OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Agency: U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: INTERVIEW OF: WILLIAM BURMEISTER

Docket No.

LOCATION WAYNESBORD, GEORGIA

DATE TUESDAY, MARCH 27, 1990

PAGES 1-32

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 1612 K St. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

9202190619 920116 PDR ADDCK 05000424 S PDR ADDENDUM TO INTERVIEW OF

(Print Identity of Interviewee) Correction and Reason for Correction Page Line 9 Official records have the exact time, I believe I stated 9:56 14 Should say approximately 10:10 15 9-12 charge lines to read "I I'm the Vostle representative for the Westinchouse owners scong They have a guide line that's comming out I think in a couple of weeks scaling with Loss of RHR while operating at mid loop conditions. (change for betty accuracy 15 19-20 Change Point information to Vorkexing I don't remember what I said but "Point" information" makes no sense, change " unable" to unavailable" change "Unit 1" to "Unit 2" add "weather" before data for 16 18 25 clarification 22 9 13 recall " should have been "requal" 14 22 which is short for "requalification training 26 15076 delete " I Think like an hour and a half later on home later " replace with "soon afterwords 11 January 27 20 Start new sandence with "And mugbe ... " (clarity) Page 1 Date 3-29-90 Signature Walturt

1

ADDENDUM TO INTERVIEW OF (Print Identity of Interviewee) Page line Correction and Reason for Correction change force path " to "forced flow 30 path" (for clarity) 31 5+6 meure "fer a minute" from line b to line 5 after the word "started for clarity). Page 2 Date 3-29-90 Signature W Brunth

.0

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

INTERVIEW OF:

WILLIAM BURMEISTER

Main Conference Room Administration Building Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Waynesboro, Georgia

Tuesday, March 27, 1990

The interview commenced at 9:08 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

WILLIAM LAZARUS AL CHAFFEE WARREN LYON GENE TRAGER

On behalf of INPO:

PAUL DIETZ

On behalf of CP&L:

MIKE JONES

	Page 2
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. LA?ARUS: Today's date is March 27, 9:06 a.m.
3	I'm billium Lazarus, conducting an interview of Mr.
4	Burmeister.
5	Whereupon,
6	WILLIAM BURMEISTER
7	appeared as a witness herein and was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. LAZARUS:
11	Q Mr. Burmeister, will you tell us your name for the
12	record and what your duties are at the station?
13	A Okay, my name is Bill Burmelster and my title is
14	Unit Superintendent. And what 1 have done is I have
15	basically written down a list of sequence of events as I
16	recall, to the best of my knowledge, and I'll pretty much
17	just read from it because it's the most accurate that way I
18	believe.
19	Q That's fine.
20	A If you don't have any problem with that.
21	Q I don't have any problem with that. What was your
22	function on Tuesday during the loss of vital power incident?
23	A My function is what activity did I perform?
2.4	Q Yes.
25	A I assisted the OS in completing the notification

O

•

forms for the site area emergency.

Q Were you normally on shift at the time or you just went up to assist?

A No, I was not on shift, I came to the control room to assist the OS -- not OS, we call them Shift Superintendents now -- as he needed, to support conditions in the plant.

Q Okay. So would you just go in your own words since you prepared a chronology as best you can remember it, and tell us how you got there and what you did when you arrived in the control room.

A Okay, the time of the trip was 9:20 and I was in my office at the time. I heard a loud bang and subsequent announcement of the Unit 2 reactor trip over the page system. Loss of service building power also occurred at this time and this is where my office is located. I noticed this because all the lights went out.

I was beeped to call the control room and I
attempted to call the control room but could not because the
phone system was down. I then proceeded to the control room
and on the way I observed a lot of people headed for the low
voltage switch yard; therefore, I also headed for the low
voltage switch yard to see what I could find on my way to
the control room.

25

I observed a down transmission line feeding the



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1-A RAT and asked what had happened. Others present said that a lube oil truck, still there, had backed into a transmission line support pole and knocked the line down. I asked if anyone was injured and the response I got was the driver could not be located, therefore I presume that he had abandoned the truck and the area, for whatever reason.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

At this time, I incorrectly assumed the damage was to the feeder supply in the B RAT, which I knew to be out of service, and therefore I presumed that the incident had no impact on Unit 1 and that the resulting electrical disturbance had resulted in some kind of generator trip on Unit 2.

