

Carolina Power & Light Company

Brunswick Nuclear Project P. O. Box 10429 Southport, N.C. 28461-0429

FILE: B09-13510C

# "s

10CFR50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

> BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT UNIT 1 DOCKET NO. 50-325 LICENSE NO. DRP-71 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 1-92-002

Centlemen:

In accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the enclosed Licensee Event Report is submitted. This report fulfills the requirement for a written report within thirty (30) days of a reportable occurrence and is submitted in accordance with the format set forth in NUREG-1022, September 1983.

Very truly yours, W. Spencer General Manager Branswick Nuclear Project

TMJ/

Enclosure

22240

AL

PDR

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebneter Mr. N. B. Le BSEP NRC Resident Office

2100.0

alerz,

NRC FORM 366					IN AP	APPROVED OME NO. 2150-0104 EXPRES: 4/30/82 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, OC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.							
FAGUITY NAME (1) Brunswick Steam Electric Plant D Unit 1					DOC K2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 2 5			FAGE (3)				
					0500				1				
∜(Ť⊾E (4)	Automa Simult	atic ES aneous	F Ac Fir	tuation of the	e Control wo Zones	l Build of the	ing I Cont	imerge rol R	ncy Air	Filtrati	lon Sys	stem Du	e to
	EVENT DATE (b) LER NUMBER (c) REPORT DATE			DATE (7) OT			THER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)						
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEG. NO.	HEV. NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FAC	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER	
01	17	92	92	- 002 -	00	02	17	92	BSEI	Unit 2		050003	324
OF	ERATING		T	IS REPORT IS SUBMITTED	PURSUANT TO	THE REQUIR	EMENTS	OF 10 OF R	\$1 (Check cine (	ar more of the fo	niowing) (11	)	
	(9) 3GDE	1		20.402(b)	20,405		X	50.73(	a)(2)(1v)	73.	71(U)		
	POWER			20.405(±)(1)(!)	50.36(	u)(1)		50.73/	6)(Z)(v)	73	73,71(6)		
u	IVEL (10)	0.8	5	20.405(#)(1)(0) 50.36(c)(2)		:)(2)		\$0.73(k)(2)(40)		on	OTHER (Specify in Abstract and Te		and Text)
				20.405(n)(1)(iii)	60.73()	u)(2)(l)		50,73(	a)(2)/ 80)(A)				
			L	20.405(n)(1)(iv)	50.73(	0)(2)/(0)	_	50.73(	<ul> <li>(a) (2 AB) (B)</li> </ul>				
				20.405(a)(1)(v)	55.73()	a)(2)(8)	_	50.73(	a)(2 (s)				
					LICENSEE CO	NTACT FOR	THIS LER	(12)	-				
NAME T	heresa	M. Jor	les,	Regulatory Co	mpliance	Specia	list		-	TELE	PHONE NUM	IDER	
										(919	) 457-	2039	
				COMPLETE ONE LINE	FOR EACH COMP	PONENT FAIL	URE DES	ORBED IN	THIS REPORT (	13)			
CAUSE	BYSTEM	COMPO	(ENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE	CA	USE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURER	NEPORTABLI TO NPREM	L
	L			SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)					EXPECTED SUBMISSION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YE	S III yes, con	nplete EXPEC	TED SUE	MISSION DATE)	X N	0				DATE (15)			
ABSTRAC	On Jan respective (CBEA) (CBEA	ou spaces, i nuary 1 stively ted in 7) syst n smoki on are detecti larms w tioning led that placed nsible stion a is loca nity to fore re as that re to r wed wit ystems	e approved 7, 1 , A an a em. ng 1 resp on z vere and t pr in a grou nnun ted ason t pr totif h sh func	992, Unit 1 a t 0215 simult utomatic init The alarms w n the Seconda ectively loca ones. At 021 reset and the CBEAF system ior to the ac condition re p had not bee ciators are i in a frequent environmenta able that a f ovided by a f y the respons ift operation tioned as des	nd Unit i aneous f iation of ere cause ry Alarm ted in th 9, after Control s were re tuation, quiring n notifien n the ma ly trave lly commu- ire woul ire watch ible per s person igned.	2 were ire ala f the C ed by c Static he Unit verifi Buildi estored one of a limit ed to i in cont led are unicate d have h. An sonnel nel. 7 Similar	operations on for lan cation ng He to n the ing of nition read investor this even	ating in two of Bui ng in r Secund on that eating normal invol condit invol condit invol condit inte the room a Additi th the lly be stigat according to cont at cont cont at cont at cont at cont cont cont c	at 100% zones of lding Et the cont irity. 2 t 2 elect t an act ved fire ion for te LCO. Ind the a conally, control en detect ion will ddition. had mini- re report	and 85% of the con ergency trol room The kitch stronic e tual fire lating, a operation However affected the area l room pro- sted with be conse This evinal safe tted in 1	power ontrol Air Fi a kitch hen and add m and Ain nvestig lon zon on (LCC , the s fire a a is in roper, hin the ducted vent w aty sig LERs 1	room iltrati nen and d the a ant roo not exi r gation nes had 0), but fire detecti n close into t into t into t into t into t	on a larm m st, the on time he nce; and

NRC FORM 366A

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: A/30/92

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRP - URVENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RE-URDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NU'LEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555 AND TO THE PAPEHWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20553.

