

APPENDIX

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV

NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-313/92-02
50-368/92-02

Licensee: Entergy Operations, Inc.
Route 3, Box 137G
Russellville, Arkansas 72801

Facility Name: Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2 (ANO)

Inspection At: ANO, Russellville, Arkansas

Inspection Conducted: January 6-10, 1992

Inspectors: A. B. Earnest, Physical Security Specialist,
Facilities Inspection Programs Section

T. Dexter, Senior Physical Security Specialist
Facilities Inspection Programs Section

Approved:

Blaine Murray
B. Murray, Chief, Facilities Inspection
Programs Section

2/3/92
Date

Inspection Summary

Inspection Conducted January 6-10, 1992 (Report Nos 50-313/92-02; 50-368/92-02)

Areas Inspected: Routine, unannounced inspection of the licensee's physical security program including: detection aids, assessment aids, physical security plan and implementing procedures, records and reports, security organization, communications, alarm stations, locks, keys, communications, and physical barriers.

Results: Within the program areas inspected, three inspection followup items (IFI) are identified in paragraphs 3.3, 3.4, and 3.8. No violations or deviations were identified during this inspection.

Significant inspection findings were:

- ° Management provided excellent support for the security program.
- ° An excellent protected area detection aids system was in place featuring the latest detection aids technology.
- ° An excellent assessment aids system was in use that demonstrated 100 percent coverage of the protected area boundary.

9202120024 920203
PDR ADOCK 05000313
Q PDR

CONTAINS
SENSITIVE INFORMATION
UPON SEPARATION THIS
PAGE IS DECONTROLLED

- A physical security plan change had not been submitted to bring the plan in line with a 1988 rule change concerning records retention.
- An adequate record and report program was maintained. However, some inconsistencies were identified regarding the reporting of security system failures.
- A well organized security program was in place, and there were an appropriate number of trained security officers.
- The communication system was demonstrated during multiple tests of the system to perform well.
- The alarm stations contained new, state-of-the-art equipment and were operated by effectively trained personnel.
- An adequate lock and key control program was implemented; however, several examples of security keys and keycards taken off site were identified.
- An excellent protected area boundary barrier system was in place.

ENCLOSURE CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION
UPON SEPARATION THIS
PAGE IS CONTROLLED