OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Agency: U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:

INTERVIEW OF: GARY BRENENBORG AND INDIRA KOCHERY

Docket No.

LOCATION:

Waynesboro, Georgia

DATE

March 27, 1990

PAGES: 1-13

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

1612 K St. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

ADDENDUM TO INTERVIEW OF GARY C BREAKBORG (Print Identity of Interviewee)

86	Line	Correct	ion and Reas	on for Corre	ction	
26	court					

						-
		-		The state of the s		***************************************
-						-
-		-				
			and the same of th			
-				*****	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, AND	-
			Marine State Control of the St			Mark Control Section 44
					-	
	-		Contract to the second	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.		

						-
		A SECULAR DESIGNATION OF PERSONS AND			-	
	WHEN HE STREET, LANSING THE STREET, STREET,		Personal Control of the Control of t			
			No. of the last			-

Da	ite 3-30-9(Si	gnature	A.C.B.			*

ADDENDUM TO INTERVIEW OF Individed COMER (Print Identity of Interviewee)

Page	line	Correction and Reason for Correction	
3 253 4 9	7	hold instead of "abold" an	
253	25	" and was mark " "instead of period bytes	11
4	20	What is an apply to the property of the party of the part	-
9	3	Tidd bewer " 4, 172 space	

			N COMMUNICATION .
			are extensive extensive
	M. M. P. C. M.		
			*** **********
			-
	The latest and the la		-
			-
			AT OR STREET, SALES
	-		
			-
ge	Date 3/14/4	o Signature & de Kochen	-

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

INTERVIEW OF:

GARY BRENENBORG INDIRA KOCHER

> Site Manager's Conference Room Administration Building Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Waynesboro, Georgia

Tuesday, March 27, 1990

The interview commenced at 5:46 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

WILLIAM LAZARUS GENE TRAGER

3 4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

MR. LAZARUS: On the record. It's March 27, 1990, 545 p.m. We're at Vogtle Plant in an interview with Gary Brenenborg and Indira Kochery regarding their role in the loss of power incident on March 20th.

Whereupon,

GARY BRENENBORG

INDIRA KOCHERY

appeared as witnesses herein, and were examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. LAZARUS:

Q Would you state your name for the record please, Gary?

A (Witness Brenenborg) My name is Gary Brenenborg.

My position during the emergency was that of a TSC supervisor, HP supervisor. Prior to the emergency actually being declared, I was in the service building in my office and we had a loss of power in the service building.

Shortly after we had the loss of power, I got up and went to the HP control point. The reason I wanted to go there, I wanted to make -- find out if they still had power in the plant. I didn't know what they had over there and I was concerned that somebody could sti'l be using the breathing air. I was concerned that breathing air might

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been lost.

I got over to the control point and I saw that all the power was out over there. I got shold of the foreman that I knew was in containment, Tony Bush. I also knew there was only one job going on at that time using the breathing air which was a seal table. I was able to get ahold of Tony and I told him to get the people out of the breathing air because I wasn't sure exactly how it was going to affect the breathing air by the power being out. All I knew was there was power out at the plant.

After that, I left the control point once I made it clear to Tony that we needed to get everybody out of breathing air. I went over to where the breathing air compressor is located, which is just outside the equipment hatch. I got over there, the compressor was still working properly. Tony had told me when I talked to him on the phone he still had breathing air down thero, but I still told him to get the people out because I didn't know what was going to happen to the power. The compressor was working properly.

Short, thereafter I saw some people running up and down the roadway and I hadn't heard anything over the page, you can't hear very much over the page at the equipment hatch. I went up to some guy who was coming towards me and I asked him why is everybody running. At that time I found

7.

out a site area emergency was declared. And I went to the TSC.

Q Had an evacuation been ordered -- had anything apart from that site area emergency been announced as far as evacuating personnel?

A (Witness Brenenborg) I had not heard that.

Q He did not mention that? You said you asked someone about the ---

A (Witness Brenenborg) Well I saw people running on the roadway, I asked where everybody was running to and the guy I talked to, Lawson Bailey, he said there's just been a site area emergency declared. Up to that point all I know is we had lost power, I didn't realize we were getting close to actually having to declare any type of emergency.

