# OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCZEDINGS

Agency:	U.	s.	NUCLEAR	REGUL	ATORY	COMMISSION	l
---------	----	----	---------	-------	-------	------------	---

Title: INTERVIEW OF: MICHAEL LACKEY

Docket No.

LOCATION:

WAYNESBORO, GEORGIA

DATE

TUESDAY, MARCH 27, 1990

PAGES 1-35

6

37-2A

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

1612 K St. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

8

9202190593 920116 PDR ADUCK 05000424 S PDR

2 Charles In an

## U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

INTERVIEW OF:

MICHAEL BRIAN LACKEY

Main Conference Room Administration Building Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Waynesboro, Georgia

Tuesday, March 27, 1990

The interview commenced at 4:02 p.m.

**APPEARANCES:** 

đ

On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

AL CHAFFEE WARREN LYON BILL JONES

On behalf of INPO.

PAUL DIETZ

On behalf of CP&L:

MIKE JONES

Page 2

### PROCEEDINGS

MR. CHAFFEE: This is March 27th at four o'clock. Unis is IIT Team at Vogtle.

BY MR. CHAFFEE:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

BAYONNE

Q Would you please state your name and your position and what role you played in the event and take us through what transpired as you saw it.

A Okay. name is Michael Brian Lackey. I'm the Outage Planning Manager for the Vogtle second refueling outage. I'm in an acting capacity while my boss was in SRO school over the last year. EOF Manager is part of the Emergency Response Organization. On the day of the incident -- I'll go ahead and describe my actions during that day.

14 I was sitting in Jim Swartzwelder's office; we had just been discussing outage preparations, or where we were 15 16 in the outage, when the lights in the building went off. I immediately heard the page announcement that said Unit 2 17 reactor trip. And at that time -- and lights frequently go 18 out in the Admin Building on a Unit 2 trip. They usually 19 come right back up, but they didn't come right back up. 20 Also, I noticed coming from the 1-A diesel generator 21 building, the stack, that there was smoke coming out of the 22 diesel building. So I figured the 1-A diesel had started 23 and with the Unit 2 trip, then right off the bat, I figured 24

we had some kind of a switchyard problem. So, I grabbed my hard hat and went out to the switchyard.

Page 3

When I got to the switchyard, I saw the phase coming into the switcher there down on the ground, the insulator laying on the ground. I saw beyond that -- on the other side, I saw the truck, the truck driver and the security guard. Everyone was fine, no one was injured. They were standing well away on the other side there. It looked like I was the first one there after the incident had occurred, so I ran to the control room.

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

When I got into the control room, right off the bat it appeared to me that their recovery actions were directed towards getting the A RAT back. Then I informed the OS at that time that, you know, it was going to be hours before the A RAT was available and that our efforts needed to be channeled towards getting the B RAT back because the work had completed on that and we were ready to restore that RAT to service. The reason that RAT had not been returned to service previously is because one, it would require running 20 the diesel and moving the supply breaker over from the A RAT supply to the B RAT supply, since it is designed to only 21 have one breaker, so you can only tie it to one of the two 22 RATS at a time. So the diesel would have to be carrying the 23 bus and actually move the breaker over from the A RAT cubicle to the B RAT cubicle and then close it in. The B 25

diesel was under our maintenance and we would have to do a dead bus transfer and we didn't want to do a dead bus transfer, especially out in mid-loop when both trains in RHR needed to be operable. So that's the reason the B RAT had not been restored to the bus. We would have had to kill the bus or run the diesel which wasn't available.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when I informed them that the work was complete on it --- at that time, Barney Beasley had followed me into the control room, I asked him to get up with Jack Bourne, who is Division Operator, and confirm that the work was completed and initiate getting a switching order to get the RAT back in service. He worked with the control room personnel. He went out to the switchyard and worked with the control room personnel there.

At that time, I == as the Outage Manager, I really was constantly in control == prior to the event, constantly in contact with the Maintenance Department letting them know what their priorities were, what activities needed to be worked for the outage and, of course, those go through the Control Room to get approved to work. But as far as the outage schedule, I was constantly directing their efforts.

I know all of the mid-loop work that was ongoing at the time. We had an accumulator discharge valve that had been disassembled and they had lapped the seat and were putting that back together, but at that point it was apart.

