

September 25, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: Gail H. Marcus, Director  
 Project Directorate III-3  
 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV

FROM: José A. Calvo, Chief (Original signed by J. Calvo)  
 Electrical Engineering Branch  
 Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR  
 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING GENERIC  
 LETTER 92-08 (TAC NO. M85530)

Plant: Callaway Plant, Unit 1  
 Licensee: Union Electric Company  
 Review Status: Open

We have reviewed Union Electric Company's responses of December 19, 1994; March 28, 1995; and June 26, 1995; to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 19, 1994; December 28, 1994; and December 28, 1994; respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs. On the basis of our review, we have determined that the licensee's responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this RAI to the licensee and request that it submit a revised response. We recommend that the licensee be given 45 days to submit its revised response.

Docket No.: 50-483

Attachment: As stated

CONTACT: R. Jenkins, EELB/DE  
415-2985

DISTRIBUTION w/attachment

BWSheron GCLainas  
 LRWharton EConnell  
 MGamberoni PDR  
 Central Files EELB R/F  
 SPLB TSI File

Document Name: G:\SHARED\CALLA.RAI

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy w/o attachment, "E" = Copy w/attachment, "N" = No copy

OFC	EELB:DE:NRR	E	SC/EELB:DE:NRR	E	C/EELB:DE:NRR
NAME	RVJenkins:nkw		ASGill		JACalvo
DATE	09/20/95		09/20/95		09/25/95 9/25/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9509270260 950925  
 PDR ADDCK 05000483  
 P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DFOI



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 25, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: Gail H. Marcus, Director  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV

FROM: José A. Calvo, Chief  
Electrical Engineering Branch *José A. Calvo*  
Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR  
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING GENERIC  
LETTER 92-08 (TAC NO. M85530)

Plant: Callaway Plant, Unit 1  
Licensee: Union Electric Company  
Review Status: Open

We have reviewed Union Electric Company's responses of December 19, 1994; March 28, 1995; and June 26, 1995; to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 19, 1994; December 28, 1994; and December 28, 1994; respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs. On the basis of our review, we have determined that the licensee's responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this RAI to the licensee and request that it submit a revised response. We recommend that the licensee be given 45 days to submit its revised response.

Docket No.: 50-483

Attachment: As stated

CONTACT: R. Jenkins, EELB/DE  
415-2985

CALLAWAY PLANT, UNIT 1  
DOCKET NO. 50-483  
FOLLOWUP REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING  
GENERIC LETTER 92-08  
"THERMO-LAG 330-1 FIRE BARRIERS"

1.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) OF SEPTEMBER 19, 1994

In the RAI of September 19, 1994, the NRC staff requested information regarding important barrier parameters, Thermo-Lag barriers outside the scope of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) program, ampacity derating, alternatives, and schedules.

In its submittal of December 19, 1994, the licensee asserted that there are unresolved technical issues in the ampacity derating area. The licensee expects that the Thermo-Lag fire barriers for conduit and cable tray assemblies will be bounded by the NEI test program. In the event that the NEI fire barrier tests indicate the need to upgrade existing in-plant barriers or to replace existing Thermo-Lag barriers, NEI is expected to provide valid ampacity derating parameters for the modified design.

During a public meeting on March 14, 1995, with the licensees for the four lead plants for the resolution of Thermo-Lag issues, the staff responded to the question, "Will the resolution of the ampacity derating concern be deferred until agreement is reached on the appropriate testing protocol (i.e., IEEE P848)?" The staff reiterated its position, which was previously stated in the September 1994, RAI, that the ampacity derating concern could be resolved independently of the fire endurance concerns. After a review of the tests performed under the draft Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard P848, the staff transmitted comments which were designed to ensure the repeatability of test results to the IEEE working group responsible for the test procedure. At this time the staff is not aware of any existing or planned NEI initiative which will address the ampacity derating issue. The licensee is requested to submit its ampacity derating evaluations, including any applicable test reports, in order to provide an adequate response to Generic Letter 92-08 Reporting Requirement 2(c).

2.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF DECEMBER 28, 1994

In the RAI of December 28, 1994, the staff requested information describing the examinations and inspections that will be performed to obtain the important barrier parameters for the Thermo-Lag configurations installed at Callaway Plant. The licensee did not provide any information regarding ampacity derating in its submittal of March 28, 1995.

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff held a telephone conference call with NEI representatives on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. The staff indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. NEI agreed to review the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit 2 Safety Evaluation (SE) in order to develop a generic test program. The memorandum dated May 22, 1995, which documents the subject telephone conference meeting, is attached for your information. In addition, a copy of the subject SE dated June 14, 1995, was sent to those licensees who rely on Thermo-Lag installations.

In its submittal of June 26, 1995, the licensee stated that it agrees with the NEI position that the performance of chemical composition testing alone will provide the basis to use generic test data with respect to ampacity derating. The results from the chemical testing program for Callaway's samples indicate that the material installed at Callaway is consistent with that which was tested by NEI during the Thermo-Lag fire endurance tests. As such, unless significant anomalies occur during the remainder of the organic and inorganic testing outlined above, the licensee considers the material installed at Callaway to be acceptable from the standpoint of ampacity derating.

In its submittal of June 26, 1995, the licensee referred to a NEI position which states that chemical composition test results alone can be used to provide the basis for the application of generic test data. Please provide a technical basis for the NEI position as it applies to the ampacity derating area and forward a copy of any supporting documentation for staff review.

The licensee is requested to provide its site-specific schedule and plans for the resolution of the ampacity derating issue for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. If a NEI test program or analysis is expected to be utilized by the licensee please provide specific program details and incorporate any input by NEI into the licensee's overall schedule. The staff expects that the licensee will submit in conjunction with the resolution of the fire endurance issues, the test procedures or alternatively, a description of the analytical methodology including typical calculations which will be used to determine the ampacity derating parameters for the Thermo-Lag fire barriers that are installed at the Callaway Plant.



May 22, 1995

NOTE TO: Brian W. Sheron, Director, DE, NRR

FROM: Carl H. Berlinger, Chief, EELB, DE, NRR

SUBJECT: MEMORANDUM OF RECORD

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff (B. Sheron, C. Berlinger, P. Gill, M. Gamberoni and R. Jenkins) held a telephone conference call with Mr. Alex Marion and Mr. Biff Bradley of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. Mr. Marion contacted the staff regarding two topics: (1) Status of the Safety Evaluation (SE) on the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Unit 2 Ampacity Derating Test Program; and (2) Staff Acceptance of the IEEE Standard P848, "Procedure for the Determination of the Ampacity Derating of Fire Protected Cables."

Dr. Berlinger stated that the subject SE for CPSES 2 had been completed and we expected that it will be transmitted to the licensee within the next two weeks. Dr. Berlinger agreed to notify Mr. Marion by phone after the SE had been issued by the staff. Due to potential generic applications the staff will provide a copy of the CPSES, Unit 2 SE to licensees with Thermo-Lag fire barriers.

The staff has been interfacing with the IEEE Task Force responsible for IEEE P848 over the last 2 years to improve the subject procedure. This effort has resulted in recent revisions to the subject procedure which addressed the majority of the concerns raised by EELB (reference: Letter dated 10/13/94 from C. Berlinger to A. K. Gwal). Although not all of the concerns were addressed by the IEEE Task Force Dr. Berlinger indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. The latest procedure revision (Draft 16) addresses the major test concerns regarding inductive heating and conduit surface emissivities effects.

The staff emphasized that licensees should submit the actual test procedures or plans to the staff for comment. After discussion of the various options to develop a generic test program NEI agreed to review the CPSES 2 SE and then contact the staff as necessary for further discussions or questions on this matter.

cc: Alex Marion, NEI

CONTACT: Ronaldo Jenkins, EELB/DE  
415-2985

DISTRIBUTION

GCLainas, NRR

ATHadani, NRR

KWest, NRR

MGamberoni, NRR

EELB R/F

SPLB TSI File

Document Name: G:\SHARED\FY95NEI.NOT

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box C=Copy w/o attachment/enclosure E=Copy with attachment/enclosure N = No copy

OFFICE	EELB:DE:NRR	C	SC/EELB:DE:NRR	C	C/EELB:DE:NRR	C
NAME	RJenkins:nkw		PGill		CHBerlinger	
DATE	05/19/95		05/19/95		05/22/95	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9509140068