OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Agency: U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: INTERVIEW OF: JIMMY PAUL CASH

Docket No.

ø

LOCATION WAYNESBORD, GEORGIA

DATE TUESDAY, MARCH 27, 1990

PAGES 1-38

· · · · ·

35-9A

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 1612 K St. N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

9202190575 920116 PDR ADOCK 05000424 S PDR

ADDENDUM TO INTERVIEW OF Jimmy Paul Cash (Prant Identity of Interview Page Line Correction and Reason for Correction that on the maintenere on the B 3 14 BAT was complete - clarity statement 4 2 Delete OKay? - unnecessary replace "give" with performe - chirty Statement 4 4 Delete by manually closing it to Fer 14 and we've had the - unner essery 15 motor aprenties upnecessary 16 tight hand event after manual the description Rush Sommons * - correct spelling 9 23 9 "Rush Summers" and seelling Beplace "I go" with "I was already there" 24 11 7 chify statement Page ____ Date ____ Signature _____ NOTE: INTERVIEWEE DID NOT COMPLETE REVIEW

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

INTERVIEW OF:

0

JIMMY PAUL CASH

Main Conference Room Administration Building Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Waynesboro, Georgia

Tuesday, March 27, 1990

The interview commenced at 9:54 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

WILLIAM LAZARUS WARREN LYON AL CHAFFEE GENE TRAGER

On behalf of INPO:

PAUL DIETZ

On behalf of CP&L:

MIKE JONES



	Page 2
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. LAZARUS: Today is March 27, 9:54 a.m. We're
3	at the Vogtle Plant. My name is William Lazarus, we're
4	interviewing Jimmy Cash.
5	Whereupon,
6	JIMMY PAUL CASH
7	appeared as a witness herein and was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. LAZARUS:
11	Q For the record, would you state your name and your
12	title?
13	A My name is Jimmy Paul Cash, I'm an Operations
14	Superintendent.
15	Q On Tuesday, March 20, during the loss of vital
16	power incident, will you describe your activities and what
17	part you played in the incident?
18	A Yes, sir. At the time of the beginning of the
19	incident when we lost power, I was in my boss' office, Jim
20	Swartzwelder's office, on the second floor of the service
21	building. The lights went out in the office and basically
22	we both held our breath, praying that Unit 2 hadn't tripped.
23	There was a page announcement and I think the entire
24	plant went quiet at that time there was a very quiet,
25	calm page announcement "Unit 2 reactor trip".
	•

行人

NNE.

Mr. Swartzwelder picked up his hard hat and headed to the control room. I went to my office which is in the other end of the service building. Picked up my hard hat and also proceeded to the control room.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 3

When I arrived in the control room, I entered at the controls area for Unit 2 directly. I looked back through the platform area and saw that the lights were out on Unit 1, emergency lighting was on. I observed the Unit 2 activity for a minute or two to make sure that the SS had everything under control, and he did.

I then proceeded up onto the platform area and asked Jim Swartzwelder what did he need me to do. He directed me that -- or he informed me that we had lost the A RAT on Unit 1, that the B RAT, the maintenance was complete and he wanted me to coordinate getting the B RAT back. Someone -- and I do not remember right now -- was looking at the clearance we had in-house on the 1-B RAT.

I called Georgia Control Center and talked to them, told them our situation. They were aware that we had lost the A RAT. I said I had been informed that the work was complete on the B RAT, they verified that. That's actually the division that did the work on the B reserve auxiliary transformer -- I'm sure you know the terminology by now, RAT. They said that all sub-clearance holders on their clearance were off and that when we desired, they would hot

Page 4

up the high side of the RAT. Okay?

I informed Mr. Swartzwelder and Mr. Hopkins of this. Mr. Hopkins was the Shift Superintendent that day. I was then directed to go out to the B RAT and give a physical walkdown of it, make sure there was no grounding straps on it and that it did indeed appear to be intact. I proceeded through the Unit 2 turbine building to the B RAT.

When I walked out of the back of the turbine building, I looked to my right and there was a truck -- the truck in question that backed into the C-phase of the 1-A RAT. It appeared to have been pulled forward from the pole, it was no longer right at the phase, and there were -- I think at the time there was only one security guard out there that I saw, but subsequently in the next minute or so, quite a few more scarting guards appeared.

I walked towards the truck. When I got to the truck, the guard stopped me. By this time, I could see the phase where the insulator had broke off and there was a wire on the ground. He said we're not sure if the wire is still hot, we're keeping everybody out of the area until we verify that. I said okay. And I walked on to the 1-B RAT.

I did a quick walkdown of it, looking at it with one of the PEO's, I forget his name right now. I remember who it was but I don't remember his name.

Q What's a PEO?

. 1

ENGAD

WHOR NOGLOW N NUMPER OF

1

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Plant equipment operator, that's the people that actually turn the valves out in the plant and monitor the equipment.

