

September 28, 1984

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Byron Station Unit 1

Completion of Pre-Operational

Test Program

NRC Docket No. 50-454

References (a): January 6, 1984, letter from T. R. Tramm to H. R. Denton.

(b): October 27, 1983, letter from T. R. Tramm to H. R. Denton.

(c): December 22, 1983, letter from B. J. Youngblood to D. L. Farrar.

(d): May 21, 1984, letter from Cordell Reed to H. R. Denton.

(e): September 26, 1984 letter from L. O. DelGeorge to J. G. Keppler

Dear Mr. Denton:

At the request of the NRC Region III Staff, this letter is being submitted to state our current expectation relative to the fuel load date for Byron Station Unit 1. We are also providing a status report on construction and testing activities. NRC concurrence is being requested in our plans for resolution of certain preoperational test deficiencies.

As you are aware, the reopened record of the Byron ASLB was closed on August 24, 1984. At a briefing before the NRC Commissioners on April 24, 1984, a representative of the ASLB indicated that a decision would be issued from the Board within six weeks of the close of the record. While that statement was made prior to the issuance of the Appeal Board's decision remanding a portion of the record to the Licensing Board for further hearings, for planning purposes Commonwealth Edison has used a six week period for a supplemental initial decision following the close of the record. Assuming favorable ASLB action, authorization from the ASLB to issue a license would occur on or about October 15, 1984.

As discussed in this letter, we believe the design, construction and testing required to support the issuance of a low-power license and

8410100326 840928 PDR ADDCK 05000454 A PDR 300

fuel loading will be completed before October 15, 1984. Because of the current Licensing Board schedule, it is now clear that the Byron 1 fuel load date will be beyond the previously scheduled target, September 15, 1984.

Byron 1 construction activities are generally complete on plant systems covered by the preoperational testing program described in Chapter 14 of the FSAR and the Technical Specifications. The remaining construction activities involve painting, fireproofing, weld inspections as described in reference (e) of equipment supplied by Systems Control Corporation, plant modifications developed as a result of our initial operating activities, completion of non-essential systems and other minor tasks which were not essential to the conduct of the preoperational testing program. Construction is also being completed on the auxiliary building ventilation system. Completion of that system has been deferred past fuel load as described in reference (b) with NRC approval as documented in reference (c).

All of the preoperational tests described in Chapter 14 of the FSAR have been completed except for the auxiliary building ventilation and containment purge systems which have been deferred with NRC approval as described in References (b) and (c). Attachment A to this letter provides additional details on the tests being deferred. The results of the completed tests have all been reviewed as required by our Startup Manual. Most of the test results have been accepted and the equipment has been turned over to the operating department. In a few cases additional testing is required to clear minor deficiencies identified during the preoperational tests. It appears that not all of the retesting necessary to clear those minor deficiencies will be completed prior to October 15, 1984. The delays are due to a variety of design, delivery and installation problems and scheduling conflicts.

We have determined that resolution of each of these minor test deficiencies can be safely deferred to a later point in the fuel load and startup sequence. Deadlines for resolution of each of those minor deficiencies have been set accordingly. Attachment B to this letter lists the deficiencies we plan to defer past fuel load if the Byron l operating license is issued on October 15, 1984. In all cases, the results of the preoperational test have been evaluated and approved by our Project Engineering Department. In no case does the deferral of the resolution of a test deficiency degrade the capability of a system required by Technical Specifications to handle an accident condition. Our conclusion that the uncompleted testing has no impact on plant safety is based on the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and associated design basis, and the Byron Final Draft Technical Specifications dated August 28, 1984. The list in Attachment B will be updated if the operating license is not issued by October 15 or if any of these activities are completed earlier than expected.

Our evaluation of the deferral of completion of these segments of the pre-operational test phase has concluded that they can be safely

deferred for the limited periods proposed. This is based on our determination that during fuel loading and startup testing, the reactor operating conditions and fission product inventory are such that sufficient capability is provided:

- (a) for maintaining the reactor in a cold shutdown condition,
- (b) to comply with safety limits or limiting conditions for operation that will be included in the facility's Technical Specifications.
- (c) to ensure the required safety features,
- (d) to ensure support for the required features in the accident analyses of the facility, and
- (e) to process, store, control, or limit the release of radioactive materials.

Because the incomplete pre-operational tests represented in Attachment B are not prerequisites to the start-up tests as described in Chapter 14, we expect to initiate the Start-Up Test phase in conjunction with completion of the remaining pre-operational testing. Appropriate interim technical specifications or license conditions are being or have been prepared where necessary. Those requests are being submitted separately. With NRC concurrence in our plan for resolution of the minor test deficiencies identified in Attachment B, we see no obstacle in terms of preoperational testing to loading fuel at Byron 1 as soon as the operating license is issued.

If there are any questions on this matter, please contact this office. We are available to meet with members of your staff at any time in order to provide additional information which may be required as a result of your review of this request.

