## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

STATUS OF CERTAIN ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

Docket No.

CLOSED MEETING

Location: Washington, D. C.

Pages: 1 - 56

Date: Thursday, January 26, 1984

8407130161 840604 PDR FOIA DETJEN83-27 PDR

TAYLOE ASSOCIATES

Court Reporters 1025 | Street, N.W. Suite 1006 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

## 1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 3 STATUS OF CERTAIN ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS 5 CLOSED MEETING (EXEMPTIONS 5 and 7) 9 Nuclear Regulatory Commission Commissioners' Conference Room 10 Room 1130 1717 "H" Street, N. W. 11 Washington, D. C. 12 Thursday, January 26, 1984 13 The above-entitled closed Commission meeting 14 convened, pursuant to notice, at 2:10 o'clock p.m., NUNZIO 15 J. PALLADINO, Chairman of the Commission, presiding. 16 COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: 17 NUNZIO J. PALLADINO, Chairman of the Commission 18 VICTOR GILINSKY, Member of the Commission 19 THOMAS ROBERTS, Member of the Commission 20 FREDERICK BERNTHAL, Member of the Commission 21 STAFF AND PRESENTERS SEATED AT COMMISSION TABLE: 22 S. CHILK H. PLAINE 23 B. HAYES R. LEVI 24

AUDIENCE SPEAKERS:

J. AXELRAD P. DAVIS 1

## PROCEEDINGS

3 4 5

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. I suggest we get started. I should explain that Commissioner Asselstine is ill and won't be able to be here today. Commissioner Gilinsky is available and I expect he will be here shortly. We were informed by his office that we should start without him.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: All right.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let's get on to something else.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right. Any more questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I wanted to ask a question since we are talking about certain investigations, Sam Chilk sent this Staff Requirements Memo resulting from a January 10 meeting with regard to TMI-1 Restart and we said, "The Commission also directed OI to proceed with its investigations..." and this is speaking of the TMI-1 leak rate.

"The Commission also directed OI to proceed with its investigations as far as possible without violating the agreement with DOJ and submit an interim report to the Commission."

The SECY suspense says, "To be determined."

I think we need to get an idea of when an interim report could be obtained based on your limited investigation because it may impact on what we do with regard to TMI-1 Restart.

MR. HAYES: We are getting to maybe the next area. We have not yet formalized the Department of Justice's request here.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Do you mean you haven't settled whether or not they can --

MR. HAYES: We have not.

MR. PLAINE: There are still some problems to work out.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: When might that be settled?

MR. PLAINE: Maybe I will have a good answer next

week. They were over here yesterday and we went through an

awful lot of stuff and they want to think about some things

and make some inquiry. I have a tentative promise that we

get it done by next week.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right.

MR. HAYES: But in the interim, Mr. Chairman, we are doing everything that we see that we should be doing except interviewing certain members that were listed.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Now after you get this determination of whom you can and cannot interview, how long does it take to write a report?

MR. HAYES: That would depend on what the Department of Justice's bottom line is here with us in these negotiations.

MR. PLAINE: One of the problems is as I intimated to you the other day that they are concerned about the release of a report at this stage. They are wrestling with--

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: This is a report to the Commission.

MR. PLAINE: Yes, that's right. They are concerned about release of that kind of a report at this point when the

department is wrestling with the court and the defendant on the question of change of venue, jury selection, whether or not any reports that we make at this time might prejudice the selection of a fair jury.

.13

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: But they are talking about a trial that involves TMI-2. We are talking about a population of people that have been investigated already and they have provided their testimony in a different population from the one they are treating. I don't see why having done that OI can not give the Commission a report on the results of that investigation.

What we do with it further --

MR. PLAINE: That is the question. What do you do with it further?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Its preparation should not be delayed.

MR. HAYES: We are going to prepare a report.

MR. PLAINE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: One of the reasons we did not give you an arbitrary deadline, we knew the negotiation was going on and wanted to get some feel from you how long it took. But I do think that is something that is a high priority item if we are going to go ahead with anything on TMI-1.

I was trying to determine what a reasonable date

would be if priority is given to this item and I think we ought to give it priority.

MR. PLAINE: I think it is clear that they have a problem with ten people. It may reduce itself to eight possibly. But nevertheless when you come to the point where a report is made and you are going to release it, if it happens to turn up in this particular time when jury selection is taking place or when the court has decided whether or not they are going to have the trial in the middle district of Pennsylvania or in Delaware or in New Jersey or wherever Respondent seems to be asking for --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We are not interfering with that all. We are not even talking to the same people unless they allow us to. Right now the question as I understood it was you have interviewed everybody except these ten. You were going to determine whether there are more out of the ten that we could interview and then Ben was going to decide whether it is worth doing it because you said that the two that they had mentioned really weren't worth it to you.