13 At approximately 9:30 to 9:32, I entered the control room area and observed that one RAT had been lost on 14 Unit 2 because I entered the Unit 2 control area first. I 15 16 then proceeded to the Unit 1 control area and observe a loss of on-site and off-site AC power. I did notice that power 17 18 was available to the non-1-E busses which is available 19 through a UAT backfeed arrangement that we have during 20 refueling outages.

In conjunction with the shift superintendent, I assigned an individual who worked for me to make sure that the containment was closed up. He had also reported -- or was in the control room at the time. By closed up, I specifically stated the personnel lock closed and the

Page 4

equipment hatch secured.

Q The shift superintendent was Mr. Hopkins? A Right. I then volunteered to fill out the emergency plan notification forms, noting that no one had started that at this time. This is approximately I'd say at 9:32.

At 9:40, I determined the plan classification level to be a gite area emergency and received concurrence from the Operations Manager and Shift Superintendent. I then completed the notification forms and sybmitted them to the Shift Superintendent, who is the Emergency Director, John Hopkins.

13

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

RENOAD

BAYONIE

N. Conoch

本發展開

The Operations Manager was whom?

14

A Jim Swartzwelder.

15 I then gave the notification form to the shift 16 clerk for notification of off-site agencies. After doing 17 this, I tried to pay a little bit more attention to what was 18 going on in the plant and requested another individua! who 19 had not been doing much -- he was standing there available -20 - to be given something to do. I requested that he monitor 21 the core exit thermocouples and obtain some weather data 22 from the ERF. I don't remember the exact temperature of the 23 thermocouples. I think the logs have that information, but 24 I could see that there was a pretty good heat-up rate going 25 on in the reactor core.

The weather data I was informed was unavailable from the ERF and I didn't know -- I don't know the reason for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

23

24

25

戸田村田大白

BAYONNE

At 9:50, I observed the restoration of on-site emergency power, a diesel generator. The shift operators present had emergency started the diesel and it stayed running and they loaded the bus and it continued to run until we restored one of the RATs to service.

9 At 10:03, I began filling out the follow-up 10 notification form to downgrade to an alert and this was 11 based on the diesel generator being in service now and 12 appeared to be stable. Technically I guess the 13 classification could have been lowered to an unusual event 14 but the reason we stayed with an alert classification was we 15 wanted to maintain the TSC active just in case the unit --16 things turned worse, you know, we had experienced another 17 problem.

18 10:10 -- these are all Eastern times -- by the way
19 -- I checked the progress of the notifications to off-site
20 agancies. The shift clerk reported that all had been
21 contacted except GEMA and Burke County and I told ner to
22 continue trying to get them.

At 10:15, the General Manager relieved the Shift Superintendent as Emergency Director, and some time after that I reported to the TSC to assist the operations --

Page 6

Paye 7

A Yes -- well a little bit after that, probably somewhere 9:35 -- sometime before 9:40 because it was completed at 9:40, the forms were completed at 9:40.

Q You provided that to the shift clerks to make the notifications?

A After -- yes, after the Shift Superintendent had authorized it.

Q The first feedback then that you had any indication of a communication problem was you said at 10:10 when you got back and they said they had notified everybody except for GEMA -- G-E-M-A -- and Burke County?

A At 10:10 was the first time I was aware of a problem with communication -- well getting ahold of off-site individuals. I did know earlier on that the bridge phone, I think there was a problem with the bridge phone and therefore the shift clerk had to contact each off-site agency individually.

22 Q Okay, so they did give you some feedback that they 23 were having some difficulty or diffinities and were having 24 to do it by backup methods?

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

A Right. I guess in retrospect if I were involved

again, I would have the shift clerk -- before the forms are filled out, I would have the shift clerk get in touch with everybody, get them on the line and waiting for the message to come, because that took a significant period of time and we could have saved some time there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

Page 8

Q That's a good idea. Were you involved at all with the emergency notification system notification to the NRC?

