UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges '3' 7' -2

James A. Laurenson, Chairman

Dr. Jerry R. Kline

Mr. Frederick J. Shon

In the Matter of

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning Proceeding)
June 29, 1984

RESPONSE OF GOVERNOR MARIO M. CUOMO, REPRESENTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK, IN OPPOSITION TO "LILCO'S MOTION TO ADMIT LILCO'S SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY ON CONTENTION 24.R (LETTER OF AGREEMENT WITH CONNECTICUT)"

The State of New York hereby opposes the LILCO motion identified above.

LILCO's motion should be denied for several reasons, the most important of which is that LILCO's proposed supplemental testimony is unduly repetitious. LILCO's motion makes no attempt to show that the proposed supplemental testimony is not cumulative with any other testimony in the record concerning Contention 24.R. LILCO's proposed supplemental testimony, which seeks to discuss the meaning of a letter of June 14, 1984 from the State of Connecticut, merely duplicates the contents of LILCO's direct testimony concerning Contention 24.R.

addigors

2503

The standard of 10 C.F.R. §2.743(c) should be applied to LILCO's motion: "Only relevant, material, and reliable evidence which is not unduly repetitious will be admitted." (Emphasis added). In addition, any other type of proffered evidence, especially evidence that is repetitious or cumulative, may be stricken. 10 C.F.R. §2.757(b). With respect to a showing of "good cause," this Board also has required that the movant adequately show that proffered testimony is "not cumulative with any other testimony in the record." Board order of February 28, 1984 at 7. As shown below, LILCO's motion fails to meet these standards.

Besides the proffered letter of June 14, 1984 from the State of Connecticut, there are three other letters which need to be discussed within the context of testimony in the record concerning Contention 24.R. Mr. Mancuso, of the State of Connecticut's Office of Civil Preparedness, sent the first letter in this matter to the State of New York on December 15, 1983. LILCO incorporated that letter into its testimony concerning Contention 24.R., and labeled that letter "Attachment 28." Dr. Axelrod, on behalf of the State of New York, responded to the statements in the December 15, 1983 letter in a letter of March 30, 1984. The Board received the

March 30, 1984 letter into evidence and labeled it N.Y. Ex. EP3, ff. Tr. 6598. Incidentally, LILCO inaccurately refers
to Dr. Axelrod's letter of March 30, 1984 as "Mr. Davidoff's
letter." LILCO motion at 2, line 8. Mr. Mancuso sent the third
letter in this matter to the State of New York on April 18,
1984. The April 18, 1984 letter purported to be a response to
Dr. Axelrod's letter of March 30, 1984. Despite objections by
the State and the County, the Board received the April 18, 1984
letter into evidence and labeled it LILCO Ex. EP-48, ff. Tr.
9945. Now, LILCO proposes to introduce the instant letter of
June 14, 1984, along with the accompanying proposed supplemental
testimony, into evidence. It must be noted that the June 14,
1984 letter is the fourth letter in this series and merely is a
response to a solicitation by LILCO.

For example, LILCO's motion asserts that the June 14, 1984 letter stands for the following proposition:

[T]he State of Connecticut has agreed to implement protective actions for the portion of the Shoreham 50-mile ingestion exposure pathway EPZ within Connecticut.

LILCO motion at 3, lines 6-8.

Interestingly, LILCO's motion also asserts that the December 15, 1983 letter stands for the <u>same</u> proposition:

[T]he State of Connecticut has agreed to assume responsibility for implementing protective actions for the portion of the Shoreham 50-mile ingestion exposure pathway EPZ within Connecticut.

LILCO motion at 1, last 4 lines. LILCO's proposed supplemental testimony at 2, lines 17-20, is in accord.

A comparison of the letter of June 14, 1984 to the letter of December 15, 1983 reveals that the letters are duplicative. The substance of the June 14, 1984 letter is in the third paragraph. That paragraph conveys the same message as the second sentence of the second paragraph, and the first sentence of the third paragraph, of the December 15, 1983 letter.

Such repetition is not surprising since LILCO wrote to the State of Connecticut to "confirm" LILCO's understanding of the December 15, 1983 letter. LILCO motion at 2, lines 12-14; LILCO

proposed supplemental testimony at 3, lines 1-3. The letter which Mr. Renz wrote to Mr. Mancuso to solicit such a "confirmation" even states:

Although I believe your letter of December 15, 1984 [sic] states this position clearly, I would be grateful if you would send us a letter reconfirming this information.

(Emphasis added); LILCO proposed supplemental testimony, att.

1, at 2, lines 3-6. Clearly, LILCO solicited and received
a repetition of the December 15, 1983 letter.

When the Board explained the basis of its ruling concerning the admission of the April 18, 1984 letter, the Board cited the fact that the letter was written in response to Dr. Axelrod's letter of March 30, 1984. Tr. 10,028, lines 1-3 and lines 23-25. It should be noted that that circumstance does not pertain to the case of the June 14, 1984 letter. The June 14, 1984 letter does not respond to material already in evidence; it only responds to a solicitation by LILCO.

In addition, the Board stated that the reason for admitting the April 18, 1934 letter was "to complete the record."

(Emphasis added); Tr. 10,027, lines 19, 20. LILCO's motion is inconsistent with the Board's ruling. The State submits that

once the record is deemed to be complete, the record should be complete. No further "reconfirming" letters should be entertained by the Board.

LILCO's motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

MARIO CUOMO, Governor of State of New York

FABIAN G. PALOMINO, ESQ. Special Counsel to the Governor of the State of New York

2. Turana

RICHARD J. ZAHALEUTER, ESQ. Assistant to the Special Counsel to the Governor of the State

of New York

Albany, New York

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD
Before Administrative Judges
James A. Laurenson, Chairman
Dr. Jerry R. Kline
Mr. Frederick J. Shon '84 JUL-2 P3:23

In the Matter of

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,
Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning Proceeding)

June 29, 1984

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that one copy of the RESPONSE OF GOVERNOR MARIO M. CUOMO, REPRESENTING THE STATE OF NEW YORK, IN OPPOSITION TO "LILCO'S MOTION TO ADMIT LILCO'S SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY ON CONTENTION 24.R (LETTER OF AGREEMENT WITH CONNECTICUT)" has been served to each of the following this 29th day of June 1984 by U. S. Mail, first class, except as otherwise noted:

James A. Laurenson, Chairman **
Atomic Safety and Licessing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Jerry R. Kline **
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon **
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Ralph Shapiro, Esq.
Cammer and Shapiro
9 East 40th Street
New York, New York 10016

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801

W. Taylor Reveley III, Esq. **
Hunton & Williams
P. O. Box 1535
707 East Main Street
Richmond, Virginia 23212

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger
New York State Energy Office
Agency Building 2
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Mr. Brian McCaffrey
Long Island Lighting Company
Shoreham Nuclear Power Station
P. O. Box 618
North Country Road
Wading River, New York 11792

Martin Bradley Ashare, Esq. Suffolk County Attorney H. Lee Dennison Building Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11788

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20555

Bernard M. Bordenick, Esq. ** Agency
David A. Repka, Esq. 26 Federal Plaza, Room 134
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission New York, New York 10278
Washington, D. C. 20555

Stuart Diamond Environment/Energy Writer NEWSDAY Long Island, New York 11747

Stephen B. Latham, Esq.
Twomey, Latham & Shea
P. O. Box 398
33 West Second Street
Riverhead, New York 11901

Marc W. Goldsmith
Energy Research Group, Inc.
400-1 Totten Pond Road
Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue, Suite K San Jose, California 95125

Honorable Peter F. Cohalan Suffolk County Executive H. Lee Dennison Building Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11788

Ezra I. Bialik, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Bureau
New York State Department of Law
2 World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Stewart M. Glass, Esq.
Regional Counsel
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
26 Federal Plaza, Room 1349
New York, New York 10278

Nora Bredes
Executive Director
Shoreham Opponents Coalition
195 East East Main Street
Smithtown, New York 11787

Eleanor L. Frucci, Esq. **
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Herbert H. Brown, Esq. **
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Karla J. Letsche, Esq.
1900 M Street, N. W., Suite 800
Washington, D. C. 20036

' James B. Dougherty, Esq. 3045 Porter Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20008

Spence Perry, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Washington, D. C. 20472

RICHARD J. ZAHNLEUTER

Assistant to the Special Counsel to the Governor of the State

of New York Executive Chamber State Capitol

Albany, New York 12224

*By Hand

**By Federal Express

***By Telecopier

****By U.S. Express Mail

Albany, New York