We had a charging check valve that had the bonnet disassembled for just a normal check valve PM, preventative maintenance work order as part of our SOER 8603 PM program on all of our check valves in the plant. We were doing that and we had steam generator manways off on generators 2 and 3. They were buttoned up on 1 and 4. All the nozzle dams had been removed. Our pressurizer manway was off and our cono-seals were open on top of the reactor vessel head. Q What are the cono-seals? Do those run the control rods?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A They are around -- they are in the same location basically as control rods but there are four penetrations into the reactor vessel head where your thermocouples actually enter. You will have a group of a dozen or so thermocouples that will enter these cono-seals. Those were not hooked back together because -- sealed back up, since we had not fully tensioned the head. We had made all but the last pass on the reactor head for tensioning.

The -- so at that time, John -- the OS was focusing heavily on getting the diesel up and running and I mentioned to John that I was going to try and get all the mid-loop work buttoned up so if we needed to increase water level we could do that and he said great. I also told him that, you know, as part of the discussion on the RATS -- the A RAT not being available, I told him that I would get Maintenance to

roll the supply breaker from the A supply to the B supply. That's an electrician's job to do that. They've got a special tool for doing that. A third wheel or whatever. He concurred. So at that time, I called the Maintenance Department and talked to Dusty Adams and basically gave him those instructions. That we needed Electrical support to get those breakers moved right away. We needed to button up the steam generator manways on 2 and 3. We needed to put the accumulator discharge valve and the charging check valve back together as soon as possible and I asked him to verify that the pressurizer manway was still off. He said he thought it was off and he would have his people go and verify that it was off because we knew that we may need that for a vent path. We talked about closing the equipment hatch and putting the interlocks back on the personnel airlock. At that time all of that work was initiated.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 6

While I was talking to Mr. Adams, Jim Swartwelder, the Ops Manager asked me to go out to the diesel room and help with the emergency start, make sure things were going smoothly there. So as soon as I got off the phone with Dusty and felt comfortable that all of those actions were in progress, I reported back to John that that was happening.

They had made a page announcement to evacuate Containment while I was on the phone and I went back and John said, I think we need to clarify that because we want to continue certain jobs in Containment working. I asked John to maybe make a clarifying page announcement. I didn't hear if that happened or not.

And so from there, I went out to the Diesel Building. When I walked by, I noticed that they were closing equipment hatch and making good progress there -since you have to walk by that to get to A Diesel Building.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When I went into the Diesel Building, John Acree and several other operators were out there and I had the sound powered head phones set up. They were verifying -- since the initial indications were that we tripped on jacket water pressure, they were verifying that there was no leaks on the jacket water sensing line and the valves were not isolated and all that appeared good. John was giving all the instructions as far as what to monitor. He had people set up monitoring the different parameters on the diesel since we were going to do an emergency start which would block many of the normal trips on the diesel. But John had that well under control. I was just really there as an extra set of eyes. I didn't really provide any direction or anything to the operators out there.

About the time they got the diesel started and started sequencing on the loads, NSCW and RHR, I heard the announcement for the site area emergency. It might have been a few minutes before that and I wanted to make sure

that we did keep the diesel running and did get those loads on. As soon as that happened, I took off and went to the EOF since that is my area during an emegency, to man the EOF facility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

日内の具む

BAYONNE.

11

So, I went over there. It wasn't long after we got there and started to set up that the -- and I made contact with the TSC as soon as I got there, the emergency was shortly thereafter downgraded to an alert. So I figured we would stay in a standby status and not actually activate and take over some of the communications, dose assessment type functions that we normally would during a site area emergency or a general emergency. But we went ahead and fully staffed and readied both dose assessment communications, off-site communications, our admin support there and our public information. We started monitoring the incident and the actions that were taking place and trying to coordinate some of the press releases that were starting to come out of the Atlanta/Birmingham area there.

I guess during the -- while I was in the EOF, we didn't take on a significant role as far as recovery or whatever. That was primarily handled out of the TSC since it wasn't an alert by the time we were ready to activate. Q While you were in EOF did you become aware of any problems in making notifications to the local...

A Yes. I was contacted by a gentleman from GEMA and

I believe the Georgia Federal Protection Agency. I'm not sure of his exact title, but two gentlemen. It should be in the EOF log, the people I talked to, and they said they had had difficulty in getting initial notifications and they asked me several questions about potential releases from the Fuel Handling Building and things like that. I tried to explain to them that we had no releases that anyone was aware of. That we did still -- since we had the normal power available, we still had our normal HVAC system in the spent fuel -- the Fuel Handling Building, we weren't moving any fuel in the Fuel Handling Building and we did not have airborne activity in the Fuel Handling Building before the incident. So, I felt comfortable in letting him know all of those actions, those -- that status.

2

3

5

6

7

8

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

I contacted our communicators that were there and said, well, everyone is answering the roll call now and it seemed that that had been squared away. So, I just made not of that to Ken Holmes who is normally -- he is our Training and Emergency Preparedness Manager. He was there since the EOF is in the Training Center. He came down to the EOF -he's normally a controller during our drills. So, I asked him to make note of that; that that was something we needed to look into and make sure we correct it in the future. I think Ken went ahead and made some phone calls at that time and got some more information on what actually happened. But since that situation had been corrected, I didn't pursue it any further at that time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

0.4

BAYO

NE

ORM

Page 10

MR. LYON: Were there any difficulties with the communication facilities at the EOF? Were all of the phones working and everything else?

THE WITNESS: All the phones worked. We had one minor problem and that was with the ERF terminal, the actual physical printer that prints the charts. We ---

MR. LYON: That was unrelated to everything else? 10 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, that was completely "nrelated. All of our equipment worked fine. Our communications all worked fine.

MR. LYON: Are you connected to the met tower from there?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we can connect through the ERF terminal to the met tower.

MP. LYON: Did you do that?

18 THE WITNESS: We did connect and -- when we first 19 set up, we did have a problem getting met tower data and 20 Indira Kochery who was the Dose Assessment Manager in EOF 21 got that up. She called Bush Field, a local airport, and 22 that's our backup information. When we can't get good 23 information, we call them. She did that to get our first 24 information for Dose Assessment. She -- we eventually 25 changed ERF terminals where she was at and once Unit 2

stabilized, we gave them the ERF terminal that was plugged in. I don't know if that corrected her problem or not at that time.

MR. LYON: So you're not sure if you were ever receiving data direct from the met tower?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. I'm not sure if we ever received that direct.

BY MR. CHAFFEE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

A D

BAYONNE

Ň.

O R M

Q Did you guys contact anybody in the TSC about this problem with Georgia not getting notified? Did you have any part in that?

12 A We -- I believe Ken Holmes took that and talked to 13 Skip Kitchens about that. I believe that's the way that 14 happened. They -- I know they were aware later that that 15 was a problem but this was -- you know, this was well into 16 the incident before I got the phone call that alerted me that we had that problem. At that time they had corrected 17 18 it. I think the TSC had already taken over notifications at 19 that time.

20 Q Oh, you're saying that when you became aware of the 21 fact that there was a communications deal, the people had 22 already been handling it?

A Right. That the problem had already been corrected.
The TSC had taken over communications and at that point they
were getting all of their info. I think the problem was,

Page 12 since they didn't get the initial notifications, they were 1 2 concerned that they had missed some information and that's 3 why they were calling us at EOF. 4 Oh, I see. So that wasn't -- your impression was 0 5 that wasn't the first time Plant Vogtle became aware that 6 there was a communications problem. It was a follow up 7 call? 8 A Correct. 9 MR. LYON: Can I go back to the diesel for a little 10 bit? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 12 MR. LYON: At anytime that you were in there, did you happen to notice or hear somebody say anything about 13 14 pressure in the air reservoirs for the diesels? 15 THE WITNESS: No, no, sir, but I remember looking at them and they appeared normal to me. Seems like the 200 16 17 range. MR. LYON: Two hundred, and this was just before the 18 19 so called break-glass start? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR. LYON: Were the air compressors that pump up 21 22 those tanks running or available? 23 THE WITNESS: No, sir. They -- I don't believe they were running. At that time, I don't believe we had any 24 25 power to those compressors.

MR. LYON: You mentioned something about not wanting to do this breaker move because you would have to have the diesel carrying the load while you were in mid-loop. Is there a procedure or a process that assures that kind of action?

THE WITNESS: Well, the problem wouldn't be with the diesel carrying the load. The problem was the diesel wasn't available to carry the load, you know, to carry the bus. The diesel -- that was the diesel that was being --

MR. L'ON: That was being worked on.

THE WITNESS: -- worked on.

MR. LYON: So you were waiting for that?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We would have to get that 14 diesel back and tie it to the bus and hold the bus with that 15 diesel while we opened the supply breaker and moved it to 16 the other supply.

17 MR. LYON: So that would have removed one safety18 related bys the other one still being...

THE WITNESS: Powered from the A RAT.

MR. LYON: Plus the A diesel.

THE WITNESS: Plus the A diesel.

MR. LYON: I understand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

**林田〇八日** 

n.

THE WITNESS: We would have gotten the B RAT back sooner but we had to have the diesel or do a dead bus transfer and we didn't want to do a dead bus.

