50-277/278



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 25, 1995

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr. Director-Licensing, MC 62A-1 PECO Energy Company Nuclear Group Headquarters Correspondence Control Desk P.O. Box No. 195 Wayne, PA 19087-0195

SUBJECT:

RELIEF REQUEST GVRR-2, REVISION 2, PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER

STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3, (TAC NOS. M92920 AND M92921)

Dear Mr. Hunger:

By letter dated September 5, 1995, the NRC staff granted relief from ASME Code testing requirements for certain excess flow check valves at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3. The relief was granted in response to relief request GVRR-2, Revision 2, as discussed in your letters dated July 14, 1995 and August 9, 1995, for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, Inservice Testing (IST) Program. In the September 5, 1995 letter, the staff stated:

In GVRR-2, Revision 2, you proposed to test the excess flow check valves (EFCVs) during system outages at power operation and refueling outages on a refueling cycle interval.

For certain EFCVs listed in your July 14, 1995 letter, you stated that these valves could not be tested during power operation because either there are no system outages that would allow testing of these valves while the reactor is at power or the risk of an inadvertent reactor scram cannot be mitigated sufficiently during the system outage. Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(f)(4)(iv), approval to use that portion of the 1989 edition of the ASME Code that provides for the use of OM-10 is granted. Further, the submission of this relief request meets the documentation requirements of paragraph 6.2(d) of OM-10.

However, when PECO's IST program is updated to the third ten-year interval, the portions of GVRR-2, Revision 2, related to the EFCVs that can only be tested during refueling outages should be included as a refueling outage justification. In addition, if during the second ten-year interval, you determine that additional valves from this category can be tested in systems out of service while the reactor is at power, you should submit an additional relief request to address this change.

For certain other . Ives listed in your August 9, 1995 letter, you proposed to test those valves during system outages when the reactor is at power. The staff has reviewed your submittals and concluded that the proposed alternative to the Code exercise

200042

9509290048 950925 PDR ADOCK 05000277 PDR ADOCK 05000277 "XFO!

procedure requirements is authorized for the EFCVs that can be tested at power in systems that are out of service pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) based on the determination that compliance with the specified requirements results in a hardship without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety.

By letter dated September 19, 1995, you notified the staff that subsequent to the August 9, 1995 submittal, you had identified four additional valves that could be tested during system outages at power (Check valves XFC-2(3)-02-064A(B,C,D)). You requested that the relief which was granted to test certain valves during system outages other than refueling outages be extended to these valves.

The staff has reviewed your September 19, 1995 letter and concluded that, for these additional valves listed in your letter, the proposed alternative to the Code exercise procedure requirements is authorized for the EFCVs that can be tested at power in systems that are out of service pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) based on the determination that compliance with the specified requirements results in a hardship without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety.

Enclosed is a revised version of the Safety Evaluation provided with the staff's September 5, 1995 letter. The Safety Evaluation has been revised to change the category for the valves listed in your September 19, 1995 letter.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the NRC Project Manager, Joe Shea, at (301) 415-1428.

John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-277/278

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File OGC
PUBLIC

PUBLIC J. Shea
PDI-2 Reading G. Hill, IRM (4)

W. Russell/F. Miraglia ACRS (4)
R. Zimmerman J. Colaccino

J. Zwolinski C. Anderson, RGN-I

W. Dean, EDO R. Wessman

S. Varja J. Stolz M. O'Brien

* Previous Concurrence PDI-2/bA OFFICE. PDI EMEBAC SC OGC PDI-2/D Mo Brien RWASSMan * NAME EHoller" JStorz DATE 1195 17,9195 9/20/95 \$/95 9/20/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SHEA\PEACH\PB92920.REV

procedure requirements is authorized for the EFCVs that can be tested at power in systems that are out of service pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) based on the determination that compliance with the specified requirements results in a hardship without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety.

By letter dated September 19, 1995, you notified the staff that subsequent to the August 9, 1995 submittal, you had identified four additional valves that could be tested during system outages at power (Check valves XFC-2(3)-02-064A(B,C,D)). You requested that the relief which was granted to test certain valves during system outages other than refueling outages be extended to these valves.

The staff has reviewed your September 19, 1995 letter and concluded that, for these additional valves listed in your letter, the proposed alternative to the Code exercise procedure requirements is authorized for the EFCVs that can be tested at power in systems that are out of service pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) based on the determination that compliance with the specified requirements results in a hardship without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety.

Enclosed is a revised version of the Safety Evaluation provided with the staff's September 5, 1995 letter. The Safety Evaluation has been revised to change the category for the valves listed in your September 19, 1995 letter.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the NRC Project Manager, Joe Shea, at (301) 415-1428.

Sincerely,

John F. Stolz, Director

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-277/278

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr. PECO Energy Company Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

cc:

J. W. Durham, Sr., Esquire Sr. V.P. & General Counsel PECO Energy Company 2301 Market Street, S26-1 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

PECO Energy Company ATTN: Mr. G. R. Rainey, Vice President Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Route 1, Box 208 Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

PECO Energy Company ATTN: Regulatory Engineer, A4-5S Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Route 1, Box 208 Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
P.O. Box 399
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Mr. Roland Fletcher Department of Environment 201 West Preston Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

A. F. Kirby, III External Operations - Nuclear Delmarva Power & Light Company P.O. Box 231 Wilmington, DE 19899 Mr. Rich R. Janati, Chief
Division of Nuclear Safety
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Resources
P. O. Box 8469
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-8469

Board of Supervisors Peach Bottom Township R. D. #1 Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division Chief Engineer 6 St. Paul Centre Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Mr. Richard McLean
Power Plant and Environmental
Review Division
Department of Natural Resources
B-3, Tawes State Office Building
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Dr. Judith Johnsrud National Energy Committee Sierra Club 433 Orlando Avenue State College, PA 16803