



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 76 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-73

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY
OHIO EDISON COMPANY
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT 2

DOCKET NO. 50-412

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated July 24, 1995, the Duquesne Light Company (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2 (BVPS-2), Technical Specifications (TSs). The requested changes would revise the Action Statement requirements of BVPS-2 TS 3.4.11 to be consistent with the Action Statement requirements issued in License Amendment No. 187 to Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 1 (BVPS-1), on May 15, 1995, and to generally reflect the guidance provided in NRC Generic Letter (GL) 90-06, "Resolution of Generic Issue 70, 'Power-Operated Relief Valves and Block Valve Reliability,' and Generic Issue 94, 'Additional Low-Temperature Overpressure Protection for Light-Water Reactors,' Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f)," and of the NRC's Improved Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1431, Revision 1). The proposed revisions to the Action Statement requirements would provide instructions for dealing with PORVs when they are either capable of being manually cycled or not capable of being manually cycled.

2.0 EVALUATION

The NRC staff has reviewed the proposed changes to the Action Statement requirements of BVPS-2 TS 3.4.11 and has determined that the proposed changes are consistent with: (1) the intent of the recommendations of GL 90-06, (2) the guidance of the NRC's Improved Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1431, Revision 1), and (3) the Action Statement requirements of Unit 1 TS 3.4.11. Therefore, we have concluded that these proposed changes are acceptable.

The proposed editorial changes are minor and administrative in nature; they do not change the intent or requirements of any TSs and are, therefore, acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Pennsylvania State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (60 FR 42604). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Donald S. Brinkman

Date: September 18, 1995