GE Nuclear Energy

138

February 20, 1992

MFN No. 040-92 Docket No. STN 50-605 EEN-9226

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Robert C. Pierson, Director Standardization and Non-Power Reactor Project Directorate

Subject: Comparison of U.S. ABWR and K-6/7

Enclosed are thirty-four (34) copies of the differences between the U.S. ABWR and the K-6/7 project. The ABWR design is still being reviewed for differences to the K-6/7 design and any additional differences will be included in the listing that will be incorporated in a future amendment to the ABWR SSAR.

Sincerely,

P.W. Marriott, Manager Regulatory and Analysis Services M/C 382, (408) 925-6948

cc:	F. A. Ross	(DOE)
	N. D. Fletcher	(DOE)
	C. Poslusny, Jr.	(NRC)
	R. C. Berglund	(GE)
	J. F. Quirk	(GE)

260052

9202270241 920220 PDR ADOCK 05000605 PDR PDR

DIFFERENCES

U.S. ABWR

1. General Design

- 1.1 Single unit plant
- Seismic 0.3g SSE all soils envelope

1.3 60 year plant life

- 1.4 Ultimate heat sink maximum temperature of 95°F assumed
- 1.5 U.S. Codes and Stds
- 1.6 ABWR Product Structure
- 1.7 Grid frequency 60 Hz
- Radwaste system design customized for U.S.

2. Plot Plan

- 2.1 Turbine building & tubrine axis in-line with reactor building
- 2.2 Steam line volume less than 1000 cu. ft.
- 2.3 Control building located between reactor building and turbine building
 - Control room HVAC includes dual widely separated operator selectable air intakes

K-6/7 COMMENTS

REQUIREMENT/

Dual unit between dual units and other site units

Seismic site specific

40 year

Maximum temperature of 85°F assumed

MITI Codes and Stds

K-6/7 Product structure

50 Hz

Standard Hitachi/Toshiba design

Axis perpendicular to reactor building

perspective to have a more compact site plot plan

cated between dual

Located between dual reactor buildings

Single air intake

Some facilities shared

ALWR

ALWR

U.S. design supports generic site envelope

NRC

ALWR/Japanese choose to address turbine missile issue entirely from a structural

Dual intake design results in less dose to operator in U.S. control room exposure analysis

DIFFERENCES (Continued)

	E.G. S. STATELL, S. M.S. I.S. MILLINESS			N3 36.1
	b.	RCW HX's located in basement of control building	Dedicated HX building	U.S. layout reconfigured to reflect different site plot plan
	c.	RIP MG sets located in control building		
2.4		dwaste building designed a single unit	Shared facilities on multi-unit site. K-6/7 (ABWR) share facilities with K-5 (BWR-5)	Japanese emphasis on efficiency and compact site layout
2.5	5 Technical support center located in service building			NRC
2.6	6 Condensate storage tank in yard		Storage pool located in in radwaste building	
2.7		al unit common switch- r deleated	Common switchgear used	
3.	Pov	wer Cycle System		
3.1	Power cycle system design meets U.S. utility preference, with emphasis on simplicity.		Japanese emphasis is on maximum heat rate and thermal efficiency	ALWR
	a.	FW pumps driven by var- iable speed motor	Steam driven pumps	
	b.	Condensate has $4x33^1/_3\%$ pumps; no condensate booster pumps	Condensate pumps plus booster pumps; 3x50% pumps at each stage	
	c.	Low pressure FW heater drains cascaded back to condenser	Pumped forward	High pressure heater drains pumped forward in both designs
	d.	Moisture separator/re- beaters have 1 stage reheat	2 stage reheat	
	e.	Condenser is multiple pressure	Single pressure	
	f.	Condenser tubing cooling water dependent	Titanium	ALWR requirements allow use of materials suitable for actual site cooling water conditions

DIFFERENCES (Continued)

- g. Turbine gland sealing steam extracted from main steam
- h. Steam jet air ejectors has 2x100% trains
- Condenser heat sink site dependent
- TBCW system has 2x 100% pumps and Hxs
- k. Condensate polishing is two stage
- 3.2 Offgas system is GE N68 design
- 3.3 Hydrogen wate: chemistry integral with design
- 3.4 Provision for Zinc addition to Feedwater
- 4. Electrical Design
- 4.1 Offsite/onsite AC power sources are the low voltage generator output breaker plus one independnent offsite source plus non-safety onsite gas turbine
- 4.2 Onsite power distribution network has generator output breaker and feed from gas turbine added; startup transformers deleted
- 4.3 Isolation of 1E from non-1E loads on low voltage ac/dc circuits

