James A, FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 41 -Lycoming, New York 15/93 315 342-3840



14

Radford J. Converse Resident Manager

February 21, 1992 JAFP-92-0157

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: DOCKET NO. 50-333 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT:

92-005-00 - Primary Containment Isolation Valve Remote Manual Closure Design Error

Dear Sir:

This report is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii) and (v).

Questions concerning this report may be addressed to Mr. W. Verne Childs at (315) 349-6071.

Very truly yours,

ann RADFORD J. CONVERSE

RJC:WVC:lar

Enclosure

cc: USNRC, Region I USNRC Resident Inspector INPO Records Center

Cut No 105450 TE22

9202260021 PDR ADOCK

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							U.S. MACLEAR REQULATORY COMMANDANIAL APPROVED CHID NO. 2180-0104 EXPIRES 8/31485						
ACILITY	-	1)				alaa aan ar aha aha aha ahaan ah				DOCK ET HUMBER	(2)	PASE	
	JAME	S A.	FITZE	PATRICK NU	CLEAR POI	WER PLANT			den gene	0 18 10 10	1013131	1 1 OF 01/	
TITLE IA	Prin	nary	Conti	ainment I	solation	Valves Re	mote	Manua	11 Closu	e Functio	n Inoper	table	
						te Operati							
	INT DATE		-	LER NUMBER	er waarde waarde en de bester die de bester bes	REPORT DATE	and the second division of the second	and the second of	stage & highly include the control of the state of the state of the	FACILITIES INVO	LVED NI	an an an an Anna an Anna an Anna an An An	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	BROUGHTIA NUMBER	ME VERON	MONTH DAY	YEAR	CALIFICATION OF TAXABLE PARTY.	FACILITY NA	64 86	DOCKET NUMB	\$A(\$)	
And the second second		en machiner og			no and succession contracts		Carlo and an Interna				0 15 10 1	010111	
011	212	012	010	- olols	- 010	012 211	912					oendesseecher ondesseedang	
-		-		I de la de l	and and and	and and and much					0 8 0	010111	
	RATINE DI	N	provide subscription of	ACCENT IN BUILDING IT	ED PURBUART 1	0 THE REQUIRENE 20 406141	NTS OF 10	CPR & K	promition in the second second	er the heltewaying (1)		a second a stand to the other part and a stand	
POWEI LEVE (18)		0,0		4054aH116		80.36(a)(1) 90.36(a)(2)		X	80.734a)(2)(#) 80.734a)(2)(v) 80.734a)(2)(v)		78.7184	Epoterfly in Adaptuper	
				4000as1(1)(00)		80.72%s1521(1)			\$0.794a1021(vill)	(A)		In Tarr, HRC Parm	
			80.	400-6a3(1)(Pv)	X	80.73611(21(6)			80.73 (a) (2) (HB)	(6)			
			20.	68640)(1)tv:		80.73%)(2)(M)			90.73(a)(3)(a)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		-			-	CENRES CONTACT	POR THUS	LER (12)		the second second second			
NAME										AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NU	MBEN	
W. Y1	RNE	CHILL	15. SE	NIOR LICE	NSING EN	GINEER						1.1.1.1.1.1.1	
				And in the set of the second se						31115	3 4 91.	-16101711	
				COMPLETS	OHE LINE FOR	LACH COMPORTN?	FAILURE	DEBCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO	RT (130			
CAUSE	EVETEN	COMP	ONENT	MANUFAC TURER	TO NPRDE		CAUBE	8~878N	COMPONENT	NANUFAC- TUREN	TO NPROS	1.2.3.34	
		1	1.1	1.1.1						1.1.1			
		and a second second	the re-conductories	en of the second second second			1		arrender och son deren				
	1	1	11	111			-	1.1	1.1.1	1111	132.50	-	
			and a second	BUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)	Manufactor president	the test weber son ad	tenned and the she a		MON	H DAY YEAR	
YER (IY yes, enveryment RADECTED SLABERBERON DUTE)						BURMISEP DATE (1)	ON						
MITRAC	T /Linnit a	0 1400 m		portal integrally influen	single-assor type	WITTERN ALWER (38)	Control description						

EIIS Codes in []

The plant was shutdown and in the cold condition for maintenance and refuel.

On 1/22/92 it was determined that the remote manual closure feature of primary contianment [NH] isolation valves from the pressure suppression chamber (torus) for High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) [BJ' and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) [BN] pump suction lines was defeated under certain conditions. When HPCI and/or RCIC suction is automatically transferred to the torus due to high torus level and/or low condensate storage tank [KA] level, the remote manual closure capability of the pump suction valves described in the Final Safety Analysis Report is defeated. The event was caused by a design error and inadequate review of inductry operating experience. The design error will be corrected prior to plant start-up and systematic review of other potentially similar conditions will be completed.

LER-91-026 is a similar event.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED DME NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES 8/31/86

IAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLACT 0 15 0 0 0 3 3 3 9 2 -	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
NUCLEAR POWER PLANT	SEQUENTIAL AEVISION NUMBER NUMBER			
	01015 010	0 12 OF 0 14		

TEXT (# more spece is required, use additional / #C Form 3864 (s) (EIIC Codes are in []

Description

NRC Form 386A (9-83)

The plant was shutdown and in the cold condition for maintenance and refueling.

On January 22, 1992 it was determined that certain primary containment [NH] isolation values will not remain closed when given a "remote manual" close signal under certain conditions. Specifically, the High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) system [BJ] and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) system [BN] primary containment pressure suppression chamber (torus) pump suction values will not remain closed when given a remote manual close signal if signals for shifting the pump suction source from the Condensate Storage Tanks (CSTs) [KA] to the torus are present.

