[7590-01]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 50-443A

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, ET AL.

SEABROOK NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

PROPOSED OWNERSHIP TRANSFER

NOTICE OF NO SIGNIFICANT ANTITRUST CHANGES

AND TIME FOR FILING REQUESTS FOR REEVALUATION

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has made a finding in accordance with section 105c(2) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2135, that no significant (antitrust) changes in the licensees' activities or proposed activities have occurred as a result of the proposed change in ownership of Unit 1 of the Seabrook Nuclear Station (Seabrook) detailed in the licensee's amendment application dated November 13, 1991. The finding is as follows:

Section 105c(2) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, provides that an application for a license to operate a utilization facility for which a construction permit was issued under section 103 shall not undergo an antitrust review unless the Commission determines that such review is advisable on the ground that significant changes in the licensee's activities or proposed activities have occurred subsequent to the previous antitrust review by the Attorney General and the Commission in connection with the construction permit for the facility. The Commission has delegated the authority to make the "significant change" determination to the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

By application dated November 13, 1991, the Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH or licensee), through its New Hampshire Yankee division, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.90, requested the transfer of its 35.56942% ownership interest in the Seabrook Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 (Seabrook) to a newly formed, wholly owned subsidiary of Northeast Utilities (NU). This newly formed subsidiary will be called the North Atlantic Energy Corporation (NAEC). The Seabrook construction permit antitrust review was completed in 1973 and the operating license antitrust review of Seabrook was completed in 1986. The staffs of the Policy Development and Technical Support Branch, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation and the Office of the General Counsel, hereinafter referred to as the "staff", have jointly concluded, after consultation with the Department of Justice, that the proposed change in ownership is not a significant change under the criteria discussed by the Commission in its Summer decisions (CLI-80-28 and CLI-81-14).

On February 28, 1991, the staff published in the <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> (56 Fed. Reg. 8373) receipt of the licensee's request to transfer its 35.56942% ownership interest in Seabrook to NAEC. This amendment request is directly related to the proposed merger between NU and PSNH. The notice indicated the

- 2 -

reason for the transfer, stated that there were no anticipated significant safety hazards as a result of the proposed transfer and provided an opportunity for public comment on any antitrust issues related to the proposed transfer. The staff received comments from several interested parties -- all of which have been condidered and factored into this significant change finding.

The staff reviewed the proposed transfer of PSNH's ownership in the Seabrook facility to a wholly owned subsidiary of NU for significant changes since the last antitrust review of Seabrook, using the criteria discussed by the Commission in its Summer decisions (CLI-80-28 and CLI-81-14). The staff believes that the record developed to date in the proceeding at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) involving the proposed NU/PSNH merger adequately portrays the competitive situation(s) in the markets served by the Seabrook facility and that any anticompetitive aspects of the proposed changes have been adequately addressed in the FERC proceeding. Moreover, merger conditions designed to mitigate possible anticompetitive effects of the proposed merger have been developed in the FERC proceeding. The staff further believes that the FERC proceeding addressed the issue of adequately protecting the interests of competing power systems and the competitive process in the area served by the Seabrook facility such that the changes will not have implications that

- 3 -

warrant a Commission remedy. In reaching this conclusion, the staff considered the structure of the electric utility industry in New England and adjacent areas and the events relevant to the Seabrook Nuclear Power Station and Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3 construction permit and operating license reviews. For these reasons, and after consultation with the Department of Justice, the staff recommends that a no affirmative "significant change" determination be made regarding the proposed change in ownership detailed in the licensee's amendment application dated November 13, 1991.

Based upon the staff analysis, it is my finding that there have been no "significant changes" in the licensees' activities or proposed activities since the completion of the previous antitrust review.

Signed on February 9, 1992 by Thomas E. Murley, Director, of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

Any person whose interest may be affected by this finding may file, with full particulars, a request for reevaluation with the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 within 30 days of the initial publication of this notice in the <u>Federal Register</u>. Reguests for reevaluation of the no significant change

- 4 -

determination shall be accepted after the date when the Director's finding becomes final, but before the issuance of the operating license amendment, only if they contain new information, such as information about facts or events of antitrust significance that have occurred since that date, or information that could not reasonably have been submitted prior to that date.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of February 1992.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 1 DUL

Anthony T. Gody/ Chief Policy Development and Technical Support Branch Program Management, Policy Development, and Analysis Staff Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation