a contraction of the second se

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 85 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-24 AND AMENDMENT NO. 89 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-27 WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

## Introduction

On January 1, 1984, new rules 10 CFR 50.72 and 10 CFR 50.73 became effective which changed licensee reporting requirements to the NRC of reportable events. These changes became immediately effective and superseded all licensees' previous Technical Specification reporting requirements. Guidance concerning changes to the Technical Specifications which might be necessary to achieve consistency with the new rule was issued by the staff in Generic Letter 83-43 dated December 19, 1983. Wisconsin Electric Power Company (licensee) responded to Generic Letter 83-43 with proposed changes to the Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2 Technical Specifications by application dated January 27, 1984.

## Discussion and Evaluation

Generic Letter 83-43 requested licensees to propose changes to the "Administrative Controls" and "Definitions" sections of their facilities' Technical Specifications and provided model technical specifications in Standard Technical Specification format to be used as guidance.

We have reviewed the licensee's submittal against the guidance provided in Generic Letter 83-43 and find that the licensee meets that guidance. The term "reportable occurrences" where previously found in the licensee's technical specifications has been replaced with the term "reportable events". A new se gion on "Reportable Event Action" has been added referencing the requirements contained in 10 CFR 50.73. The old section on "Reportable Occurrences" has been deleted.

8410110014 840721 PDR ADDCK 05000266 PDR PDR

## Environmental Consideration

These amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

## Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security of to the health and safety of the public.

Date: September 21, 1984

Principal Contributor: T. G. Colburn