



Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED NOTICE TO PARTIES
IN TMI-1 RESTART PROCEEDING

CLOSED MEETING
Exemption No. 10

Friday, October 7, 1983

Pages 1-22

8407130153 840604
PDR FOIA
DETJEN83-27 PDR

Prepared by:
Ann Tipton
Office of the Secretary

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

3
4 DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED NOTICE TO PARTIES
5 IN TMI-1 RESTART PROCEEDING

6 CLOSED MEETING
7
8 Exemption No. 10

9
10
11 Room 1130
12 1717 H Street, N. W.
13 Washington, D.C.

14 Friday, October 7, 1983

15 The Commission convened for a closed meeting at
16 12:05 o'clock, p.m.

17 COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

- 18 NUNZIO PALLADINO, Chairman of the Commission
- 19 VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner
- 20 THOMAS ROBERTS, Commissioner
- 21 JAMES ASSELSTINE, Commissioner
- 22 FREDERICK BERNTHAL, Commissioner

23 STAFF AND PRESENTERS SEATED AT COMMISSION TABLE:

- 24 S. CHILK
- 25 J. ZERBE
- H. PLAINE
- P. CRANE

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I wonder if we could convene. May I have a vote to hold the meeting of the Commission on less than five days notice.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: And we need a vote to close it and you'll have to --

MR. PLAINE: Under Exemption 10.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Under Exemption 10. May I have a vote to close it under Exemption 10?

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Now, I gather the operative draft -- what we're talking about is a contexting statement for the proposed scheduling chart and the version that I believe we are working from is the one that you circulated, Herzel, which I think is a composite of other drafts as well.

MR. PLAINE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I was interested in seeing to what extent we have closure on the issue and, if not, where the problems lie. I could accept the proposal just as written although I'm amenable to shortening some parts.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I particularly object to the next to last sentence. I'll tell you in a moment why. I'd be happy just to go with the first two paragraphs which would simply put the schedule out just in a matter of fact way and

1 then we can do whatever we want with it later.

2 I would also be perfectly happy to go with the first
3 sentence of the last paragraph. But the reason I object to
4 the next to last sentence is what that says, and what that is
5 going to say to the people outside of this building, is we are
6 willing to entertain shortcuts of one kind or another, and I
7 just don't think you want to put it that way.

8 I'm sure there's a different way to write it that
9 will satisfy everyone. I can imagine some alternatives, but I
10 don't know whether that is something we can do in a few
11 minutes.

12 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: How about the last sentence?

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The last sentence I think I
14 would leave out but I don't feel particularly strongly about
15 it. The only reasons I would leave it out is I don't know
16 whether I want to commit us to another communication right
17 now. I think we ought to be speaking to the issue but I don't
18 know whether we want to be tying ourselves down, yet, but I
19 don't really care about that quite as much.

20 The think I don't want is to put a particular spin
21 on our message, here. At least not the spin that is in that
22 sentence.

23 MR. PLAINE: For starters, if you at least kept the
24 first and third sentences of paragraph three, I take it that's
25 not objectionable?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No.

MR. PLAINE: There is a possibility of saying the second sentence a different way.

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, let's see -- "Given the estimated necessary time to resolve the issues listed in the task schedule, the Commission is prepared to consider alternative approaches for dealing with the management issue." Then you would jump to "Development of an acceptable alternative approach will require further guidance which the Commission intends to provide in the near future."

COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Have we made that decision that we're going to give this guidance?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, that was the only question I had.

MR. PLAINE: You talked about it yesterday but I don't know whether you --

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: If we don't, then the implication would be that all that's left is --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm not sure that it requires further Commission guidance. In a sense, you're willing to consider outside suggestions. They don't have to wait for our guidance to develop suggestions. If you want to say something, you could say, "The Commission expects to comment on these matters in the future" or something like

1 that.

2 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I think, if there's not some
3 Commission guidance, people don't know what's bothering us and
4 I think that's essential to give.

5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, you seem to be saying,
6 even coupled with the --

7 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: It's bothering me.

