MEMORANDUM TO: Gail H. Marcus, Director

Project Directorate III-3

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV

FROM:

José A. Calvo, Chief (Original signed by J. Calvo)

Electrical Engineering Branch

Division of Engineering

SUBJECT:

RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING GENERIC

LETTER 92-08 (TAC NO. M85588)

Plant:

Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1

Licensee:

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

Review Status:

We have reviewed Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company's responses of December 15, 1994, and June 28, 1995, to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 19, 1994, and December 23, 1994, respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs. On the basis of our review, we have determined that the licensee's responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this RAI to the licensee and request that it submit a revised response. We recommend that the licensee be given 45 days to submit its revised response.

Docket No.: 50-440

Attachment: As stated

CONTACT: R. Jenkins, EELB/DE

415-2985

DISTRIBUTION w/attachment

BWSheron

GCLainas

JBHopkins

EConnell

MGamberoni

PDR

Central Files

EELB R/F

SPLB TSI File

Document Name: G:\SHARED\PERRY.RAI

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy w/o attachment, "E" = Copy w/attachment, "N" = No copy

OFC	EELB:DE:NRR	SC/EELB:DE:NRR	C/EELB:DE:NRR
NAME	RVJenkins:nkw&W		JACalvo MAC
DATE	09/90/95	09/95/95	09/25/95 9/5 #3

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9509270217 950925 ADOCK 05000440

NRG FILE CENTER COPY



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20565-0001

September 25, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: Gail H. Marcus, Director

Project Directorate III-3

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV

FROM:

Electrical Engineering Branch Juse a. Calus
Division of Engineering

Division of Engineering

SUBJECT:

RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING GENERIC

LETTER 92-08 (TAC NO. M85588)

Plant:

Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1

Licensee:

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

Review Status: Open

We have reviewed Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company's responses of December 15, 1994, and June 28, 1995, to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 19, 1994, and December 23, 1994, respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs. On the basis of our review, we have determined that the licensee's responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this RAI to the licensee and request that it submit a revised response. We recommend that the licensee be given 45 days to submit its revised response.

Docket No.: 50-440

Attachment: As stated

CONTACT: R. Jenkins, EELB/DE

415-2985

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1 DOCKET NO. 50-440 FOLLOWUP REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 92-08 "THERMO-LAG 330-1 FIRE BARRIERS"

1.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) OF SEPTEMBER 19, 1994

In the RAI of September 19, 1994, the NRC staff requested information regarding important barrier parameters, Thermo-Lag barriers outside the scope of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) program, ampacity derating, alternatives, and schedules.

In its submittal of December 15, 1994, the licensee asserted that there are unresolved technical issues in the ampacity derating area. The licensee also stated that information previously submitted identified a load versus ampacity margin equal to or greater than 29% for circuits protected by Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barriers. In addition, the licensee will continue to follow developments in the resolution of this long-term issue.

During a public meeting on March 14, 1995, with the licensees for the four lead plants for the resolution of Thermo-Lag issues, the staff responded to the question, "Will the resolution of the ampacity derating concern be deferred until agreement is reached on the appropriate testing protocol (i.e., IEEE P848)?" The staff reiterated its position, which was previously stated in the September 1994, RAI, that the ampacity derating concern could be resolved independently of the fire endurance concerns. After a review of the tests performed under the draft Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard P848, the staff transmitted comments which were designed to ensure the repeatability of test results to the IEEE working group responsible for the test procedure. At this time the staff is not aware of any existing or planned NEI initiative which will address the ampacity derating issue. The licensee is requested to submit its ampacity derating evaluations. including any applicable test reports, in order to provide an adequate response to Generic Letter 92-08 Reporting Requirement 2(c).

2.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF DECEMBER 23, 1994

In the RAI of December 23, 1994, the staff requested information describing the examinations and inspections that will be performed to obtain the important barrier parameters for the Thermo-Lag configurations installed at Perry Nuclear Power Plant. The licensee did not provide any information regarding ampacity derating in its submittal of June 28, 1995.

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff held a telephone conference call with NEI representatives on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. The staff indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. NEI agreed to review the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit 2 Safety Evaluation (SE) in order to develop a generic test program. The memorandum dated May 22, 1995, which documents the subject telephone conference meeting, is attached for your information. In addition, a copy of the subject SE dated June 14, 1995, was sent to those licensees who rely on Thermo-Lag installations.

In its submittal of December 15, 1994, the licensee referred to a site specific evaluation. If this evaluation represent the licensee's final determination of ampacity derating parameters for Thermo-Lag fire barriers please forward a copy of the subject evaluation for staff review. Given that there are no unresolved technical issues, the licensee is requested to provide its site-specific schedule and plans for the resolution of the ampacity derating issue for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. If a NEI test program or analysis is expected to be utilized by the licensee please provide specific program details and incorporate any input by NEI into the licensee's overall schedule.

Finally, the staff expects that the licensee will submit in conjunction with the resolution of the fire endurance issues, the test procedures or alternatively, a description of the analytical methodology including typical calculations which will be used to determine the ampacity derating parameters for the Thermo-Lag fire barriers that are installed at the Perry Nuclear Power Plant.

May 22, 1995

NOTE TO: Brian W. Sheron, Director, DE, NRR

FROM: Carl H. Berlinger, Chief, EELB, DE, NRR

SUBJECT: MEMORANDUM OF RECORD

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff (B. Sheron, C. Berlinger, P. Gill, M. Gamberoni and R. Jenkins) held a telephone conference call with Mr. Alex Marion and Mr. Biff Bradley of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. Mr. Marion contacted the staff regarding two topics: (1) Status of the Safety Evaluation (SE) on the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Unit 2 Ampacity Derating Test Program; and (2) Staff Acceptance of the IEEE Standard P848, "Procedure for the Determination of the Ampacity Derating of Fire Protected Cables."

Dr. Berlinger stated that the subject SE for CPSES 2 had been completed and we expected that it will be transmitted to the licensee within the next two weeks. Dr. Berlinger agreed to notify Mr. Marion by phone after the SE had been issued by the staff. Due to potential generic applications the staff will provide a copy of the CPSES, Unit 2 SE to licensees with Thermo-Lag fire barriers.

The staff has been interfacing with the IEEE Task Force responsible for IEEE P848 over the last 2 years to improve the subject procedure. This effort has resulted in recent revisions to the subject procedure which addressed the majority of the concerns raised by EELB (reference: Letter dated 10/13/94 from C. Berlinger to A. K. Gwal). Although not all of the concerns were addressed by the IEEE Task Force Dr. Berlinger indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. The latest procedure revision (Draft 16) addresses the major test concerns regarding inductive heating and conduit surface emissivities effects.

The staff emphasized that licensees should submit the actual test procedures or plans to the staff for comment. After discussion of the various options to develop a generic test program NEI agreed to review the CPSES 2 SE and then contact the staff as necessary for further discussions or questions on this matter.

cc: Alex Marion, NEI

CONTACT: Ronaldo Jenkins, EELB/DE

415-2985

DISTRIBUTION GCLainas, NRR KSWest, NRR EELB R/F

AThadani, NRR MGamberoni, NRR SPLB TSI File

Document Name: G:\SHARED\FY95NEI.NOT

NAME RJenkins:nkw/ PGill APP CHBerlinger APP DATE 05/19/95 05/19/95 05/22/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY