

VI. EVALUATION FORM

CRITIQUE SHEET FOR CONTROLLERS/EVALUATOR ¹⁹⁸⁴ MAY 24 AM 11:46

- 1. Location CATAWBA Fixed Facility Exercise
- 2. Date 15-16 FEB 84 3. Time: From 1030 (12²⁴) To 1300 (16⁰⁰)
- 4. Nature of Agency/Activity Observed, (such as Law Enforcement, Warning, EWS, etc.) Field Monitoring Team

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Answer the following: If not applicable, write NA.

- 5. Was the County EOC fully operational at the time of your observation? N/A
- 6. Was the EOC staffed properly? N/A
- 7. Did the EOC staff have an understanding of the basic situation at the time of your observation? N/A
- 8. Did the EOC function in a timely manner without excessive delay when messages were received? N/A
- 9. Was adequate communications equipment available (Telephones, Radios)? Yes
- 10. Did personnel understand their duties? Yes
- 11. Were EOC staff personnel knowledgeable of their respective Plans, Annexes, SOP's? YES N/A NO
- 12. Were they realistic in their response to messages? N/A
- 13. Did the agencies maintain control of its resources? N/A
- 14. Did the agencies know who to contact once its resources had been exhausted? N/A

8406230031 840509
PDR ADOCK 05000413
G PDR

15. Did you observe any weaknesses that would prevent the Agency/EOC staff from functioning in an actual emergency (i.e. the plan itself, communications, transportation, personnel, etc)?
No major weaknesses

16. Did you observe any strong points that should be recognized to the Agency/EOC staff? communication setup and backups; control of field team movement, knowledge of area on part of field team

COMMENTS: MATERIAL- KI tablets in vehicle had 12/81 expiration date, not all vehicles have power sources for air samplers, a "homemade" check source in vehicle was not labelled as radioactive material, facility keys needed to gain access to sampler locations were in short supply, heavy rubber shoe covers were not inv. communications- radio messages were not consistently identified as "exercise" messages orders from field director were not always repeated back to insure proper reception

Benjamin W. Morgan

NAME

Controller/Evaluator (CP+L Health Physics)

TITLE

Benjamin W. Morgan

SIGNATURE

PROCEDURES- environmental sampling procedures are not specific in all cases. e.g. vegetation sampling does not specify amount to gather, water procedure does not specify loose cap (to allow offgassing of mobile gases)

PERSONNEL - fixed air sampler timer was reset before sampler was secured; this caused unnecessary rapid cycling of the sampler. The sample tag was placed inside the envelope with the filter would lead to unnecessary contamination. tag, from what sample vehicle was low on gas at start of ~~exercise~~ team deployment even though exercise started several hours earlier.

Personnel need work on "gamesmanship"; specifically actions such as taking readings, donning anti-C's, setting up vehicle for contamination control which could easily be performed were simulated. Personnel were knowledgeable of proper procedures and did demonstrate them.

DRILL CONDUCT - Exercise would benefit if each team in the field has a co-