We both walked around the transformer looking for grounding straps or for any other type of obvious not reassembled pieces of equipment. We could find nothing.

By that time Barney Beasley, who is the on-shift Outage Manager, arrived at the transformer. He had been talking to the switch yard people. They had someone coming in to assist us in closing the disconnects.

Now typically, when time is available, we have someone from the switch yard to come in and assist us with this because in the past we have damaged one of the disconnects by manually closing it too far and we've had the motor operators -- there are two mechanisms for operating it -- a motor operator and a manual in case the motor isn't working -- and we've had both of them malfunction on us or us through our lack of detailed knowledge of the disconnects, close them too far and damage the disconnects. MR. DIETZ: Jimmy, where are these disconnects

located?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: They're out in the low voltage switch yard.

MR. DIETZ: High side, low side? THE WITNESS: High side. Page 5

MR. DIET2: They're on the high side coming from the switch yard.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

SAV

Page 6

THE WITNESS: Right. I believe if you'll look at the insulators, that's where they are, but I'd have to go out there and --

MR. DIETZ: Is there anything on the low side, any kind of disconnect between that and the busses?

THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of except for the feed breaker to the busses.

Once we completed the walkdown, I told Barney that I would go back in, inform the control room that the RAT looked okay. That he and -- there was a couple additional PEO's out there by this time -- were waiting for the man from the switch yard and when we were prepared, when the control room was prepared for the RAT to be heated up -- you know, have the high side energized, that they needed to page Barney and that he would coordinate the activity in the low voltage switch yard.

19 I then proceeded back to the control room and
20 passed this information to Mr. Swartzwelder and Mr. Hopkins.
21 BY MR. LAZARUS:

Q About what time was that?

A It would be 10 to 15 minutes into the event. I cannot give you an exact time, that's a ballpark time.
Q So if the event was 9:20?

	Paje 7
1	A It'd be about 9:30, 9:35.
2	Q 9:40, somewhere in there?
3	A Yes, sir, somewhere in that time frame.
4	I then requested further instructions from my boss,
5	I said what do you need me to do, do you want me to monitor
6	Unit 2 because basically everything was in auto on the
7	transformer. Before releasing the clearance in the plant,
8	GCC was just waiting for instructions from us to bring up
9	the high voltage side of it. I was told to go to the TSC
10	and to start the activation process in the TSC, to be the
11	Operations representative.
12	I went to the TSC and I remained there through the
13	remainder of the site area and subsequent alert emergency.
14	That was I did the functions required for TSC.
15	Q So it would have been about 9:45 that you arrived
16	at the TSC and started setting up there?
17	A No, it would have been sooner than that. I was
18	only in the control room very briefly after I reported back,
19	three or four minutes between the time I reported back to
20	the control room and actually when it would have been
21	more like 9:40.
2.2	Q In the TSC, what part did you play in
23	classification/notification?
24	A Okay, classification/notification was done entirely
25	from the control room until the ED transferred back to the

11

'n

TSC. At that time, I believe -- at that time, Mr. Bockhold had assumed the ED directions. In other words, initial classification and the follow-up messages were made from the control room.

Q When you got to the TSC, were you aware that they had already been through -- had the diesel been emergency started at that point?

A Yes, sir -- excuse me -- I don't believe so. I really can't tell you for sure. Like I say, I did not get involved in deta³¹ in the control room operations. I knew that the diesel had failed and they had dispatched people to it locally to the sequencer. I really don't remember for sure exactly what was going on with the diesel, I did not get involved that much in Unit 1 operations.

Q Okay, what --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A In other words, there was enough people in there, I tried to stay out of the way, not to add to the clutter of people in the control room.

Q Sure. Okay, go back to the TSC and continue telling us what you did in the TSC as far as --

A Okay, the first thing that I did -- the normal TSC manager is Skip Kitchens. He was in requal training. The backup is Jim Swartzwelder. He was in the control room and my instructions were to go and be the TSC Manager for the activation process until either Skip showed up or Jim

Page 8

arrived to relieve me.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

26

21

22

23

24

25

I went through the initial activation process, getting all the folders out. People started arriving shortly after I got back to the TSC. I was in the process of reviewing the TSC Manager checklist to be sure that I knew all the activities that I had to carry out. The TSC Manager's secretary, Esther Dickson, had showed up and she was going over the paperwork with me.

About this time, Skip Kitchens walks into the TSC. He had been taking a break in class in the training center, had seen the unit 2 trup and had decided to come on over to the plant to see if he was needed. No then assumed the role of TSC Manager. Now this was before activation.

So I then went to the Ops -- well either Ops Manager or Ops Superintendent role in the TSC and I essumed that role at that time. And that's the role that I maintained throughout the event -- operations representative to the TSC.

Q Were you aware of off-site communications attempts or difficulties that were made from the TSC to Georgia and South Carolina and the counties?

