Southern Nuclear Operating Company Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Telephone 205 868-5086



J. D. Woodard Vice President Farle: Project

Southern Nuclear Operating Company

the southern electric system

February 24, 1992

10 CFR 26.71

Docket Nos. 50-348 50-364

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant Fitness For Duty Performance Data

Gentlemen:

Southern Nuclear Opering Company hereby submits Fitness For Duty Performance Data for the six month reporting period, July 1991 through December 1991, as required by 10 CFR 26.71(d). This data is summarized on the attached enclosures.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

J. D. Woodard

JDW/JMG

Enclosures

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebneter Mr. S. T. Hoffman Mr. G. F. Maxwell

280000

9202280242 920224 PDR ADDCK 05000348 1, 1509

Fitness for Duty Program Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10CFR 26

Southern Nucle	The second secon	12-31-91 6 Months Ending				
Joseph M. Fari	ley Nuclear Pi	lant and Corporate H	leadquarter:			
		Location				
J. A. Ripple			(2	205) 868-5075		
	Contact N	ane		Phone (include area co	oce)	
Cutoffs: Screen/	Confirmation (ng/r	ml) 🖒 Appendix A to 1	OCFR 26			
Marijuana	-/	Amphetamines	1	Barbiturates	300,300	
Cocaine		Phencyclidine	1	Benzodiazepines	300 ,150	
Opiates	1	Alcohol (% BAC)				

Testing Results		Licensee I	Employees		Lc. , Term Contractor Personnel		Short Term Contractor Personnel	
Average Number with Unescorted Access	1526		# #		52		188	
Categories	# Tested	# Positive	Referred to EAP	Access Restored	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive
Pre-employment	0	0			0	0	0	0
Pre-badging	180	0			278	4	89	0
Periodic	0	0			0	0	0	0
For cause	1	0	din dal		2	1	1	0
Post accident	0	0			0	0	0	0
Random	831	2	1	1	29	0	61	0
Follow-up	16	0			0	0	0	0
Other RTW RETEST	2	0			0	0	0	0
Tota!	1030	2	1	1	309	5	151	0

Random Testing Program Results

		1	990	19	91	15	992	73.3
ndividuals Tested # Positive	1989	2 / 788	14/	6 / 991	921			/
# Tested		0.25	V	.60	.21			
Graph of 5 % Positive 4								
2 -		*		*				

Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

Marijuana	2	6	12	3		
Cocaine	2	8	6	1		
Opiates	0	-0	1	0		
Amphetamines	0	1	0	0	-	
Phencyclidine	0	0	0	0		
Alcohol	1	4	3	3		
						6/7/89

J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant Fitness For Duty Program

The data generated under the Fitness For Duty program from July 1991 through December 1991 has been reviewed and analyzed. On December 23, 1991 Southern Nuclear Operating Company became the exclusive operating licensee of the J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant with Alabama Power Company continuing to be the owner of the facility. The data reflected in this report is that of employees of J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant and employees of Southern Nuclear Operating Company Corporate Headquarters.

The random pool contains not only those badged for unescorted access, but also those employees who may, in an emergency condition, be called upon to work at the site and may require unescorted access. Contractors without approved programs are included in the pool while on site. Testing is performed on a nominal weekly basis to include swing shifts, weekends and holidays at a rate which will equal 100% yearly of the total population.

In summarizing management actions associated with the Fitness For Duty program, it should be emphasized that the incidence of confirmed positive tests for the licensee has been low. Consequently, management actions relative to determination of fitness for duty have been limited to one individual. Contractor employees screened as positive are denied access and no further action is taken.

Management actions during this reporting period involved one individual. This individual was randomly selected and randomly selected again four days later. The first positive test had not yet been confirmed when the second random selection occurred. The individual tested positive for Marijuana both times. The employee was removed from duty and placed in a rehabilitation program when the second random test was confirmed. An administrative decision was made to consider both positive tests as one policy violation; therefore, the employee was not terminated. The employee has successfully completed a rehabilitation program, has returned to full access and is entered in the follow up testing pool.