JUN 7 1984

Tennessee Valley Authority
ATTN: Mr. H. G. Parris
Manager of Power and Engineering
500A Chestnut Street Tower II
Chattanooga, TN 37401

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: REPORT NOS. 50-438/83-24 AND 50-439/83-24

Thank you for your letter of May 2, 1984, responding to our letter of April 4, 1984, and to our subsequent telephone discussions with you on April 17, 1984. In our letter and discussions we noted two points of disagreement with your previous responses to our Notice of Violation issued October 17, 1983, concerning licensed activities at your Bellefonte facility; and we requested that you provide a supplemental response.

The first point of disagreement which we described was with respect to the apparently excessive length of time stated for revision of your procedure (procedure BNP-QCP-7.2) for the examinations that were the subject of the violation. Your May 2, 1984, letter provided a commitment to an earlier date which we consider acceptable.

The second point of disagreement was with regard to your previous denial that your failure to provide criteria for examination calibration checks represented a violation. This was referred to in our previous correspondence as violation A.3. We stated our position of disagreement with your denial of A.3 and requested that you submit a written statement to this office describing your corrective steps to correct this area of violation and the results achieved, the corrective steps to avoid further violations and the date when full compliance will be achieved. In your May 2, 1984, response you again denied violation A.3. You a'so provided a copy of your revised specification for the examination. We have reviewed the calibration criteria stated in the revised specification and find that they satisfactorily address our concerns. It is our understanding that the specification requirements will be incorporated into procedure BNP-QCP-7.2 and that, as stated in your pravious responses, the examinations that were the subject of the original violation will be repeated utilizing the procedure. It is our position that violation A.3 stands but that the proposed actions, specifically including use of the revised specification for re-examinations, meet the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201(a). We will examine the implementation of your corrective actions during future inspections.

We appreciate your cooperation in this matter.

8406210569 840607 PDR ADDCK 05000438 Q PDR Sincerely,

151

Richard C. Lewis, Director Division of Reactor Projects

cc: (See page 2)

I TEOI

cc: J. A. Coffey, Director of Nuclear Power

A. M. Qualls, Plant Superintendent

L. S. Cox, Project Manager

W. R. Brown, Jr., OEDC Project Manager J. W. Anderson, Manager

Office of Quality Assurance

H. N. Culver, Chief, Nuclear Safety Review Staff

D. L. Williams, Jr., Supervisor Licensing Section

Ms. K. D. Mali, Project Engineer

bcc: NRC Resident Inspector Document Control Desk State of Alabama

5/29/84

5/3/ /84