A Not at that time. The communications -- the communicators that arrived at the TSC -- Russ Simmons was the first. Russ Simmons is the status loop communicator. I was aware -- the way we do our status loop communication is



Page 10

we have a bridge line that we use for it on our Merlin system. Runs was unable to establish that bridge line. I told him to go to the backup, which is the sound powered phones and that's where he went to. He went to establish that as our status loop communications.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q That was in-plant communications?

A That's in-plant communication, yes, sir. I was aware that the engineering staff, the ENN back in the TSC did arrive and I knew that they were trying to piece together a notification form. I never knew why, I just assumed they were trying to get the information for -- you know, they were just trying to find out the exact status of the plant. They never informed me that there was a problem with notification of any groups.

Q Were you aware of the announcements were made on the Gatronics or PA system regarding the site area emergency and I guess subsequently there was some announcement made effort evacuation of non-essential personnel?

Yes, sir. I was aware of the initial notification for site area and the subsequent alert notification. And some of the directions for people to assemble in the admin puilding parking lot that were made subsequently were made after I conferred with Security about the accountability list.

25

0

Those announcements -- my understanding of the

first announcement of the site area emergency did not mention any evacuation at all, is that correct?

A I know that for a fact now. I did not pick up that detail at the time. You know when you hear an announcement -- any type of page announcement -- you tend to hear your portion of it. I heard "emergency response people report to your facilities" and I go. I really did not pick up that there was an omission from it at that time, but it was not something I was listening for.

Page 11

Q How much later were the subsequent announcements 11 that were made and what do you recall they involved about evacuation of personnel?

A Okay. I do not know -- there is a chronology -time reference -- that we put together. I do not know if it has those time frames in it for the subsequent announcement. I do not remember the exact time frame.

0 Five minutes later, ten minutes later, something like that.

A It was longer than that.

0 Longer than that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 \mathcal{F}

ENGAD

DO RAYONNE N TOOR LORM

I would say that we're talking from the time ---A could I review this please? (Pause.) I would say it was 15 or 20 minutes after the site area page announcement was made, but T cannot tell you in detail for sure. I believe it was about the time we downgraded to the alert, but I

don't remember for sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 \mathbf{p}

モガロ大臣

DO BAYONNE

n

andes south

Q So somewhere before 10:00 Eastern Standard Time, is that about right?

A Ten o'clock central -- let me get my times straight -- no, sir, 10:00 is when we made the page announcement for the site area emergency.

Q Okay.

A It would have been 15-20 minutes after that and about 15 minutes after that is when we downgraded the site area. I believe it was relatively shortly after that.

Q You downgraded to the alert?

12 A After we downgraded to the alert, that we were 13 still working on accountability.

Q Do you recall any of the detail of those announcements that were made by Security apparently?

A Yes, sir. Because of the omission from the initial announcement, there was some confusion by people who were not emergency response team members as to whether they were supposed to leave the protected area or not. Security transmitted this confusion to me, and said hey we need to make an announcement that tells people that are not involved in the event what to do. I said tell people to report to their assembly areas. We made that page announcement and then it dawned on me that maybe people did not know where their assembly areas were because we did have a lot of

Page 12

Page 13 contractors in. They should .now, but I decided we'd make 1 2 it real clear, real plain. Tell everybody that's not 3 involved in the event to report to the admin building 4 parking lot. And that's what we did. 5 Q So you made that announcement from the TSC or 6 Security made the announcement? 7 A No, Security made that announcement, but it was 8 after conferring with me. 9 So you provided them the guidance to make the 0 10 announcement? 11 A Yes, sir. 12 0 And that was to report to the admin building 13 parking lot? 14 A Yes, sir. 15 0 Did you make the first announcement about report to your assembly area or you --16 17 A Security made both of those announcements. 18 Okay and then they changed it to report to the 0 19 admin building parking lot? 20 Just so there would be no confusion about where A 21 people should go, it'd be real clear, real plain. 22 MR. LAZARUS: Okay. Anyone else have any 23 questions? 24 MR. DIETZ: Give me, from an operational viewpoint, 25 being the officer up in the Tech Support Center, what were

自我的人们

CO BAYONNE

N

ABGA NGAN

Page 14

your duties and what were you doing?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

京村白木ひ

WHOR ROUTS IN EITHORNE

THE WITNESS: The initial -- my initial duties, 3 other than being there for any questions to be answered, was really -- I was bringing everybody up to speed on what was going on. Engineering and other support people that came in had questions about where we were exactly. There were questions about what was core exit temperature, what can we look at. I said we've got RHR cutlet -- inlet temperature, we've core exit TC, this is where you find it on the area computer, because of our detailed use of it that we have in the control room, I'm a lot more familiar than most of the Engineering staff are on how to get different parameters out of it.

The initial statusing of our board when we give 15 sequence of events and activities was conducted at my direction. Russ Simmons put that information on the board 16 17 at my direction. And like I say, everybody's initial "what's going on" as they were preparing, activating their 18 19 station was done, I was the person who was briefing people.