A I was not directly involved, I was indirectly involved in that an individual by the name of Jeff Gasser conducted the notification and remained on the phone with the NRC throughout the event, and I overheard his conversation and for guite some time I monitored it and I thought he was doing a very good job and I was comfortable with what he was doing, so I did not inturfere or get involved any more than just to listen.

Ó Were there any indications of communication difficulty on that line?

They did switch lines from the Unit 2 control to A Unit 1 control and I didn't ask way, but I think the 19 communications with the NRC started somewhere around 10:00 20 21 Eastern, and they were pretty much continuous from that point on until the emergency was terminated, as far as I 22 23 know.

MR. LAZARUS: This is Mr. Chaffee joining us. Bill Burmeister.

MR. CHAFFEE: HJ, Bill. THE WITNESS: Hi.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1010

MR. LAZARUS: Mr. Chaffee is the team leader. BY MR. LAZARUS:

Q Were you aware of any unusual questions or existence of any inappropriate questions coming over the NRC hot line?

Page 9

A I did ask Jeff that particular question. I was concerned that there might be some kind of distraction with the number of people that were apparently involved. He said there were several NRC people on the line and they were asking all kinds of questions and he said generally they were good questions and he was able to answer them to their satisfaction, I guess -- that was the impression I got. So I was not aware of any problems -- to answer your question. Q Did you have any difficulty using the emergency

action level procedures to classify the event?

A No. The strategy I used is I looked at the highest 18 19 possible incident that we had. There could have been other 20 categories for classifying the event. Loss of off-site power and on-cite power for more than 15 minutes is a site 21 area emergency and I looked at general emergency items and 22 there was nothing to indicate that we had a general 23 24 emergency. I also looked at other site area emergency classification items and there was nothing else there. I 25

didn't look at other lower classification items because I
was more interested in the highest classification and
calling it correctly and completing the notification forms.
 Q Is your classification system specifically
 structured to lead you from the highest to the lowest?
 A Well ==

Page 10

4.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

Q Or is that just part of your training?

A It == you look at == the strategy we use, there's a classification form that looks at three barrier statuses and then it looks at the "other" category, and this happened to be an "other" category. The "other" category == I looked at the barriers and did not see anything that fit real well as far as challenging or breaching barriers; the fuel, the vessel, the containment. And then I looked at the "other" category and it was the electrical systems that was the highest level classification and marked it a site area emergency.

After finishing that, I could have signed the form, but I went back and looked at the pulled out page for any other activities that might have been higher level classification -- I kind of did it on my own I guess --- and didn't find anything that was a higher classification or warranted a higher classification. Therefore, I stuck with the site area emergency classification.

25

0

There were some announcements made to plant

personnel regarding the site area emergency. Did you get involved in the formulation of those announcements or making those announcements?

Page 11

I did not make any of the announcements. I heard A the announcement and if you asked me at the time who the individuals were that made the announcements, I couldn't have told you, but I have since talked to those individuals and I know who made the announcements. But to answer your question, I was not directly -- I did not directly make any of the announcements.

Were you aware that some of the unnouncements were 0 later made by Security or Evacuation Unaccountability?

A Yes, I was told that.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

MR. DIETZ: Bill, during the outage, what is your level of staffing for operations support on the day shift and on the night shift? I understand you're running 12-hour shifts so there's two shifts a day.

18 THE WITNESS: The additional ople on the day shift are supervisory in nature and probably amount to like 19 20 three or four extra people.

21 MR. DIETZ: Uh-huh. How about in terms of 22 operations crew support, are there a lot more operators 2. available on the day shift?

