386 \$ 335,418	.09	\$69,243	\$ <u>6,232</u> \$ <u>32,005</u>	Major	.09	\$69,243	\$ 6,232	
	Safety Safeguards							Minor		\$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 3,462 \$ 3,462	
- 97 -	I. SMM licenses for use of SNM in sealed sources contained in dewices used in measuring systems.	5 <b>M</b> H	\$38/MH	\$ 190	460	\$38/MH	\$ 152		1MH	\$38/MII	\$ 38	
	J. All other specific SNM licenses.	1.2MH	\$38/MH	\$ 456	12 <b>M</b> H	\$38/MH	\$ 456		3МН	\$38/MH	\$ 114	

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.

This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

- Maria Para - Emplicance			Ren	ewal Fees		Amendment Fees				
Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per 1/	Appl.	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH) 1/=	Renewal Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH)	Cost per x (MY/NH)1/-	Amend . Fee		
							240 242	\$ 20,773		
.4	\$69,243	\$ 27,697 80,000 \$107,697	,	\$69,243	\$ 23,773 83,000 \$100,773	Major .3	\$69,203	\$ 20,773		
						Minor .05	\$69,243	\$ 3,462		
			75	\$69.243	\$ 17,311	.06	\$69.243	\$ 4,155		
.6	569,243	\$ 25,000 \$ 66,546			\$ 17,311			\$ 4,155		
							640.242	e / 155		
.3	\$69,243	\$ 20,773 3,000 \$ 23,773	.25	\$69,243	\$ 17,311	.06	\$69,243	\$ 4,155		
	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Prof. Process. Cost Time per (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) <sup>1</sup> /4 \$69,243	Process. Cost Time per Appl.  (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) <sup>1</sup> = Fee  .4 \$69,243 \$ 27,697 80,000 \$107,697  .6 \$69,243 \$ 41,546 25,000 \$ 66,546	Prof. Process. Cost Time per Appl. Time (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) = Fee (MY/MH) x  .4 \$69,243 \$ 27,697 80,900 \$ 107,697  .6 \$69,243 \$ 41,546 25,000 \$ 66,546  .3 \$69,243 \$ 20,773 .25	Prof. Process. Cost Time per per (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) - Fee Time per (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) - Fee (MY/MH)	Prof. Process. Cost Time	Prof. Process. Cost Time per (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) - Fee (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) x (MY/MH) - Fee (MY/MH) - F	Application Fees - New License Prof. Prof. Process. Cost Time		

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.

This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

	Application Fees - New License			Ren	ewal Fees			Amendment Fees			
	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MR) ×	Cost per (MY/MH)1/	Appl. Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH) 1/=	Renewal Fee		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH)		Cost per (MY/MH)1/=	Amend. Fee
2. Source Material (contd):											
C. Liceuses for refining uranium mill concentrates to uranium hexafluoride.											
Safety & Env. Safety & Env. Contracts Total	.4	\$69,243	\$ 27,697 80,000 \$107,697	.3	\$69,243	\$ 20,773 25,000 \$ 45,773	Major			\$69,243	\$ 20,773 \$ 20,773
							Minor	.05		\$69,243	\$ 3,462
D. All other specific source material licenses.											
Safety	.002	\$69,243	\$ 138	.001	\$69,243	\$ 69		.0005		\$69,243	\$ 35

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.

This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

		Annlication	n Fees - New	License	Ren	newal Fees		Amendment Fees			
		Prof.			Prof.			Prof.			
		Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)1/-	Appl. Fee	Process. Time (MY/MN) x	Cost per (MY/MH)/-	Renewal Fee	Process. Time (MY/MH)	Cost per (MY/MH)1/=		mend. Fee
3. By	product Material:										
۸.	Licenses for processing or manufacturing for commercial distribution.	12MH	\$38/MH	\$ 456	1.2MM	\$38/MH	\$ 456	3MH	\$38/MH	\$	114
В.	Licenses for manufacture and distribution of radio- pharmaceuticals.	5MH	\$38/MH	\$ 190	4MH	\$38/MH	\$ 152	1MH	\$38/MH	\$	38
c.	Industrial tadiography - one location.	5MH	\$38/MII	\$ 190	4101	\$38/MH	\$ 152	1MH	\$38/MH	\$	38
0.	Industrial radiography - multiple locations.	1.2MH	\$38/MH	\$ 456	1 2MH	\$38/MH	\$ 456	ЗМН	\$38/MH	\$	114
£.	Irradiators (self-shielded units)	SMH	\$38/MH	\$ 190	4MH	\$38/MH	\$ 152	1MH	\$38/MW	\$	38
F.	Irradiators (exposed source)	12MH	\$38/MH	\$ 456	12MH	\$38/MH	\$ 456	Змн	\$38/MH	\$	114
G.	Distribution of generally licensed items.	25MH	\$38/MH	\$ 950	15MH	\$38/MH	\$ 570	6MH	\$38/MH	\$	228
Н.	Distribution of exempt items (except exempt quantities, exempt concentrations, and timepieces, hands and dials).	25MH	\$38/MH	\$ 950	15MH	\$38/MH	\$ 570	6МН	\$38/MH	\$	228
I.	Distribution of exempt quantities.	5MH	\$38/MH	196	4MH	\$38/MH	\$ 152	1мн	\$38/MH	\$	38
J.	Distribution of timepieces. hands and dials.	SMH	\$38/MH	\$ 190	4MH	\$38/MH	\$ 152	1 MH	\$38/MH	5	38