20 This is not a formal briefing, this is an informal hey, what can we do to help, should we be looking at this --21 22 Engineering came up and -- some of the electrical engineering folks and said hey, there may be a way that we 23 can backfeed to the 4160 bus, should we look at it. I said 24 yeah, let's look at it but let's don't do anything yet, 25

let's see if there is a possibility. That was the types of things that I was doing.

Page 15

MR. DIETZ: The ERF computer, does that have any displays that deal with shutdown type conditions?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, there are several displays -- there's not a display that says shutdown mid-loop operation, but there are several parameters on it that we use for mid-loop operations. In particular we recently added RHR pump amps. We also have two in-core thermocouples that when the head is on are connected. There are some other parameters -- most people were familiar with those. Some other parameters that they weren't familiar with was that we have RHR inlet and outlet temperatures. So that's another diverse indication that you can get when the pumps are running, of what your core temperature is.

But there is no push this button and it brings up a group of parameters that are mid-loop parameters.

MR. DIETZ: When you're at these displays, are there a lot of parameters that are out of spec, purple --

THE WITNESS: Sometimes. It depends on the situation. For instance, we only had two in-core thermocouples that were connected. If you look at the --the easiest way to get to them is to go to the map. Okay? And all but two of them are purple because they're not connected, so it really depends on the situation. Typically



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

おお平

no, but that's a very general statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 \hat{s}

百村京内ひ

BAYON B R

MR. DIETZ: So there being a lot of things out on monitoring doesn't cause confusion in terms of being able to look at it and find informatio.

THE WITNESS: It doesn't cause confusion if you're expecting that situation. If you were expecting all thermocouples to be hooked up and you pulled up and you only had two of them, yes, that would cause confusion. But that was -- only two of them being hooked up was a known and expected situation.

MR. DIETZ: What was the highest temperature you saw on thermocouples?

THE WITNESS: The highest temperature that I saw on in-core thermocouples was -- and we have scale copies of the trends -- was about 118 degrees. Now on the RHR we saw, it was very definite. Before you started the pump you had a flat line, the pump started and it jumped up to the neighborhood of 135-136 degrees and rapidly came back down to 105 --- 102 to 105 on the RHR inlet.

MR. LYON: Are any of those temperature data available off of the plant computer as a permanent record as opposed to someone writing them down afterwards?

THE WITNESS: The only ones we have as a permanent record is we have the ability -- we have a little thermal printer, we have the ability to take copies of things. We only took copies of the ERF trend. Those parameters are available off of the Proteus which we can -- the plant computer -- which we can copy, but it's not as trendable a data, it's much more difficult to get a trend out of Proteus because it does not lend itself -- the software does not lend itself to creating that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 17

MR. LYON: Is there a record that we, two weeks later almost or so, a week later, could go back to that was either a person in the control writing down the numbers at the time or a computer printout of data sampling at the time -- is there anything like that available on these temperatures?

THE WITNESS: If you're asking on a routine basis --

MR. LYON: No, for this event.

THE WITNESS: We did take a snapshot of the trends of the in-core thermocouples off of the ERF. We also have a control board recorder that gives RHR temperatures. Okay? Of course you know that a recorder, a strip chart recorder will give you a good delta but for absolute values --

MR. LYON: I understand.

THE WITNESS: -- it's not very good. That's the only thing that we recorded. Unfortunately we did not get other snapshots, which I wish we had, off of the ERF or Proteus. The only thing that we have is the two in-core thermocouple trends.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

25

0

MR. LYON: But those are a permanent recorded record.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, they're part of the -- we have them in the critique and they will be part of the data that is a permanent part of the event critique.

MR. LYON: Which will be provided to us.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. LYON: Good.

MR. DIETZ: Does the core thermocouple display also show the RHR inlet and outlet?

12 THE WITNESS: No, sir. If you take a look at our ERF, you have the ability to call up any point that you want 13 14 to through a -- basically a surge, you have to call up system, et cetera. We also have some things set up that 15 fall under several categories. One is that for each of our 16 safety function status trees, we have about five or six 17 different sets of parameters that you can pull up. You may 18 19 have some copies of our little displays. We have preselected some groups of things that you can call up, for 20 instance for heat sink, we have steam generator narrow range 21 levels 1 and 2 and then on another button we have 3 and 4 22 23 that you can just push this button and it automatically 24 calls up the parameter.

We also have some various systems that we can call

up a display of the system. RHR is one of those systems. Charging is another system, let-down is another system.

Page 19

MR. DIETZ: The sar> displays are available to the operators, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

B A

MR. DIETZ: Okay, so when they were monitoring temperatures and were monitoring them increasing in the control room, they were working -- looking at the --

THE WITNESS: I think they were actually looking at Proteus at the time. Proteus has the same display, even though it doesn't have -- for this particular case, for the core exit TC's, it has the same display map. It does not have the ability to readily trend parameters as easily as the ERF.