THE WITNESS: There are a lot more plant equipment operators -- not a lot more, there are a few more plant 25

	Page 12
1	equipment operators available on the day shift than there
2	are on the night shift. How many I don't know.
3	MR. DIETZ: You're running about two and a half
4	times the normal shift during the day and two times a normal
5	shift at night?
6	THE WITNESS: Right.
7	MR. DIETZ: And that's just for the outage?
8	THE WITNESS: Right.
9	MR. DIETZ: To support all the work that's g.ing
10	on?
11	THE WITNESS: Right.
12	BY MR. LAZARUS:
13	Q Is there anything in the EPRA that you can share
14	with us anything that we forgot to ask about that you'd
15	like to tell us about?
16	A Last time I was interviewed I was asked for
17	recommendations and I guess I had a chance to think about it
1.8	a little bit more since then because I wasn't expecting to
19	have to answer that question but I would suggest that the
20	non-essential personnel that were asked to leave the site
21	protected area, be kept a little better informed as to what
22	is going on because in some cases they are the people that
23	go home and they end up talking to other people in the
24	community and if they're not very well informed, there's a
25	tendency for incorrect information to be communicated and

1

()

that sometimes works very much igainst the organization. Q I agree with that.

Page 13

A The other item I mentioned about getting the shift clerk on the line as soon as possible with the off-site agencies and having her wait and having those people wait until the notification forms are completed, rather than the other way aroun fould have saved some time and expedited communications.

We're going to probably have a new clicy on allowing trucks and cranes in the switch yard area.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

A I think that's scmething that needs to be considered a lot more carefully, possibly a watch outside the truck directing the movement of the truck should also be considered.

16 Une other area that might be looked at is a 17 requirement for on-site and off-site power sources during 18 m.d-loop operations. Currently you have two on-site and two 19 off-site normally available at full power. In Mode 5 and 6, 20 you're allowed to drop down to one off-site and one on-site. 21 There may be consideration for increasing the requirements 22 in that area.

Q One question to go back for a minute -- was there a clear announcement made when Mr. Bockhold relieved Mr. Kopkins as Emergency Director, so you were aware of that?

A I was aware of it. I don't know if a page announcement -- I don't think a page announcement was made, but I was aware of it.

Q In the control room?

A I think he announced it to the people in the control room, is the way it worked.

MR. CHAFFEE: Could I ask --- you are a -- what is your position?

THE WITNESS: Shift Superintendent -- I'm sorry, Unit Superintendent.

MR. CHAFFEE: So you were an extra on shift?

12 THE WITNESS: I was not on shift. I am a day shift 13 person, to support the operations management. The way the 14 organization is set up, the Shift Superintendent reports to 15 the Operations Manager. The Operations Manager has two day 15 shift superintendents but we're titled Unit 17 Superintendents, that assist him in carrying out the 18 activities that need to be done.

MR. CHAFFEE: What are the responsibilities you have?

THE WITNESS: Primary responsibility is refueling outage support right now, and more planning and maintenance activities and clearance assignment for Unit 2 while it's operating.

MR. CHAFFEE: Have you had any involvement in any

ł

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 work that's been going on in the area of developing 2 procedures for the Operations Department -- do you get 3 involved in that? THE WITNESS: To some extent. I approve system 5 operating procedures and the alignment procedures. 6 MR. CHAFFEE: Do you know if any work has been 7 going on in the area of developing procedures to handle 8 events in the operations area? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm the owners' group 10 representative for Westinghouse and they have a guideline 11 that's coming out I think in a couple of weeks on operation 12 of RHRF mid-loop. 13 MR. CHAFFEE: Oh, is that right? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 MR. CHAFFEE: Do you know if any of those 16 procedures would focus on bringing together loss of vital 17 bus power and loss of shutdown cooling? 18 THE WITNESS: It does deal with loss of shutdown 19 cooling but from a different perspective. Point 20 information, air entrainment and other things like that. It did not focus on loss of electrical power for a sustained 21 22 period of time. 23 MR. CHAFFEE: We don't have time to get into this, but we may ask you to talk in that area a little bit more. 24 25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

Page 15

MR. JONES: I'u like to ask a question here. In your job as Unit Superintendent coordinating maintenance activities, are you aware of when equipment is taken out of tervice and when it's ready to go back in service?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. JONES: With regard to the RAT that was out of service, do you know whether -- apparently it was very close to being put back in service. Do you know how long it had been -- could it have been put back in service earlier?

THE WITNESS: It could have been expedited possibly, but I had just received word that it was ready, either earlier that day or late the previous day. Actually the word I received was that it was going to be ready to turn over very soon. So I didn't have a time for which maintenance was expected to be finished but I knew it was soon.

MR. JONES: What would be the name of the maintenance contact?

