ORGINAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

DISCUSSION OF MEMORANDUM ON FUTURE STEPS IN TMI-1 RESTART

Docket No.

CLOSED MEETING

Location: Washington, D. C.

Pages: _ 1 - 35

Date: Thursday, January 26, 1984

8407130163 840604 PDR F0IA DETJEN83-27 PDR

TAYLOE ASSOCIATES

Court Reporters 1625 I Street, N W Suite 1004 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 291-1950

25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 1 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2 3 DISCUSSION OF MEMORANDUM ON FUTURE STEPS IN TMI-1 RESTART 5 6 CLOSED MEETING - EXEMPTIONS 5 AND 10 8 Room 1130 1717 H Street, N.W. 9 Washington, D.C. 10 Thursday, January 26, 1984 11 The Commission met, pursuant to notice, at 12 3:37 p.m. 13 COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: 14 NUNZIO PALLADINO, Chairman of the Commission 15 VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner THOMAS ROBERTS, Commissioner 16 FREDERICK BERNTHAL. Commissioner 17 STAFF AND PRESENTERS SEATED AT COMMISSION TABLE: 18 SAM CHILK 19 JOHN ZERBE JOHN MONTGOMERY 20 RICK LEVI HERZEL PLAINE 21 AUDIENCE SPEAKERS: 22 JOE FOUCHARD PAT DAVIS 23 24

PROCEEDINGS

2 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: The subject of this meeting is
3 a draft memorandum on the TMI-1 restart future steps that
4 was circulated to our offices yesterday afternoon.

As a result of my review of that and questions that were raised in connection with the proposed memorandum, I suggested several changes and I have gotten one or two other changes from --

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Joe, let me --

MR. CHILK: Could I interrupt for just a second?

Before we go into the substance, could I ask the Commission to vote to hold this on a short notice and to close it under Exemptions 5 and 10.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: You may, but first I would like to just raise the point that I am not prepared to do this today, Joe. It seems to me that Jim is gone. I gave a speech until late last night and it seems to me that it really is important that we let the wording on these things and so on sit for a day or so until we have a chance to go through them carefully.

It's TMI-1 and we, on one day's notice, got this meeting scheduled tomorrow now. There is no meeting Monday and Tuesday, although I guess Victor has to be out of town.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Commissioner Gilinsky has to

be out Monday, that's one of the problems that I have.

But besides, the Commission has said that we wanted to try to get this out by the end of the week.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I will also be out the rest of the week.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: What's that?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Tuesday --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes, all next week and it seemed appropriate to have an open session in which we announce our roadmap.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I am entirely in agreement with the need to be expeditious, but I don't think we should be precipitous on a half-day's notice on things that I think are of great moment.

I am just very concerned. I apologize because I really haven't got the picture of the loose ends here until the last hour and, perhaps, seven minutes here.

But is there any way that we can give ourselves a day or so and perhaps have the benefit of Jim's presence at a meeting to go through some of this?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, Jim doesn't seem to care. I mean, if you want to use some other reason, that's fine. But I don't get the impression that Jim seems to care whether you go forward or not.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: No, I think he is willing

to let us go forward because he clearly has his own views.

I tend to think that you ought to have the benefit of opinions whether or not the majority opinions -- in leading these things.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let's see, are you concerned about me having your opinion, or you have my opinion?

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: No, no, I don't think

we are going to convince anybody but --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, let's see, does anyone from Commissioner Asselstine's office know whether or not he has suggested changes to this proposal?

MS. DAVIS: I talked to him earlier. He said it was his view that it was up to you whatever you might put out, and that he had no problems with it. You know, you would still have his views tomorrow morning.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right.

confuse the issue. The point is, it seems to me, that we got two or three things here that are uncertain, I mean,.

we've got to get really straightened out. And to me, doing that on twelve hours' notice and issuing this today is not just very prudent.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Excuse me. We still have the issue of whether to hold this meeting on short notice, and we appear to be meeting --

1	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's right.
2	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: holding the meeting. I
3	suggest we vote on holding the meeting. Then we can go ahead
4	and discuss this issue.
5	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Okay.
6	MR. CHILK: The question is, do we hold the
7	meeting on short notice, do we close it under Exemptions
8	5 and 10, we complete the vote on that.
9	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I would say aye.
10	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's this meeting, okay,
11	yes.
12	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: This meeting.
13	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Sorry
14	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: The one we are
15	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Not the one tomorrow.
16	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Aye.
17	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right. We have the
19	meeting, have a conclusion different from what
20	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I'm sorry, what's the
21	question now?
22	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: The question is, are you
23	willing to have the meeting now, on this subject, and are
24	you willing to close it on five and ten.
**	

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I'm willing to meet, yes.