MR. PLAINE: That is the first question. The second question is what publicity is given to a report be it a partial report or an entirely whole report. The question that they raised with us yesterday was there may be issues of the giving of a fair trial or the ability first to select a jury that may not be prejudiced by action by the Nuclear

1 Regulatory Commission in this field because TMI-1 and TMI-2 are somewhat intimately related and that if that interferes -and that is going to interfere with the selection because people will be automatically disqualified when they are 5 questioned on voir dire, that is going to raise a problem for them.

Number two is whether or not even if it comes a little later than that when the trial has started, whether or not the issuance of a partial or even a complete report on TMI-1 might not unfairly prejudice the jury in favor of or against one side or the other.

That is the issue that they are posing to us and they want to think about how to handle that and whether or not they can. They are not trying to be obstructive. They are trying to be fair.

> CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Sure.

MR. PLAINE: But they are looking into ways and means of how do we deal with that problem and trying to advise us on that hopefully next week if I can get some advice by then.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: They seem to be more worried about what we are going to do with it after it is created rather than whether or not it is created.

MR. PLAINE: Not what you are going to do with it but what kind of publicity you are going to give to it which

8

2

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

could affect the trial, the jury, the fairness, et cetera. 1 MR. HAYES: Their concern is not generating the 3 report itself but making it available to the public. That is their concern as it may prejudice the jury or the 5 environment where the trial is being conducted. 6 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: But we can't forever be held 7 hostage by the Department of Justice that we can't proceed 8 because something is referred to them for criminal investi-9 gation. 10 MR. PLAINE: That is what we keep telling them. 11 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I don't mind your continuing 12 to work on it but I still say and I think the Commission says 13 but we can always bring it to a vote again that we ought to 14 go as far as we can and then get a report. 15

MR. PLAINE: All right. They are not objecting to it.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: But in a reasonable time frame, not two years from now.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PLAINE: They are not objecting to our doing it. MR. HAYES: You are talking about the TMI report, Mr. Chairman, TMI-1 leak rates?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I am talking about TMI-1 leak rate.

MR. HAYES: We will have that report done notwithstanding the guidelines that might be negotiated with the

Department even the most restrictive by the end of March.

That was the projection.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I was going to ask you why does it take that long?

MR. HAYES: If we are allowed to interview additional people then we are going to need to the end of March. If we are not permitted to interview, then that will constrict down. Plus or minus two to four weeks, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Four weeks is significant.

What I was getting at, yes, go ahead with your discussions

but if we are not going to interview any more additional

people or if we are not going to interview any of those ten

people, then you are in a position to go ahead and finish

your report.

MR. HAYES: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: If you are going to interview then you can tell us what it is going to take.

MR. HAYES: In any event out of all the TMI matters that we have, that is the priority investigation within my office.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I just want to raise the question because we left the suspense date open and I will come back to you after you have had discussions.

1 2

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I don't know if I am authorized to do this in a closed meeting but I would just like to talk about where we go from here for the rest of the afternoon.

We have a meeting on the Discussion of Memorandum on Future Steps in TMI-1 Restart. I hope that meeting is a short one.

I am going to suggest that we break now until 3:30 and that we come back at 3:30 on this Future Steps but then be prepared to go right into agenda planning because I have a feeling that we will have time between that time and 4:30.

COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Mr. Chairman, the one point

I would raise and I don't know whether you have spoken with

him about it despite the fact that, I guess, he and Victor

have already indicated they will attach dissenting views,

I would wonder whether in this matter we should not have

Jim here for the discussion.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I know we are going to get far afield but the purpose of today's meeting is to see if we have any problem with the "as written" material because if we are going to do something tomorrow and tomorrow is when we would vote, if we are going to do something tomorrow then we ought to give notification to people so that those from Harrisburg who want to come down can come down.

If it turns out that we have great problems with the wording and we are not going to get it settled by tomorrow, then there is no point in their coming down. That

is all I want to determine out of the 3:30 meeting. That is why I thought it could be short.

I don't know if we have had the benefit of Jim

Asselstine's input to the order. I think we would benefit

from that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What does he say, Pat?

MS. DAVIS: He says that is fine to go ahead without him. He will have his views tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right. That is why I say that meeting could be a short meeting and then I would suggest that we go on to agenda planning after that meeting and by that time it will be 4:30 and we can go to affirmation and we will conserve some time toward the end of the day.

Why don't we take a recess and come back at 3:30. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled Commission meeting adjourned at 3:22 o'clock p.m., to reconvene at the Call of the Chair.)

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION in the matter of: Status of Certain Enforcement Actions (CLOSED MEETING - Exemptions 5 and 7) Date of Proceeding: Thursday, January 26, 1984 Docket Number: Place of Proceeding: Rm. 1130, 1717 "H" St., N.W. Washington, D. C. 10 were held as herein appears, and that this is the original 11 transcript thereof for the files of the Commission. 13 Marilynn M. Nations Official Reporter (Typed) 15 17 Official Reporter (Signature) 18 20 21 22 23 24