THE WITNESS: Actually it was brought up in our 7:30 status meetings, refueling outage status meetings, and I can't remember the individual that brought it up.

MR. CHAFFEE: But it was brought up in the context that it was ready for clearance to be removed or --

THE WITNESS: The context was that the work was almost completed and it was ready, you know -- close to

600

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 17 1 being ready to be restored to service. 2 MR. CHAFFEE: We're trying to figure out whether or not, you know, it could have been done sooner or ---3 THE WITNESS: Any delay? 5 MR. JONES: Yeah, that's what we're trying to 6 figure out. 7 THE WITNESS: Maybe a few hours, but not days. 8 MR. CHAFFEE: Okay. 9 MR. JONES: Do you know if that is typically -- or 10 maybe you could give me the name of the maintenance person we could talk to -- is that typically a 24-hour a day job or 11 they just put that on the -- the transformer on the day 12 13 shift? 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know -- I don't know how that was being worked. But the work finished up ahead of 15 schedule I know. It went fairly well, but I don't know if 16 tney were just working day shift or around the clock. 17 MR. JONES: What about on the diesel generator, 18 same type of question. Is that a 24-hour a day job? 19 20 THE WITNESS: I believe the diesel generator was 21 working 24 hours a day. 22 MR. JONES: And the primary work, steam generator work, was that -- if you don't know the answer, maybe you 23 24 can just tell me who we might talk to. THE WITNESS: By steam generator work, you mean the 25

MR. JONES: Right, all the work that was being done on the primary system.

THE WITNESS: The primary system was pretty much critical path. The activities keeping us at mid-loop were critical path activities and therefore they were being worked around the clock.

MR. LAZARUS: Are you finished, Mike?

MR. JONES: Yes.

BY MR. LAZARUS:

Q I did have one other question. You talked about trying to get weather data -- maybe we answered it already -- weather data was unavailable and you didn't know the reason at the time. You haven't learned anything subsequent to that?

A It was unable on our ERF, emergency response facility computer, which is in the control room on the Unit I side. I didn't think at the time, but we could have tried 19 the Unit 1 computer to see if that was available. It was not an immediate concern, it was more of a follow-up concern because I know we didn't have a release at the time and it was something I thought we had a few minutes to get information on. So when I filled out the notification sheet, I put "data not available" instead of listing the 25 data.

8

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

If I thought it were an immediate concern, I would have called Chemistry or HP and had them give us that information, or we could have called the local Weather Bureau.

Page 19

Q Do you know what action was taken to get that information from the MET Tower?

A No -- oh, other than calling it up on the screen display on the ERF computer?

Q Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

25

A And the individual, Dave Vineyard, who checked on it for me, said it was registering "bad data". That's all I know.

MR. CHAFFEE: Do you know to what extent the people 13 14 here have attempted to try to schedule the activities during this outage such that they minimize the potential 15 threat of having this type of event occurring? Things like 16 making sure that you didn't go to mid-loop early on in the 17 outage when you had a lot of decay heat or making sure you 18 19 didn't have things occurring simultaneously that would make you vulnerable. Do you know to what state of development 20 the people that are involved in scheduling these things were 21 22 at or do you know who we should talk to to try and figure 23 that out?

THE WITNESS: Well --

MR. CHAFFEE: Do you understand what I'm asking?

THE WITNESS: -- I think you're scheduled to talk to most of the people. Where were quite a few people involved, but primarily --

Page 20

MR. CHAFFEE: Is there a central person who does that or a group or --

THE WITNESS: Well, it was the Outage and Planning group in conjunction with Operations, and I'll take responsibility for that. Our primary consideration was complying with the Tech Specs, which requires one on-site and one off-site power source.

MR. CHAFFEE: Have you been involved in a process of -- what I'm trying to figure out is whore you guys are in dealing with I guess it was a generic letter 8817 -- are you familiar with that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

23

24

25

MR. CHAFFEE: And I know on that, people were trying to figure out ways of dealing with, you know, loss of shutdown cooling and that sort of thing. I'm trying to sort of get a picture, maybe an overview, of what you guys have done or who we talk to who is sort of the guru in this area that understands the big picture, how you guys are developing along that line.