I'm willing to close it. I thought I voted on that.

MR. CHILK: Yes, I thought you voted.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Oh, I'm sorry. I hadn't caught that.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: And I'm willing to meet on this subject now, that's fine with me. I do not believe that we are prepared, though, to see a final version of more than one document that's in front of us.

We also have a request for appearance tomorrow, I believe, by some parties. I'm not prepared to have this public meeting tomorrow.

And I guess, let me back up and say, my preference would be to have more time to look at this document in front of us that we are about to send out.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, we are following the schedule that I thought the Commission had agreed to. But if you don't agree to it, then I guess --

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I guess it's partly my fault, but I don't think we got a schedule until late yesterday, didn't we?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, when we talked about it the other day we said we would be lucky to get something by late yesterday. That we would have to look at it over the next day. We ought to meet on Thursday to see whether or not we are prepared for a Friday meeting and, if possible, try to

have a Friday meeting.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I guess that's what we are doing, then. I don't think we are prepared for a Friday meeting. That's my opinion.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That's why I wanted to have this meeting because I don't want people to come down here and find a meeting that was cancelled, and I don't want them to not come down if we are going to hold it.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: So now, then, we have to talk about when we might have such a meeting. And I do think it's important for all Commissioners to be here. We have gone this long and I would hate to have a meeting without all Commissioners here because I think the additional remarks of the Commissioners are important, and an opportunity to set them forth.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Would Jim be here tomorrow, do you think?

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's another question.

MS. DAVIS: He was going to come in because of the meeting.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I didn't hear that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So he would have been here.

MS. DAVIS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: What did she say?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: He would have come in. 1 2 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: So, if he is still ill, he won't be here; is that it? 3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No, he was planning to be 5 here tomorrow. 6 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Unless he's terribly ill, 7 I gather he'll make it no matter what. That's what you are saying essentially. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, Commissioner Gilinsky is gone, I think, all next week. So, this would defer action 10 11 until February 6 at the earliest, providing no other 12 Commissioner travels or gets sick. 13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Any one of you three, 14 particularly. 15 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I think it's important for all 16 of us to be here. 17 Well, in view of your views, is the Commission 18 agreed to wait until February 6th before proceeding? 19 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, you clearly have a 20 majority if you can form one. My view is that there is too 21 little time to prepare for this meeting tomorrow. At least 22 speaking for myself, I'm not prepared for the meeting

tomorrow. I can get prepared, I suppose, at some level and

short notice and short lead time on some of the documents in

I question whether the Commission is prepared, given the

25

front of us. That's my opinion.

I apologize, we should have had a chance to talk earlier, Joe.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That's all right, that's why
I had put this meeting on. I just did not want to have
people coming down from Harrisburg and find out that there
wasn't any meeting.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Certainly, I understand.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: And I didn't want to have a meeting without the appropriate notice to those people or to anyone else that's interested.

Do you have any objection to waiting? This is how we got where we were last January.

any pleasure to think that this has to be deferred now for ten days for a whole variety of reasons. But I'm also concerned that we not move in haste without careful consideration on something that is really terribly important.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes.

Victor, are you leaving early in the morning, or are you leaving the day before?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm actually leaving in the afternoon, but I'll be away the day before, I'll be in New York. I Zon't know when I'll get back, whether I'll leave there the night before or the following morning.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I was wondering, is it possible that on Monday, if we schedule something on the order of 11 o'clock?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No, I can't handle it on Monday, no.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: You can't handle it Monday at all. Tuesday?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Conceivably Tuesday morning, but --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Tuesday morning.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: -- it is at some inconvenience. So, it's one person that's inconvenienced versus another.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, if it's a question only of my inconvenience, I clearly can go to a meeting tomorrow and be prepared.