I went to the TSC. When I got to the TSC, Jerry
Lucot, who is the other HP supervisor, was there. He had
come from the HP control point. I talked to Jerry real
quick, let him know I would assume responsibility as the TSC
HP supervisor and he said okay, he was going to the OSC.
That's normally, when we've done things in the past, I
usually report to the TSC in the HP supervisor's slot, Jerry
goes to the OSC and then we release people back to the HP
control point from the OSC, to where we've got all our
equipment and all of our necessary things we need to do our
HP work.

....

One of the first things I did when I got there they were in the process of setting up the TSC. That involves putting all the procedures out, notebooks, what-not. I opened up the procedure book to the HP checklist.

Shortly thereafter I looked at the ERF computer and noted that I had no MET tower data. Shortly after that, James Carswell who is the HP foreman -- is an HP foreman, along with an HP technician, came to the TSC which is where they're supposed to go during an emergency and I let James know that we had no MET tower information. What I asked James to do is two things, see if he could get some people over to the MET tower but before doing that I just asked him to step outside real quick and take a look at the cooling tower to see which way the wind was blowing.

At the same time the technician was in the process of having the building checks in the TSC. After that I went through my checklist and also went back to the board and saw that I didn't have any information on rad monitors on the ERF computer either.

Probably ten or fifteen minutes after that, I was in the process of setting up -- I've got another computer over there I can look at. I went over and I was able to determine that -- I was able to see the low range area monitors inside the Unit 1 containment. I was also able to tell that the problem was that they didn't have power for

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the RHR because they had already started logging things on the status board.

I'm not sure where I should go from here.

- Everything was normal now, pretty well staffed up? 0
- Ä (Witness Erenenborg) Right.
- You would not have had anything to do operations 0 wise in the plant at that point, you would have been preparing for I guess dose assessment and those sorts of things off-site?

A (Witness Brenenborg) Right. One of the things we did was get the computer fired up. We've got a little computer back there that can run our off-site dose assessment. If the TSC is the only thing that's activated at that time, we can run off-site dose assessment from the TSC. And we were in the process of getting it plugged in and operational too.

Okay. Indira, why don't you tell us where you were.

A (Witness Kochery) The first indication we had was the loss of power in the service building. I was in a meeting with the Westinghouse outage people trying to trend some work. Maybe ten minutes later, I heard the announcement that Unit 2 tripped. Approximately ten minutes later I said I'd better go find out what's going on and I did the same thing that Gary did, walked over to the HP control point and at that time we were looking at all of the

access control which provides the on-line HP computer information as far as dose control, et cetera. That was working and our PERMS computer was working and all the HP instrumentation seemed to be working. We didn't have any problem.

Maybe a few minutes later I heard the announcement saying evacuate Unit 1 containment, so saw -- I was still in the HP control point and I sent people down to make sure that everybody heard because in containment you really can't hear the page well. It comes but it's garbled so we dispatched some people with flashlights, et cetera.

Then I knew the equipment hatch was open so I said

I'd better go check that out and I was in the process of

walking down -- I exited the HP control point and I was in

between the turbine building and the aux building and I

heard a site area has been declar to it was about 10:00.

And I said oh my gosh, the equipment hatch is open. At that

time, I saw two people going down, running down to tell the

people to close the equipment hatch.

A (Witness Brenenborg) I'd like to add one thing there. I was down there at the breathing air compressor and they were in the process of getting it closed at that time. They had the crane in place lifting out the rail and whatnot that they needed to move prior to closing the doors.

But they were in the process of trying to get that equipment

in.

A (Witness Kochery) The first thing we wanted to do was to close that because this is the worst condition we could ever be in. So after that I ran, I ran all the way to my desk to get my car keys. My normal position is EOF and I'm the dose assessment manager in the EOF. So I ran and I reached the EOF and I was there almost like 13 minutes after it was declared. We started setting the area up and the ERF did not give us the MET data, and I said we don't have time to go to the MET tower so I called Bush Field Airport and found out the wind direction and speed. We figured out what the zones were and decided where our teams have to go.

After that I called OSC, told them to send me two teams so we can do some data to check readings. The teams came, we got some readings and everything was fine.

I also in the meantime called TSC to see if they have any indications for the rad data. Gary -- like he said -- we tried the PERMS terminal and got the information that I was looking for and I said gee, that's just fine, I'd better verify this. I called the HP control point, they have the same terminal and they both gave me the same data. So I felt pretty comfortable that containment was stable.