Page 14 1 MR. LYON: You didn't want to take out one of those 2 buses while you were at mid-loop? 3 THE WITNESS? No, sir. 4 MR. DIETZ: When was the B RAT ready? 5 THE WITNESS: It was like the day before. It wasn't 6 a long time. 7 MR. DIETZ: The oil was -- the change was completed on what, Saturday? 8 9 THE WITNESS: And the dobble testing -- as I recall, 10 it seems like it was just the previous day I had gotten the 11 word that the RAT was through. They were through with their 12 testing. I can find out the exact times for you but that 13 was my -- my understanding was about the day before it was 14 ready. 15 MR. DIETZ: But there really was no pressure to get 16 it back, the dissel is going to be out for another --17 THE WITNESS: That's correct. We didn't want to do 18 a dead bus transfer. That's why it didn't really stick in my mind. In hindsight it may have been -- it would have 19 20 saved us time in this incident to go ahead and re-energize 21 it and then it would have been a little guicker. We 22 wouldn't have to move that clearance off of it. We could 23 have just re-energized it, just not had a load on it. 24 MR. DIETZ: Was it Monday sometime that your

transmission people reported that their work was already

25

日本下の村内市

M

completed? They had cleared the tags on there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

25

5N0A0

BAYONNE

ы

ORM

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, to the best of my -- they hadn't cleared the tags that they were through with it. Now, I would need to check with them too. I don't know if there would be a problem with energizing without putting any load on it or not. I just didn't pursue it because I knew we had to have that diesel back to do our normal tie back. That's how we initially moved the breaker, you know, with the diesel carrying the load and move the breaker. That was our plan to return it.

MR. DIETZ: Now, shifting, what was the condition of the reactor coolant pumps during this event?

13THE WITNESS: They were tagged out the entire time.14MR. DIETZ: How about the seals, was there any kind15of work going on?

16 THE WITNESS: All that work had completed on the 17 reactor coolant pump seals.

MR. DIETZ: What was that work?

19 THE WITNESS: Each outage we go into two of the four 20 reactor coolant pumps and we do a seal inspection where we 21 actually -- we have a cartridge seal design on the pumps for 22 the number two and three seals where we will just pull those 23 out and replace them, but we'll also disassemble and inspect 24 the number one seal.

MR. DIETZ: So that requires disconnecting --

THE WITNESS: Uncoupling the motor and pump. Our design -- we have pumps with a backseat that actually provides a seal which supposedly you can reflood the RCS, reflood your fueling cavity and still not get significant back leakage past that back seat but we've never -- we've never tried to do that.

MR. DIETZ: At the time of the event though, all of the shafts were reconnected and everything was back together?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

BY MR. CHAFFEE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

日利日本

SAYONNE

ħį.

12 Q How long prior to Tuesday had that work on the seals 13 been done?

14AWe completed the seal work in the first mid-loop15window.

16 Q Dc you mean on the way down of the outage or coming 17 back up?

18 A We did that up front in the first mid-loop because our pump design -- if you do try to work them on the back 19 20 seat like some people do, they go ahead and put it on the 21 back seat and flood up during the outage, they have to use 22 their seal injection line to drain off any leakage that comes past that back seat. Most people's seal injection 23 line comes in below that number one seal runner, the lowest 24 point that you have to get to to do your inspection. Ours 25

comes out above it. So we can still fill up that cavity and still have a problem with our seal inspection. You know, we would have to set up some kind or special little pump to suck out of there. That's why we chose to do it while we're still drained out at mid-loop, get all that finished, so then when we flood back up, we leave them on the back seat and we don't have to worry about water getting on that number one seal.

9 MR. LYON: Do you have a feel for what pressure10 would raise the pumps up off the back seat?

11 THE WITNESS: It would be -- it would take several 12 hundred pounds of pressure, PSI's, is my understanding from 13 the training I've had. I'm just talking about the 14 hydraulics of the reactor pump seals. That's why we have a 15 minimum pressure of 325 pounds for starting pumps, to get 16 that hydraulic lift and balance on those seals. It may not 17 take quite that to lift it off the seat but it would be, I 18 think, several hundred pounds of pressure.

19 BY MR. CHAFFEE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

20

21

22

23

24

25

ENGAD

BAYONNE

11

() 有 ()

Q So did you -- in this outage, I think you're like in day 22 of the outage, 24 or 26 or something like that; up to that point, had you gone into mid-loop, come out of mid-loop and gone back into it again and that's what you were in? A We were in the second mid-loop, yes, sir.

