NRC Form 9-831	366						LIC	ENSE	E EVE	INT RE	PORT	(LER)	US	APPRO	R REGULATO VED OM8 NO E5. 8/31/85		
FACILITY													DOCKET NUME			PAG	E (3)
Nine Mile Point Unit #1									0 [5   0   0			2 2 0	1 OF	012			
TITLE (4)		ig	h I	Pre	essur	e React	tor Scra	m									
EVENT DATE (5)					1	LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					
MONTH	DAY	YEAR		YE	AR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NAME					
							T							0	500	101	
0 5	2 1	8	4	8	4 -	007	7 0 0	06	2 1	8 4				0	51010	101	11
	RATING			THI	REPORT	IS BUGMITT	D PURSUANT	TO THE R	EQUIREN	ENTS OF 10	CFR 5: 10		e of the following)	(11)			
MO	MODE (8) N				20.402(6)			+	20,405(c) X			80.73(a)(2)(W)			73.71(6)		
POWER	POWER				20.406	Terrane .	-	60.36(e)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71(c) OTHER (Specify in Abstract		
01010 (01)			_	20.406(a)(1)(#)			50.36(e)(2)				80.73(a)(2)(vH) 80.73(a)(2)(vH)(A)		-	below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)			
				-	-	a)(1)(Hi) (a)(1)(Iv)		80.73(s) 80.73(s)				80,73(a)(2)(vik			JOOA		
				-	20.406			50.73(a			H	80,73(a)(2)(x)					
					10.000			1		T FOR THIS	LER (12)			-			
NAME		-												TEL	EPHONE NUM	BER	
	R	lob	er	t F	Randa	11, Sur	pervisor	, Tec	hnic	al Ser	vices		AREA COL		14 1 91 -	12 14	1415
						COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH C	OMPONEN	T FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REP	ORT (13)				
CAUSE	SYSTEM COM		OMPO	DNEN	т 1	MANUFAC REPORTABLE TO NPROS		E		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC		EPORTABLE TO NPRDS		
			1			111								11	MONTH	1	1
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)												EXPECTED		DAY	YEAR		
VES III yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!						X NO		DATE			E (15)						
	CT (Limit t	ABS	TR	ACT	I.e., eppro	oximately fiftee	n single-spece typ		nes) (16)	after	contr	rol rod	scram tin	ne t	esting	was	

During a refueling outage, shortly after control rod scram time testing was performed, two high pressure reactor scrams occurred, within 9 minutes of each other. The reactor vessel was under post-hydrostatic testing conditions with the reactor vessel water solid. The reactor vessel pressure was being manually controlled by a "feed and bleed" process. Pressure oscillations due to control rod scram time testing performed just prior to the initial event compounded the difficulty in maintaining the reactor vessel pressure. As a direct result of these conditions, high pressure fluctuations occurred, which initiated automatic high pressure reactor scrams. Procedural changes will be incorporated so as to prevent this type of event from occurring in the future.

TEDO

8407020351 840621 PDR ADOCK 05000220 S PDR

NRC Form 366 (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMBINO 3150-0104

EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION			
Nine Mile Point Unit #1	0 15 10 10 10 1 21 210	8 4 - 0 1017 - 010	0 2 OF 0 2		

## TEXT

NRC Furm 366A

On May 21, 1984, during a refueling outage, at about 6:11 am, control rod scram time testing was in progress. The reactor vessel was under post-hydrostatic testing conditions with the vessel water solid (ie-no gas volume). The reactor was in cold shutdown, the mode switch was set to "refuel", the reactor pressure was approximately 1040 psig, and all control rods were fully inserted. Control rod scram time testing performed just prior to the initial event compounded the difficulty in manually maintaining the reactor vessel pressure. As a direct result of all of these conditions, a short duration high pressure fluctuation occurred, which initiated an automatic high reactor pressure scram. The scram signal was reset, and approximately 9 minutes after the initial event occurred, a second high reactor pressure scram occurred. This scram is attributed to the same cause as the initial event.

## ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

There are no potential safety consequences arising out of these events because: 1) The reactor was in shutdown and subcritical; 2) the mode switch was set to "refuel"; 3) all control rods were fully inserted at the time of each event; 4) the short duration pressure fluctuations are experienced only when the vessel is in a water solid condition, which only exists under hydrostatic testing conditions; and 5) per Generic Letter 83-28, reactor startup (but not scram time testing) was administratively prohibited until the scram was fully evaluated.

## CORRECTIVE ACTION

The Reactor Protection System (RPS) reactor pressure transmitters and trip units were checked and found to be within their setpoint tolerances of 1068 psig  $\pm$  12 psig. Procedural changes will be incorporated so as to prevent this type of event from occurring in the future.

## NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

NIAGARA MOHAWK

300 ERIE BOULEVARD. WEST SYRACUSE, N. Y. 13202

June 21, 1984

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-220 LER 84-07

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, we hereby submit the following Licensee Event Report:

Which is being submitted in ac-ordance with 10 LER 84-07 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (iv), "Any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any Engineered Safety Feature (ESF), including the Reactor Protection System (RPS).

A 10 CFR 50.72 report was made at 0655 hrs on May 21, 1984. This report was completed in the format designated in NUREG-1022, dated September 1983.

Very truly yours,

nomas

Thomas E. Lempges Vice President Nuclear Generation

TEL/lo Attachments cc: Dr. Thomas E. Murley Regional Administrator

IE22 1/1