In the meantime I think it was towards the end of the incident, we got our ERF terminals to display radiation information because the set up we had, due to the loss of

power, we had lost the component that provides the information to the mini-computer. The com console had lost — due to loss of power, they both were disabled and we had to wait until they were energized to get that set up. The chemistry technician went to set a com console in the control room first and then he goes to the level A in the control building to set up the mini-computer. It has to go through some iteration of software before it's ready. Prior to the incident of course both com console and the mini-computers were working.

That's about it. We were on hot standby, we were never truly activated because it was downgraded to alert. Most of the activity was happening inside containment trying to get people out, putting manways on -- it was after the incident we did get everybody's dose for completing the census. We didn't see any readings other than the previous day's rad information. From a radiation standpoint we did not have any problem.

Q So looking at the plant, people in containment, all those people were covered by normal health physics rules and practices.

A (Witness Kochery) That is correct. There was never a necessity to have extension dose or to have an emergency exposure because of saving plant equipment or saving lives, none of that.

MR. TRAGER: You mentioned that some of your people went down with a flashlights. That's routine or was the lighting affected?

WITNESS KOCHERY: Well we don't know, you know, when we are sending people out and we see there is no power we send flashlights.

MR. TRAGER: Had there been a total loss of AC, I gather things would have been substantially different.

WITNESS BRENENBORG: I heard the announcement that Indira talked about too and when I heard that they were evacuating containment, I assumed it was because they had no lights in there, they were trying to get everybody out.

WITNESS KOCHERY: And in fact it was because we had some delay in getting the RHR and we were afraid -- if you don't have it, you don't know how long it's going to take.

MR. TRAGER: Would a total loss of power affect the way you do things with computers?

WITNESS KOCHERY: As far as -- no, because we still to be a safety related display panel in the control room that can provide us with rad data. Am I answering your question?

WITNESS BRENENBORG: I think what he's asking is if we lost that too.

from a different source of power, I think it's battery. So as far as I know, by design, we would always have that, but

1.2

it is in the control room and not at the TSC. The way we are set up the TSC is right next to the control room, a corridor away, so we can always talk to them.

MR. TRAGER: I was just wondering how it would have affected your activities because in the rest of the facility it would have affected lighting and use of the crane and I guess it would have had an effect.

WITNESS BRENENBORG: It would have had an effect on our ability to see things. All of our instrumentation is battery operated except for some air samplers and we do have battery operated air samplers.

WITNESS KOCHERY: Right and that's what we were using. We did take a bunch of air samples outside the equipment hatch and inside. We had an open release permit and we calculated based on the conditions.

WITNESS BRENENBORG: The air samples that were taken in containment showed basically no activity.

MR. LAZARUS: Is there anything else you'd like to add? It sounds like things went pretty smoothly as far as you were concerned.

WITNESS BRENENBORG: I know I felt a whole lot better as soon as I saw they had power back to the RHR.

WITNESS KOCHERY: We have participated in many drills but a real incident --

MR. LAZARUS: Makes the adrenalin flow.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

WITNESS KOCHERY! Oh, yeah.

MR. TRAGER: Think about if the same thing happened and the lights were out, it's a different level of excitement.

WITNESS KOCHERY: It is. Even after it was over from a power standpoint, I did go through my checklist, that's a normal thing we do.

MR. LAZARUS: So all your procedures you think were adequate and nothing really interfered with setting up?

WITNESS KOCHERY: Yes, from the EOF I would say the big thing was not everybody heard the page announcement. There was a whole bunch of equipment running that raised the noise level.

WITNESS BRENENBORG: They had a cherry picker operating out there and there's a breathing air compressor.

WITNESS KOCHERY: Really high noise level, so we dispatched people to give them the information. But as far as the plant staff exiting the protected area, there was not a mass exodus of people really. People still used the access control and walked through the portal monitors.

MR. LAZARUS: Okay, thank you very much. (Whereupon, the interview was concluded at 6:05 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of: Name: Interview of GARY BRENENBORG AND INDIRA KOCHERY

7

5

Docket Number:

8

Place: Vogtle Nuclear Generating Plant, Waynesboro, GA

9

Date: March 27, 1990

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken stenographically by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

Official Reporter

Ann Riley & Associates