

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR P GULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

*** SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 68 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-80 AND AMENDMENT NO. 67 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-82

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOLYET NOS. 50-275 AND 50-323

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 7, 1991, as supplemented by letter dated November 22, 1991, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (or the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Technical Specifications (TS). The proposed amendments would revise the combined TS for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2 to change Section 6.0 regarding the offsite review committee. The amendment includes changing the committee name from General Office Nuclear Plant Review and Audit Committee (GONPRAC) to Nuclear Safety Oversight Committee (NSOC), changing the committee composition, and eliminating the use of alternates on the committee.

2.0 EVALUATION

The NRC staff has reviewed the TS changes proposed by the licensee and finds them acceptable based on the following evaluation:

(a) TS 6.5, "Review and Audit," 6.6, "Reportable Event Action," 6.7, "Safety Limit Violation," and 6.10, "Record Retention," - The licensee requested that these TS be revised to change the name of the General Office Nuclear Plant Review and Audit Committee" (GONPRAC) to "Nuclear Safety Oversight Committee" (NSOC).

The NRC staff has review these changes and found them acceptable because the changes are editorial.

(b) TS 6.5.3.2, "Composition" - The licensee requested that the composition of GONPRAC/NSOC be redefined by deleting the specific titles of members and implementing the following requirements: (1) the committee chairman and members shall be appointed in writing by the Senior Vice President and General Manager, Nuclear Power Generation, (2) the chairman will have a minimum of six years of professional level managerial experience in the power generation field, (3) the committee members shall have a minimum of five years of professional level experience in the field of their specialty, and (4) the chairman and committee shall have qualifications that meet or exceed the requirements and recommendations of Section 4.7 of ANSI/ANS 3.1-1978.

202210179 920206 DR ADOCK 05000275 PDR PDR The NRC staff finds this requested change acceptable because it meets the appropriate acceptance criteria of Section 13.4 of NUREG 0800, the Standard Review Plan. Reference to the 1978 version of ANSI 3.1 is consistent with other Diablo Canyon TS references to this ANSI standard. The additional experience requirements have been included to satisfy the staff's requirement for years of experience.

(c) TS 6.5.3.3, "Alternates" - The requested amendment would eliminate the use of alternate offsite review committee members.

The NRC staff finds this requested change acceptable because it places more restrictive controls on the committee membership necessary for the performance of GONPRAC/NSOC functions identified in the TS.

(d) TS 6.5.3.5, "Meeting Frequency" - The requested amendment would eliminate reference to the committee meeting frequency during the first year of plant operation.

The NRC staff finds this requested change acceptable because the information is no longer applicable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the California State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting and administrative requirements. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will no' be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Brian McDermott

Date: February 6, 1992