8 (Laughter.)

9 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Victor, in all honesty, if we
10 -- well, that's what's bothering me because the obvious
11 conclusion, if you leave that out in the second to last, is
12 the only option is yours and I can't buy that.

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't think so.

14 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I didn't mean that in a derog-
15 atory sense.

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I understand.

17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I want to make sure --

18 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Whenever I've seen these
19 things written up, it says that you pointed out I wasn't
20 speaking for the Commission.

21 Even the way you have it you are really saying we
22 will be interested in other approaches but don't call us,
23 we'll call you.

24 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Don't what?

25 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Don't call us, we'll call

1 you. It says, "The development of an acceptable approach will
2 require further Commission guidance," which we're going to
3 supply in the future means don't do anything until you hear
4 from us.

5 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That's why we had this other,
6 we will be willing to consider approaches which require
7 resolution fewer than all issues listed or we are willing to
8 consider approaches based on different assumptions.

9 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Why not, if we can get to a
10 question of what the wording might be since you are on a short
11 timetable, if you drop out the sentence that Victor objects
12 to, beginning with "We will be willing to" and ending with "is
13 assured," and just go with the previous sentence. Drop out
14 "The Commission intends to provide in the near future," and
15 just say something like, "The Commission would expect to
16 provide further guidance in the event such acceptable alter-
17 native" -- "acceptable" is not the right word. "Alternative
18 approaches are suggested," or something like that. That's
19 terrible wording.

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That sounds fine.

21 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Do you want to write it down so
22 you can read it then, again. So it's reproducible, is what
23 meant.

24 I think something needs to be said about the alter-
25 natives and, even if you want to keep the one "We will be

1 willing to consider alternate approaches" without saying what
2 the alternates would be.

3 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: I like Fred's approach to
4 drop that sentence and then just have the -- if he gets it
5 written.

6 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I do think we need some sen-
7 tence such as that. Otherwise it sounds like this is a fait
8 accompli. I'm not arguing with Fred's. I just want to hear
9 it again.

10 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: This may be too much in the
11 subjunctive but can we say something like, "Should such
12 alternative suggestions be forthcoming, the Commission would
13 expect to provide further guidance," or something like that.

14 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, t. . . says, "Look, we ain't
15 going to do anything."

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: How about just saying, "The
17 Commission expects to speak to the issue of alternative
18 approaches in the future."

19 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Yes, I like that.

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: "The Commission expects to
21 speak to the subject of alternative approaches in the near
22 future."

23 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: And how will we do that?

24 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I don't know.

25 COMMISSIONER ROBERT: I'm not sure either.

1 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm willing to drop that
2 altogether but, if you want to have something --

3 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: It's very important to me
4 because --

5 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Somehow that implies,
6 though, that we're also going to suggest our own alternative
7 approaches which, I guess, we're going to.

8 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I like the way you started.
9 You said the Commission expects to --

10 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No, he said "should" some-
11 thing or other.

12 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I said, "Should such alter-
13 natives --

14 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No. The first time, you didn't
15 have that in or I missed it, if you did.

16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The first sentence says,
17 "The Commission is prepared to consider alternative ap-
18 proaches."

19 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes, that's fine.

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So, in a way, it says,
21 you're inviting approaches and you're going to respond to
22 approaches.

23 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: That's right.

24 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What more do you need to
25 say?

1 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We need to say that we're not
2 just going to sit around and wait for you guys to come in with
3 approaches. We're going to address them also.

4 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: As much as I've put forward
5 suggestions, I'm not sure to what extent we can actually, as a
6 Commission, directly --

7 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That's why "guidelines" struck
8 me as very --

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, if you feel that way,
10 then I would just say, "We expect to speak to the subject" --

11 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I'm willing to get out the "we
12 are willing" sentence and then say --

13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is the idea you want to
14 retain, that you want to have in there that would be not there
15 if the two sentences got dropped?

16 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: The two points. One, the first
17 sentence says we are willing to consider alternatives; two,
18 we're not just going to wait around for you. We might have
19 some guidelines of our own.