		Seconder of Assess	THE DECEMENT OF A PROPERTY OF	the second se	and the second state of th	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Unit 1	05000325	YEAR	SEQ NO.	REV NO.	2	
		92	002	00	111111	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

TITLE :

Automatic ESF Actuation of the Control Building Emergency Air Filtration System Due to Simultaneous Fire Alarms in Two Zones of the Control Room.

### INITIAL CONDITIONS

On January 17, 1992, Unit 1 and Unit 2 were operating at 100 and 85% power, respectively. The common Control Building Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning (CBHVAC) system was operating in normal line up; the Control Building Emergency Air Filtration (CBEAF) system was in standby.

### EVENT NARRATIVE

At 0215, the CBHVAC system supply dampers positioned to the emergency supply and the 2B CBEAF system in tiated automatically. The initiation resulted from sigultaneous fire alarms in two fire detection zones of the control room, as designed. The alarms were caused by cooking in the control room kitchen and a person smoking in the Secondary Alarm Station for Security. The kitchen and the alarm station are respectively located in the Unit 1 and Unit 2 electronic equipment room fire detection zones. At 0219, after verification that an actual fire did not exist, the alarms were reset and the CBHVAC and CBEAF systems were restored to normal line up.

The fire alarm in the control room kitchen was activated earlier in the shift when cooking began. Interviews indicate that the time was between 2100 and 2230 on January 16, 1992. At that time the alarm reset. The alarm was activated a second time between 0100 and 0215 on January 17, again due to cooking. The second alarm did not reset and the control building local fire alarm panel was placed in "silence" until the cooking was completed. The time the panel was actually placed in "silence" was not logged. Placing the panel "silence" prevents the remaining detectors in the affected fire detection zone from initiating an alarm and requires that a limiting condition for operation (LCO) be initiated.

LCOs as pointed with fire protection are the responsibility of the Radioactive Waste/Fire Protection (RW/FP) staff of Operations. Site personnel are responsible for informing the RW/FP personnel of problems associated with fire protection equipment. Although fire protection personnel were not notified that the panel had been placed in "silence" and no LCO was initiated, the fire protection annunciators are in the main control room and the affected fire detection zone is located in a frequently traveled area. Additionally, the area is in close proximity to and environmentally communicates with the control room proper. It is therefore reasonable that a fire would have readily been detected within the same time frame as that provided by a fire watch.

### CAUSE OF EVENT

The initiation resulted from simultaneous fire alarms in two fire detection zones of the control room.

The reason the placing of the fire detection panel in "silence" was not logged and the reason RW/FP was not notified to initiate an LCO has not been determined. An investigation will be conducted.

NHC FORM MEA

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION.

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXP(RES) 4/30/82

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARCING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND HEPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION: PROJECT (3150-304), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOORET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)	
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Unit 1	05000325	YEAR	SEQ NO.	REV NO.	3
		92	002	00	1. Carlos de la 1997

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

### CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

After verification that an actual fire did not exist, the alarms were reset and the CBHVAC and CBEAF systems were restored to normal line up. Fire protection personnel subsequently cleaned the detectors to help prevent spurious alarms.

Until further notice, smoking has been banned at the Secondary Alarm Station by order of the Flant General Manager.

An investigation will be conducted into the failure to log the placing of the fire detection panel in "silence" and failure to inform RW/FP of the condition.

This event will be reviewed with shift Operations personnel. The requirement to log plant status and events in chronological order and to inform RW/FP personnel of problems associated with fire protection equipment will be stressed.

### SAFETY ASSESSMENT

This event had minimal safety significance; the systems functioned as designed. The fire protection zone which was disabled by placing the local control panel in "silence" is located in a frequently traveled area. Additionally, the area is in close proximity to and environmentally communicates with the control room proper. It is therefore reasonable that a fire would have been detected in the same time frame as that provided by a fire watch.

#### PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

Similar events were reported in LERs 1-85-012 and 1-86-007.

#### EIIS COMPONENT IDENTIFICATION

System/Component EIIS Code

CBEAF

VI