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.
This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

		Application	Fees - Nev	License	Ren	eval Fees		Amendment Fees			
	3. Byproduct Material (contd):	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)/	Appl.	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)1/=	Renewal Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH)	Cost per Amer x (MY/MH) - Fee		
l ho	K. Research and development.	SMH	\$38/MH	\$ 190	4MH	\$38/MH	\$ 152	1MH	\$38/MH \$	38	
	L. All other specific byproduct licenses (except those licenses in Categories 4A through 8A).	ЗМН	\$38/MH	, 114	3MH	\$3.3/MH	\$ 114	1MH		38	
4	4. Waste Disposal:										
	<ol> <li>Commercial waste disposal involving burial.</li> </ol>										
:	Safety & Env. Safety & Env. Contracts Total	2.5	\$69,243	\$173,108 150,000 \$323,108	.7	\$69,243	\$ 48,470 Majo 50,000 \$ 98,470	r 1.7	\$69,243 \$117,7 80,0	000	
101	Safety & Env.						\$ 98,470 Mino	.01	\$69,243 \$\frac{\$197.7}{6}	13	
1											
	B. All other commercial disposal involving packaging & transfer.	30MH,	\$38/MH	\$ 1,140	15MH	\$38/MH	\$ 570	15MH	\$38/MH \$ 57	70	
	C. Commercial disposal - No packaging or burial by licenses.	SMH	\$38/MH	\$ 190	4 MH	\$38/MH	€ 152	1MH	\$36/MH \$ 3	38	

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.

This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

	Application	Fees - Nes	. L1	cense	Ren	Renewal Fees				Amendment Fees			
	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)2/	A	ppl. Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH) 1/	-	riewal Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH)	×	Cost per (MY/MH)1/=		mend.
A. Well logging:  A. Well logging and well surveys and trace: studies.	12MH	\$38/MH	ş	456	12МН	\$38/MH	ş	456	3МН		\$38/MH	\$	11
6. Nuclear Laundries:  A. Laundry facilities for decontamination of clothing.	1 2MGH	\$28/MH	s	456	12MH	\$38/MH	\$	456	3MH		\$38/MH	\$	11
7. Human Use:  A. Licenses for use of material in teletherapy devices.	8мн	\$38/MH	\$	304	7MI	\$38/MH	\$	266	IMH		\$38/MH	\$	1
B. Licenses issued to medical institutions, except Category 7A.	SMH	\$38/MH	\$	190	4мн	\$38/MH	\$	152	1MH		\$38/MH	\$	3
C. Licenses issued to private physicians, except Category 7A.	SMH	\$38/MH	\$	190	4мн	\$38/MH	\$	152	1мн		\$38/MH	\$	1

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee.

This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

		Application	Fees - New	License	Ren	ewal Fees		Amendment Fees			
		Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH)1/-	Appl.	Prof. Process. Time (MY/MH) x	Cost per (MY/MH))/=	Renewal Fee	Prof. Process. Time (MY/M:)	Cost x (MY/MH)1/=	Amen	
8	3. Civil Defense:								5 3 9 5 m		
	A. Licenses issued for civil defense activities.	SMH	\$38/MII	\$ 190	4MH	\$38/MH	\$ 152	1 MH	\$38/MH	,	
9	Device Product or Sealed Source Safety Evaluation:										
2	A. Device evaluation.	15MH	\$38/MH	\$ 570							
	<ol> <li>Sealed source design evaluation.</li> </ol>	3MH	\$38/MII	\$ 114							
10	. Power Sources:										
	A. Licenses for manufacture and distribution of encapsulated material for use in power generation.	SOMH	\$38/MH	\$ 1,900	12MH	\$38/MH	\$ 456	12MH	\$38/MH	s	
11	. Transportation:										
	A. Evaluation of spent fuel casks and air shipping packages for plutonium.	1.2	\$69,243	\$83,092				Major .1MY Minor .05M		\$ 6,	
	B. Evaluation of high level waste cusks and large irradiator packages.	1.1	\$69,243	\$76,167				Major .1M Minor .05M		\$ 6.	
	C. Review of all other packages.	.1	\$69,243	\$ 6 924				Major .05M	Y \$69,243 \$69,243	\$ 3,	

# DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE HEALTH AND SAFETY INSPECTION FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

	Routine	Inspection Fees	
	Prof. Cost Review per Time(MY) x (MY)	Inspection	Pection Fee ounded
1. Special Nuclear Material:			
A. SNM licenses for fuel processing and fabrication.  Highly Enriched Uranium (>20%)	0.7 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$4,524 748 \$5,272	55,300
B. SNM licenses for fuel processing and fabrication.	.07 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$4,524 748 \$ <u>5,272</u>	5,300
Low Enriched Uranium (420%)			
C. Licenses for plutonium processing and fuel fabrication plants.	.07 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$4,524 \$4,560 \$4,560	4,600
D. SNM licenses with quantities of 5 kilograms or more of U-235, or 2 kilograms or more of U-233 for activities other than fuel processing and fabrication.	Contractual Costs	\$4,524 365 \$4,889	4,900
E. Licenses for possession and use of quantities of plutonium of 2 kilograms or more for activities other than fuel processing and fabrication (includes activities involving irradiated fuel in hot cells).	.012 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 775 6 781	\$ 780
F. SNM licenses for possession and use of 200 grams but less than 2 kilograms of plutonium.	.012 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 775 \$ 6 \$ 781	780
G. SNM licenses for quantities of 350 grams but less than 5 kilograms of 11-235, or 200 grams but less than 2 kilograms of U-233.	.012 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 775 \$ 6 781	780
H. SNM licenses for receipt and storage of spent reactor fuel.	.012 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 775 6 \$ 781	780

## DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE HEALTH AND SAFETY INSPECTION FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

		Routine I	nspection Fe			
		Prof. Cost Review per 1/ Time(MY) x (MY) =	Inspection Fee	Inspection Fee Rounded		
	SNM licenses for use of SNM in sealed sources contained in devices used in measuring systems.	.005 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 323 \$ 326	\$ 330		
J.	All other specific SNM licenses.	.012 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 775 \$\frac{6}{781}	\$ 780		
2. Sou	rce Material:					
Α.	Licenses for milling operations.	.027 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$1,745 \$1,759	\$1,800		
В.	Licenses for processing and recovery of source material in in-situ leaching, or heap leach operations.	.02/ \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$1,745 \$\frac{14}{1,759}	\$1,800		
С.	Licenses for refining uranium mill concentrates to uranium hexafluoride.	.027 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$1,745 14 \$1,759	\$1,800		
n.	All other specific source material licenses.	.007 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 452 \$ 456	\$ 460		

### DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE HEALTH AND SAFETY INSPECTION FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

		Routine Inspection Fees								
		Prof. Cost Review per,	Inspection = Fee	Inspection Fee Rounded						
3. Byprodu	uct Material:									
or n	enses for processing manufacturing for mercial distribution.									
Larg	ge Programs	.024 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$1,551 94 \$1,645	\$1,600						
Sma1	1 Programs	.012 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 775	\$ 780						
and	enses for manufacture distribution of opharmaceuticals.	.01 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 646 \$ 651	\$ 650						
	strial radiography - location.	.011 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 711 6 \$ 717	\$ 720						
	strial radiography - iple locations.	.015 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 969 \$ 977	\$ 980						
E. Irra unit	diators (self-shielded s)	.006 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 388 3 \$ 391	\$ 390						
F. Irra	diators (exposed	.006 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 388 3 \$ 391	\$ 390						
	ribution of generally nsed items.	.006 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 388	\$ 390						
items quant cent	ribution of exempt s (except exempt tities, exempt con- rations, and time- es, hands and dials).	.006 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 388 \$ 391	\$ 390						

## DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE HEALTH AND SAFETY INSPECTION FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

		Routine 1	Routine Inspection Fee			
		Prof. Cost Review per1/ Time(MY) x (MY)-/	Inspection Fee	Inspection Fee Rounded		
	I. Distribution of exempt quantities.	.006 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 388	\$ 390		
	J. Distribution of timepieces, hands and dials.	.006 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 388 \$ 391	\$ 390		
	K. Research and development.	.006 \$64,603 Contractual Cost	\$ 388 \$ 391	\$ 390		
	L. All other specific byproduct licenses (except those licenses in Categories 4A through 8A).	.006 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 388 \$ 391	\$ 390		
4.	Waste Disposal:					
	A. Commercial waste disposal involving burial.	.014 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 905 - 71 \$ 976	\$ 980		
	B. All other commercial disposal involving packaging & transfer.	.01 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 646 5 \$ 651	\$ 650		
	C. Commercial disposal - No packaging or burial by licensee.	.01 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 646 \$ 651	\$ 650		
5.	Well Logging:					
	A. Well logging and well surveys and tracer studies.	.008 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 517 \$ 521	\$ 520		
6.	Nuclear Laundries:					
	A. Laundry facilities for decontamination of clothing.	.009 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 582 \$ 587	\$ 590		

## DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE HEALTH AND SAFETY INSPECTION FEES FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

		Routine Inspection Fees		
		Prof. Cost Review per <sub>1/</sub> Time(MY) x (MY)	Inspection = Fee	Inspection Fee Rounded
7.	Human Use:			
	A. Licenses for use of material in teletherapy devices.	.007 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 452 \$ 456	\$ 460
	B. Licenses issued to medical institutions, except Category 7A.	.007 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 452 \$ 456	\$ 460
	C. Licenses issued to private physicians, except Category 7A.	.005 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 323 \$ 326	\$ 330
8.	Civil Defense:			
	A. Licenses issued for civil defense activities.	.003 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 194 \$ 2 \$ 196	\$ 200
9.	Device Product or Sealed Source Safety Evaluation:			
	A. Device evaluation.	Not applicable		
	B. Sealed source design evaluation.	Not applicable		
10.	Power Sources:			
	A. Licenses for manufacture and distribution of encapsulated material for use in power generation.	.012 \$64,623 Contractual Costs	\$ 775 \$ 781	\$ 780
11.	Transportation:			
	A. Evaluatuon of spent fuel casks and air shipping packages for plutenium.	Not applicable		
	B. Evaluation of high level waste casks and large irradiator packages.	Not applicable		
	C. Review of all other packages.	Not applicable		

<sup>1/</sup> The cost per man-year/man-hour represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee. This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

- 108 -

## DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE SAFEGUARDS INSPECTION FEES

## FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

		The second of th		pection Fees	
		Prof. Review Time(MY) x	Cost Per $(MY)^{1/} =$	Inspection Fee	Inspection Fee Rounded
1.	Special Nuclear Material:				
	A. SNM licenses authorizing 5 kilograms or more U-235 in uranium enriched to greater than 20% for fuel processing and fabrication.	Contr'1 Costs	\$64,523	\$ 8,466 1,807 \$10,273	\$10,300
	B. SNM licenses authorizing 5 kilograms or more U-235 in uranium enriched to less than 20% for fuel processing and fabrication.	.131 Contr'1 Costs		\$ 8,466 1,807 \$10,273	\$10,300
	C. Licenses for plutonium processing and fuel fabrication plants.	.14 Contr'1 Costs		\$ 9,047 2,664 \$11,711	\$11,700
	D. SNM licenses with quantities of 5 kilograms or more of U-235, or 2 kilograms or more of U-233 for activities other than fuel processing and fabrication.	Contr'1 Costs		\$ 7,109 479 \$ 7,588	\$ 7,600
	E. SNM licenses for possession and use of quantities of plutonium of 2 kilograms or more for activities other than fuel processing and fabrication (irradiated fuel in hot cells).	.055 Contr'1 Costs	\$64,623	\$ 3,554 1,863 \$ 5,417	\$ 5,400
	F. SNM licenses for pos- session and use of 200 grams but less than 2 kilo- grams of plutonium.	.025 Contr'1 Costs		\$ 1,616 636 \$ 2,252	\$ 2.300
	G. SNM licenses for quantities of 350 grams but less than 5 kilograms of U-235.	.045 Contr'1 Costs	\$64,623	\$ 2,908 1,070 \$ 3,978	\$ 4,000
	H. SNM licenses for receipt and storage of spent reactor fuel.	.038 Contr'1 Costs	The second secon	\$ 2,456 490 \$ 2,946	\$ 2,900

#### DETERMINATION OF ROUTINE SAFEGUARDS INSPECTION FEES

#### FOR MATERIALS LICENSES

Safeguards Inspection Fees

Prof. Cost Inspection

Review Per Inspection Fee

Time(MY) x  $(MY)\frac{1}{2}$  = Fee Rounded

### 1. Sp\_ial Nuclear Material (Cont'd):

 SNM licenses for use of SNM in sealed sources contained in devices used in measuring systems.

NOT APPLICABLE

J. All other specific SNM licenses, except those licenses covered by Categories 4A through 8A.

NOT APPLICABLE

1/ The cost per man-year represents the cost of maintaining a professional employee. This would include the employee's salary, benefits, travel, as well as administrative, clerical, and supervisory support.

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

FOURTH CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID USNAC WASH D C PERMIT NO G 67