> MR. DIETZ: But you'd be monitoring the same ---THE WITNESS: Monitoring the same points, yes, sir.

MR. DIETZ: So if what they were reading -- if they were looking at RHR inlet and outlet, it would not have been going up while the RHR was pumping.

THE WITNESS: That is true.

MR. DIETZ: So they had to be monitoring --

THE WITNESS: Core exits and they were doing that on Proteus rather than ERF, because they had already -- they already had that called up on Proteus.

MR. DIETZ: We're getting discrepancies between

Page 20

what the operators saw and what you saw on the TC's.

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. DIETZ: Can you explain that?

THE WITNESS: I believe so. You would really need to talk to someone like John Eliack, to talk about that and apparently there was a discrepancy.

MR. DIETZ: They recall seeing 136, 138 degrees on the TC.

THE WITNESS: That was not what came out on the 10 ERF. That is information they saw on Proteus. Now I do not know this particular loop, how the calibration goes. But typically you'll have an input into the ERF and an input into Proteus. Okay? These loops are calibrated and I think each loop is calibrated somewhat independently. I'm not saying that there's a difference in -- a slight difference i the calibration of the loop, but that would be something we would have to check.

18 The other thing you have to remember is that in-19 core thermocouples have a very wide range and we were down 20 at the bottom end of their range. So I'm not sure how 21 accurate they are at the extreme ends of their range. I 22 cannot answer those questions. There would probably be a 23 John Eliack --

MR. LYON: Would you spell that? THE WITNESS: E-1-i-a-c-k Or Greg Hooper.

IND AD

经未产品 经利息

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

25

Page 21

MR. LYON: Spell.

.

0

•

	MR. LYON: Spell.
2	THE WITNESS: H-0.0-p-e-r. They're out computer
3	experts. Hooper is our expert on Proteus, John Eliack is
4	our expert on ERF. They might be able to explain a
5	discrepancy between ERF and Proteus. I cannot I can
6	speculate, that's all I can do.
7	BY MR. LAZARUS:
8	Q Were you aware of the status of the plant effluent
9	radiation monitoring system and the meteorological tower at
10	the time when you got to the TSC?
11	A No, sir.
12	Q Yes?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q No, you were not?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q So you didn't know they were out of service at the
17	time apparently from the loss of vital power?
18	A Okay, there are some things that I assumed. Okay?
19	One thing is that when we lost vital power we typically lose
20	our communications console for our PERM system. Okay? We
21	have some safety related PERMs which are displayed in the
22	control room steam line rad monitors are displayed in the
23	control room. They remain displayed in the control room.
24	If you go in the control room, you'll see a silver panel
25	that's over next to the turbine panel, a small silver panel,

and it has a set of rad monitors which we have designated as our safety related rad monitors. Those you can go look at any time during a loss of power or not.

During the last of power, the PERMs monitors stay up but the communication consoles where the non-safety related and the safety related also put into that gives us communications to ERF, it loses its power, so we do not have the ability to pull them up on the ERF. You should be able to go locally to the rad monitors and read them locally. This is something that occurs in a loss of power. I took it for granted that it had happened.

12

0

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

What about the meteorological data?

13 This is -- once again, this is not something that I A 14 would absolutely anticipate. We have a communication link that goes from the MET tower to the plant that communicates 15 with the ERF. I believe it's a microwave link. We have had 16 17 problems when we've lost our phones with this link. We lost all the phones on Unit 1. It did not surprise me when I 18 heard subsequently that we had lost communications with the 19 MET tower. I did not anticipate once we sent people to the 20 MET tower, any other further problems. In other words, 21 there was no reason why the event that we had would affect 22 the ability of the instrumentation at the MET tower to 23 colle t data, but it would affect and it was very reasonable 24 to me to assume that it would affect the ability of that 25

Page 22

	Page 23
1	information to be automatically transmitted to the ERF.
2	Q How would people at the tower transmit, by radio?
3	A By phone, there's a phone up there.
4	Q Would the phone be in operation
5	A Yes. The power to the MET tower comes from Plant
6	Wilson I believe.
7	Q Yes, that's right.
8	A Losing vital power should not affect the MET tower
9	as far as power supplies.
10	MR. DIETZ: And your phones in the TSC were
11	operational?
12	THE WITNESS: The phones on Unit 2 were operational
13	also.
14	MR. DIETZ: Was the Met tower operational from Unit
15	2?
16	THE WITNESS: No, the MET tower only puts into the
17	Unit 1 ERF.
18	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
19	THE WITNESS: And like I said, the problem with the
20	MET tower wasn't that it put into the Unit 1 ERF, I believe
21	I have not heard a confirmation of this, this was my
22	assumption at the time, but that when we lost the phone
23	system on Unit 1 we lost the communication link.
24	MR. DIETZ: Right.
25	THE WITNESS: That was my assumption at the time.

ø

.

Page 24 MR. DIETZ: I was just wondering -- you don't have 1 2 two communication links? 3 THE WITNESS: We only have one because it only puts into the Unit 1 yard. 4 5 MR. DIETZ: Gotcha. 6 MR. LYON: A minor point on your chronology. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 MR. LYON: I want to spend a few minutes on what was 9 going on in the Tech Support Center. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 MR. LYON: You indicated that very early on you had 12 contacted Georgia Control Center. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, but that was from the 14 control room. MR. LYON: Yeah. Did you then later contact them 15 16 any more in regard to what you found? 17 THE WITNESS: I did not. That communication would have been done fro. the control room because we have a 18 direct line from the control room. You pick it up and it 19 rings in the lounge. So we have a direct line connecting 20 both the Unit 1 and the Unit 2 on separate lines to Georgia 21 Control Center. So that's how notification would have been 22 23 made. MR. LYON: After you talked with the Georgia Control 24 Center, did you then tell the people out in the switch yard 25

Page 25

anything about what was going on?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE WITNESS: The switch yard -- you're talking about the low voltage switch yard?

MR. LYON: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: The Georgia Control Center contacted them.

MR. LYON: Georgia Control Center did thet?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Now Barney Beasley may have in fact talked to them because of a much more personal knowledge of it, he came from Division and he, I do believe -- as soon as he saw that the RAT -- we'd lost the RAT due to a truck backing into one of the phases, I believe that Barney went and called the switch yard and said hey, get the Division repair people headed this way. I believe that he either called the switch yard or the Division directly, but that's because of a personal knowledge of the people, which I did not have.

MR. LYON: Let me go into the Tech Support Center if I may. Could you kind of put things in perspective here by the manning and activation of the Tech Support Center into perhaps when the diesel was permanently restored?

Which came first? ** as the Tech Support Center fully activated before or after you had the diesel running?

THE WITNESS: The diesel tied on was before Tech
Support Center was activated.

Page 26 MR. LYON: So by the time they were fully activated, 1 2 you did have your AC power restored? 3 THE WITNESS: That's right. 4 MR. LYON: When you took over as the Ops rep ---THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 5 6 MR. LYON: -- what was your focus and what was your 7 thinking? 8 THE WITNESS: Okay, you've got to remember that we 9 were somewhat -- I hate to use the word stable, but by that 10 time we were somewhat stable, we had power back. My 11 function then was to look at further ways which we could ensure our stability. We had a diesel that we had managed 12 to get loaded, that it had tripped on us twice. Ckay? Like 13 14 I say, Engineering approached me, hey, there's a possibility of another way of getting power to the bus. I asked them to 15 go ahead and pursue that and be ready but don't implement 16 anything yet. Just check out the possibility. 17 I'm not sure exactly what you're asking, so let me 18 ask you to ask the question again, so I don't go off on a 19 20 tangent. MR. LYON: Sure, that's fine, and I'll phrase it 21 22 differently. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. 24 MR. LYON: Let me -- we have work underway on the diesel, on backup electrical power and so forth. 25

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LYON: You've got that one somewhat covered. Was your thinking process also trying to cover the situation of hey, if I lose electrical power again what are my options for cooling and staying out of trouble? Ways of perhaps adding water, ways of cooling the core without AC power.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, those thoughts had went through my mind. I had no discussions with anybody about them but I had in my mind counted the options of different ways.

MR. LYON: Would you kind of put yourself back in the Tech Support Center and your knowledge level at that time and tell us what some of those options were. And had you gotten into the situation of having no electrical power, the kinds of things you would be thinking and recommending to other people in the Tech Support Center.

THE WITNESS: Okay, we had -- as you're aware, we had several penetrations open on the RCS. We had two valves that were in the process of being repaired, we had two steam generators that had not had their primary manways reinstalled after nozzle down removal. Okay? I knew that this work was in the process of being buttoned up. My thoughts on potentials for putting water in with no power at all was that we could gravity drain. There were a number of flow paths that we could gravity drain. Our emergency boration flow path that we had administratively declared was from the RWST through centrifugal charging pump A in the normal charging flow path via the alternate charging flow valve. The normal charging flow valve was tagged shut, it's just a patillel path, we just call one normal and one alternate.

Page 28

I knew that first we had been using that path to make up and second that it was a controlled flow path because we had an ALV which at the time we still had air to, so we could control our charge rate through that.

Did you have a question?

MR. LYON: As you go through each path, could you provide an estimate of the flow rate?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. LYON: Assuming that your RCS was fully vented. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. LYON: Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: We -- through the centrifugal charging pump, we typically get -- if you open the 121 valve fully open, we get somewhere in the neighborhood of 200 GPM gravity flow from a full RWST to RCS at mid-loop, it's pretty significant.

