



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 83 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-47

ENERGY OPERATIONS, INC.  
RIVER BEND STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-458

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated May 25, 1995, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) submitted a revision to the River Bend Station Security Plan for the River Bend Station, Unit 1. The proposed changes provide explicit details which clarify how an uninterruptable power supply (UPS) system would be maintained for specific security equipment at the site.

2.0 DISCUSSION

The licensee's proposal within the physical security plan to modify how an uninterruptable power supply system would be provided to specific security equipment at the site was analyzed by the staff. It was determined that these changes for maintaining a continuous UPS system for the alarm annunciator equipment and non-portable communications equipment continue to meet the 10 CFR Part 73.55 requirements.

3.0 CONCLUSION

It is the staff's determination that the amendment to the River Bend Station Security Plan, as described in the licensee's Letter of May 25, 1995, does not increase the risk of sabotage at the facility. Accordingly, the plan continues to satisfy 10 CFR Part 73.55 requirements.

4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Louisiana State Official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation

exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (60 FR 37091). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: R. B. Manili

Date: September 12, 1995