

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

IN RESPONSE, PLEASE REFER TO: M840607C

June 13, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Herzel H.E. Plaine, General Counsel

FROM:

Samuel J. Chilk, Secretar

SUBJECT:

STAFF REQUIREMENTS - AFFIRMATION/DISCUSSION AND VOTE, 4:30 P.M., THURSDAY, JUNE 7, 1984. COMMISSIONERS' CONFERENCE ROOM, D.C. OFFICE

(OPEN TO PUBLIC ATTENDANCE)

I. SECY-84-116 - UCLA Reactor License Renewal Proceeding and Staff Request to Initiate Rulemaking on the Interpretation of 10 CFR 73.40(a)

The Commission approved a proposed order that declines the NRC staff's request to initiate a rulemaking proceeding to modify two decisions by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board presiding over the UCLA research reactor proceeding. The ASLB decisions held that 10 CFR 73.40(a) requires UCLA to take some measures to protect the reactor from potential sabotage. The extent of the measures is the issue that was before the Board.

Commissioners Roberts, Asselstine and Bernthal approved the proposed order as attached to SECY-84-116. Chairman Palladino disapproved; his separate views are attached to the order. Commissioner Gilinsky did not participate. (OGC)

(Subsequently, the Secretary signed the Order on June 8, 1984.)

II. SECY-84-182 - Sua Sponte Issue Raised in the Matter of Duke Power Company

The Commission approved a proposed order that terminates the consideration by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board of a contention, raised sua sponte by the Board, to consider the site-specific problems experienced with Catawba's diesel generator manufactured by Transamerica Delaval, Inc. (TDI).

Chairman Palladino and Commissioners Roberts and Bernthal arproved the proposed order as enclosed in SECY-84-182. Commissioners Gilisnky and Asselstine disapproved; their separate views are attached to the order.

(OGC)

(Subsequently, the Secretary signed the Order on June 8, 1984.)

## III. SECY-84-218 - Shoreham - Suffolk County Motion to Clarify CLI-84-8

The Commission approved a proposed order that responded to a motion by Suffolk County and supported by the State of New York for clarification of the Commission's Order of May 16 (CLI-84-8).

The order indicates in part that Suffolk has demonstrated no practical consequences from the Commission's use of the word "resume" to characterize the hearing; and the State of New York's concern that a resumed hearing would deny procedural rights is speculative.

Commissioners Roberts, Asselstine, and Bernthal have approved the proposed order with the modifications drafted by OGC to identify other pertinent and similar motions.

Commissioner Gilinsky did not participate. Chairman Palladino abstained; his separate statement is attached to the order.

(OGC)

(Subsequently, the Secretary signed the Order on June 8, 1984.)

cc: Chairman Palladino
Commissioner Gilinsky
Commissioner Roberts
Commissioner Asselstine
Commissioner Bernthal
Commission Staff Offices
EDO
PDR - Advance
DCS - 016 Phillips