

**BOSTON EDISON COMPANY**  
800 BOYLSTON STREET  
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02199

June 12, 1984

**WILLIAM D. HARRINGTON**  
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT  
NUCLEAR

BECo Ltr. #84- 076

Mr. Thomas T. Martin  
Division of Engineering and Technical Programs  
Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
631 Park Avenue  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

License No. DPR-35  
Docket No. 50-293

Subject: Combined Inspections 82-02/82-03

Reference: Boston Edison Ltr. of May 14, 1984 from W. D. Harrington  
to T. T. Martin (BECo Ltr. 2.84.070)


Dear Sir:

Regarding the above-referenced letter to you on the subject of procedures for safety-related maintenance, we wish to point out a typographical error in our response. Specifically, the first paragraph reads, "...all Station maintenance (with certain exceptions) shall be performed in accordance with approved procedures for unplanned maintenance. Procedure 1.5.7 is more explicit..." Please note that this is in error. The paragraph should read as follows:

"In your request to specifically define our mechanisms for determining when safety-related maintenance requires an approved procedure and when such procedures must be reviewed by the Operations Review Committee (ORC), please note that Procedure 1.5.3 (Maintenance Requests) contains a prerequisite that all Station maintenance (with certain exceptions) shall be performed in accordance with approved procedures. For unplanned maintenance, Procedure 1.5.7 is more explicit in that if an approved procedure does not exist for work activities determined to be Q, the Chief Maintenance Engineer, or his designated alternate, shall prepare a procedure and have it approved by the ORC prior to approving the Maintenance Request (MR)."

Please correct your records accordingly and, if you have any further questions, please contact me.

Very truly yours,



W. D. Harrington

JC:caw

8407060164 840626  
PDR ADOCK 05000293  
PDR