RELATED CORRESPONDENCE NEWMAN & HOLTZINGER, P. C. DOCKETED 1615 L STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036 JACK R. NEWMAN WILLIAM E. BAER, JR. JOHN E. HOLTZINGER, JR. E. GREGORY BARNES HAROLD F. REIS DOUGLAS L. BERESFORD 202 8455 AB 6 6 A11 :21 MAURICE AXELRAD J. A. BOUKNIGHT, JR. STEVEN P. FRANTZ PAUL H. KECK BRIAN R. GISH GEORGE L. EDGAR STEVEN C. GOLDBERG DEFICE OF SECRETARY DOCKETING A SERVICE BRANCH KATHLEEN H. SHEA JILL E. GRANT DAVID G. POWELL FREDERIC S. GRAY DOUGLAS G. GREEN SCOTT A. HARMAN DEBORAH L. HAWKINS KAROL LYN NEWMAN HOLLY N. LINDEMAN JOHN T. STOUGH, JR. KEVIN J. LIPSON DAVID B. RASKIN JAMES B. VASILE MICHAEL A BAUSER JANE I RYAN ALVIN H. GUTTERMAN KEVIN P. GALLEN DONALD J. SILVERMAN THOMAS A. SCHMUTZ JACOLYN A. SINMONS JOSEPH E. STUBBS MICHAEL F. HEALY ADM. VA. ROBERT I. WHITE ROBERT LOWENSTEIN NORMAN A. FLANINGAM October 9, 1984 OF COUNSEL Charles Bechhoefer, Esquire Chairman, Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Dr. James C. Lamb, III Administrative Judge 313 Woodhaven Road Chapel Hill, North Carolina Judge Ernest E. Hill Hill Associates 210 Montego Drive Danville, California 94526 Houston Lighting & Power Co., et al. South Texas Project, Units 1 & 2, Docket Nos. 50-498, 50-499 0 / Dear Members of the Board: In connection with the litigation in Texas between the owners of the South Texas Project and Brown & Root (B&R) (Houston Lighting & Power Co. v. Brown & Root, Inc., No. 81-H-0686-C (D. Ct. of Matagorda County, Texas, 130th Judicial District, filed Dec. 16, 1981), attorneys for plaintiffs engaged a consultant to prepare a report on B&R's engineering on the Project. The consultant's report was completed and transmitted to the parties, their counsel and the court on October 1, 1984. 8410110185 841009 PDR ADDCK 05000498

Charles Bechhoefer, Esquire Dr. James C. Lamb, III Judge Ernest E. Hill October 9, 1984 Page Two

The consultant's report is subject to a protective order entered by the Texas court (copy attached) which requires that such material not be disseminated beyond the parties, their counsel and the court.

The consultant's report assesses B&R's performance as engineer under the contract between HL&P and B&R, addressing the adequacy of personnel, procedures and processes employed by B&R engineering, as well as aspects of its engineering products. The consultant's report states that it has not identified any reportable deficiency not previously reported under NRC regulations and, also, that it has not identified any deficiency (reportable or non-reportable) not currently being addressed by Bechtel.

Although the consultant's report considers some of the same engineering processes and products as the Quadrex Report, we do not believe that it is material to any matter before the Board for adjudication, particularly as the scope of the Board's review is reflected in its clarifying memorandum of July 19, 1984:

The Quadrex Report is, of course, an evaluation of the engineering practices of Brown & Root, Inc. (B&R). B&R is no longer associated with the project. Although HL&P's activities in supervising B&R's design engineering efforts may theoretically have some bearing on an overall assessment of HL&P's character, we have already examined those activities to a considerable extent. See, e.g., PID, at pp. 40-41, 44. We do not believe that further inquiry into this subject through the findings of the Quadrex Report would be productive.

Memorandum and Order (Denying Reconsideration by Clarifying Memorandum and Order of May 22, 1984), July 10, 1984, at p. 5.

NEWMAN & HOLTZINGER, P. C.

Charles Bechhoefer, Esquire Dr. James C. Lamb, III Judge Ernest E. Hill October 9, 1984 Page Three

Accordingly, we do not plan to provide the consultant's report to the Board or the parties in this proceeding. If, however, the Board believes any other action is required, HL&P will seek the authorization of the court.

Respectfully submitted,

Jack R. Newma

Attachment

cc: Service List

MO. 81-H-Q686-C

MOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PROJECT MANAGER UNDER THE SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY HOUSTOR LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY AND THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, EXECUTED AS OF JULY 1, 1973, AS AMENDED, ET AL.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF MATAGORDA COUNTY, TEXAS 130TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

48

BROWN & ROOT, INC., ST AL.

