

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Public Service Electric and Gas Company
Hope Creek Generating Station

Docket No: 50-354
License No: NPF-57

During an NRC inspection conducted on July 9, 1995 - August 11, 1995, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," (60 FR 34381; June 30, 1995), the violation is set forth below:

Hope Creek technical specification 4.0.2 requires in part, that each surveillance requirement shall be performed within its specified surveillance interval with a maximum allowable extension not to exceed 25 percent of the specified surveillance interval.

Contrary to the above, during the period of July 13 to 20, 1995, certain surveillance requirements were not performed within the specified intervals, including the maximum allowable extension, as stated in the following examples:

- (i) Hope Creek technical specifications 4.8.1.1.2.h.4.a and 6.a require in part, that each diesel generator be demonstrated operable at least once per 18 months during shutdown, by simulating a loss of offsite power and verifying load shedding from the emergency busses. On July 13, 1995, it was determined by the licensee that surveillance testing omitted complete verification of the circuits associated with vital bus load shedding in response to the loss of offsite power signals. Specifically, overlap testing between bus loss of power auxiliary relays and the individual load breaker trip circuits had never been adequately demonstrated since initial plant licensing.
- (ii) Hope Creek technical specification 4.3.3.1 requires in part, that each ECCS actuation instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated operable by the performance of a quarterly channel functional test. On July 20, 1995, it was determined by the licensee that various specified ECCS actuation instrumentation channel functional tests were not completed within the specified intervals for the following three examples:
 - (1) on June 6, 1995, the condensate storage tank level-low instrument channel functional test for the HPCI system suction swap was improperly credited by the completion of a suppression pool level-high instrument channel calibration;
 - (2) on June 29, 1995, the "C" RHR and "A" core spray pump discharge pressure-high permissive instrument channel functional tests for the automatic depressurization system were improperly credited by the completion of an "A" RHR pump discharge pressure-high permissive channel calibration; and,

- (3) on June 29, 1995, the "B" and "D" RHR pump discharge pressure-high permissive instrument channel functional tests for the automatic depressurization system were improperly credited by the completion of an "B" core spray pump discharge pressure-high permissive channel calibration.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Public Service Electric and Gas Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Because the response will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), to the extent possible, it should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However if it necessary to include such information, it should clearly indicate the specific information that should not be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support the request for withholding the information from the public.

Dated at King of Prussia, Pennsylvania
this 19th day of September, 1995