The HPCI and RCIC systems are designed to automatically shift the pump suction source from CSTs to the torus if loss of the normal pump suction from the CSTs is anticipated due to low CST level or due to the manual valves in the suction path from the CST being in any position other than fully open. The HPCI system suction is also designed to shift suction to the torus if torus level is six (6) inches or more above normal. These design features ensure that an adequate pump suction source is available and that the primary containment free space volume (floodable volume) is preserved by limiting the increase in torus inventory.

Both the HPCI and RCIC system suction lines from the torus are provided with primary containment isolation valves. The HPCI system torus suction isolation valves (23MOV-57 and -58) automatically close in response to HPCI system steam leak isolation signals (high steam flow, low steam line pressure, high steam line area temperature, and/or high turbine exhaust diaphragm pressure) or in response to a remote manual (main control room) signal. RCIC system torus suction isolation valves (13MOV-39 and -41) are designed to close in response to a remote manual (main control room) signal.

The plant Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), Section 7.3, Table 7.3-1, lists RCIC valves 13MOV-39 and -41, for primary containment penetration 16X-224, and HPCI valves 23MOV-57 and -58, for primary containment ponetration 16X-226, as valves having remote manual closure capability. Plant procedure AP-1.16, which lists primary containment isolation valves required by Technical Specification 3.7.D, also lists the same valves and requires the same remote manual closure capability. No documentation exists to indicate that the remote manual isolation design feature is intended to be bypassed when the HPCI or RCIC pump suction source has been automatically shifted to the torus.

NRC Form 366A		U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION
19-831.	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104
		EXPIRES 8/31/88

FACILITY RAME 11	DOCKET NUMBER (3)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAOL (3)		
JAMES A. FITZPATRICK		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER			
NUCLEAR POWER PLANT	0 5 0 0 0 3 3 3	9 2 - 0 0 5 - 0 0	0 3 OF 0 4		

Text W more trace to require the conditions which have been which have been addressed which have

Cause

The primary cause of the event was an original construction design error.

A contributing cause was an inadequate review of a similar deficiency involving the remote manual closure capability of core spray system [BM] pump minimum flow valves which was reported in LER-91-026. The engineering review which resulted in discovery of the deficiency concerning the core spray system pump minimum flow valves was not broad enough. The review was limited to minimum flow lines with primary containment isolation functions. As a result, the discovery that one design feature (remote manual isolation) was defeated by another design feature (shifting of HPCI and RCIC suction to the torus) was not made until after a similar facility discovered the same deficiency during an engineering review that was conducted in response to an INPO NETWORK NRC plant status entry as a result of Emergency Notification System (ENS) notification for the deficiency which was reported in LER-91-026.

Analysis

The HPCI system is a safety-related system designed to mitigate Loss of Coolant Accidents (LOCAs) discussed in the FSAR which are not large enough to result in rapid depressurization of the reactor. Automatic initiation of HPCI in response to high primary containment drywell pressure or low reactor water level provides adequate core cooling by injection of water from CSTs (or from the torus) while reducing reactor pressure due to the injection of the relatively cold water and by use of reactor steam to provide driving force for the pump drive turbine. When pressure has been sufficiently reduced to allow operation of low pressure emergency core cooling systems (ECCS), that is, Residual Heat Removal/Low Pressure Coolant Injection (RHR/LPCI) [BO] and/or core spray [BM], these systems provide adequate core cooling.

The RCIC system (which is not designed a safety-related system) is designed to provide a means of removi d small amounts of energy from the reactor while maintaining reactor water level in the event that the normal reactor heat sink is not available. The RCIC system also functions during small LOCAs in the same manner as HPCI except the water injection flow rate of RCIC is approximately 10% of the HPCI flow rate.

ICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION										
ACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LE	RNUN	48ER (8				PAGE	(3)
JAMES A. FITZPATRICK		VEAR		SEQU	ENTIAL	[NUMBER		T	
NUCLEAR POWER PLANT	0 5 0 0 0 3 3 3	912		0.11	0.15		010	014	OF	014
RCIC torus suction lin (isolate) the line in of water from the toru primary containment. manual operator action considered to be a con thus requires a report The event is also cons 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) and fulfillment of safety	t isolation valves ins nes are intended to pro the event of piping fa us and to contain radio The inability to close h under the conditions hdition outside of the under 10 CFR 50.73(a) sidered to require a re (D) as a condition tha functions needed to co	talle vide ilure activ descr plant (2)(i port t alc ntrol	d s ve ib i) un ne t	in mea to mat lve es (B) den co	the preteri abc ign). c 10 culd re)	a f tc eve ial ba ba ba ba	IPCI o clo nt t remo is is is is is is is is is	and ose the thin ote and of	los	55
radioactive material a	and mitigate the conseq	uence	5	of	an	ac	cide	ent.		
	ion was required becaus and refuel. Primary co									
isolation valve of emphasis will be function valves both the open and	iew of the design of pr control circuits has be placed on the careful (that is, valves with s d closed positions) exist functions will be comp	en in revie afety st.	w Id	iat of ela ent	cas atec tifi	ies i f	Part whe unct	ere tion n of	dua s j	al
with dual function completed to allo justification for	sign of the control cir ons against design basi ow correction of the de c plant operation witho ollowing the 1992 Refue	s doc ficie ut co	nc	ent ies ect	tati s (c tior	or)	wi! prid	ll b or t	e	
-58 will be modif	uits for valves 13MOV-3 fied to correct the def 92 Refuel Outage. Sche	icien	cy	pr	rior	: t				
Additional Information	1									
Failed Components: No	one									
Previous Similar Event	s: LER-91-026 describe	ed a	si	mil	lar	ev	ent			