Q Okay. And the first mid-loop you went into, how

Page 18 soon did you go into that after the plant shut down? 1 2 - A - -In the neighborhood of probably five or six days. Okay. When you went into mid-loop that time, five 0 or six days after shutdown, what was the reason for going into mid-loop at that point? 6 Of course, you know, mid-loop condition, we all hate 7 it, you know, but the -- I can't see anyway around it right 8 now. The first time was -- first, you have to drain down 9 below the vessel flange so you can take the vessel head off, 10 you know, the integrated head packages, and move it. At 11 the same time to do our eddy current inspections on our 12 generators to put the nozzle dams in place so we can 13 continue eddy current testing while we're flooded up moving 14 fuel. That's what you did, you drained down the mid-loop 15 0 16

to take the head off and to put your dams in and then you filled the refueling --

A Yes, sir.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q So for that mid-loop you were down just long enough to do those -- to take the head off and put the dams on?

A That's correct. Pulling the manways off and putting the nozzle dams in --

Q And the seals? Did you at the seals? A And the seal work. It turned out, I believe, the nozzle dam work was scheduled to take the longest and I believe it did take the longest to get those in. We did do the seal work. We did do that at the same time.

Page 19

Q Is there a reason why you didn't do some work on the electrical buses then?

A Let's see, we were doing some diesel testing on one train at that time but we maintained -- you know, since we were doing some diesel testing, we didn't want to do any of the RAT work yet. We wanted to finish the diesel testing.

Q That's because you needed the diesel to shift the breakers around to do the RAT work, is that right?

A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

A.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 Q Otherwise you could have done it if you weren't 13 doing the diesel testing?

14 Yes, sir. We could have -- we probably could have A done that up front but we chose to put that off. System 15 16 operations really -- we didn't real want to change the oil 17 in the RAT but you've got to believe your division people. You know, that's a real inconvenience for us to go on a back 18 19 feed to tag out a RAT and do all of that. But, you know, there's going to be times where you've just go to do that. 20 They had done some oil analysis -- we had to change the oil 21 out in RAT A in the first outage and they wanted to do both 22 at that time and we told them it was just too much. So 23 24 again, they felt strongly that we needed to change the oil in RAT B this time, so we did that. They also wanted to 25

Page 20 take A RAT out to do some testing on it but, you know, we 2 just put our foot down there and said, one is enough, you 3 know. 4 0 I'm sorry, say that again. They wanted to do what? 5 They wanted to do some -- like dobble testing on the A A RAT also if possible during the outage. 6 7 0 Oh, I see. 8 A They didn't convince us that they needed to do that 9 enough for us to take it out for them. So, you know, it was 10 more of a PM type on the RAT. We feel like, at the most, every other outage should be plenty of testing on RATS. We 11 12 shouldn't lave to do that every outage. 13 U So in the first outage, you did do work on the A 14 RAT? 15 Yes, sir. We did the exact same thing to the A RAT A that we did this outage on the B RAT. 16 Q Did you also in the first outage do the work on the 17 18 diesels, the ... 19 A We did a real similar inspection. 20 Did you also go with the mid-loop ops in the first 0 21 outage? 22 A Yes, sir. Did you do it all together where you had the ... 23 0 To be honest, I'd have to -- there was nothing to 24 A keep us from doing that. I don't know if those pieces fell 25

Page 21

out that way or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

Q Okay. Did you do work on the reactor pump seals in the first outage?

A Yes, sir, the same. We did the other two pumps during the first outage and did that at first mid-loop.

MR. DIETZ: Is it possible to find out what the condition of the reactor and systems were during that first outage?

9 THE WITNESS: I think we can look back and probably10 piece that together.

MR. DIETZ: Were you in the same kind of line up then that you were here?

THE WITNESS: I think our records would show us that. I know we had the backfeed established and were fed off of one RAT, both buses, and we did the same diesel work but I'll have to look and see what the condition of the RCS was at the time we did those.

MR. DIETZ: On the backfeed, when you open up the generator disconnects, that removes the ground detection circuitry off the generator, what do you do, do you put in a new ground detection fault limit --

THE WITNESS: I have to check our procedure. I don't think we would hook up any special relaying. You know, we would still have all of the protection on all of the normal switch gear in the plant. We would still have

	Page 22
1	all of the protective circuitry on the unit itself.
2	MR. DIETZ: How about on the main generator?
3	There's a neutral ground a transformer and everything, do
4	you move over and put in another connection on the isophase
5	bus?
6	THE WITNESS: To be honest, I'm not sure if we do
7	that or not.
8	MR. DIETZ: Who would we find that from?
9	THE WITNESS: Paul Kochery would probably be a good
10	one to ask that.
11	BY MR. CHAFFEE:
12	Q Are you have you passed the necessary RO exam?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Have you been previously on-shift SRO?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q How long ago did you go off shift?
17	A I was the shift superintendent for the initial
18	criticality of Unit 1 and on shift for probably close to a
19	year after that, nine months after that, and then I went
20	into the work control superintendent role, and now assuming
21	the acting manager so I was shift for I guess over a year or
22	so in on OS capacity.
23	Q Do you maintain your license current?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Do you have training on operations in the midloop

. . . .