20 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Well, can we just say
21 something like --

22 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: "Expects to consider."
23 How about, "expects to consider guidelines on the subject of
24 alternative approaches in the future"?

25 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Fine.

1 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Do we expect to do that
2 whether or not they submit them? Are we going to generate our
3 own. See, that's my --

4 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's why I was inclined to
5 stop at that point.

6 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: "And the Commission would
7 expect to provide guidelines to any alternative approaches,"
8 or something like that.

9 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We have a problem. We have the
10 GPU proposal and we haven't responded to it and we're acting
11 as though it doesn't exist and we're not ever going to give it
12 any attention. I think we need to keep some of the options
13 open. I didn't dismiss out of hand what you said -- "The
14 Commission expects" --

15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: "-- expects to speak to the
16 subject in the near future."

17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I would like to say, "address
18 this subject in the near future," whatever "near future"
19 means.

20 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: That would be acceptable
21 to me.

22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It puts you in sort of an
23 active mode.

24 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: That would be better than
25 eliminating it. I don't know what we're going to do, really.

1 Whether there's going to be guidance; whether it's going to be
2 guidance plus response to the GPU on the matter. Let's try it
3 and see.

4 MR. PLAINE: Just a little typo. That first phrase
5 up at the top of page 2 should read, "approaches for dealing
6 with the management competency and integrity issues." That's
7 what we've been saying throughout here. So we better keep it
8 consistent.

9 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Sure.

10 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I also have another question
11 about the sentence -- are we through with that?

12 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No. Let's just see if we
13 didn't get it --

14 MR. PLAINE: Can I just read the last paragraph to
15 you?

16 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Yes.

17 MR. PLAINE: "Given the estimated time necessary to
18 resolve the issues listed in the attached schedule, the
19 Commission is prepared to consider alternate approaches for
20 dealing with the management competence and integrity issues."

21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Shouldn't that be "alterna-
22 tive"?

23 MR. PLAINE: Say that, again?

24 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Shouldn't that be "alterna-
25 tive" instead of "alternate"?

1 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Sure -- "alternative."

2 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I think he's right.

3 MR. PLAINE: Oh, "alternative," I see. Right,
4 absolutely right. "The Commission expects to address the
5 subject in the near future."

6 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: I thought it was the
7 subject of alternative approaches in the future.

8 MR. PLAINE: All right, "the subject of alternative
9 approaches in the near future."

10 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Fine.

11 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: You don't want to say "The
12 Commission would then expect to address the subject of such
13 alternatives"?

14 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No.

15 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: We're going to do it anyway,
16 in other words.

17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, we're leaving it fuzzy.

18 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Fine, okay.

19 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: "The Commission expects to
20 address the subject of alternative proposals in the near
21 future."

22 MR. PLAINE: Do you want to use the word "subject"
23 or do you want to use the word "approach"?

24 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You know, we have been
25 considering --

1 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We got one and we didn't
2 consider it.

3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We got one from the staff
4 which we considered.

5 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: That's true.

6 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: We got one from GPU, we didn't.

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So, we've dealt with one and
8 we haven't dealt with another one.

9 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: That's fine. I just wanted
10 to clarify it. That's fine.

11 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I would be willing to go
12 with the last sentence being, "The Commission expects to
13 address this subject of alternative proposals in the near
14 future."

15 Incidentally, where is OPE?

16 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Alternative proposals?

17 MR. PLAINE: Why don't you leave "approaches" as you
18 have it there.

19 MR. FOUCHARD: Is it "proposals" or "approaches"?
20 There's a difference in those two.

21 MR. ASSELSTINE: I think "approaches."

22 MR. PLAINE: "Approaches" might be better.

23 MR. FOUCHARD: "Proposals" implies you are going to
24 wait for something.

25 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: All right -- "alternative

1 approaches."

2 MR. PLAINE: Use the same words he has in it.

3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The only thing that bothers
4 me about that it is suggested nothing should be done until you
5 hear from us.

