HAZARDS ANALYSIS BY THE TEST AND POWER REACTOR SAFETY BRANCE

DIVISION OF REACTOR LICENSING

IN THE MATTER OF

10-2-64

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

RADIATION EFFECTS REACTOR

DOCKET NO. 50-172

By application dated December 19, 1963, and supplements dated May 28, June 4, June 16, June 22, and Sept aber 21, 1964, Lockheed Aircraft Corporation requested suthority under Facility License 2-86 to utilize liquid hydrogen in connection with irradiation experiments at the Radiation Effects Reactor (RER). The proposed experimental program is oriented primarily toward determining the behavior of various inert and non-moving materials under irradiation at liquid hydrogen temperatures.

Following review of Lockheed's proposal, the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards stated in its letter dated July 15, 1964 that "... if planned tests of capsule integrity under large hydrogen leaks from the test tank are successful, the liquid hydrogen cooled irradiations can be performed as proposed without undue hazard to the health and safety of the public."

EXPERIMENT DESIGN

The liquid hydrogen equipment to be used in the proposed experiments will be mounted on an RER test car and will be placed in the reactor building adjacent to the reactor during each irradiation test. Another car, coupled to the test car, will contain gaseous helium in storage bottles to be used for valve operation and for pressurization and inerting operations.

The basic apparatus consists of a 1000 gallon supply Dewar mounted in the middle of the test car, and a test tank located on the forward end of the car. The test article, a smaller tank containing liquid hydrogen and an experiment, is located within the test tank and is connected to the supply Dewar by piping. A liquid level gauge is provided within the test article to provide continuous monitoring of liquid hydrogen level. The supply Dewar and the test tank, with their associated piping, provide double containment for the liquid hydrogen at all points except for short sections of the pressure relief piping between the pressure relicf valves and the primary system wall, and a short section of flexible line adjacent to the test tank. Vent lines from the supply Devar and the test article are provided with pressure control valves to permit venting of gaseous hydrogen produced by normal boiloff of the liquid hydrogen during operation. These vents connect to a 3-inch line that discharges outside and above the reactor building. The control valves are designed to fail in the open position; however, they are installed in parallel with relief valves and rupture discs so that control failure will not prevent pressure relief. The dry volumes of the test tank, the storage tank and evacuated pipe jacketing, as well as

0019A-18 9202270019 910725 FOIA PDR SWEENEY91-233 PDR

potentially isolable sections of pipe between valves are protected by relief valves and/or rupture discs which also discharge to the 3-inch vent line.

An aluminum cover, called the "capsule", which is gasketed and bolted to the car deck, serves as a final barrier against escape of hydrogen into the reactor building should the test apparatus develop a leak. The capsule is protected from overpressure by a relief valve and a rupture disc which are installed in parallel and connected to a 10-inch vent line that discharges outside and above the reactor building. This relief system is designed to safely discharge the gas which would result from an accidental spill of liquid hydrogen into the capsule at a rate of 125 gallons per minute (gpm). During the course of an irradiation experiment an inert belium atmosphere will be maintained in the capsule and in the 10-inch vent line.

Thermocouples and pressure transducers are the basic instrumentation to be used for monitoring the experiment. Thermocouples within the capsule and in and near the vent stacks will provide a basic means for leak detection by indicating the presence of cold hydrogen gas or the presence of a bydrogen fire, depending on the location. In addition, four hydrogen gas analyzers will be provided (3 within the capsule and one outside the three-inch vent line) to detect any leakage from the experiment. Additional thermocouples, logether with pressure transducers, will provide the operators with information needed for control of the experiment during an irradiation run. All thermocouples and pressure transducers will have high and/or low alarms as appropriate.

Normal control of the experiment will be performed manually by an operator in the RER control room. However, the following off-normal experimental conditions will cause the reactor to be scrammed and lowered into the pool automatically:

- (1) hydrogen leakage from the joint connecting the 3-inch vent line to the experiment,
- (2) hydrogen concentrations in the belium atmosphere of the capsule which approach the minimum flammable concentration of hydrogen in air,
- (3) excessive hydrogen flow through the 3-inch vent line,
- (4) high or low temperature conditions indicating fire or excessive amounts of hydrogen in the capsule vent line,
- (5) high or low pressure within the capsule,
- (6) high or low pressure in the helium supply system, and

(7) high pressure within the liquid hydrogen system.

ANALYSIS

The primary hazard associated with the proposed experiments originates from the possibility that leakage of bydrogen could lead to accumulation of explosive mixtures in the reactor building. If a detonation were to occur, it is conceivable that the reactor might be severely damaged. Accordingly, we have examined the experiment in detail to assure that adequate precautions have been taken in the design to minimize the probability of leaks and to assure that, in the event of leaks, the hydrogen could be adequately vented outside the building.

. 3 .

Essentially all the experimental apparatus is doubly contained by the vacuum jacket on the supply Dewar and piping, and by the test tank within which the test article is placed The en ire primary system has a nominal working pressure of 50 psig. Individual components were designed for pressure varying between 50 and 100 psig at liquid hydrogen temperatures, and were proof tested at approximately 50 psig greater than design pressure at ambient temperature. The applicant has selected materials and components, such as valves, to specifications normally used in accepted cryogenic practice.