Dedicated system supplies clean steam

1 100% train plus 1 startup train (driven by auxiliary steam)

Seawater

3x50% pumps and Hxs

Single stage

H/T design based on earlier GE N62 design

Not adopted

No Zinc addition

7 unit site with multiple offsite AC power sources

No generator output breaker or gas turbine; startup transformers used to provide feed in conventional way Meets water quality exposure & radwaste burial volume goals

Desirability still under study in Japan

Zinc addition is optional

U.S. design reflects ALWR requirements (both designs include normal compliment of emergency diesel generators)

AC network interface designed for repsective site conditions (switching logic also modified accordingly)

-3-

DIFFERENCES (Continued)

4.4	DG fuel storage is 3x100% divisionally separated tanks located underground	2x200% divisionally cross- tied tanks (per reactor unit) located above ground	K-6/7 design emphasizes compact site plot plan; cross ties allowed by less rigorous divisional separation requirements
4.5	DG start capability incorp- orates manual (no AC) start capability	Normal capability	ALWR
4.6	DG fire suppression is foam system	CO ₂ system	ALWR
4.7	No PVC electrical insulation allowed	Use of PVC OK	ALWR
4.8	Non-safety chillers and coolers connectable to on-site gas turbine	Gas turbine is not required	ALWR
5.	Primary Containment		
5.1	Severe accident design features	Not part of design	Subject of severe accident mitigation is still under study in Japan
	a. Containment overpres- sure protection		Passive venting of wetwell airspace through two rupture discs in series in hardened path; containment integrity recoverable by closing normally open AOV_S
	b. Strengthened drywell head		Drywell head thickness increased from 1" to 1.25"; Fressure capability increased to near ultimate strength of balance of the containment structure
	c. Limestone concrete prohibited in lower drywell area		Reduces non-condensible gas generation from potential core-concrete interaction
	d. Lower drywell flooder		Utilizes fusible plugs on pipes connecting suppression pool to lower drywell
	e. AC independent water addition capability		Fire water system cross-tied into RHR with manually operated valves

DIFFERENCES (Continued)

- f. Onsite combustion turbine generator
- 5.2 Wetwell/Drywell vacuum breakers with test circuit auto return > normal logic on LOCA signal
- 5.3 SRV discharge piping in wetwell region specified as ASME Class 2 (MITI Class 3 equivalent) therefore, ISI is required
- 5.4 RPV metal temperature sensor reduction
- 6 Secondary Containment
- 6.1 Redundant flammability control system (hydrogen recombiners) permanently installed
- 6.2 SGTS has 4000 scfm capacity with auto negative pressure control capability
- 6.3 Steam and FW lines classified non-seismic outboard of seismic interface restraint
 - Leak-before-break methodology used to eliminate pipe whip restraints
- 6.4 HPCF pumps discharge check valve

No auto return logic, LOCA during test mode considered to have sufficiently small probability of occurrence as to be negligible

Specified as MITI Class 4 so no ISI required

K-6/7 to have extra monitoring capability

Portable skids - one skid normally installed in reactor building of each unit

1200 scfm capacity

Seismic out to turbine; no seismic interface restraint

Conventionally analyzed and supported

ALWR

Vacuum breakers are air testable check valves; auto return logic exhausts air from test actuator on LOCA signal to return valve to normal swing check mode

NRC

ALWR/Extra monitoring capability not needed for followon plants

For K-6/7 redundancy is provided by portability of skid in other unit's reactor building

Less prescriptive requirements for SGTS sizing in Japan; Increased capacity of U.S. system necessitates capability to control negative pressure to prevent excessive differential pressure on reactor building

Seismically qualified turbine building is standard Japanese practice

Leak-before-break methodology still under study in Japan

NRC/High pressure isolation

DIFFERENCES (Continued)