We had the positive displacement pump that was available, it had power. We could have ran the positive displacement pump. We could have also had positive displacement pump. We could have also had gravity flow through the positive displacement pump if we lost power. We've seen 60 to 90 GPM through the positive displacement pump. It's a little bit more restrictive than a centrifugal charging pump. I'll be honest, the first time I saw it, I didn't believe it because I didn't think you could gravity flow through a positive displacement pump but it's happened I know because I put water places where it wasn't supposed to be.

Page 29

ñ

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

That was, to my way of thinking, the preferred first option because that would be (1) a controllable flow path for gravity draining at a controlled flow rate and (2) if we had to, we could start the PD pump and could pump water in and it would not be gravity flow. It'd have more ability to put into a higher pressure vessel.

16 MR. LYON: And that pump has a flow rate of 17 approximately what?

18 THE WITNESS: 90 to 100 GPM. It's normally -- I 19 believe the specs say about 98 GPM. The actual flow rate 20 will vary a little bit depending upon the exact set up of 21 your throttle valves, et cetera. But this condition, I 22 think that we could have got around 100 GPM probably.

23 MR. LYON: And the pressure capability of that pump 24 is what?

THE WITNESS: That's the pump we do our primary

Page 30 hydro with. 1 2 MR. LYON: Which means it will go to what pressure? 3 THE WITNESS: Greater than 3000 pei. MR. LYON: Okay, and that was available, you knew it was available at the time because of the situation with 5 6 electric power. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 8 MR. LYON: So you did have a pump to make up 9 capability. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. It was one that I would 11 have preferred to have saved for a last ditch effort. In other words, if I could gravity flow, I would have probably 12 preferred to gravity flow rather than start that pump. 13 14 MR. LYON: I understand. 15 THE WITNESS: Just because I like to reserve my 16 options. 17 MR. LYON: Now we've discussed a gravity flow path by way of the various charging lineups. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Right. 20 MR. LYON: And we've discussed a pump flow path. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR. LYON: What other flow paths were you thinking 22 23 of? THE WITNESS: Okay, the second flow path that came 24 to mind was through our safety injection system. We have --25

with nozzle vents installed we administratively require that we have one safety injection and that's our intermediate head pumps, that is capable of having its breaker racked in and injecting and we actually had both pumps in that condition. They were lined up, they were filled and vented, the breakers were -- the pumps were in full lock and the breakers were racked out. We could have gravity flow through those pumps to the core, through each pump independently, so that's actually two different flow paths.

MR. LYON: All right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

24

25

THE WITNESS: I can only guess at a flow rate through these because to the best of my knowledge we've never gravity drained through them.

MR. LYON: I understand.

16 THE WITNESS: But I would guess somewhere in the 17 neighborhood of 500 to 600 GPM.

MR. LYON All right.

19THE WITNESS: Now the remaining flow paths are20through RHR. We had A train in service with shutdown21cooling. B train was in standby. We could have gravity22drained through either one of these through the RWST, though23at the time we only had one flow path through the RCS.

When we did our gravity fill of the cavity initially we saw -- we gravity drained through RHR, we saw in the

Page 31

Page 32 1 neighborhood of 1000 GPM. I actually believe we saw 1200 2 but I know it was 1000. 3 MR. LYON: That is an experimental data point, if 4 you will? 5 THE WITNESS: That is a known data point, yes, sir, 6 as is through the CCP. We've done that before too. 7 MR. LYON: I understand. 8 THE WITNESS: Those I know. 9 MR. LYON: All right, now this is with the reactor 10 coolant system essentially at atmospheric pressure. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 12 MR. LYON: What was your knowledge of the state of 13 the pressure boundary in the reactor coolant system as of 14 roughly, I don't know, half an hour, hour after you got in 15 the Tech Support Center? 16 THE WITNESS: Okay, as I said, I knew that the work 17 was ongoing in containment to button up mid-loop. It was my 18 understanding that the pressurizer manway was to be left off 19 to provide a vent path. Okay? We were informed via a page announcement that the manways were installed. That was --- I 20 21 believe his last name is Cagle and I forget his first name 22 but he's one of the people that was coordinating some work 23 inside containment. Due to I guess you could say inaccurate 24 communication or unclear communications between the ED at the time, John Hopkins, and the HP Manager, Ron LeGrand, Ron 25



ENGAD

WHOR SCOTOTS I SCHOKAB GO

got the information that we were supposed to button up the RCS versus button up mid-lcop work. This entailed putting on pressurizer manways, which Ron did, or Ron ensured that happened. We were in the TSC and it was shortly after George Bockhold had reported to the TSC, that we were informed that the pressurized manway was installed.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

