RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

DOCKETED

June 18, JUN 21 P4:39

DISTRIBUTION: SETurk GSMizuno SATreby MKarman ESChristenbury JScinto ELD FF (2) JMurray JLieberman TKing

Marshall Miller, Esq., Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Cadet H. Hand, Jr., Director Administrative Judge Bodega Marine Laboratory University of California P.O. Box 247 Bodega Bay, CA 94923

DOCKETING & SERVICE TK

Mr. Gustave A. Linenberger Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

> BJones, Reg. II DMB-PDR/LPDR 0/0 Chron

In the Matter of United States Department of Energy Project Management Corporation Tennessee Valley Authority (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant) Docket No. 50-537

Dear Administrative Judges:

Enclosed for your information is a letter from Themis P. Speis (NRC) to Francis X. Gavigan (DOE) dated June 6, 1984.

As noted in the enclosure, the NRC Staff has determined that, subject to certain stated actions, the Applicants' proposed CRBR site redress plan is acceptable. Final approval of the plan is subject to Licensing Board action.

Sincerely,

Sherwin E. Turk Counsel for NRC Staff

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl.: Service List

8406220254 840618 PDR ADOCK 05000537 PDR

DESIGNATED ORIGINAL

Certified By MCB 0507

OFC : OELDSAT	: OELD			: Herman	
NAME :SETurk:as	: SATREBY			:	:
DATE: 06/15/84		:	:	:	:

June 6, 1984

Mr. Francis X. Gavigan
Director, Office of Breeder
Demonstration Projects
Office of Nuclear Energy
Department of Energy
Washington, D.C. 20545

Dear Mr. Gavigan:

Your letter of March 5, 1984 provided the final CRBR site redress plan for our review and approval. The NRC staff has reviewed this plan, including as part of this review visits to the site on February 29, 1984 and April 24, 1984. Based upon this review the staff finds your proposed course of action environmentally acceptable. As part of implementing your plan the following actions should also be taken:

- 1) At the time final disposition of the site is determined (Spring 1985) the plans for the site should be reported to NRC along with its status at that time and the schedule for the final redress activities. If an alternate use for the site is found the redress plan should be revised as appropriate to reflect consideration of this alternate use and submitted to NRC.
- In performing the redress activities the topsoil stockpiled during site clearing should be used as much as possible to facilitate the regrowth of vegetation. This is consistent with a previous commitment from the Department of Energy made pursuant to requesting an exemption which allowed early site preparation activities to begin (see letter G. L. Chipman, Jr. to NRC Commissioners, dated January 18, 1982, Pgs. 82-88 of the attachment).

As stated in your March 5, 1984 letter DOE is committed to meeting the existing conditions of the United States Environmental Protection Agency and State of Tennessee effluent limitations for runoff treatment and overall site erosion control prior to and during site redress activities. Compliance with these limitations will continue to be reported to the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the State of Tennessee. Per discussions between the NRC staff and United States Environmental Protection Agency staff compliance with effluent and erosion control limits will be subject to monitoring by the United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IV. Accordingly, NRC will rely on the United States Environmental Protection Agency in this regard.

As indicated in the site redress plan, if no alternate use for the site is found by Spring 1985 then implementation of the major redress activities will begin (refill nuclear island excavation, removal of specified concrete pads, batch plant, etc.). NRC Region II will monitor these redress activities to verify they are done in accordance with the plan.

In consideration of the proposed plan and the above stated actions the CRBR site redress plan is found to be acceptable. Final approval of the plan must await action by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

1:1

Themis P. Speis, Director
Division of Safety Technology
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: Service List

H. R. Denton, NRR

J. P. O'Reflly, NRC, Reg. II R. C. Lewis, NRC, Reg. II H. D. Zeller, EPA, Reg. IV cc: Marshall E. Miller, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

> Mr. Gustave A. Linenberger Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Cadet H. Hand, Jr., Director Bodega Marine Laboratory University of California P. O. Box 247 Bodega Bay, California 94923

Counsel for NRC Staff U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

William B. Hubbard, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
State of Tennessee
Office of the Attorney General
450 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, TN 37219

William E. Lantrip, Esq. City Attorney Municipal Building P.O. Box 1 Oak Ridge, TN 37830

George L. Edgar, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Herbert S. Sanger, Jr., Esq. General Counsel Tennessee Valley Authority 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, TN 37902

Scott Stuckey, Chief Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Raymond L. Copeland Project Management Corp. P.O. Box U Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Barbara A. Finamore
S. Jacob Scherr
Dr. Thomas B. Cockran
Natural Resources Defense
Council, Inc.
1725 I Street, N.W. Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20006

L. Rib LNR Associates Nuclear Power Safety Consultants 8605 Grimsby Court Potomac, MD 20854

Theodore J. Garrish
Leon Silverstrom
William Luck
U. S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Ave., S.W.
Room 6-B-256
Washington, D. C. 20585