6.5 ECCS injection valve Subsection 19C.4(1) & (3) handwheel and improved position monitoring 6.6 CRD pump motor over-20% U.S. Codes and Standards speed 25% Truck shipping access 6.7 Reactor building secondary containment air lock 7. Control Room 7.1 ARBM logic enforces Logic does not enforce ARBM enforcement of OLMCPR, even in Manual OLMCPR in manual mode; OLMCPR in all modes elimmode, to orevent Rod RWE transient analyzed as inates RWE as credible Withdrawal Error transient acceptable transient in U.S.; thus, analysis is not required 7.2 Automatic boron injection Manual NRC/Recirculation run back and ARI/FMCRD run in initiated from scram 7.3 Automatic suppression pool Manual cooling for 72 hours 7.4 Automatic ADS after additional Manual NRC 8 minutes without high drywell pressure a. ADS includes manual inhibit Inhibit switch not ADS inhibit switch required in switch on main control provided U.S. to help mitigate ATWS panel b. Monitor solenoid continuity Subsection 19C.4(4) for ADS SRVs 7.5 RPS seismic trip is not an Trip on high ground Seismic scram trip is standard **RPS** input acceleration Japan practice a. TCV solenoid position Trip on TCV solenoid Standard Japan practice trip is not an RPS input position switch input 7.6 RPV water level instrument-Reference zero at TAF for In Japan, it was decided that ation reference ZE to at fuel zone range only; all least confusing solution is to TAF for all instruments others use bottom of separretain past BWR practice (U.S.

ator skirt for reference zero

designed dictated by TMI Action

Plan item)

DIFFERENCES (Continued)

7.7	Safety related RHR Hxs outlet temperature monitor	Non 1E	NRC
7.8	Keylock switch on RHR discharge valve to radwaste	No keylock	ALWR
8.	Water/Air		
8,1	RCW has 3x50% vertical HXs (per division)	2x100% horizontal HXs (per division)	Differing configurations reflective of locational space constraints
	a. Corrosion monitoring subsystem included	Not included	ALWR
8.2	Essential HVAC has cooling coils in all 3 divisions; division C serves control room	Division C uses forced air only for reactor building loads and does not serve control room	Division C has less heat load and cooling coils not needed at actual conditions of K-site; U.S. design must support generic site envelope
	a. HVAC essential cooling water divisions A, B & C	Divisions A & B only	
	 b. Drain collection to radwaste or recycle to RCW 	Storm drains	ALWR
8.3	HVAC normal cooling water system has increased size	Smaller size	U.S. system has larger capacity to accommodate generic site envelope
8.4	RCIC room dedicated sump	Shared sump with RHR 'A'	Dedicated RCIC sump provides considerable PRA benefit from flooding evaluation
8.5	Instrument air system has manual cross-tie back-up to nitrogen supply	Auto-transfer to back up nitrogen supply mode	There is a cross-tie between K-5, K-6 and K-7
8.6	Breathing air is dedicated system	Supplied by service air	
8.7	Service air filters and dryers added	No filters and dryers	ALWR

<u>ل</u>

DIFFERENCES (Continued)

9. Fire Protection

- 9.1 Physical fire barriers with 3 hour ratings used at all divisional boundaries outside containment high energy piping penetrations also require 3 hour fire ratings (or appropriate justifiucation otherwise)
- 9.2 U.S. design has dedicated smoke removal mode consisting of dampers and logic
- 9.3 Four SRVs controllable at Remote Shutdown Panel (RSP)

10. Radiation

10.1 Containment leakage 0.5%/day assumed in dose analysis

10.2 MSIV leakage 140 scfh total for all lines assumed in dose analysis

10.3 Reconfigure ARM & PRM systems to U.S. design

Some interdivisional equipment located in common areas designated as "non-fire zone". Penetrations do not require 3 hour ratings

No such mode is required

3 SRVs controllable per original design; U.S. design change still under study

0.4%/day assumed

45 scfh total assumed

Site specific

Japanese practice allows some areas that contain safety related equipment(including of different divisions) to be subject to less strict fire protection requirements if supported by analysis showing probability or size of fire to be low

U.S. equires capability to exhaust moke and prevent migration to other divisions

Addition of 4th SRV et RSP improves results of fire PRA by factor of 10

Japanese data shows consistently less leakage than in U.S.; U.S. assumption reflects utility desire to retain margin for test

Historic Japanese data shows consistently less leqakage than in U.S.; U.S. assumption reflects utility desire to retain margin for test

Accomodate plant arrangement and processes