8

My initial thoughts were -- at this time RHR cooling had been established. My initial thoughts were oh, no, now if we lose power, we can't gravity drain as easily because we'll pressurize as we heat up. I initially thought -- I said wait a minute, we've got cooling, we're back down, we know that we're not going to be able to get the manway off if we had to before we heated up to the boiling point if we have a further loss of RHR cooling. And even if we don't, if we lose RHR cooling again, then we -- button up mid-loop, we can gravity drain with as much water as we can, as much inventory as we can, and then we'll still have the steam generators as a heat sink that we have a substantial amount of heat removal capacity with. So it's not a disaster, it has its good points and its bad points and due to the fact that I felt that (a) we could get it off if we had to and (b) that it wasn't a disastrous move anyway, there were some things about it that would -- even if we could not get it 23 off we would still have some heat removal capacity. And 24 25 George said wait, just leave it on for now, I did not

Page 33

disagree with that decision and I really didn't go into any detailed discussion with George about it because I did not believe it was an unwise decision at the time and it was a decision that if subsequent events happened we could change or take other actions that would -- as I say going ahead and assuming we lost coolant, gravity drain as much water as we could and filling up the RCS to what point we could. So I did not see any reason to question George's decision on it.

Page 34

MR. LYON: With regard to control, what was the status of the air compressors that provide air to valves, these kinds of things?

THE WITNESS: There was no problem with the air compressors.

MR. LYON: Those were operating normally? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We had a backfeed in progress. The backfeed powered up the non-1-E buses, 1NA04, 05 and 01. Our air compressors are powered off of those buses.

MR. LYON: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

ENGAD

CO BAYONNE N TTOOR FORM

THE WITNESS: They never lost power.

21 MR. LYON: Now I may not have been listening quite 22 closely enough. You were indicating that if you needed to, 23 you felt you could easily remove the manway?

THE WITNESS: Yes sir.

MR. LYON: How would you know you had time enough to

do that? You indicated you were operating on RHR, you had returned to original condition, so you're down in the vicirity of what, 90-95 F?

Page 35

THE WITNESS: Right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LYON: How would you know you had time enough to take that manway off if you needed to?

THE WITNESS: The manway bolts were on and the report to the TSC is that bolts were on, they were not torqued down. It was snug. I felt that we had put the manways on and pressurizer manway on in significantly less time than it took to heat up to the boiling point. I felt confident -- and this is just a gut feeling, I don't have a lot to base it on, but I felt confident that because the bolts were not torqued down, because they were only snug, that we would have adequate time to take one manway off, if we had had time to put I believe five on.

MR. LYON: Sounds reasonable to me. Okay, the last question is if the manways were all on --

THE WITNESS: Also, one other thing is that on Unit 1 refueling, initial refueling, we had an extremely difficult time getting the pressurizer manway off. We galled several bolts, we had made some design changes, we changed some lubricant we were using on the bolts. This time when we took the pressurizer manway off it was a 30-45 minute evolution. So I did have a time frame of taking it

Page 36

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

off from a fully torqued condition.

MR. LYON: My last question is if the system had been fully closed up, are you aware of a heat removal mechanism that might have come into play?

THE WITNESS: We still had our steam generators. They were full of water at the time and had a good bit of inventory. Of course, to remove heat through the steam generators you have to bring the steam generators up to boiling. That's a substantial heat sink in itself, with four steam generators. So -- and we would have had a great deal of heat removal capacity. Like I said, when I found out the pressurizer manway was on, I thought about it and I said well we've got four steam generators and a lot of water, we can boil them off one at the time

MR. LYON: How do you get the energy from the core to the steam generators in that case?

THE WITNESS: It would be through basically refiux cooling.

MR. LYON: Okay.

THE WITNESS: You've got a lid on a kettle and you've got steam that goes up in the lid and it condenses and you transfer that energy to the lid.

MR. LYON: Got it. I have no further questions. Thank you, Jimmy.

MR. JONES: Let me ask you one thing. We asked for

	Page 37
1	a list of equipment out of service.
2	THE WITNESS: Oh, who did you ask for that list?
3	MR. JONES: I was going to say, who should we ask?
4	MR. LYON: I have some of that information.
5	MR. JONES: Good.
Ë	THE WITNESS: If you need more information, I'm at
7	beeper 067. I wrote the initial conditions for our event
8	critique so I'll be able to tell you abnormal system
9	configurations, equipment out of service, et cetera.
10	MR. JONES: What is your extension?
11	THE WITNESS: The extension is 3330.
12	MR. LAZARUS: Warren, are you finished with
13	questions, for the record?
14	MR. LYON: Yes, I am.
15	MR. LAZARUS: We can go off the record.
16	(Whereupon, the interview was concluded at 10:46
17	am.m.)

	Page 38
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the
4	U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:
5	Name: Interview of JIMMY PAUL CASH
6	
7	Docket Number:
8	Place: Vogtle Nuclear Generating Plant, Waynesboro, GA
9	Date: March 27, 1990
10	were held as herein appears, and that this is the original
31	transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear
12	Regulatory Commission taken stenographically by me and,
13	thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my
14	direction, and that the transcript is a true and accurate
15	record of the foregoing proceedings.
16	
17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM L. WARREN Official Reporter Ann Riley & Associates