Very truly yours,

L. O. DelGeorge Assistant Vice President

Attachments

cc: J. G. Keppler - R III (1/wl)
NRC Senior Resident Inspector - Byron (1/wl)

ATTACHENT A

HE-LIPERTILIVAL TEST PRODRAM DEFERHALS

<u>lest</u>	Test Sections	<u>Jstification</u>	Tech Spec Applicability	Ompletion Required Prior To	Status
VA 84.11 Axiliary Enilding Ventilation	ALI	OFWHILITY of Exhaust Filter System not required at Fuel Load	Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4	Ten full power days of operation, not exceeding 25% of full power.	Under Construction
		Bases: Fission product inventory and resultant gaseous effluent are within radiological release limits up to an equivalent of ten full power days of operation, not exceeding 25% of full power. Additional detail contained in reference (b).			
VQ 94.10 Primary Containment Rurge	All	Operation of containment purge is not required at Fuel Load. Bases: Each purge supply and	Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4	Operation above 9% power.	In Petest
		exhaust isolation valve shall be OPENALE per requirements of Tech Spec Section 3.6.1.7. Additional detail contained in reference (b).			
97J9N					

9ZD9N

A-1

0

0

TESTING DEFICIENCIES TO BE COMPLETED AFTER FUEL LOAD

				- 9
				*
	2			3
			m,	Q
3			=	U
94	-		*	U
		- 8	3	9
		- 3	a	S
				-54

Description

Applicability Tech Spec

Completion Required Prior To

Initial Criticality (Mode 2)

PR 60.10 Process Radiation Monitoring - BCP

Flow to IPRO6J (Failed Fuel Radiation Monitor) not demonstrated.

STATUS: Piping modifications complete, retest requires operating plant conditions.

NA

not a probable occurence until EMSIS: Failed fuel element Initial Criticality.

> JPRZ8J and 2PRZ8J (Aux. Bldg. Vent. Radiation Monitors) pump trip on high/low vacuum not demonstrated.

Mode 6

BASIS: Fission product inven-Initial Criticality (Mode 2) logical release limits up to power days of operation, not exceeding 25% of full power. Additional details contained tory and resultant gaseous effluent are within radioan equivalent of ten full

> STATUS: Controller software has been modified and loaded into system. Software demonstration in process.

Initial Criticality (Mode 2)

in reference (b).

Fission product inventory is trivial. Grab samples performed in accordance with requirements of Tech Spec Section 3.4.6.1. BASIS:

Mode 4 1PRILJ (Cont. Atmosphere Radiation Monitor) interlock with 1PS36J (Cont. Air Sampling Panel) does not function properly.

STATUS: Controller software modification is being evaluated.

.

大田田子

TESTING DEFICIENCIES TO BE COMPLETED AFTER FUEL LUND

	臣	,
0	8	0
7	Œ	S
103		(2)
23	8	
	D	4
63	8	-
5	3	3

Test

Description	
scription	
scription	
script	1000
script	A
script	
SCLIP	
SCLIP	
SCLIF	
SCLI	
SCF	
SC	
SC	
02	
02	
02	
0.7	
31	
31	
21	
71	

Applicability Tech Spec

Mode 6

Completion Required Prior To

1/8 in. W.G. differential pressure with L/8 inch w.g. differential relative to auxiliary building surrounding areas requires the respect to ambient has been established during Control room boundary differential pressure auxiliary building ventilation system (VA). operation of redundant Train B. Train A balancing damper adjustments in process. of L'8 in. W.G. not achieved. See reference (b).

respect to surrounding areas will be De Complete prior to initial criti-cality (Mode 2). For Train A and B, ential with respect to anoient will For Irain A, 1/8 inch w.g. differobtained prior to accumulating 10 effective full power days at power 1/8 inch w.g. differential with levels not exceeding 25% power.

inventories employed by FSAR Safety threaten Control Room nabitability, BASIS: Fission product inventory Analysis, and therefore, will not is trivial in comparison to the See reference (b).

25% Power [See Ref. (D) & (C)]

Mode 4

Test Section 9.13. VA (Aux. Bidg. Vent.) equipment actuation from relay K6U2 Train B not

performed

26.10

25% Power [See Nef. (D) & (C)]

Mode 4

Test Section 9.18. VA (Aux. Bidg. Vent.) equipment actuation from Relay Koil Train A not

performed

0

25% Power [See Ref. (D) & (C)]

Mode 4

VA (Mux. Blog. Vent.) from Relay K602 Train A not

equipment actuation Test Section 9.42.

performed

Mode 4

STATUS: VA (Aux. Bidg. Vent.) equipment has not completed pre-operational testing.

equipment actuation from Relay K611 Train B not

VA (Aux. Bldg. Vent.)

Test Section 9.47.

performed

25% Power [See Ref. (b) & (c)]

TESTING DEFICIENCIES TO BE COMPLETED AFTER FUEL LUND

Completion Required Prior To	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)	BASIS: Fission product inventory and result it effluent are within radio-logical release limits up to an equivalent of 10 full power days of operation not exceeding 25% power. Additional detuils are contained in reference (b).	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)	(Mode	(Mode	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)	Initial Criticality (Mude 2)		BASIS: Sufficient capability exists to maintain temperature of affected areas within the temperature limits of Tech Spec Section 3.7.12.	Physic (hersting (Mode 1)	EMSIS: Fission product inventory is trivial. Grab samples will be performed.	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)	UASIS: Fission product inventory is trivial. Grab samples performed.
Tech Spec	Mode 3		Mode 2	Mode 2	Mode 2	Mode 2	Mode 2	Mode 2	Mode 2		Mode 1		N/A	
Description	MSIV's (IMSODIA-D) drift open	STATUS: End of cycle position switches have been adjusted, retest remains.	IVEDIC flow low (VD/VE/VX integrated test)	IVED2C flow low (VD/VE/VX integrated test)	IVEO5C flow low (VD/VE/VX integrated test)	<pre>lVEO2C motor current high (VD/VE/VX integrated test)</pre>	IVEO3C motor current high (VO/VE/VX integrated test)	lVEO3C flow low (VU/VE/VX integrated test)	IVEOMC Flow low (VD/VE/VX integrated test)	STATUS: Fan blade pitch and balancing dampers dampers have been adjusted, retest remains.	Flow to 1PR073 (BTRS Chiller) not demonstrated	STATUS: Modification to location of sample probe in process.	Flow to IPROBJ (Steam Gen. Blowdown Radiation Monitor) below acceptance criteria.	STATUS: Modifications complete, retest requires operating plant conditions.
	MS 51.10 Nain Steam MSIV's		VD 86.10 Diesel Generator	Ventilation							PR 60.15	Monitoring-Loop 5	PR 60.12 Process Radiation	Action in the Loop 2

TESTING DEFICIENCIES TO BE COMPLETED AFTER FUEL LUAD

Test	Description	Tech Spec Applicability	Completion Required Prior To
SI 73.13	1518948A leakage \leq 1 gpm not demonstrated at 2235 psig RCS pressure.	Mode 2	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	15189488 leakage \leq 1 gpm not demonstrated at 2235 psig RCS pressure.	Mode 2	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	IS18948C leakage \leq 1 gpm not demonstrated at 2235 psig RCS pressure.	Mode 2	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	15189480 leakage \leq 1 gpm not demonstrated at 2235 psig RUS pressure.	Mode 2	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	STATUS: Modifications performed and components tested at 820-825 psig, retest requires cherating plant conditions.		BASIS: Leakage ≤ 1 gpm oemonstrated at 820-825 psig. Valves shall be demonstrated UPERABLE prior to entering Mode per requirements of Tech Spec Section 4.4.6.2.2. Tech Spec Section 4.4.6.2.2.
FC 31.10 Fuel Pool Cooling	Spent fuel pool gate seals leak	Irradiated Fuel Storage	Storage of irradiated fuel assemblies in fuel storage pool.
	Spent fuel pool level switch setpoints incorrect	Irradiated Fuel Storage	Storage of irradiated fuel
	STATUS: Modifications on gates and level switch complete, retest requires fuel pool to be filled.	rue I Sturage	assemblies in fuel storage pool.
EM 28.12 Pipe Vibration	Unacceptable vibration during Unit 2 Fuel Pool cooling mode	Irradiated Fuel Storage	Storage of irradiated fuel assemblies in fuel storage pool.
	Unacceptable vibration during Unit 1 Fuel Puol cooling mode	irradiated Fuel Storage	Storage of irradiated fuel assemblies in fuel storage
	STATUS: Modifications on snubbers and restraints complete, retest requires fuel pool to be filled.		pool.

9209N

TESTING DEFICIENCIES TO BE COMPLETED AFTER FUEL LOAD

Test	<u>Cescription</u>	Tech Spec Applicability	Completion Required Prior To
WO 115.10 Control Room Chilled Water	OWUGICA temporary control tubing	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	UWUUICA nigh condensor pressure	Mode 5	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	Valve UWU144A does not maintain proper pressure	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	OWOULCA reset control relay actuation	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	OWOUICA amperage meter requires recalibration	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	OFI-SXU84 requires recalibration	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	OWODICA vibration readings required	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	OwoOlCA cooling coil inlet pressure load	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	Condenser cooling water control valve (USXU64A) response	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	ESF Relay (R4AX) not installed	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	Reset control contact to ESD Relay (R4AX) not installed	Mode 6	Initial Criticality (Mode 2)
	STATUS: Modification nearly complete. Retest remains.		BASIS: Train B operable and therefore, control room air temperature able to be maintained at less than or equal to 90°F.
VP 93.10 Containment Ventilation	Differential pressure indicators which provide indication of fan flow read lower than expected.	Mode 4	Hot Standby (Mode 3)
1011044044	STATUS: Relocation of pressure taps in progress.		BASIS: sufficient capability exists to maintain primary containment average air temperature within the temperature limits of Tech Spec 3.6.1.5.