June 14, 1984

DOCKETED

*84 JUN 21 P4:40

James L. Kelley, Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Richard F. Foster Administrative Judge P.O. Box 4263 Sunriver, Oregon 97702 Dr. Paul W. Purdom Administrative Judge 235 Columbia Drive Decatur, GA 30030

In the Matter of
DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL.
(Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2)
Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Dear Administrative Judges:

I have recently received a significant deficiency report filed by Duke Power Company which relates to an inspection report and notice of violation previously forwarded to the Board on June 5, 1984. The recent report is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely,

George E. Johnson Counsel for NRC Staff

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: Service List

OFC : OELD : OFL9 : OFL

DUKE POWER COMPANY P.O. BOX 33189 CHARLOTTE, N.C. 28242

HAL B. TUCKER
VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR PRODUCTION

May 22, 1984

TELEPHONE (704) 373-4531

20

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: Catawba Nuclear Station Unit 1

Docket No. 50-413

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Pursuent to 10 CFR 50.55e, please find attached Significant Deficiency Report No. SD 413/84-11.

Very truly yours,

Hal B. Tucker

LTP/php

Attachment

office of Inspection & Enforcement
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

NRC Resident Inspector Catawba Nuclear Station

Palmetto Alliance 2135% Devine Street Columbia, South Carolina 29205 INPO Records Center Suite 1500 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Mr. Robert Guild, Esq. Attorney-at-Law P. O. Box 12097 Charleston, South Carolina 29412

Mr. Jesse L. Riley Carolina Environmental Study Group 854 Henley Place Charlotte, North Carolina 28207

8406110214 840522 PDR ADBCK 05000413 S PDR TE 37 1

REPORT NO: SD 413/84-11

REPORT DATE: May 22, 1984

FACILITY: Catawba Nuclear Station

Unit 1

IDENTIFICATION OF DEFICIENCY:

Welding inspection revealed discrepancies between fabrication drawings and equipment. Deficiency was identified by Nonconforming Item 18132.

INITIAL REFORT:

On April 24, 1984, A. Ignatonis, NRC Region II, Atlanta, Georgia was notified of the deficiency by W. O. Henry and T. L. Utterback of Duke Power Company, Charlotte, North Carolina.

COMPONENT AND SUPPLIER.

Air Handling Units (2) fabricated by Bahnson Service Company (BSCo.), Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

DESCRIPTION OF DEFICIENCY:

As reported by NCIR 18132, a site inspection of air handling units fabricated by BSCo. revealed welding discrepancies documented in Bahnson Report ISC-W-289 dated March 2, 1984. Discrepancies included deviation from fabrication drawings and poor workmanship. Rejection was based on AWS Dl.1 code requirements and BSCo. Inspection Procedures.

Welding problems were not discovered during shop inspection in violation of BSCo.'s QA program. These deficiencies were not identified by Duke Power prior to installation of the equipment.

Similar Bahnson equipment at another Duke location was also inspected. Minor welding discrepancies were identified. Discrepancies included overlap, convectity, weld spatter, considered cosmetic in nature, and one weld is being evaluated for lack of fusion.

ANALYSIS OF SAFETY IMPLICATIONS:

If the welding deficiencies had remarked undetected, the equipment could have failed to perform its intended control complex cooling function during/after a seismic event.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

BSCo. equipment was analyzed to determine if such failure modes existed. None was found. Engineering has accepted the equipment "as-is". Repair work was not required and none was recommended. All documentation is on file with the completed WIR.

Generic industry concerns have been addressed in NRC IE Information Notice No. 84-30 dated April 18, 1984.

Evaluation of similar equipment is in progress. Evaluation will be completed by June 29, 1984.