

June 5, 1984

DLR 016

Docket No. 50-321

Mr. J. T. Beckham, Jr.  
Vice President, Nuclear Generation  
Georgia Power Company  
P. O. Box 4545  
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Distribution:  
Docket File TBarnhart  
RIngram Gray Files +4  
PKadambi Reading Files  
NRC PDR DBrinkman  
L PDR RDiggs  
DEisenhut HDenton  
EJordan CMiles  
JNGrace  
WJones  
LHarmon  
ACRS 10

Dear Mr. Beckham:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ONE TIME EXTENSION OF SURVEILLANCE  
INTERVAL ON CONTAINMENT SPRAY TESTING

Pursuant to your letter on this subject dated May 25, 1984, we have provided public notice of consideration of issuance of amendment to your operating license. We have made a preliminary determination that no significant hazards considerations are involved. Enclosed is a copy of the notice published in the Federal Register. Please note that this action does not comply with your request for an exemption under 10 CFR 50.91. The decision to not grant your request was based on availability of sufficient time to give the public an opportunity to comment on the proposed amendment before the amendment becomes necessary.

Sincerely,

/S/

George W. Rivenbark, Acting Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch No. 4  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

ORB#4:DL  
RIngram  
06/5/84

NPK  
ORB#4:DL  
PKadambi;ef  
06/5/84

GR  
ORB#4:DL  
GRivenbark  
06/5/84

8406200568 840608  
PDR ADOCK 05000321  
P PDR

77777

7590-01

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-321

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 issued to Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, City of Dalton, Georgia (the licensees) for operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, located in Appling County, Georgia.

The proposed amendment would provide a one time extension of the surveillance interval applicable to the testing of the drywell and torus headers and nozzles as described in Section 4.5.B.1.a of the Technical Specifications. The proposed extension would increase the grace period from 25% to 30% of the nominal surveillance interval, and also would allow the licensee to perform the required testing at the end of an operating cycle (Cycle 8) scheduled for September 1, 1984. In the absence of this extension, the licensee would be required to shutdown the unit on or before June 19, 1984.

*Dupe*  
*8406190070*

-2-

These revisions to the Technical Specifications would be made in response to the licensee's application for amendment dated May 29, 1984.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

A significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated is not involved in this amendment because:

- (a) Chapter 14.4 of the Hatch 1 FSAR showed that operation of the containment spray system is not necessary to maintain the peak pressures under accident conditions in the drywell below the design value.
- (b) For the enveloping event, operation of the containment spray changes the temporal behavior of pressure in the primary containment such that the second pressure peak is lower (11.8 psig versus 14.3 psig), with no change in the first pressure peak (approximately 45 psig). The design value of the containment pressure capability is 56 psig. Thus, the primary margin against containment overpressure remains unchanged whether or not the spray system operates.

-3-

The possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated is not created by approving this amendment because the extension of the surveillance interval does not involve new modes of operation.

The amendment would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety for the following reasons:

- (a) The period of uncertainty for availability of the spray system is increased from 5 years plus 25% to 5 years plus 30%. The increased probability of unavailability is small.
- (b) The increased pressure in the second peak under the design basis accident conditions could increase the leakage out of the drywell. Since the analysis provided in the FSAR envelopes this accident, the decrease in the margin is small.

Therefore, based on these considerations and the three criteria given above, the Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that failure to act in a timely way would result in an earlier than scheduled shutdown. Therefore, the Commission has insufficient time to issue its usual 30-day notice of the proposed action for public comment.

If the proposed determination becomes final, an opportunity for a hearing will be published in the Federal Register at a later date and any hearing request will not delay the effective date of the amendment.

If the Commission decides in its final determination that the amendment does involve a significant hazards consideration, a notice of opportunity for a prior hearing will be published in the Federal Register and, if a hearing is granted, it will be held before any amendment is issued.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination of no significant hazards consideration. Comments on the proposed determination may be telephoned to George Rivenbark, Acting Chief of Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, by collect call to 301-492-7136 or submitted in writing to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch. All comments received by June 18, 1984 will be considered in reaching a final determination. A copy of the application may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Appling County Public Library, 301 City Hall Drive, Baxley, Georgia.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 31st day of May 1984.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

George W. Rivenbark, Acting Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #4  
Division of Licensing

ORB#4:DL RIngram 5/30/84	NPK ORB#4:DL PKadambi;cf 5/30/84	C-ORB#4:DL GRivenbark 5/30/84	ADE:DL GLbina 5/30/84	OELD 5/30/84	<i>Me</i> <i>note</i>
--------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------