NGAD

Page 23

operations?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A Yes, sir.

Q What kind of things -- How long ago was that and what kind of training was it?

A To be honest, we just got simulator models for midloop ops, so this last simulator upgrade. So we haven't seen any actual simulator time on the midloop yet. I think that's upcoming real soon, but we've discussed midloop ops now before both of our fueling outages. Usually the segment or two segments before the refueling outage we discussed midloop operations and the concerns, and we had taken a lot of actions based on the industry events and the generic letter. We had in our procedures and schedule to not disconnect our thermocouples to the last minute and soon as we got the head back on, we connected some temporary jumpers until we can completely re-connect thermocouples. So at the time of the incident we did have two thermocouples reading, which turned out to be very helpful. Without -- When RHR shuts down, you really don't know what your temperature is.

Ω Was this new for this outage or had you also done that in the last outage?

A Last outage I know we paid particular attention to disconnecting it at the last minute, but I don't know if we actually hooked in the jumpers or not, and I can look back at that. It seems like the generic letter came out right

Page 24

before our first outage, and --

MR. LYON: Do you remember the date of your last outage?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, it was 18 months ago. So what was that? May, '88?

MR. LYON: Generic letter hit the street about November of '88. And you had a lot of warning of what was in it by way of the owners' group?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes. And we did in that outage change 10 our plans. We only put nozzles down in two of the four generators. That's all we had planned, but we did make a 11 last minute design change and we pulled the pressurizer 12 manway off and we had a lot of problems with the bolting and 13 we changed that out to studs and nuts realizing we would be 14 doing that frequently. So we did pull that off. We did try 15 to incorporate keeping the thermocouples connected us. the 16 time we were ready to pull the head. Those type actions we 17 18 did pay particular attention to.

19 MR. LYON: You said, if I recall correctly, "We hate 20 mid-loop." Why is that?

THE WITNESS: You know, there have been a lot incidents in the industry. It's a very touchy time in an 22 outage, you know. We try to be particularly careful with the 23 work we schedule and from an operations standpoint, it's a 24 place you don't like to be and there's not a lot of margin 25

1

2

3

4

5

1.

7

8

21

for error. When you've got that 23 feet of water above you, you know, there's a lot of mingin for error there. But we realize mid-loop is very critical and every time we get through a mid-loop, you know, there's kind of sigh of relief and we feel good about it. I guess it's just everyone's understanding that, hey, while we're at mid-loop everyone needs to be a little easier on Operations and not so demanding and take their time and we all understand that is a very delicate time in the plant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

24

25

Page 25

MR. DIETZ: What would happen if one of those nozzle dams let lose while you were in refueling?

12 THE WITNESS: Well, we -- you know, we do have leak 13 detection set up, so hoperully we would -- if it was a slowly developing leak or a gradually increasing leak, you 14 know, we do a visual check as we flood up and stop right 15 above the nozzle dams and the whole time we're flooding up 16 to the level, we do an inspection. We have a leak detection 17 installed in the channel heads but, you know, it would be a 18 19 bad scenario. We would need to put the -- if we had any 20 fuel hanging, put it in a safe -- put it in a safe condition, whether that be back in the core or in the 21 22 upender or ...

MR. DIETZ: Do you have any idea of what kind of flows you would get up through the head or through the steam generator if the dam let lose?

Page 26

THE WITNESS: It would be a lot.

(Lauchter.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. DIETZ: Would you have time to get a bundle where you wanted it?

THE WITNESS: Probably in the worst -- you would probably have several -- I would think six or seven minutes hopefully and that should give you time to go either the upender and lower the upender or go to the vessel and set it in the vessel.

MR. LYON: Do you think you could move fuel into the core given the flow that would be pouring out through the vessel? I know when you're close to the hot legs for example, sometimes you'll turn off the RHR pumps so that you don't have to work against a piddly 3,000 GPM typically. If you've got this guy wi's open, it's nothing like 3,000 GPM, it's far above that.

17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I -- it would probably be 18 difficult and it probably -- it's according to how much of the core you had off-loaded. You may have enough room to 19 get it down, you may not be able to get it down, you may not 20 21 be able to get it on the guide pins but at least you could 22 get it under water there. It's really according -- if it was the first assembly out, you may have trouble getting it 23 back in there. That would be a difficult situation. 24 25 BY MR. CHAFFEE:

The other two fellows, Barlow and D'Amico --D'Amico.

