1	UN	ITED STATES OF	AMERICA
2	NUCL	EAR REGULATORY	COMMISSION
3			
4	OF	FICE OF INVEST	IGATIONS
5		x	
6	In the Matter of:		
7	INVESTIGATIVE INTERVIEW		
8	Daniel P. Sanchez	: Docket N	os. 50-498
9	(CLOSED)		50-499
10		x	
11			
12		Nuclear Supp	port Center
13		South Texas	Project
14		Room 1103	
15		Bay City, To	exas
16			
17		Monday, July	y 27 1992
18			
19			
20	The above-entitle	d matter comme	nced at 1:22
21	o'clock r.m., when were pre	sent:	
22			
23			
24	Information in this record was deleted		
25	in accordance with the Free Con 4 mornation Act, exemptions 7C FOIA- 95-80		

9509110050 950810 PDR FOIA SAPORITO95-80 PDR 4-92-003

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, Ltd.

Court Reporters 1612 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 2 (202) 293-3950 126 8

1	VIRGINIA J. VAN CLEAVE,
2	Investigator
3	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
4	Region IV
5	611 Ryan Plaza Drive
6	Suite 1000
7	Arlington, Texas 76011
8	
5	ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS:
10	
11	WILLIAM E. BAER, JR, ESQUIRE
12	Newman & Holtzinger
13	1615 L Street, Northwest
14	Washington, D.C. 20036
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	[1:22 p.m.]
3	MS. VAN CLEAVE: For the record, this is an
4	interview of Daniel P. Sanchez, S-A-N-C-H-E-Z, Jr.,
5	date of birth 70
6	, who is employed by the Houston Lighting and
7	Power, South Texas Project.
8	The date is July the 27th, 1992, and the time is
9	1:22 p.m.
10	Present at this interview are myself, Virginia Van
11	Cleave, Investigator for the NRC Office of Investigations,
12	and William Baer, Attorney with Newman & Holtzinger.
13	This interview is being tape recorded by Court
14	Reporter, Tom Whiteside.
15	Whereupon,
16	DANIEL PAUL SANCHEZ, JR.
17	a witness, was called for examination and, having been first
18	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
19	MS. VAN CLEAVE: I have a couple of questions just
20	to clarify Mr. Baer's presence here.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
23	Q Does your employer require you to have an attorney
24	present when you talk to the NRC?
25	h Vec



1	Q Yes for this interview or yes all the time?
2	A Oh, no, just for this interview.
2	Q For this interview.
4	Is Mr. Baer acting as your personal
5	representative?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Did you select him personally, or did your company
8	select him for you?
9	A I chose him.
10	MS. VAN CLEAVE: And, Mr. Baer, who is your
11	employer?
12	MR. BAER: Newman & Holtzinger.
13	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Are you acting as Mr. Sanchez's
14	personal representative?
15	MR. BAER: Yes, I am.
16	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Does your firm represent any
17	other party associated with the South Texas Project?
18	MR. BAER: Yes.
19	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Do you personally represent any
20	other parties at that site?
21	MR. BAER: Yes.
22	MS. VAN CLEAVE: What parties would these be?
23	MR. BAER: Houston Lighting and Power Company and
24	the other individuals subpoensed in the course of this
25	investigation.



1	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Then you also personally
2	represent Houston Lighting and Power with respect to this
3	interview?
4	MR. BAER: Yes, I do.
5	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Do you believe a potential
6	conflict of interest could arise during the course of this
7	interview?
8	MR. BAER: It is always possible that a conflict
9	of interest may arise, however, I do not foresee one at this
10	time.
11	MS. VAN CLEAVE: If a potential conflict of
12	interest arises, what will you do?
13	MR. BAER: I will stop the interview and confer
14	with Mr. Sanchez, and we will determine how to proceed at
15	that time.
16	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
17	Q Mr. Sanchez, do you understand that Mr. Baer
18	represents other parties associated with the South Texas
19	Project?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And what is your understanding of who is paying
22	Mr. Baer's fee?
23	A Houston Lighting and Power.
24	Q With that understanding, do you still want Mr.
25	Baer as your representative?



