



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 11

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. R-97

DOCKET NO. 50-160

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter of August 16, 1995, the Georgia Institute of Technology (the licensee), requested that the Technical Specifications be revised to indicate intended changes in the administrative organization of the Georgia Institute of Technology.

2.0 EVALUATION

The current Technical Specifications indicate that the Director of the Neely Nuclear Research Center reports to the Vice President for Interdisciplinary Programs who reports to the President of the Institute. The licensee plans a reorganization, such that, the position of the Vice President for Interdisciplinary Programs will be eliminated and the Director of the Neely Nuclear Research Center will report to the Dean of the College of Engineering who reports to the President of the Institute. This reorganization plan is consistent with American National Standards Institute/American Nuclear Society Standard (ANSI/ANS) 15.1-1990, "Development of Technical Specifications for Research Reactors." The licensee indicated that the change does not involve changes in staff or financial resource allocation. Because the reorganization plan is consistent to ANSI/ANS 15.1-1990 and has no adverse effect on the reactor administrative organizational structure and function, the NRC staff finds the proposed change acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment changes recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10)(ii). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously evaluated, or create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated, and does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety, and does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by the proposed changes, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors: Marvin M. Mendonca

Date: September 20, 1995