6 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, if you would be willing
7 to put, "We will be willing to consider alternate approaches.
8 In addition, the Commission expects to --"

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's fine.

10 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: In other words, we will be
11 willing to consider alternative approaches. And then say, "In
12 addition, the Commission expects to address the subject of
13 alternative approaches in the near future."

14 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Fine.

15 MR. PLAINE: "We will be willing," did you say?

16 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: "We will be willing to consider
17 alternative approaches."

18 MR. PLAINE: Very good.

19 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: One final reading: "Given the
20 estimated time necessary to resolve the issues listed in the
21 attached schedule, the Commission is prepared to consider
22 alternative approaches for dealing with the management compe-
23 tence and integrity issues. We will be willing to consider
24 alternative approaches. In addition, the Commission expects
25 address the subject on alternative approaches in the near

1 future."

2 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Isn't that a redundancy.

3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It is a redundancy. It's
4 not going to win a Nobel Prize in literature.

5 (Laughter.)

6 MR. FOUCHARD: You could substitute the phrase "
7 this matter." "In addition, the Commission will address this
8 matter."

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I surely think the first
10 sentence, in a way, saying we are prepared to consider alter-
11 native approaches pretty much says what the second sentence
12 says.

13 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: That we're willing to.

14 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I thought so, too.

15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think it's good to keep
16 the "in addition."

17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Okay. I'm going to say, "In
18 addition, the Commission expects to address this subject --"

19 MR. PLAINE: "-- in the near future."

20 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, no. Now, you need the
21 "subject of alternative approaches" because this is not clear.

22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The "in addition" helps it.

23 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Okay. Let's try it once more.
24 "Given the estimated time necessary to resolve the issues
25 listed in the attached schedule, the Commission is prepared to

1 consider alternative approaches. In addition, the Commission
2 expects to address the subject of alternative approaches in
3 the near future."

4 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Great.

5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's fine.

6 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: May I dare to suggest
7 something else, here?

8 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Sure.

9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Preferably not.

10 (Laughter.)

11 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Go ahead.

12 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: I'll hide behind the table.
13 The last sentence in the first paragraph, "Upon completion of"
14 each of" -- first of all -- does that mean we're going to make
15 a separate decision every time OI finishes its investigation
16 of one item?

17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, let's just say "of
18 the."

19 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: "Of the OI investigations."
20 I would assume we don't want to make a decision each time,
21 unless that's to pick up on our suggestion that --

22 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: I think you might well
23 want it. There might be an advantage in doing it each time if
24 it's likely that you have to reopen the record.

25 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Now, I would change "will" to

1 "would."

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, no, this is "will,"
3 because this is what you're doing now. I think you have to
4 say "will." "Would" would be if you had some alternatives.

5 MR. PLAINE: Well, you don't intend, Fred, that you
6 wait until everything comes in, do you?

7 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: It gives us the option of
8 doing whatever we want, that's all I'm saying.

9 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Well, but I think "will,"
10 is right.

11 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: What's that?

12 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: I agree with Victor. I
13 think "will" is right.

14 MR. PLAINE: Take out the "each of," right?

15 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, I was trying to keep it a
16 little more subjunctive because I was willing to buy it. I
17 wasn't going to bring it up.

18 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What was your other sugges-
19 tion?

20 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: The other thing is, I wonder
21 whether that entire statement there is consistent with the
22 fact that we're now putting out an order soliciting the
23 parties' views on how we should proceed, which I wasn't sure
24 about when we did it, yesterday. Somehow, now, you are
25 implying here that we made up our mind and it was wait until

1 OI finishes its investigations and then we're going to decide
2 on whether or not we are going to open the record.

3 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Bill, this doesn't have my
4 phrase in there that I thought was so important. There's
5 something missing that I had in that I thought was very
6 essential. Remember -- where was it.

7 I have a problem with this first sentence now that I
8 see it. I thought this was a version that I had help in
9 doing. It says, "The Commission believes it appropriate to
10 notify the parties of the TMI proceeding of the following
11 matters concerning matters on competence and integrity issues
12 in this proceeding." I had it, "That schedule presents the
13 Commission's current estimate of completing reviews of the
14 various issues that must be addressed prior to a Commission
15 decision on whether to restart TMI Unit 1, if the Commission
16 intends to wait completion of all the actions that might
17 possible affect this decision."