I question whether the Commission should meet on this matter with these documents having been out such a short period of time. I'm a firm believer on very important matters in letting things sit for a day or two.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I mean that's a matter on which I offer no suggestion. I mean, it's really up to the three of you.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I'm trying to accomodate your suggestion. I just want to see what the flexibility is.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: No, I understand, that's 1 a Commission judgment. You got my opinion. 2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I mean, I won't -- it's 3 possible that I'll be available but I just don't want to commit myself at this point. 5 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: So, at no time next week. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No, after Tuesday after-7 noon, then I'm away in Albuquerque and just won't be here 8 at all. 9 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: So, if you are not prepared 10 to make a commitment for Tuesday, then, there is no commitment 11 next week; is that right? 12 .COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes. I mean, if you are 13 ready, I may be here. Certainly, if I'm here, I'll certainly, 14 if I'm here, I'll certainly be happy to go forward. 15 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: But we have to give notice. 16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, we would have given 17 one day's notice. So, I might be able to tellyou on 18 Monday, call in or something like that. 19 I certainly, to the extent I can, will try to 20 accomodate you. And I realize you are accomodating me in 21 the sense that you would like everyone to be here. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes, I would like everyone 23

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You know, you set a

to be here.

1 schedule and it was going to be tomorrow and --COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Shot. 2 You should not, and the Commission should not, 3 consider this as an accomodation. I'll be here tomorrow. The question that I am posing and suggesting is that there 5 has not been adequate time to review all these documents in the way that they ought to be reviewed, and that's the 7 question the Commission ought to consider, not the fact that I personally feel that we should not do it so quickly. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, as I said, that is 10 11 something on which I offer no view. That is entirely up to 12 you. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, the document is only 13 one document. There are a lot of back-up documents we might 14 15 want to rely on. COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's right. 16 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I would be ready to 17 18 vote tomorrow, but I'm only one person. 19 COLMISSIONER ROBERTS: I'm willing to meet 20 tomorrow. CHARMAN PALLADINO: What's that? 21 22 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I'm willing to meet tomorrow. COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: We'll meet tomorrow. 23

would vote against meeting tomorrow, but --

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I defer to you, Joe.

25

I think Fred made some good points. 1 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes, I know he did. 2 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I don't comment on the merits. 3 You have my proxy, whatever you want to do. (Laughter) 5 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: If we could do it sometime 6 next week, I'd say, no problem because I have the same kind of feeling that on reflection sometimes things arise that deserve consideration. COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes, and I must say, the 10 schedule tomorrow was very thick as it stands. Again, I 11 think that may lend itself to a less than thoughtful and 12 ideal consideration. 13 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I was trying to follow what 14 the Commission said they would try to do, that we should get something out by the end of the week. 16 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I understand. 17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Victor, might we know Monday 18 whether or not you could be here? 19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I will let you know Monday. 20 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: So, deferring it, there may 21 be a window Tuesday. Lacking that, then the next time would 22 23 be probably February 6th. COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Joe, I have no difficulty 24

with going through and getting comments on this document as

planned in the meeting today. 1 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes, I was going to --2 3 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: And in fact, as far as I'm concerned, since you got Tom's proxy and you prefer to meet tomorrow, we can talk later and then the decision 5 finally becomes yours because you need to decide whether to meet tomorrow. If that's your decision, then I'll be ready to meet tomorrow. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Incidentally, we have been 9 getting telephone calls in my office at a relatively high 10 11 rate --12 (Laughter) CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: -- about this meeting tomorrow 13 14 and Joe Fouchard has been very --15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Have we arrounced the 16 meeting tomorrow? 17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: What's that? 18 MR. FOUCHARD: It's tentatively. Yes, it's on 19 your schedule. 20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It was announced? 21 MR. FOUCHARD: Yes, it's on your tape and it's on 22 the Federal Register. 23 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Tentatively. 24 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What's the title? 25 MR. FOUCHARD: Sam, help me.

MR. CHILK: The title is, "Possible Vote on Memorandum on Future Steps on TMI-1 Restart."

MR. FOUCHARD: But if you are going to postpone even without setting a date, I would ask you to do it now so that I --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I want to do it before we break up here.

MR. FOUCHARD: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHALL: It's your call. I mean, you clearly got the consensus of two here to do it.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: To give me some time to think, let me identify the suggested changes that have been made.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Fine.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Because I'm not sure they are all on the copies you've got.