THE WITNESS: Okay, a lot of our guidance is in procedures now, has been put in procedures during the last year and it's been refined and improved upon as new

information becomes available.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

も利むれた

BAYONNE

N

口内林

MR. CHAFFEE: Is there some sort of document that sort of -- white paper that says okay, well here's the plan and here's the procedures that are going to go into it and sort of overviews the work in this area?

THE WITNESS: I think you can get our response from a regulatory standpoint from a group of individuals under Rick Odom. And as far as the procedures that reflect that guidance, the Unit Operating Procedure 12007 and 12000 have that information in it.

MR. CHAFFEE: Okay.

THE WITNESS: In fact, 12006, 12007, 12000 and 12001 have that information in them.

MR. CHAFFEE: So if we wanted to talk to somebody that could maybe come in and show us these documents and explain how it developed, the person we should go to is who? THE WITNESS: Jim Swartzwelder or I could do it. MR. CHAFFEE: Okay.

19THE WITNESS: There are a number of people that20could do it.

21 MR. CHAFFEE: We're looking for who that person is 22 and we'd like to do that, so --

23 MR. LYON: You mentioned a Rick, would you spell
24 Rick's name?

THE WITNESS: Rick Odom, O-d-o-m.

MR. JONES: Is he regulatory compliance? THE WITNESS: Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

25

Page 22

MR. LYON: I'm going to recommend -- I've just got a couple of real quick questions of clarification and I'm going to recommend that we ask Bill if he would come back and visit with us some more at another time because we're not going to have anywhere the time we need and hold the schedule -- if that's okay. Are you on today, by the way?

THE WITNESS: I'm in recall this week, but I'll be available after 4:00.

MR. CHAFFEE: Maybe we could do it then.

MR. LYON: That is perfect timing because there's a slot open over there.

MR. JONES: Covering shutdown events in the recall? THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. CHAFFEE: Can you be ready to discuss that by 4:00 or do you need some time to pull some stuff together? Would 5:00 be better for you?

19 THE W.TNESS: Yeah, it'd be better if you gave me 20 an hour.

MR. CHAFFEF: How about 5:00 then?

THE WITNESS: You want me to discuss the procedures and how we've incorporated the guidance from the generic letter?

MR. CHAFFEE: Yes, that'd be good.

MR. DIETZ: And all of your shutdown emergency type procedures, LOCAs at shutdown, anything dealing with events that might occur in the shutdown area. And we'd like to talk to you a little bit probably about the Westinghouse effort that's going on there too.

Page 23

THE WITNESS: That's a little hard. I'm going to be in classroom for six hours, but --

MR. DIETZ: Would tomorrow be a better time? THE WITNESS: Tomorrow would be better.

MR. CHAFFEE: That's fine. Would you take this -would you go talk to whoever in your management and explain to them what our need is and arrange for the people to come and we'll have a discussion, and what would be a good time to do that? If it's tomorrow, that's fine, but we want to understand the big picture of where you guys are progressing in this area.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

17

25

 \mathcal{P}

NNOAD.

日本とつれた日

-

下公开制

18 MR. LYON: Al, are you suggesting a group effort 19 here like perhaps several people on the record 20 simultaneously?

MR. CHAFFEE: We can do that. I'm asking them to propose how they think would be the best way to do it. We could bring in more than one but we'll do it on the record, you know.

MR. DIETZ: If you'll bring copies of the

procedures, that would help a lot because it's easy to build them right into our record.

Page 24

MR. CHAFFEE: Exactly.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. CHAFFEE: That'll prevent us from going around all over the place.

MR. LYON: Two clarifications, if you would, on things we covered. One thing that we're trying to carefully do is obtain what I might call in quotes "original data". I noticed in going through here, you were very precise on a number of the times. Is that as a result of your personal record at the time or have you gone back and looked at the sequence of events and tried to repeat some of that information into what you're saying here in order to try to be more precise to us?

