I-EP-31 5/9/84

DOCKETED

PROD. & UTIL. FAC 50 - 413 414 OL

August 18, 1983 '84 MAY 24 A11:44

DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

Mr. Jesse T. Pugh, Director
Division of Emergency Management
Department of Crime Control and
Public Safety
116 West Jones Street
Raleigh, NC 27603

Dear Mr. Pugh:

Enclosed for your review are the Regional Assistance Committee's (RAC's) informal evaluation comments on the Off-Site Radiological Emergency Response Plans for the Catawba Nuclear Station. These comments are submitted to your office for appropriate action.

The evaluation comments are the result of RAC meetings held on July 26-27, 1983, and represent concurring opinions of all representatives of agencies present (i.e., FDA, EPA, NRC, DOT, and FEMA).

Should you have questions or need clarification on any of the items, please contact John Heard at (404) 881-3442.

Sincerely,

GW

Glenn C. Woodard, Jr., Chief Natural and Technological Hazards Division

Enclosures

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. 50-413/44 Official Exh. No. 5xt EP-3/
In the matter of Dicket Catacuta 14.2

Staff IDENTIFIED

ADDICATE REGULATORY COMMISSION

RECEIVED

Contractor RESERVED

Contractor DATE \$/9/84

Other Witgess

Reporter M. Mutgle

8406220345 840509 PDR ADOCK 05000413 G PDR



Federal Emergency Management Agency

1375 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309 Region IV

NUREG ITEM

J.12.

COMMENT

Means for registering and monitoring evacuees

(Note: Correlate wit	h cited "Applicability and Cross-Reference to Plans"
A.1.a.	Part 1. For private sector, identify organizations in Sec. III. A.4. (hospitals, radio and TV stations, volunteer fire and rescue organizations).
A.2.a.	Part 2. Functions and responsibilities for traffic control and E.M.S. missing for Gaston County Plan.
A.3.	Parts 2, 3. Copies of the appropriate Letters of Agreement should be included. Part 2. Need additional Letters of Agreement for various organizations, especially Gaston Memorial Hospital and E.M.S.
C.1.c.	Acceptable pending receipt of further information regarding resources required by Federal response.
C.4.	See A.3. comment above.
E.6.	Adequate-however, final acceptance of the A & N systems is pending final FEMA guidance.
E.7.	Was ad hoc respiratory protection considered in drafting messages?
G.1.	No details of distribution provided.
G.2.	Details on public information program needed.
н.11.	General categories of equipment (other than radiological) not listed in Parts 2 and 3.
J.10.a.	Maps not in plan.
J.10.b.	Maps not in plan.
J.10.h.	Location of shelters not given.
J.10.k.	More details needed, especially concerning contingencies.

not provided.

The same	
=	NUREG
- CHARLES	-

N.4.

P.5.

. P. 7.

P.8.

NUREG ITEM (con't)

COMMENT (con't)

K.3.a. Parts 2 and 3 should include reference to Part 1, page 71.

K.3.b. Parts 2 and 3 should include reference to Part 1, page 71.

N.2.a. No provisions discussed for quarterly communications drills between State and Federal response organizations. We recognize that the system is installed and used daily; however, a brief description should be in plan.

N.3.f. Parts 1-3: Plans do not clearly state that materials will be provided to official observors in advance of exercise.

Part 1: Plan states that a critique will be held within two weeks following the exercise. This does not appear to be consistent with NUREG which says "as soon as practicable."

No provision for marking and dating revised plan pages.

Although some procedures are provided as annexes, a complete listing of all procedures, by title, is not included.

Specific NUREG elements should be listed in cross-reference.