Page 27

Q -- are they also licensed?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

0

A

A Ricky Barlow is. He was a licensed shift supervisor and Joe was a certified SRO instructor but he has not gone back to get a license. Since we're a recently licensed plant, a lot of us went through the training early on and Joe got out of Operations -- I was a licensed SRO instructor you know, prior to being able to get an SRO license prior to our fuel load and Joe went through that same program but he did not go back and get his license since he was out of Operations. So he's had some training but he has not had the full blown SRO license training.

Q Can you explain to us how the three of you work together to -- I guess you guys sort of mastermind the outages, is that correct?

A Yes, sir, we do require a lot of department input. 17 You know, we are kind of the controlling assembler of the 18 19 information and put it out but it requires detailed operations reviews, detailed maintenance reviews and buy-20 ins. So, we do assemble it. We do keep a resolution item 21 list, so if critical activities come in or we're aware of 22 that we know we've got to take care of, they get on our 23 punch list and we make sure all of those get taken care of. 24 You know, we are ultimately responsible for assembling that 25

but all of that has a thorough Operations review and buy-i... It just makes it a little easier on them having us do it because we usually avoid big entanglements in the schedule for them.

Page 28

Q Who do you report to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

A I report to Skip Kitchens.

MR. LYON: Is there a detailed safety review of your outage plan to look for potential safety areas or concerns and identify them?

10 THE WITNESS: I would -- I would say, yes, sir. 11 It's not -- it's not called that specifically but, you know, 12 every manager on site reviews the schedule and everyone is 13 looking for anything that they think is a concern besides their department's responsibility in making sure that they 14 can support that responsibility. You know, we try to avoid 15 any scheduling. You know -- I guess -- you know, we set up 16 system windows for taking systems out of service and we try 17 to make that very consistent so Operations knows, hey, they 18 19 are working on the A-train, so we've got all of our B-train 20 equipment that we need available. We try to factor items -human factors like that in there. 21

22 MR. LYON: Is there something equivalent to -- if 23 you want to go in and do a plant mod for example, there will 24 be a safety review, a contrast against the regulations and 25 if this does involve say an unreviewed safety question or something like that, it's dealt with according. Is there something comparable to that that is conducted here, say the same sorts of people, the same management attention?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

11

12

13

24

25

Page 29

THE WITNESS: Well, I think instead on incorporating those type things, they are normally incorporated into our plant procedures for actual operation of the plant and those actually are better for maintaining the configuration of the plant because a schedule can get changed. You know, you get a week into the schedule and this piece moves faster and this piece moves slower and you get -- you get a whole different plant configuration than you originally started out with. So we try to factor those type requirements into our operations procedures to make sure that --

MR. LYON: Excuse me. By operations procedures, do you mean the procedures in the Control Room that the operators follow?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Like our unit operating 18 procedures. If they get put in those procedures, required 19 conditions, then there is no way we can schedule them 20 differently.

21 MR. LYON: Okay, so those operations procedures 22 provide assurances that certain minimum equipment will be 23 available?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. LYON: Would they, for example, require two

diesels for two RHR systems?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

SAYOZZE Z

O R M

THE WITNESS. They would require two RHR systems. MR. LYON: But n necessarily two diesels? THE WITNESS: No, sir.

Page 30

MR. LYON: Would they address certain minimum offsite power requirements in conjunction with certain diesel combinations?

THE WITNESS: If we had additional requirements like that, yes, sir. But, you know, presently those have not been interpreted as a requirement. Having two RHR trains does not necessarily constitute having both diesels operable or both off-site power sources operable.

MR. LYON: All right. So -- I guess where I'm headed is -- well, let me give you a specific example. If I were shutdown for several days and I had had an extended full-power run, is there anything there that says, well, it's okay to take on diesel out and tear it apart for maintenance while I'm at mid-loop? Am I prevented from doing that in any way?

THE WITNESS: We're prevented from starting refueling operations for 100 hours --

MR. LYON: I understand that.

THE WITNESS: -- and we are prevented from taking diesels or RATS out prior to entering Mode 5.

MR. LYCN: I understand that, too.