THE WITNESS: The times I used were known for other reasons. For example -- I can go through each of the times. 9:20 was the time of the trip, so I could use that one. I didn't look at my watch and say yeah, ic is 9:20. I knew that when I heard the loud bang in the switch yard that it was 9:20 and I heard the page announcement all occur at pretty much the same time. The 9:32 -- the reason -- I said approximately 9:32, although I know that sounds fairly precise -- at 9:30 we logged that we broke condenser vacuum on Unit 2 and it was a short time after that that I entered

ł

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Unit 2 control room, so I estimated two minutes. That's why I said 9:32. The 9:40 was the time at which I filled out the emergency notification forms and that's the time on the forms, so I put that time down here. The next time, restoration of an emergency power source at 9:56, that was logged as the time we restored the diesel generator. The next time, 10:03, is another time that also appears on the classification form.

Page 25

MR. LYON: That you put down?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

G A

日本下の外村長

z Shorn

下口白胡

10 THE WITNESS: Right. And I put it down in an 11 incorrect place, but in any event it happened, -- you know, 12 what happened occurred at that time, 10:03. AT 10:10, 13 that's an approximate time that I checked with the shift 14 clerk. I knew that some time after I filled out the second 15 follow-up -- the follow-up notification form and before 16 George became Emergency Director, that I had checked with 17 the clerk on the notifications and I estimated that time to 18 be at approximately 10:10. And again, at 10:15, George became Emergency Director. 19

MR. LYON: So this really is a real good original time record, from your view point. That's good.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I wrote down the times and then I filled in the information on the time frames.

MR. LYON: I've only got one more. You indicated I kind of took you by surprise with asking for

recommendations. If you stepped back and sort of looked at the whole thing, you've given us what I think are some really excellent suggestions. Would you make a broad overview comment, if you will, on the whole declaration process and whether you think it worked well or needs some really rignificant improvement kinds of things? Don't let me throw words at you, but what are your thoughts on that whole thing?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

21

22

23

24

25

ENGAD

BAYONNE

'n

ORM

Page 26

9 THE WITNESS: On the emergency plan aspect -- I 10 kind of wanted to make a comment on our response to the 11 event, which I thought was bordering on excellent, as far as 12 actions taken by the operators to get busses back. The 13 diesel generator was powering the bus within I think 35-40 14 minutes, reliably, and we got one of the RATs back in 15 service I think like an hour and a half later, an hour 16 later. And we got the second RAT back later that day. So 17 we had one on-site and two off-site power sources available. 18 I think operationally, things went real well, actions want real well. You could say we're lucky but I could say well 19 20 good training helped us to make things happen.

MR. LYON: I would agree that skill helps luck a lot. But I don't think I expressed myself clearly from this standpoint, how do you think the declaration process worked and does it need significant improvement?

THE WITNESS: In order to meet the time frames that

are required by our procedures, 15 minute initial notification, there has to be a little bit more improvement. I think that for significant events like a site area and a general emergency, the Shift Superintendent's focus is going to be more on the plant than on the notifications because it's important, you know. There's a real conflict there. Notifications are important, but so is controlling the plant and getting the plant in a stable condition. And his emphasis in the more significant emergencies is going to be getting the plant in a stable condition. Therefore, your communications tend to suffer. And I hate to say this in front of emergency response people -- they'll take a second priority -- but the reactor operations people say yes, I agree with you and the emergency response people say no, emergency planning is just as important as reactor operations. But that's the way I see it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

ENGAD

BAYONNE

N

17 So our notifications were a little bit slower and 18 had I come directly to the control room -- I volunteered to 19 do it right away -- I think we could have done a little bit 20 better, but I didn't and maybe the Shift Superintendent could have assigned somebody else before I got there, to get 21 22 going on the notifications a little bit sooner. But I think 23 we should have done a better job in getting the 24 notifications out and started sooner.

There's a general -- kind of a reluctance, I'll

Page 27

admit, on my part to call it a site area emergency right away. I looked at it and it's pretty black and white if you look at the procedure and I asked two other individuals, as I mentioned before, for their concurrence basically. I said tell me basically that I'm classifying this correctly. And they agreed, and once I got their agreement, I went ahead and filled the forms out and gave them to the Shift Superintendent. And the notifications proceeded from there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

0 A

日本王の方法を

N

0 件 M

Page 28

9 MR. CHAFFEE: Is that because you were not sure 10 that the conditions you had really merited that or you were 11 sort of shocked by the fact that here we are -- is this 12 really a site area emergency?