18 I want to make my statement more conditional. This is
19 what happens if we decide that we're going to await every-
20 thing.

21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What we're simply saying is,
22 this is the track we are on now. When we get the alternative
23 approaches, we may do something different, but this is,
24 indeed, the track we are on now.

25 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: No, I think this is the track

1 we fell into and we really haven't set a track. It's a little
2 bit like people make and study and say, "Oh, that ought to be
3 investigated." This group makes a study, "That ought to be
4 investigated." And they all come, and everything adds up and
5 they say, "Oh, dear, that's a long schedule." I don't think
6 that's the Commission's schedule necessarily.

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I'll tell you, this is
8 the way the schedules have been made the last three times OPE
9 has made them up. Now, if we want to do something different,
10 that's, in a way, what you are putting in the end. I mean,
11 you're prepared to consider alternatives.

12 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Well, wait a minute. It's in
13 there. It's a little bit in the next sentence.

14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In a sense, you're throwing
15 up your hands, here, and saying, "Look at the terrible track
16 we're on," and --

17 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: You've got it in the last part,
18 I'll buy it. Now, what order are we talking about? Did you
19 say we're going to put out an order?

20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think what this may need
21 is something like "no later than." What you're saying is we
22 might actually -- at least, an Appeal Board has opened up a
23 hearing, reopened a hearing, on one of the items, and so I
24 don't think this is so bad but it does seem to suggest that we
25 are, in fact, going to hold up the boards.

1 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Are we still on this or are we
2 on the order?

3 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We're still on this, but
4 you're suggesting there's a relationship.

5 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: To what extent?

6 COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: There certainly is.

7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You might say, "or perhaps
8 before then" or something like that. I think that's all you
9 need. Doesn't that deal with your problem?

10 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: "Upon completion of the OI
12 investigations or perhaps earlier."

13 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Oh.

14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, what he is saying is
15 that we --

16 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Since we've asked for
17 comments.

18 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We've asked for comments.
19 One of them has already been reopened, you know. We may close
20 it, but --

21 COMMISSIONER BERNTHAL: We're acting, here, like
22 it's been decided, and I thought we just asked people to help
23 us decide.

24 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: You're covering my point.

25 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So it's really "or perhaps

1 earlier."

2 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Yes.

3 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I see. Okay. I understand,
4 now. What do you want to put -- "Upon completion of the OI
5 investigations or perhaps earlier."

6 MR. PLAINE: "or if not sooner."

7 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Yes, "if not sooner."

8 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: "If not sooner," is fine or,
9 "perhaps earlier," or whatever.

10 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: I like "perhaps earlier," but I
11 wouldn't object to --

12 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's fine.

13 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: "A decision will be made on the
15 need to reopen" -- oh, yes, that "will" is proper -- "the
16 record of the TMI-1 restart proceeding." Can we go with this?

17 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's fine.

18 COMMISSIONER ASSELSTINE: Yes.

19 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: What about the order? Is the
20 order satisfactory?

21 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The order as rewritten is
22 okay.

23 CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Do you have any problems, Bill?
24 It has most of the points or all the points there? Does
25 anybody object to the order?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Okay, why don't we put that out. Well, do you want to get this out? If you want, I'll sign it before I leave. My stretch departure time is 1:00 o'clock.

MR. PLAINE: We'll get it retyped and get it to you, okay?

CHAIRMAN PALLADINO: Thank you very much. We stand adjourned.

(Whereupon, the foregoing meeting was concluded at 12:25 o'clock, p.m.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the Commission in the matter of: Discussion of Proposed Notice to Parties in TMI-1 Restart Proceeding, held on Friday, October 7, 1983, at 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the Commission.

Elizabeth Ann Tipton
Official Reporter (typed)

Elizabeth Ann Tipton
Official Reporter (Signature)