PROTECTIVE ORDER

WHEREAS, this Court is required to preserve the rights of all perties in this cause to a fair trial by an impartial jury; and

WHEREAS, this Court has previously ordered that no deposition testimony be released to the media by any of the parties to this litigation; and

THEREAS, the likelihood is great that potential jurors will be prejudiced by pretrial publicity, given the particular circumstances surrounding this cause, which this Court takes judicial notice, which include

- 1. The small size of Bay City, the town where the Court is located, and the proximity of the town to the South Texas Muclear Project;
- 2. The unusually emotional nature of the issues involved:
 - 3. The pretriel statue of the suit,
- A. The complexity of the suit, and the enormous number of documents involved, which, if selectively disclosed to third parties by the parties or their attorneys, sould present materially false impressions as to the merits of the claims and their likelihood of success and interfers with the right to a fair trial to which all parties are entitled;

5. The fact that despite the Court's order of October 11, 1983 and the provisions of Tex. R. Civ. P. 210, third parties have sought to obtain access to the depositions taken in this cause, and

PARRIES, all parties to this cause have a constitutional right to a fair trial, while third parties have no constitutional nor common law right of access to pretrial documents obtained through the discovery process in a civil case; and

WHEREAS, this Court is entitled to issue protective orders, in its sound discretion and in light of the relevant facts and circumstances of the particular case, under Tex. R. Civ. P. 186b; it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that prior to trial

- adhere to the letter and spirit of the provisions of the Texas

 Code of Professional Responsibility governing comments to the

 media in civil cases. Specifically, all attorneys shall refrain

 from making extrajudicial statements which constitute an "opinion
 as to the merits of the claims or defenses of a party," or which

 are "reasonably likely to interfere with a fair trial of the

 action." DR 7-107(G).
- 2. All parties, attorneys, experts employed by the parties, court reporters, clerks and officers of the Court shall refrain from disclosing to third parties information obtained through the Court's discovery processes.
- 3. All depositions, interrogatories and ensuers thereto, requests for admissions and ensuers thereto, and all other documents that may be offered in evidence in this cause shell be filed under seal and shall be opened only by order of the Court.
- 4. If the Court determines that any party's right to a fair trial has been jeopardized by a violation of this Order, it may take appropriate action, including but not

limited to dismissing the claims for relief of any party found in violation of the Order, or ruling that any document released to the press shell be inadmissible at trial.

- 5. This Order shall not be interpreted to prohibit attorneys from communicating with the parties in order to prepare for trial, nor shall it be interpreted to prohibit the third parties from attending any live sessions before the Court or from publishing any information they have already obtained or may obtain in the future. The term "third parties" includes any person or organization not a party, not an attorney for a party, or not a person employed by the parties or attorneys for the parties for the purpose of assisting in this litigation.
- 6. This Order covers only those documents obtained through the discovery process, including deposition testimony, which may be offered in evidence in this cause, and does not cover pleadings, briefs and legal memorands.
- 7. The Court shall entertain reasonable requests to modify this Order as the need arises.

SIGNED this 29th day of December , 1983.

JUDGE PRESIDENCE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of			
HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER) COMPANY, ET AL.	Docket Nos.	50-498 (50-499 (
(South Texas Project, Units 1) and 2)			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of Applicants' letter of October 9, 1984, have been served on the following individuals and entities by deposit in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, on this 9th day of October, 1984.

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq.
Chairman, Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James C. Lamb, III Administrative Judge 313 Woodhaven Road Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Judge Ernest E. Hill Hill Associates 210 Montego Drive Danville, California 94526

Mrs. Peggy Buchorn
Executive Director
Citizens for Equitable
Utilities, Inc.
Route 1, Box 1684
Brazoria, TX 77422

Brian Berwick, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
for the State of Texas
Environmental Protection
Division
P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, TX 78711

William S. Jordan, III, Esq. Harmon, Weiss & Jordan 2001 S Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009

Kim Eastman, Co-coordinator
Barbara A. Miller
Pat Coy
Citizens Concerned About
Nuclear Power
5106 Casa Oro
San Antonio, TX 78233

Lanny Sinkin 114 W. 7th, Suite 220 Austin, TX 78701 Robert G. Perlis, Esq.
Office of the Executive Legal
Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Joel K Veum