13 THE WITNESS: Probably the latter. Site area 14 emergencies don't happen very often in the industry and it 15 has -- receives a high level of attention by a lot of 16 people, not just regulatory people, but the general public. 17 And you want to be right when you declare it. That's all, I 18 just wanted to make sure I was right. We did have non-1-E 19 power available. However if you look in Tech Specs, it 20 talks about on-site and off-site power sources and that's 21 just as it applies to 1-E busses, and we did not comply with 22 that. We did not have on-site or off-site power supply to 23 the 1-E busses, and therefore, it was considered a site area 24 emergency.

MR. CHAFFEE: I don't know if this question was

asked, but did you -- when you were in the control room, did you hear or observe anything relative to what was going on with getting the diesel started or anything relative to people monitoring the RCS unit?

12.28

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 29

THE WITNESS: Other individuals may have already asked, but I also independently asked an individual to monitor core exit thermocouple temperatures.

MR. CHAFFEE: Did you get feedback from him?

THE WITN^F ;S: Yes, the first temperature he gave me was in the 120's, the low 120's and later on he gave me one that was 130 and I kind of looked at the amount of time that had elapsed and figured out a rate that would be somewhere around 40-50 degrees an hour. I don't know how accurate that was but in my mind I got a feel for how far "way we were from 200 degrees and when we should -- hased on that. I would have decided to go with alternative means of cooling the core at some point in time. And I was thinking like around 150 or 160 degrees, that we should take alternative action if we had not powered up one of the busses by then.

MR. CHAFFEE: Consisting of what?

THE WITNESS: Gravity feed from the RWST was the first one that came to mind. Now we have non-1-E power available on the secondary plant, we could have gotten, you know, a pump and found some injection point to transfer water from the RWST through a force path to the RCS, but gravity feed -- as long as you don't have pressure in the reactor vessel, gravity feed will provide -- by our previous experience -- like 500 to 1000 gallons a minute flow, which is a very large amount of water.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Page 30

MR. CHAFFFE: Has there been a study done on this alternate means of providing water as part of the generic letter thing?

THE WITNESS: At this utility -- at this --MR. CHAFFEE: Yes.

THE WITLESS: Not to my knowledge. There may have been, but to my knowledge we had not done that. However, when the TSC was established, the Engineering manager and his individuals were looking at alternative means of cooling the core. We're kind of relying on them to pursue that course of action in parallel with action we were taking. And John Aufdenkampe was responsible, he's Engineering Manager in the TSC. If you're not scheduled to talk to him, you sight want to.

MR. LA%ARUS: What was the name?

THE WITNESS: Aufdenkampe -: you're going to ask me to spell it -- A-u-f-d-e-n-k-a-m-p-e.

23 MR. CHAFFEE: How about the diesel, did you observe 24 anything relative to that?

THE WITNESS: Well initially I heard that it

tripped on I think it was low jacket water pressure and we -- I'm not sure of the details there because I wasn't intimately involved, but my understanding was that they were going to send somebody out to monitor jacket water pressure while we attempted to start it again and it started and tripped I think for the same reason for a minute and they decided to emergency start it because that particular trip is bypassed and didn't seem to be valid, so we emergency started it and it worked fine.

Page 31

MR. LAZARUS: I know Jimmy Cash is out there waiting and you're going to be coming back anyway. So unless someone else has anything to follow on immediately, we'll let you go.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. LAZARUS: Off the record.

(Whereupon, the interview was concluded at 9:45 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE

1

18

19

20

22

2 3 This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the 4 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of: Name: Interview of WILLIAM BURMEISTER 5 6 Docket Number: 7 8 Place: Vogtle Nuclear Generating Plant, Waynesboro, GA 9 Date: March 27, 1990 10 were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear 11 Regulatory Commission taken stenographically by me and, 12 13 thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction, and that the transcript is a true and accurate 14 15 record of the foregoing proceedings. 16 17

> WILLIAM L. WARREN Official Reporter

Ann Riley & Associates