Starting with the first paragraph, the sentence that begins about the middle of the paragraph that says, "In this regard, the Commission presently believes that the only on-going investigation which will likely require further resolution before a decision," et cetera, Tom Roberts suggests that we replace "will likely" by the word "may." So that it says, "The Commission presently believes that the only on-going investigation which may require further resolution," and I think that's a worthwhile suggestion.

I think the remainder of them have been identified

in what was walked around from my office.

In the second paragraph there is the insert, and
I believe it's the second sentence, "In this regard, the
Commission's view based on currently-available information,"
that would be the insert, "is that neither Chairman of the
Board Kuhns or Chief Executive Officer Dieckamp will have to
be temporarily or permanently separated."

Then, the next sentence it is suggested be deleted.

Down on the bottom of page 1 there is a bracketed

sentence and the suggestion is that we delete that bracketed

sentence.

And the last sentence in that paragraph says, "The Commission believes that this process provides the possibility for reaching an early decision." The suggestion is we cross out "early" and make it "a decision."

On the top of page 3 there are two inserts and some crossing out in the last sentence such that the last sentence in the top paragraph would read, "The Commission's decision will prescribe the conditions that will apply for any individuals who are to be separated from Nuclear Operations."

Those are the changes that I have, unless there are others.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Joe, let me since I guess the broad message at least didn't get through on the first

sentence, I do not think that we should characterize this entire document as somehow strictly being a reply to the GPU proposal nor, at least in my case, is my determination based on the GPU proposal.

Therefore, I would suggest that that first sentence be modified. It may happen to bear some resemblance to their proposal but that's not -- in detail at this point I probably couldn't tell you how closely my opinion here resembles their proposal.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Which sentence, Fred?

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: "The Commission's current view is that the GPU proposal as modified and discussed, and with some additional modifications, can be the basis for a decision."

I would prefer that -- though I don't have the words quite crafted here -- that something to the effect of the fact that we have reached a decision, and that our judgment is the following, in recognition of the need to respond to their proposal perhaps.

But I don't want to convey the impression -- and it's a misimpression -- that our decision and our judgment is driven by their proposal.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You shouldn't have no doubt about how this will be viewed, or how it will be labeled.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, that's all right. I
don't want to say something that isn't true, at least in my
case.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, are you going to make

a suggested change? Did you provide a suggested change?

Not by tomorrow -- if that sounds more substantive than some of the other matters. So, let me decide that we will wait and will not have a meeting tomorrow, subject to any overriding by the Commission what it wants to do. That we not have a meeting tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Not because of this sentence, or --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: For two reasons. One, at least one Commissioner feels that there is value in spending more time in reviewing this.

And two, that there is a substantive change that you would like the Commission to consider.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's not really a substantive change.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, he considers it a substantive change. I consider it a substantive change.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, he considers it a label. He doesn't know what the substantive difference is.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I view what he says on there to be a substantive change.

1	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I can probably suggest some
2	words here. My only objection is that if you take out the
3	stuff in between this says the GPU proposal can be the
4	basis for a decision on the management issues.
5	I think the Commission ought to make the decision
6	on the management issues.
7	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, the Commission is going
8	to make a decision. I'm not sure I understand, Fred.
9	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I think what Fred
10	is saying and it is entirely understandable, if I may help
11	you out.
12	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That it doesn't look so
14	good to be calling this the GPU proposal. But in fact, it is
15	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes, I consider that a
16	substantive comment.
17	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Vic, you are a very good
18	devil's advocate.
19	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I can argue either side.
20	(Laughter)
21	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: And sometimes you do.
22	(Laughter)
23	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Good point.
24	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: We don't need to get hung
25	up on this.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, I'm hung up on the decision
with regard to tomorrow, and I'm still saying, if you find
this a problem then we ought to have time to discuss it.

You ought to propose what you think should be said, and we'll
look at it.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, do you find it a

problem? If you and Tom have no problem with it, then it's

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, you are an important part of a three-to-two decision.

(Laughter)

not a problem.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We can be very accomodating.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Let me again say that in view of the feeling of at least one Commissioner that this matter should not be rushed and we should have time to reflect on the words written here, and in view of the fact that there are a particular set of words that you feel deserve special attention, I would say we will not have the meeting tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Is that a question?

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: When are we going to get
Commissioners Gilinsky's and Asselstine's dissenting views?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I'm proposing it.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I have those as additional questions.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, we can deal with that 1 right now. I don't see any point in writing or distributing 2 anything until I have seen the final version of what you are 3 going to do. So, if you are not going to put it out tomorrow, 5 you have turned out a final version tomorrow --6 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I think this is a small 7 point. This could be settled in 15 minutes, but --CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, let's try it, if you want to try it. This is where we got, I remember, last time. 10 One of the reasons we delayed was that we were going to wait 11 for dissenting opinions. But then people went on travel and 12 13 then new things came up. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would suggest --14 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes, I don't want to 15 discourage the first opinion. 16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You can either do it in 17 meeting or two-by-two, I'll be happy to leave. 18 (Laughter) 19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: However you want to do it. 20 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Do what? 21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I don't know. I 22 thought you wanted to go forward with your document. But if 23 you are not going to complete it tomorrow, then I guess I 24 would like to see at least what you regard as -- if you

consider at all doing anything next week, I would like to have something by tomorrow night, close of business.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: This document, I think, is not -- especially that particular change -- is not the question about whether to have a meeting tomorrow, in my judgment.

I think we could give you a final version of this document, at least as far as I am concerned, certainly by 5 o'clock today, I would guess.

My question about the wisdom of holding a meeting tomorrow is simply whether you shouldn't let this thing have at least 24 hours for consideration. And that's a different question, almost.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, we have had 24 hours and we'll have another 24 hours.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: What I am saying, Joe, is

I think your decision should be based on the first part of
the statement you just made. I think at least this particular
part of the statement --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Let's see, I have to turn the question around and say, are you ready to vote tomorrow? If you are not ready to vote, then we don't have a vote.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I'm prepared to vote if the majority of the Commission is prepared to vote. But I still --

1	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, you are part of that
2	majority, that's the thing. Are you, as part of the
3	majority, are you ready to vote tomorrow? If you feel that
4	you need more time then I say
5	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I would prefer to have more
6	time to look at the documentation, that is a fact, including
7	the documentation in front of us, as I have stated.
8	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, could you be more
9	definite? I take a preference as a vote.
10	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's right.
11	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: So, you are saying you are
12	not prepared to vote tomorrow.
13	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You are too gentle, Joe.
14	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: What's that?
15	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I said you are too gentle.
16	(Laughter)
17	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I really need to know.
18	Are you prepared to vote or not?
19	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I'm prepared to vote if
20	you want to vote tomorrow, yes.
21	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, do you want to vote?
22	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I want to do what you are
23	doing.
24	(Laughter)
25	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'll tell you, this

transcript better not get out.
(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Am I the majority?

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Look, I don't want to delay this thing ten days. I think if you think there is a high probability that Victor can't make it back on Tuesday, maybe we just ought to plunge ahead here and vote tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, okay, let me reverse the decision, then. I'm prepared to vote tomorrow. I would like to get your suggested change on the first part.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: You will have that by 5 o'clock.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: And propose that we go ahead.

I am concerned not going ahead is going to lead us to

continuing delay. I am doing that with the understanding

that you are prepared to vote tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's right.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That Tom's prepared to vote tomorrow, and that the other individuals will have their additional views.

All right, then let's make it that we will vote tomorrow and that you give us your suggested change. It is scheduled for 1:30 -- that's not tomorrow's schedule.

MR. FOUCHARD: Now, if you are going to vote tomorrow, now I'll have to put it on the AP and the UPI

wires. I haven't done anything up until now. So, now we 1 will schedule it. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right. 3 MR. FOUCHARD: I really ought to do it. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, okay. 5 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Don't we also have a 6 question before us of requests for participation tomorrow? 7 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes. We can treat that, and 8 I think we should treat that. MR. FOUCHARD: I'll hold off, then. 10 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Now, let me make sure. I 11 would like a vote that you agree that we will meet tomorrow. 12 I vote, aye. 13 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Aye. 14 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Aye. 15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I will be ready when you 16 17 are. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: So, we have a vote and we 18 will go on that. 19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Supply my feelings one 20 way or the other. 21 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Now, who is familiar with the 22 requests for someone to speak tomorrow? 23 MR. CHILK: We have had two requests, one from 24 TMIA, Joan Doroshow, and one from Ellen Weiss. 25

