MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Helping Build Mississippi P. O. BOX 1640, JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205

83 NOV 8 P1:33

NUCLEAR PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT

November 4, 1983

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Attention: Mr. J. P. O'Reilly Regional Administrator

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

SUBJECT: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Unit 1 License No. NPF-13 Docket No. 50-416 File: 0260/15525/15526 I. E. Report 416/83-38 of August 15-19 and August 30 -September 1, 1983 AECM-83/0736

Reference: MAEC-83/0317, September 30, 1983

This letter provides our response to NRC Notice of Violation 416/83-38-12. The response date for this Violation was verbally extended until November 4, 1983, by your Mr. Paul Fredrickson on October 31, 1983. Responses to the other violations contained in the subject I. E. Report were provided on October 31, 1983 via our letter AECM-83/0694.

Yours truly,

- For

L. F. Dale Manager of Nuclear Services

PRH:ay

Attachment

cc: Mr. J. B. Richard (w/o) Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/o) Mr. T. B. Conner (w/o) Mr. G. B. Taylor (w/o)

> Mr. Richard C. DeYoung, Director (w/a) U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

8406220081 840330 PDR ADOCK 05000416 G PDR

Member Middle South Utilities System

#### NRC VIOLATION 416/83-38-12

## I. ADMISSION OR DENIAL OF ALLEGED VIOLATION

Mississippi Power & Light (MP&L) admits to the alleged violation as stated since the unusual circumstances involved are such that no specific conclusions can be reached to support admission or denial. However, it has been determined that no reportable event actually occurred. There was no adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

#### II. REASONS FOR THE VIOLATION

A reportable event requiring the initiation of an Incident Report (IR) was erroneously thought to have occurred. The responsibility for initiating an IR or reporting the issue to management depends upon what the two involved individuals believed to be true at the time. Neither of the two individuals immediately involved submitted an IR. One person, the auditor, completed his audit (MAR-82/89), citing a potential missed surveillance and notified higher management. Corrective Action Request (CAR) 687 was properly issued. This could indicate he believed an "Event" had occurred. The other person, the Shift Superintendent, took no action. It is possible that he knew an "Event" had not occurred, however, this cannot be confirmed, since both persons have departed the Company.

# III. CORRECTIVE STEPS WHICH HAVE BEEN TAKEN AND THE RESULTS ACHIEVED

IR 83-8-126 has been prepared but was determined to be not reportable. Surveillance Procedure 06-RE-SB13-V-0401, Rev. 20 has been issued to meet the Tech Spec requirement for shutdown margin demonstration.

# IV. CORRECTIVE STEPS WHICH HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO AVOID FURTHER VIOLATIONS

Existing procedures and the sensitivity of personnel to the nonconformance reportability requirements are felt to be adequate.

### V. DATE WHEN FULL COMPLIANCE WILL BE ACHIEVED

Full compliance is in effect.