

September 11, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: John F. Stolz, Director
 Project Directorate I-2
 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

FROM: José A. Calvo, Chief (Original signed by J. Calvo)
 Electrical Engineering Branch
 Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR
 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING GENERIC
 LETTER 92-08 (TAC NO. M85517)

Plant: Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2
 Licensee: Duquesne Light Company
 Review Status: Open

We have reviewed Duquesne Light Company's responses of December 22, 1994, and March 23, 1995, to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 23, 1994, and December 23, 1994, respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs. On the basis of our review, we have determined that the licensee's responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this RAI to the licensee expeditiously so that the outstanding issues can be resolved for the application of Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers at Beaver Valley Unit 2.

Docket No.: 50-412
 Attachment: As stated
 CONTACT: R. Jenkins, NRR/DE
 415-2985

DISTRIBUTION:
 Central Files DSBrinkman
 PDR MGamberoni
 EELB R/F EConnell
 BWSheron
 GCLainas
 SPLB TSI File

DISK/DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SHARED\BEAVER.RAI

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy w/o attachment, "E" = Copy w/attachment, "N" = No copy

OFC	EELB:DE	E	SC:EELB:DE	E	C:EELB:DE				
NAME	RVJenkins:jc		ASGill		JACalvo				
DATE	9/11/95		9/11/95		9/11/95	1	1	1	1

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9509140059 950911
 PDR ADOCK 05000412
 P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF011



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 11, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

FROM: José A. Calvo, Chief *José A. Calvo*
Electrical Engineering Branch
Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWUP TO THE REQUEST FOR
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING GENERIC
LETTER 92-08 (TAC NO. M85517)

Plant: Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2
Licensee: Duquesne Light Company
Review Status: Open

We have reviewed Duquesne Light Company's responses of December 22, 1994, and March 23, 1995, to the requests for additional information (RAI) of September 23, 1994, and December 23, 1994, respectively, regarding Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers." The licensee was required, pursuant to Section 182A of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR 50.54(f), to submit written reports, under oath of affirmation, that provided the information specified in the RAIs. On the basis of our review, we have determined that the licensee's responses to the RAIs are incomplete. The specific areas where we found the licensee's responses to be incomplete are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this RAI to the licensee expeditiously so that the outstanding issues can be resolved for the application of Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barriers at Beaver Valley Unit 2.

Docket No.: 50-412

Attachment: As stated

CONTACT: R. Jenkins, NRR/DE
415-2985

BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT 2
DOCKET NO. 50-412
FOLLOWUP REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING
GENERIC LETTER 92-08
"THERMO-LAG 330-1 FIRE BARRIERS"

1.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF SEPTEMBER 23, 1994

In the RAI of September 23, 1994, the NRC staff requested information regarding important barrier parameters, Thermo-Lag barriers outside the scope of the NEI program, ampacity derating, alternatives, and schedules.

In its submittal of December 22, 1994, the licensee asserted that there are unresolved technical issues in the ampacity derating area. The licensee also stated an evaluation which had been performed for the applicable cables indicated that there was sufficient margin to accommodate the higher derating factors associated with the values referenced in Generic Letter 92-08. In addition, the licensee would follow developments for this long term issue through the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI).

During a public meeting on March 14, 1995, with the licensees for the four lead plants for the resolution of Thermo-Lag issues, the staff responded to the question, "Will the resolution of the ampacity derating concern be deferred until agreement is reached on the appropriate testing protocol (i.e., IEEE P848)?" The staff reiterated its position, which was previously stated in the September 1994 RAI, that the ampacity derating concern could be resolved independently of the fire endurance concerns. After a review of the tests performed under the draft IEEE standard P848, the staff transmitted comments which were designated to ensure the repeatability of test results to the IEEE working group responsible for the test procedure. At this time the staff is not aware of any NEI initiative to address the ampacity derating issue. The licensee is requested to submit its ampacity derating evaluations, including any applicable test reports, in order to provide an adequate response to Generic Letter 92-08 reporting requirement 2(c).

2.0 REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF DECEMBER 23, 1994

In the RAI of December 23, 1994, the staff requested information describing the examinations and inspections that will be performed to obtain the important barrier parameters for the Thermo-Lag configurations installed at Beaver Valley Power Station. The licensee did not provide any information regarding ampacity derating in its submittal of March 23, 1995.

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff held a telephone conference call with NEI representatives on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. The staff indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. The memorandum dated May 22, 1995, which documents the subject telephone conference meeting, is attached for your information. In addition,

a copy of the subject SE dated June 22, 1995, was sent to those licensees who rely on Thermo-Lag installations.

Please submit prior to the final resolution of the Thermo-lag 330-1 issue a description of the anticipated analytical methodology including typical calculations which will be used to determine the ampacity derating parameters for the Thermo-Lag fire barriers that are installed at Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 2.

May 22, 1995

NOTE TO: Brian W. Sheron, Director, DE, NRR
FROM: Carl H. Berlinger, Chief, EELB, DE, NRR
SUBJECT: MEMORANDUM OF RECORD

On May 18, 1995, members of the NRC staff (B. Sheron, C. Berlinger, P. Gill, M. Gamberoni and R. Jenkins) held a telephone conference call with Mr. Alex Marion and Mr. Biff Bradley of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) on ampacity derating issues for Thermo-Lag fire barriers. Mr. Marion contacted the staff regarding two topics: (1) Status of the Safety Evaluation (SE) on the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES), Unit 2 Ampacity Derating Test Program; and (2) Staff Acceptance of the IEEE Standard P848, "Procedure for the Determination of the Ampacity Derating of Fire Protected Cables."

Dr. Berlinger stated that the subject SE for CPSES 2 had been completed and we expected that it will be transmitted to the licensee within the next two weeks. Dr. Berlinger agreed to notify Mr. Marion by phone after the SE had been issued by the staff. Due to potential generic applications the staff will provide a copy of the CPSES, Unit 2 SE to licensees with Thermo-Lag fire barriers.

The staff has been interfacing with the IEEE Task Force responsible for IEEE P848 over the last 2 years to improve the subject procedure. This effort has resulted in recent revisions to the subject procedure which addressed the majority of the concerns raised by EELB (reference: Letter dated 10/13/94 from C. Berlinger to A. K. Gwal). Although not all of the concerns were addressed by the IEEE Task Force Dr. Berlinger indicated that the latest IEEE P848 draft procedure can be used by licensees or NEI as the basis for an ampacity derating test program. The latest procedure revision (Draft 16) addresses the major test concerns regarding inductive heating and conduit surface emissivities effects.

The staff emphasized that licensees should submit the actual test procedures or plans to the staff for comment. After discussion of the various options to develop a generic test program NEI agreed to review the CPSES 2 SE and then contact the staff as necessary for further discussions or questions on this matter.

cc: Alex Marion, NEI

CONTACT: Ronaldo Jenkins, EELB/DE
415-2985

DISTRIBUTION

GCLainas, NRR Athadani, NRR
KWest, NRR MGamberoni, NRR
EELB R/F SPLB TSI File

Document Name: G:\SHARED\FY95NEI.NOT

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box C=Copy w/o attachment/enclosure E=Copy with attachment/enclosure N = No copy

OFFICE	EELB:DE:NRR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SC/EELB:DE:NRR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C/EELB:DE:NRR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NAME	RJenkins:nkw	<i>RWJ</i>	PGill	<i>Asay</i>	CHBerlinger	<i>CHB</i>
DATE	05/19/95		05/19/95		05/22/95	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY