RELATED CORNESPONDENINE

SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE

A PARTNERSHIP OF PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

IBOO M STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036 *84 JUL -3 A10:38

TELECOPIER (202) 822-1099 & 822-1199

June 28, 1984

DEFICE OF SECRETATE TELEX

TELEPHONE

JAY E. SILBERG. P.C.

015

Peter B. Bloch, Esquire Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry R. Kline Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

> In the Matter of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, <u>et al</u>. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2) Docket Nos. 50-440 and 50-441

Gentlemen:

On June 25, 1984, Ohio Citizens for Responsible Energy (OCRE) filed with the Board a "Brief on Financial Qualifications Policy Statement." OCRE argues that the Commission's Policy Statement is invalid, not only because OCRE disagrees with the Commission's conclusion, but because of an alleged improper <u>ex</u> <u>parte</u> contact. OCRE therefore urges the Board to disobey the Commission's instructions.

SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE

A PARTNERSHIP OF PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board June 28, 1984 Page 2

The validity of the Commission's Policy Statement is an issue that must be addressed to the Commission, not to the Licensing Board. Commission Policy Statements and policy declarations are binding on the boards. <u>Mississippi Power &</u> Light Company (Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-704, 16 N.R.C. 1725, 1732 (1982); Northern States Power Company (Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-455, 7 N.R.C. 41, 51 (1978), remanded on other grounds sub nom., <u>Minnesota v. NRC</u>, 602 F.2d 412 (D.C. Cir. 1979). As this Licensing Board has ruled, a licensing board has no jurisdiction over an issue resolved by Policy Statement, and is prohibited from inquiring into the procedural regularity of the Policy Statement. <u>Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company</u> (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), LBP-82-69, 16 N.R.C. 751 (1982).

OCRE's allegations of ex parte communication are also misplaced. On February 7, 1984, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit handed down its decision in New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution v. NRC, 727 F.2d 1127 (D.C. Cir. 1984). This law firm was counsel of record in that proceeding. On April 2, 1984, the Commission commenced a rulemaking proceeding to address the New England Coalition decision. This firm is counsel to utilities participating in that rulemaking proceeding. Ex parte restrictions do not generally apply to NRC rulemaking. Sierra Club v. Costle, 657 F.2d 298, 386-410 (D.C. Cir. 1981) (except where there are conflicting private claims to a valuable privilege, ex parte proscriptions do not apply to notice-and-comment rulemaking). Nor is there any restriction on discussions between one party to a litigation (the NRC) and counsel to another party (this firm on behalf of its utility clients) on matters directly concerning that litigation.

The letter from Mr. Charnoff to a Commissioner and assistants to three others discussed the <u>New England Coalition</u> litigation, and specifically the effect of issuance of the court's mandate in <u>New England Coalition</u> on the NRC's financial qualifications rule. It was one of several such letters sent by parties to the litigation and others to the Commissioners. All of these documents including Mr. Charnoff's letter, were placed in the Public Document Room. Most were not served in pending NRC proceedings. For example, counsel for New England Coalition submitted a letter (dated May 8, 1984) to the Commissioners on the same subject as Mr. Charnoff's letter, without serving other parties in the <u>Seabrook</u> case -- in which the Coalition is a party.

SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE

A PARTNERSHIP OF PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

.

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board June 28, 1984 Page 3

Further, comments on rulemakings are not routinely sent to other parties in adjudicatory proceedings -- even if similar issues may be involved. For example, Ms. Hiatt filed comments with the NRC on the pending financial qualifications rule (letter dated May 28, 1984), but did not serve Applicants or other parties in the <u>Perry</u> proceeding. Ms. Hiatt also had filed comments in the now-completed ATWS rulemaking, <u>see</u> 49 Fed. Reg. 26036 (June 26, 1984), but did not send a copy to Applicants or other parties. OCRE should not now be heard to complain of the same conduct which it has practiced, particularly when that practice violates no rules of conduct and is perfectly proper.

Sincerely, Alberg JAY E. SILBERG Counsel for Applicants

JES:lam cc: Service List

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, ET AL. Docket Nos. 50-440 50-441

(Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)

SERVICE LIST

Peter B. Bloch, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry R. Kline Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Christine N. Kohl, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. W. Reed Johnson Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Gary J. Edles, Esquire Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Colleen P. Woodhead, Esquire Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Ms. Sue Hiatt OCRE Interim Representative 8275 Munson Avenue Mentor, Ohio 44060

Terry Lodge, Esquire 618 N. Michigan Street, Suite 105 Toledo, Ohio 44060

Donald T. Ezzone, Esquire Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Lake County Administration Center 105 Center Street Painesville, Ohio 44077

John G. Cardinal, Esquire Prosecuting Attorney Ashtabula County Courthouse Jefferson, Ohio 44047