Page 31 MR. DIETZ: Those are in tech specs? 2 THE WITNESS: Those are in tech specs. 3 MR. DIETZ: Are there any administrative restraints 4 on how you can schedule things? 5 MR. LYON: Excuse me for getting ahead, but could we 6 take my question first? 7 THE WITNESS: Repeat it again. 8 MR. LYON: I'm not sure I can but I'll try. 9 (Laughter.) 10 MR. LYON: Is there anything that would prevent you from taking out two diesels a few days after an extended 11 12 full power run and entering mid-lcop with two diesels out or taking the two diesels out will you were in that mid-loop? 13 14 THE WITNESS: Well... 15 MR. LYON: Taking one of your two diesels out. 16 Sorry about that. 17 THE WITNESS: No, sir, there's nothing to prevent us 18 from doing that. 19 MR. LYON: Okay. Go ahead. 20 MR. DIETZ: Are there any administrative constraints on scheduling besides the tech spec -- I mean the 21 22 incorporation of tech specs under the schedule? 23 THE WITNESS: You know, the unit operating procedures, we -- ycu know, we insure that our schedule 24 doesn't conflict with the UOP requirements, which a lot of 25

them -- the generic requirements in our tech specs came from the generic letter are in UOP's. They put them in there to make sure they have them. Like hooking up the thermocouples or requiring certain size vent paths during nozzle dam installation. All of that are in the UOP's and all of those are considered in the schedule.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Page 32

MR. DIETZ: Do you put any of those constraints in the work package also, so that when you go to the control room those constraints are clearly visible?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. If they are identified, they certainly are. We do a lot of coding on our work orders that say this inops the B diesel or this requires mid-loop to perform this work. So after we do our operations review, we will write all of those requirements on there and write any tech specs affected. When the SS gets in the control room, he can usually look at it and tell exactly what his conditions need to be and what it does to 17 him. So, I feel comfortable that we do identify that type 18 19 of information on all of these work packages.

20 MR. DIETZ: Has anyone looked at the outage in terms of the risk in all of the different, you know, systems you 21 have and the abilities you have to take them out, and looked 22 at that in terms of possibly the fact that maybe tech specs 23 can allow you to get into a situation that's maybe riskier 24 25 than we should really be?

THE WITNESS: I can tell you that some of the reviews that we did were -- we had a plot that had all of our systems on them, all of our -- all of the systems in the plant and they showed the scheduled time for it to be tagged out and returned to service. And looking -- you know, we did review that very carefully, to look at how those ints.related to each other, to make sure that we always had an SI pump available and a flow path to the hot loads whenever nozzle dams were installed. But also, just even the minor impacts. Make sure we had a flowdown system not tagged out when we were ready to drain the steam generator. So, we looked at how all of these system tag-outs affected the actual schedule or interplayed with the other system tag-outs on the schedule. So we -- I think that's similar to what you're asking for, maybe not exactly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

16 MR. CHAFFEE: What I was -- before I left, what I 17 was trying to do was establish how you guys worked together. What I was leading to, I was thinking we were probably 18 getting to the point where we ought to just have the other 19 two people come in and continue this dialogue because I 20 think where we're at is in the area of scheduling and stuff. 21 It sounds like we want to see how you guys work as a team, I 22 23 think.

> We can go off the record here. (A short recess was taken.)

MR. CHAFFEE: All right, go ahead.

ġ.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. LYON: We were talking earlier about the met tower and the ability to get data over to the EOF. Would you comment further on communications on that and the transfer of information to the EOF?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Normally, we get that information right off an ERF terminal. Just like terminals in the control room, there are terminals in the TSC and we have those in the EOF. However, that information wasn't available over the ERF line, so the field monitoring teams were actually dispatched to the met tower itself and read those -- read that information locally and transmitted it back to us in the EOF through the radio, through the radio channels.

MR. LYON: And you were then in contact with the Tech Support Center and could transfer that same information to them. Could they also get it by radio from the met tower?

19 THE WITNESS: I don't believe they have radios
20 there. We control the field monitoring teams out of EOF but
21 our Dose Assessment Manager is in close communication with
22 the TSC and the HP -- HP personnel and the TSC.
23 MR. LYON: Okay. Thank you.
24 MR. CHAFFEE: Let's go off the record.
25 (Whereupon, the interview was concluded *et* 5:00.)

Page 35

2

#### CERTIFICATE

16.18

1

17

19

20 21

22

2 This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the 3 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of: 4 5 Interview of MICHAEL BRIAN LACKEY Name: 6 7 Docket Number: 8 Place: Vogtle Nuclear Generating Plant, Waynesboro, GA 9 Date: March 27, 1990 were held as herein appears, and that this is the original 10 transcript the self for the file of the United States Nucleas 11 Regulatory Commission taken stenographically by me and, 12 thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my 13 direction, and that the transcript is a true and accurate 14 15 record of the foregoing proceedings. 16

Um Z. Marrin WILLIAM L. WARREN

Official Reporter

Ann Riley & Associates