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Doroshow. 1 MR. CHILK: Doroshow, both requesting permission 2 to address the Commission at the public meeting tomorrow. 3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I might point out to you that I am the only Commissioner who is being sued personally 5 by that organization. 7 (Laughter) CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Oh, I didn't know that. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Sued by whom? 9 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: -- honor. 10 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: TMIA. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: TMIA. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Because of our role in 13 releasing crypton. 14 15 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Releasing what? COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Crypton. 16 17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Oh. 18 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: But your brothers at the time are also being sued; is that not correct? 19 20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Right, but I'm the only sitting Commissioner. So, I'm the only one being sued by 21 both sides. 22 23 (Laughter) COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's true. 24 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Let me make an observation --25

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Do you have a good lawyer? 1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The same one that defends 2 Richard Nixon -- doing very well. 3 (Laughter) COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Say that again? 5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't think I will. 6 (Laughter) CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Read your transcript. 8 Observation. We did have a meeting in which the 9 parties were offered the opportunity to speak, and if we 10 start with giving any one or two individuals an opportunity 11 to speak then we have to give, I believe, all the others an 12 opportunity to speak including the licensee. 13 Our proposal would be, no, not to permit them to 14 speak. I can see new headlines. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would allow them to speak, 17 even though they are suing me. 18 (Laughter) CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, would you allow all 19 20 people -- see, this is a half-hour --21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd give them five minutes, that is all they are asking for. It's a fairly important 22 23 turning point. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I don't understand why it is 24

we have a meeting to hear the parties and then we hear them,

and then when we come to offer a decision we've got to hear them again, and this time only those that request.

. 13

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, we've been a little casual on occasion. The company has been here at meetings, we have allowed them to speak up.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Not on the day on which we are going to decide, make our decision.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No. But I think one of the points the potitioners make that the company has had opportunity that they have not, and it was certainly more than five minutes.

So, I don't think their requests are unreasonable.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, let's see, who are the people again, Sam?

MR. CHILK: TMIA, TMI Alert and Ellen Weiss of UCS.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Now, who else might be potential requesters? And now you might have objection because people say, "Wait a minute, we didn't know if we had requested it we'd gotten an opportunity to speak." I think it is a totally unfair way of doing it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In principle, squeaky wheels get the grease.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, sometimes they deserve it, and sometimes they don't.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I'm going to agree with you, 1 Joe. 2 MR. CHILK: Tom, how did you vote? I'm sorry. 3 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I would agree with the 4 Chairman. 5 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: We are voting only on TMIA 6 and UCS? 7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think both. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes, both of them. And I 9 think we are making a decision that would apply to any 10 other requester. 11 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I don't have any trouble 12 with giving them five minutes. I think we should do that. 13 MR. LEVI: I think that it's a legal matter. If 14 you hear from one party, you are going to have to provide 15 16 every party an opportunity to comment. 17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That's what I'm worried about, exactly the direction we are going, we are getting another 18 public meeting. I would say -- I think then it makes it 19 impractical to have the meeting tomorrow. 20 21 MR. PLAINE: Why not issue a telegram to all of them tell them that --22 23 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, I'm sorry --MR. PLAINE: If you are going to do it. If you are 24

25

going to do it.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: The schedule only allows at most a half hour. If we are going to turn this into another series of presentations by the parties, then we are going to have to reschedule it at a time when the Commission can hear the parties.

I need to understand the justification for hearing them again, and I think the threshold ought to be higher than just say, "Well, let's listen to them."

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let's see, how many parties are there?

MR. LEVI: Five.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Five?

MR. LEVI: USC, TMIA, the Aamodts, the licensee, and the staff.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, why don't you allot 15 minutes and divide it?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, the issue is that the Commission starts to question and I think it's appropriate for the Commission to question and explore the points being made, especially if there is anything new that comes up.

But I think there needs to be a better reason that just say, "Well because they requested it, we ought to defer our decision.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Is there any -- I'm not

sure this is a legal question, but maybe counsel --1 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Joe Fouchard, did you -where are you? (Laughter) MR. FOUCHARD: I'm here, Mr. Chairman. MR. PLAINE: I didn't hear you, Fred. Do you 7 want to start again? COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes. My question is whether in respect to any action that we propose to take tomorrow 9 there is a legal justification or question of the requirement 10 or perhaps appropriateness to let the parties comment on 12 the action. 13 14 hear one, give the opportunity to all five or six. 15

2

3

5

6

8

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PLAINE: I don't think you are required to hear them. But I was suggesting that if you are going to