The design of the pressure control and relief system on the experiment with respect to location, backup and capacity has been reviewed in detail. All tanks and isolable sections of piping have separate relief valves and/or rupture discs. With respect to relief capacity, it appears that the system would be limited to safe pressures under all anticipated normal and abnormal conditions. For example, the system will vent adequately even in the event of a loss of vacuum insulation. All secondary volumes, such as vacuum jacks and the test tank, are equipped with relief devices which appear to have adequate capacity to discharge hydrogen to the vent header at the boil off rate that would be anticipated following a gross leak of the primary barrier. It is unlikely, therefore, that a leak into the vacuum jacket or the test tank would lead to their failure due to excessive pressure. Furthermore, the experimental program is limited to the irradiation of passive parts, and no tests involving vibratian or rotation of specimens, or involving the potential for off-gassing chemically reactive substances will be conducted. It is unlikely, therefore, that failure of an experiment would lead to leakage of hydrogen from the apparatus.

Although it appears that the applicant has taken adequate precautions in designing the experiment to prevent leaks, experience to date in the handling of liquid hydrogen indicates that leaks from the apparatus into the outer aluminum capsule cannot be ruled out. We have therefore examined the capaaluminum capsule cannot be ruled out. We have therefore examined the capability of the capsule to retain its integrity and to safely vent the hydrogen that would be evolved if gross leakage from the experiment were to occur. The applicant's calculations, with which we concur, indicate that the vent system can relieve the capsule at a rate sufficient to prevent overpressurization if hydrogen were spilled from the experiment at the estimated maximum flow rate if hydrogen were spilled from the the liquid hydrogen transfer line. In view of the design of the system we regard this to be the largest credible leak that could occur. Spillage of liquid hydrogen at this rate could subject some portions of the envelope formed by the capsule and car deck to relatively severe thermal stress conditions. However, analysis of the structure indicates that no structural failures are likely to occur and that gasketed joints are adequately insulated against sudden temperature changes. It is not anticipated therefore that significant hydrogen leakage would occur during the period of venting following such a spill.

To confirm the integrity of the enclosure described by the capsule and test car and to confirm the ability of the capsula relief system to vent properly, the applicant will conduct an LH2 spill test t a site remote from the reactor. The test will consist of dumping LEp on the test car floor at the rate of 125 gpm to simulate the maximum credible spill which could occur from / doubleended pipe break. To be successful the test must confirm the adequac; (1) of the relief system to limit the overpressure and (2) of the enclosure to limit the leakage rate to 10 standard cubic feet per minute immediately following the spill. A less rate of this magnitude would not permit accumulation of hazardous quanitities of hydrogen in the building because of the rapidity with which hydrogen diffuses in air. Consequently, the test should demonstrate the ability of the system to safely dispose of hydrogen that might be spilled during an irradiction run. The applicant, the staff and the ACRS have recognized that the experimental program covered by the proposed amendment should not be authorized unless this test program proves successful. Accordingly, the proposed license amendment will not be issued until the results establish the required capsule integrity. If, after inspection of the test results by the regulatory staff, the test is found successful, the license amendment may be issued.

Although it is believed that the equipment has been designed in such a manner that release of significant amounts of hydrogen into the reactor building will be a very remote possibility, we have examined the possible consequences of such a release. If the capsule pressure devices should fail to open following the maximum credible spill derribed above, the capsule would rupture in about seven seconds. Under these circumstances, the reactor could not be lowered into the pool in time to afford protection against possible blast effects should the hydrogen be ignited. In the worst instance, detonation of the hydrogen might occur, although it is our opinion that rapid burning (deflagration) is far more likely since the applicant has taken all reasonable precautions to eliminate potential detonation sources within the building. However, some uncertainty exists due to the fact that the effect of a radiation field on detonation sensitivity is unknown. Consequently, the applicant has analyzed the consequences of a detonation of the entire hydrogen inventory (1000 gallons) within the reactor building with the reactor in an elevated position. This analysis indicates that the reactor would not experience significant crushing or overturning forces. Our independent analysis indicates that the overpressure associated with a detonation of this amount of hydrogen would probably cause severe damage to the reactor building and that it might cause some slight deformation of the reactor pressure vessel. However, we woul not expect the explosion to cause damage to the reactor pressure vessel, its associated piping, or the lift structure to the extent that scram capability would be impaired or reactor cooling capability would be lost before the reacto is lowered into the pool. Accordingly we have concluded that fuel would not melt and that a significant release of fission products is u likely even under these extreme circumstances. It is probable that minor quantities of radioactive materials produced external to the reactor by neutron activation would become air-borne as a result of the explosion, but the hazard to persons offsite from this source would be negligible. Although the proposed experiments will slightly increase the probability of minor releases of radioactivity, we have concluded that the potential hazards to the public resulting from the proposed experiments would be no greater than those considered and found acceptable in review and approval of previous operations of the RER.

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Proposed technical specifications for the liquid hydrogen experiments, submitted by the applicant, were modified as a result of discussions with the applicant. A copy of the modified technical specifications is attached.

CONCLUSION

Subject to successful completion of the hydrogen spill test and to the limitations set forth in the modified technical specifications, we have concluded that the proposed liquid hydrogen experiments can be carried out without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

Original Signed by

\$. Luzino

Saul Levine, Chief Test & Power Reactor Safety Branch Division of Reactor Licensing

Date: 007 2 1964