

JUN 12 1984

DMB 016

Dockets Nos. 50-269, 50-270  
and 50-287

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

NRC PDR  
L PDR  
ORB#4 Rdg  
DEisenhut  
OELD  
CMiles  
LHarmon  
ACRS-10  
TBarnhart-12  
EJordan  
JNGrace

WJones  
DBrinkman  
RDiggs  
HNicalaras  
RIngram  
Gray File

Mr. H. B. Tucker  
Vice President - Steam Production  
Duke Power Company  
P. O. Box 33189  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

We have reviewed your December 28, 1982 letter which responded to the Commission's Generic Letter 82-16. You stated in your letter that several of the requirements included in GL 82-16 have already been incorporated into the TS, several other items are under review and appropriate changes to the TSs will be provided upon completion of the review, and the remaining items have been reviewed and you have determined that TS changes for those are unnecessary.

Based on our review and our consultant's report, we have determined that you conform with the criteria of GL 82-16 with the exception of three items: 1) shift manning overtime limits, 2) reporting of SV and RV failures and challenges, and 3) thermal and mechanical report. For items 1 and 2, we request that you propose appropriate changes to the TS within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Item 3 is under review by the staff as part of the TMI Action Plan Items. We will inform you if any changes to the TS are required as a result of this review.

Sincerely,

**"ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:"**

George W. Rivenbark, Acting Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #4  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

ORB#4:DL  
HNicalaras;cf  
6/12/84

ORB#4:DL  
GRivenbark  
6/12/84

B406210549 B40612  
PDR ADOCK 05000269  
P PDR

Duke Power Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

Mr. William L. Porter  
Duke Power Company  
P. O. Box 33189  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Office of Intergovernmental Relations  
116 West Jones Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Honorable James M. Phinney  
County Supervisor of Oconee County  
Walhalla, South Carolina 29621

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II  
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Heyward G. Shealy, Chief  
Bureau of Radiological Health  
South Carolina Department of Health  
and Environmental Control  
2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Regional Radiation Representative  
EPA Region IV  
345 Courtland Street, N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Mr. J. C. Bryant  
Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Route 2, Box 610  
Seneca, South Carolina 29678

Mr. Robert B. Borsum  
Babcock & Wilcox  
Nuclear Power Generation Division  
Suite 220, 7910 Woodmont Avenue  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Manager, LIS  
NUS Corporation  
2536 Countryside Boulevard  
Clearwater, Florida 33515

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.  
Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds  
1200 17th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

ON CONFORMANCE TO NRC GENERIC LETTER 82-16

DUKE POWER COMPANY

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS NOS. 1, 2, AND 3

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

1.0 Introduction

On September 20, 1982, the Commission issued Generic Letter 82-16 to all pressurized power reactor licensees. GL 82-16 identified a number of items that were required by NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements" to be implemented into the licensee's Technical Specifications (TS). Each licensee was requested to review his facility's TS, in accordance with GL 82-16.

Duke Power Company (the licensee) responded to GL 82-16 by letter dated December 28, 1982. The letter stated that several of the requirements included in Generic Letter 82-16 have already been incorporated into the TS, several other items are under review and appropriate changes to the TS will be provided upon completion of the review, and the remaining items have been reviewed and the licensee has determined that TS changes for those are unnecessary.

2.0 Evaluation and Conclusion

The licensee's December 28, 1982 submittal has been reviewed by our consultant, EG&G of Idaho. The results of their review is documented in the attached Technical Evaluation Report (TER) No. EGG-EA-6435.

We have reviewed the consultant's TER and agree with the conclusions. The licensee conforms to those items addressed in GL 82-16, except for the following four items: 1) radiation signal for purge valves (II.E.4.2.7), 2) B&W Thermal Mechanical Report (II.K.2.13), 3) reporting SV and RV failures and challenges, and 4) shift manning overtime limits (II.A.1.3.1). Each of these items is discussed below:

Item 1: On February 9, 1983, the licensee proposed changes to the TS, part of which states that the containment purge release will be terminated automatically and an alarm provided upon high noble gas activity (Table 3.5.5-2). The surveillance requirements are also addressed for the Noble Gas Activity Monitor which activates the purge valves. Since the Commission approved this amendment request, on January 16, 1984, no further licensing action is required for this item.

Item 2: This item is presently under active review as part of the TMI Action Plan Items and further licensing action will be handled under that issue.

Item 3 and 4: The licensee's response to these items states that they do not consider it necessary to be included in the TS. As discussed in the TER, we have reviewed the licensee's justification for not including any changes to the TS and we have concluded that these issues do not meet the GL 82-16 criteria. Therefore, the licensee must propose changes to the TS, within 30 days, in accordance with GL 82-16.

Principal Contributors: D. Price, D. Beahm

Dated: June 12, 1984