

Bockhold

I-MOSBA-181

Int II-181
page 1 of 2

DOCKETED
USNRC

(OFF THE RECORD)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. ROBINSON: It's now 9:08 and we're back on the record.

95 SEP -8 P4:07

Q. The period of time that I wanted to ask questions on are between March the 20th, 1990 and to April 19th, 1990. The documents involved are the presentation by Georgia Power in the regional office on April the 9th, the Georgia Power letter ELV 1516 dated April the 9th, 1990. This was a letter in response to our confirmation of action, a letter that we issued to you on March the 23rd, 1990, and the LER is 90-006, rev. 0 dated April the 19th, 1990. Who compiled the diesel generator 1-A and 1-B successful start information used in the regional presentation?

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

A. Jimmy Paul Cash compiled that information. ←

Q. When was this information compiled?

A. It was compiled prior to the presentation. Jimmy Paul talked to me about it that weekend before. I think the presentation -- wasn't it early in the week? Wasn't it like a Monday, do you remember, Pete?

Q. April the 9th was a Monday.

A. So we worked that weekend, both Saturday and Sunday, so we would be prepared for the presentation.

Q. Um-huh (yes).

A. Jimmy Paul, I think on a Saturday or a Sunday, I don't remember which one exactly, talked to me and gave me that

3

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION INT

Docket No. 50-424 + 425-01A-3 EXHIBIT NO. II-181

In the matter of Da. Power/TOCFLE

Staff Applicant Intervenor Other

Identified Received Rejected Reporter W L W

Date 8-9-95 Witness MOSBAUGH

9511-26-LAZOR "ENCLOSURE" MUNCIE IN 87102

1 information.

2 Q. All right. What was the source or sources of this
3 information?

4 A. I didn't know, except for operator logs is what Jimmy
5 told me.

6 Q. Operator logs. Would that be the -- also the
7 procedure for logging data on ^{Pat 5/11/90} ~~steam generator~~ -- steam --
8 diesel generator starts? Would that strictly be operator logs?

9 A. I didn't ask him any of the details at that time, and
10 I really haven't, subsequent to that time, asked him any of the
11 details of which logs he looked at or didn't look at. He said,
12 you know -- I asked him to get from the logs the information
13 associated with the number of successful starts.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. So I don't know what he looked at.

16 Q. Why was this information compiled?

17 A. The information was compiled first for the
18 presentation. And if you remember, that presentation page
19 really had the test sequence that we went to to basically
20 declare the diesels operable, and what we did is after the
21 March 20th event, we went -- tried to do the most comprehensive
22 test sequence that we could think about to make the diesels
23 operable, and we shared that test sequence with the NRC's IIT
24 team and, you know, they really didn't think -- couldn't think
25 of anything else for us to add, so we went through that test

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. ROBINSON: For the record, it is now 9:04 a.m., Tuesday, August 14th, 1990. This is an interview of Mr. George Bockhold, General Manager, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Waynesboro, Georgia. Present at the interview are Mr. Bockhold, his representative from Troutman, Sanders . . .

MR. LAMBERSKI: John Lamberski.

MR. ROBINSON: . . . Mr. John Lamberski, Larry Robinson from NRC Office of Investigations, and Pete Taylor from NRC Region II Technical Staff, Inspection Staff. The nature of the interview pertains to the diesel generator information that was presented to the NRC in a verbal presentation to Region II in response to a confirmation of action letter of April 9th, 1990, and in an initial LER provided to the NRC dated April 19th, 1990.

MR. GEORGE BOCKHOLD, after first having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. ROBINSON: Before we get started in the interview, Mr. Lamberski, will you briefly state the nature of your representation of Mr. Bockhold here today?

MR. LAMBERSKI: Mr. Bockhold has asked me to represent him personally, and I also represent Georgia Power Company as general counsel.

MR. ROBINSON: Have you discussed the potential

8511-20-LAZOR PENCABINDY MURKIE IN 21102

1 say that word every time, excuse me.

2 A. Diesel generator.

3 Q. Diesel generator starts on the ^{Pat 7/12/80} A and ^{Pat 7/12/80} B diesel, did
4 that information come to you in what fashion?

5 A. Just verbally from Jimmy Paul.

6 Q. What objectives and guidelines did you use for the
7 presentation in the regional office with respect to the status
8 of the steam generators?

9 A. The status of the diesel generators?

10 Q. Diesel generators.

11 A. You know, my thinking about the presentation is I
12 thought it would maybe be a question of operability, okay, and
13 maybe a question of -- on the sensors that we -- at that
14 particular time, we still did not know the root cause of the

15 sensor issues, so my main focus in the presentation was to go
16 through the many items that we did, that -- the comprehensive
17 testing that we did to say that the diesel was operable, in
18 using both the vendor and our technical experts and some of the
19 vendor subexperts to make sure that we were using the best
20 industry practices associated with the diesel before we
21 declared it operable. So, you know, that was the focus of the
22 presentation, was to be able to present that to the NRC, to
23 say, "We used the best industry practices to declare this
24 diesel -- diesel operative."

25 Q. Okay. The presentation in the region indicated

1 eighteen successful starts on diesel generator 1-A, nineteen
2 successful starts on diesel generator 1-B. How do you arrive
3 that number, thinking back to the transparency that we had on
4 the . . .

5 A. That number basically came from Jimmy Paul. Okay, I
6 knew we had a lot of starts and I hadn't heard of any problems
7 that I was aware. We had some sensor problems, we changed out
8 some sensors, but I hadn't heard of any problems that would not
9 make the diesel inoperable that -- again, really from the
10 flavor of if we had a need for an emergency start on this
11 engine, okay, the engine would go ahead and start the
12 performance function . . .

13 Q. Um-huh (yes).

14 A. . . . so basically I asked Jimmy for successful
15 starts and he gave me a number and I wrote the number down.

16 Q. Do you know what timeframe these successful starts
17 represent?

18 A. I knew it when I made the presentation, when the
19 starting point was. I have forgotten what the starting point
20 was, whether it was -- how much after the March 20th event it
21 was. I think it was fairly close to the March 20th event and
22 subsequent tests. On that day I knew where Jimmy Paul had
23 started counting, because we discussed that, and I don't
24 remember the criteria that we used for the -- for his start of
25 the counting, and it was up until they -- I gave the

8511-20-LAZOR PENDING COPY NUMBER IN 87102