77.1

OFFICE)

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20558

Apr11 12, 1984

NOTE FOR: Harold R. Denton

THRU: Darrell G. Elsenhut PC Frank J. Miraglia

FROM: Gary M. Holahan

50-25

SUBJECT: DIABLO CANYON OPERATING EXPERIENCE

In response to your request for support for the upcoming Commission meeting on Diablo Canyon we have reviewed operating experience at the Diablo Canyon facility. The results of the review are discussed below.

The review included a survey of reported events at Diablo Canyon during the past 5 months (i.e., since reinstatement of the fuel load license) and a comparison of the event reports with reports from other recently licensed PWRs and BWRs filed during their low power license periods. The sources of event reports included prompt (telephone) notifications filed per 10 CFR 50.72 as well as Licensee Event Reports (LER) required by the Technical Specifications. Operating reactor events briefing summaries were also examined to identify the more significant events.

The numerical results of the review of LERs and 50.72 reports are shown in Table 1. They indicate that experience at Diablo Canyon during the low power Ticense period has been fairly typical of other PWRs and BWRs. The types of events which have occurred during the low power license period at Diablo Canyon are similar to those which have occurred at other plants during this period. They include inadvertent actuation of engineered safety features (ESF), eouipment malfunctions during testing, and minor procedural and personel errors.

Review of operating reactor event briefing minutes indicates that two "significant" events have been reported for Diablo Canyon since November of 1983. They include flooding of the auxiliary building pipe tunnel due to a valve failure (11/83): and disabling of high pressure safety injection trains (charging pumps) during refill of the BIT Tank (4/84). Review of operating reactor event briefing minutes for the other plants listed in Table 1 indicates the following: no events reported for Susquehanna or Surmer-1 during their low power license periods; one event each at LaSalle-1 and McGuire-2 were reported; and five events have been reported for Grand Gulf during its low power license period. Diablo Canyon performance regarding more significant events appears to be near that normally expected.

05000

Harold R. Denton

April 12, 1984

Based on a rather quick review of Diablo Canyon operating experience and a comparison with other plants we conclude that Diablo Canyon performance during the low power license period is what we would typically expect from a new plant.

- 2 -

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Gary M. Holahan

Enclosure: Table 1

> DISTRIBUTION Centra: Files ORAB r/f DEisenhut FMiraglia GHolahan EButcher Maruso

ORAB : DEA MCaruso:1t 4/12/84











TABLE 1

. . .

RATE OF REPORTED EVENTS AT

SIX SELECTED PLANTS

DURING LOW POWER LICENSE PERION

Facility	Period of Low Power License (months)	Rate of Reported Events (Avg. No. reports/month) 50.72 LER	
PHR Diable Canyon McGuire - 2 Summer - 1	 5** 3 3	3 1-2 1	3 12 14
BWR Grand Gulf LaSalle 1 Susquehanna 1	12* 4 4	10 1 3	21 19 12

*The study period consists of the first 12 months of the low power license period. The actual period of the low power license will be longer than 12 months.

**Period runs from date fuc? load permit reinstated to present. 1.e. November 15, 1983 to April 11, 1984