NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20565

Docket

February 20, 1992

Docket No. 50-336

Mr. John F. Opeka Executive Vice President, Nuclear Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Opeka:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTABILITY GUIDELINES CONCERNING SEISMIC EVALUATIONS FOR MAINTENANCE WORK - MILLSTONE 2 (TAC NO. M76687)

In your letter dated March 27, 1990, you submitted a license amendment request that would have added requirements to the Millstone Unit 2 Technical Specifications concerning seismic restraints, other than snubbers, and seismic qualifications of safety-related piping systems. Specifically, the proposed changes would have added Sections 3/4.7.11 and 3/4.7.12 and their applicable changes to the technical specifications. These sections would have explicitly defined the mechanical/structural aspects of seismic qualification defined the mechanical/structural aspects of seismic qualification to requirements for Millstone Unit 2 piping systems and provided the actions to be taken in the event that:

- (1) A seismic restraint(s), other than a snubber is found or rendered inoperable (Section 3/4.7.11).
- (2) The seismic qualification of a safety-related piping system, component, or equipment is temporarily affected for a very short duration as a result of structural decoupling or an inoperable/inadequate component other than a seismic restraint or snubber (Section 3/4.7.12)

We reviewed your request and determined that such Technical Specification requirements were not necessary since we believe that sufficient guidance has requirements were not necessary since we believe that sufficient guidance has revisionsly been given to licensees regarding seismic acceptability of safety-previously been given to licensees regarding seismic acceptability of safety-previously been given to licensees regarding seismic acceptability of safety-previously been given to licensees regarding seismic acceptability of safety-previously been guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff, the NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by NRC staff recommended that you review the guidance provided by

By letter dated August 6, 1991, you submitted a revised version of the acceptability guidelines concerning seismic evaluations for preplanned and

OFOI 1/6

unplanned maintenance work. You indicated that such guidance would be incorporated into plant procedures for conducting maintenance activities upon review and acceptance by the NRC.

We have reviswed your guidelines as submitted in your letter dated August 6, 1991, and have determined that they are representative of current industry practices and are consistent with the Bulletin 79-02 and 79-14 guidance. Additional information and guidance on resolution of degraded and nonconforming conditions and operability determinations has been provided in Generic Letter (GL) 91-18 dated November 4, 1991. The purpose of publishing this guidance was to ensure consistency in application of the guidance by the NRC. Regional inspection personnel have been briefed on the guidance. You should consult with the Regional office regarding the application of specific staff positions in the guidance.

We have discussed the above with your staff. It was agreed that it was the intention of your staff to withdraw the amendment request of March 27, 1990, upon the NRC acceptance of your guidance submitted in your letter of August 6, 1991. In accordance with our telephone conversations with your staff, we will consider the amendment request withdrawn, we will not issue a corresponding amendment to the Millstone 2 Technical Specifications, and we will consider this issue closed.

Sincerely,

151

Guy S. Vissing, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-4 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY					Document	Name:	M76687	
OFC	:LA:PDI-4	:PM:PDI-4	:D:PDI-4			1		:
NAME	SNorris	:Gyyssing:c	n:UStolz					
DATE	:2/19/92	:2/// /92	:2/20/92					

Distribution:
Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PD I-4 Plant
SVarga
JCalvo
SNorris
GSVissing
OGC
ACRS (10)
CWHehl, RI

Mr. John F. Opeka Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 2

CCI

2 2 2 4

Gerald Garfield, Esquire
Day, Berry and Howard
Counselors at Law
City Place
Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499

W. D. Romberg, Vice President Nuclear Operations Northeast Utilities Service Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Kevin McCarthy, Director Radiation Control Unit Department of Environmental Protection State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Bradford S. Chase, Under Secretary Energy Division Office of Policy and Management 80 Washington Street Hartford, Connecticut 06106

S. E. Scace, Nuclear Station Director Millstone Nuclear Power Station Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385

J. S. Keenan, Nuclear Unit Director Millstone Unit No. 2 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Nicholas S. Reynolds Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005-3502 R. M. Kacich, Manager Nuclear Licensing Northeast Utilities Service Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

D. O. Nordquist
Director of Quality Services
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Regional Administrator Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

First Selectmen
Town of Waterford
Hall of Records
200 Boston Post Road
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

W. J. Raymond, Resident Inspector Millstone Nuclear Power Station c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 376 Waterford, Connecticut 06385-0376

Charles Brinkman, Manager Washington Nuclear Operations ABB Combustion Engineering Nuclear Power 12300 Twinbrook Pkwy, Suite 330 Rockville, Maryland 20852