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That was my conclusion, and then I got to know, why are we repeating what we just did?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, actually, there are five parties, two of them the applicant and staff. since the decision is basically a favorable one to them, I don't see what they -- you know, what you really have to learn from hearing from them. So, I don't see that there is any need to do that.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: The question is giving the people an opportunity.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We are just talking about three parties. Reaslly, these two and I suppose the Aamodts will want to come.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Anything we are liable to hear tomorrow is not going to affect our decision.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I dought it.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I do, too.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm sure we'll get a different interpretation.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Let me just, in view of the fact that I think it's unfair to the parties, there is no legal requirement that we give the parties a chance to be heard, I can't really imagine getting a telegram out of here at 4:30 the night before being construed as anything but injudicious haste in expecting them to get up here.

I would suggest that we not have the parties make presentations and leave it at that, if you want to proceed tomorrow. So, I'll vote with you on that, Joe.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I mean, two of them have asked -- I don't know when their letters are dated. But I mean, it's not as if they asked today.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, I just think it's unseemly for us to send a telegram to the Aamodts at 5 o'clock.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I haven't even seen that

letter.

1	MR. CHILK: We got calls today from both parties.
2	MR. PLAINE: I have a copy of one here.
3	MR. CHILK: There is a TMIA request and Doroshow
4	which just came in today.
5	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I mean, I don't think they
6	will regard it as unseemly. I mean, if you don't do it,
7	they will regard themselves as having been deprived of their
8	rights you may feel that
9	COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, certainly, if you
10	allow the others to be heard, that's why
11	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But there really are just
12	the Aamodts, then, when you think about it. There are these
13	two groups and maybe the Aamodts will make it down. I
14	suppose they probably will. But at worst, you are really
15	talking about three groups.
16	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Did not let's see, is
17	this TMI Alert?
18	MR. PLAINE: Yes.
19	MR. CHILK: TMI Alert.
20	CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Did they not participate in
21	a public meeting?
22	MR. LEVI: Yes, they did.
23	MR. PLAINE: Yes, they did.
24	COMMISSIONER CILINSKY: But let's see, wasn't that
25	one round in which you heard from the company and then

others just got to send in written comments? 1 MR. LEVI: They were given an oral meeting after-2 wards. The company was heard on one day, the parties were heard orally at a later date. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I see. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: And I don't think the 6 7 perception will be proper to be all prepared to vote and have our statements all ready, and we pretend to go through listening to them, and then we don't. 10 MR. PLAINE: Yes, it's somewhat of a charade. 11 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes, I agree. 12 MR. LEVI: If you happened to deliberate on what 13 they said --(Simultaneous conversation) 15 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I understand I have three 16 votes not to allow these individuals to make --17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I guess I would hear them, 18 and I expect Jim would have, too. 19 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: What's that? 20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I said, I would vote to 21 hear then and expect Jim would have, too. 22 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, then that's a three to 23 two vote again. 24 Okay, anything further to come before us?

MR. LEVI: Could I ask one further question?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Sure. 1 MR. LEVI: Commissioner Bernthal, did you have any 2 other major comments on this document for the Commission's 3 attention now? COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: No, at this point I only 5 6 want to do a rewrite on that first sentence. CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: When will we be able to get 7 8 that, Fred? COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: A half hour. 9 10 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We are going to keep you 11 occupied for half an hour. Well, what we could do is break now and wait 12 13 until 4:30 for our affirmation, and go to agenda planning. I don't think we have time for agenda planning between now 15 and 4:30. 16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Okay, then the meeting is on 18 for tomorrow. Anything else on this subject, Rick? 19 MR. LEVI: No. 20 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We will stand adjourned and 21 will meet again at 4:30. 22 (Whereupon, at 4:15 p.m. the Commission adjourned, to reconvene at 4:30 p.m. on the same day.) 23

24

CERTIFICATE OF PROCEEDING

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the NRC COMMISSION 3 In the Matter of: Discussion of memorandum on Future steps in TMI-1 Restart Date of Proceeding: 26 January 1984 Place of Proceeding: Washington, D. C. were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript for the file of the commission. Elizabeth Hansen 10 Official Reporter - Typed 11 Elizabethe Hausen 12 Official Reporter - Signature 13 14 15 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

44 中国和自己的自己公司的自己的自己的