1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay.
3		Mr. Sanchez, what is your position here at the
4	South Tex	as Project?
5	A	I am the Director of Maintenance.
6	Q	How long have you had that position?
7	A	Since April of '91.
8	Q	Did you work here at the South Texas Project prior
9	to that?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	What was your position then?
12	A	I was the Division Manager of Planning
13	Maintenan	ce Planning.
14	Q	How long did you hold that position?
15	A	About a year and a half.
16	Q	How long have you worked her at the site?
17	A	Since August of 1987.
18	Q	How long
19	A	Oh, no. Wait a minute. '89. Excuse me.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	August '89.
22	Q	'87 was the year that they locked down, so it
23	sounded 1	ike you were here from the beginning. No? Okay.
24	A	No. I was at another utility in '87.
25	Q	Okay. Then did you work for Houston Lighting and



1	Power before you began here at the South Texas Project?
2	A No, I did not.
3	Q As the Director of Maintenance then does I&C
4	what does that stand for? Is that instrumentation
5	A Instrumentation and control.
6	Q Does that fall under your division?
7	A Yes, it does.
8	Q As you, I'm sure, know, I'm here to look into the
9	circumstances surrounding the revocation of access of an
10	individual named Thomas Saporito.
11	When did you first become aware of Mr. Saporito?
12	A The first time that I became aware of Mr. Saporito
13	by name, is February 20th, 1992 at approximately 6:00 p.m.
14	Q Under what circumstances, and who made you aware
15	of him?
16	A It was on the ride home from South Texas to my
17	residence with my car pooling person, Mr. Will Jump. And
18	Mr. Will Jump had informed me, on the way home, that one of
19	my I&C technicians his escort access had been revoked,
20	and that he needed to inform me of that.
21	Q Why did he inform you?
22	A Because I was, at that time, the acting department
23	head. And so he informed me, as the acting department head,
24	that one of my I&C technicians had his access revoked.
25	Q Had you heard Mr. Saporito's name prior to that



1	time:
2	A I may have, yes.
3	Q Did you know there was a 2.206 petitioner?
4	A I knew that there was a meeting several weeks
5	before the February 20th, that we had an individual who had
6	contacted the NRC relative to some problems that he felt
7	that we had here at the station. I do not recall hearing
8	his name.
9	Q Okay. When you say several weeks, are you sure
10	that was Mr. Saporito? Mr. Saporito didn't contact the NRC
11	several weeks before the 20th, nor did he file 20 2.206
12	several weeks before the 20th. Could you be confused on the
13	time period? Could it just be more like a week and a half?
14	A Several weeks it could be a week and a half to
15	two weeks.
16	Q Okay.
17	Were you informed of this 2.206, I suppose,
18	formally, you know, as part of your job here at the South
19	Texas Project, or did you just hear of it, like people here
20	of things when they really don't have any direct bearing on
21	their own job?
22	A I had a meeting with my department head, Joe
23	Sharp, and the I&C Division Manager, Mr. Rich DeLong, where
24	Rich and I were informed by Joe Sharp that he had been told
25	that we had an instrumentation kechnician who had some

Court Reporters 1612 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

0

1	concerns relative to the way we conduct our business and the
2	safety of the station. And we were told that we were to not
3	treat anyone any special way, no special treatments, and
4	that to be aware that if someone brings a concern to us
5	that we were to follow normal procedure, which is to
6	investigate the concern and get back to the individual and
7	tell him what the answer is.
8	Q Did Mr. Sharp tell you Mr. Saporito's name?
9	A I cannot recall if the name was given to me.
10	Q Did he mention anything at all about Mr.
11	Saporito's background?
12	A No.
13	Q You said earlier that you might have heard his
14	name before the 20th of February. Under what circumstances
15	do you think you might have heard his name?
16	A It would have been at that meeting that I had with
17	Joe Sharp and Rich DeLong.
18	Q And you don't recall, really
19	A I do not recall.
20	Q whether or not did you see the 2.206
21	A No.
22	Q petition?
23	Did Mr. Sharp give you any specifics about the
24	petition itself?



1	Q Did he discuss anything else other than this
2	mention that you made about not to treat anyone I believe
3	you said anyone, not just this
4	A That is correct.
5	Q individual
6	A Anyone.
7	Q in a special way or, I guess, in a
8	discriminatory way or anything like that? Was there
9	anything else discussed during that meeting?
10	A No.
11	Q I understand that you and Mr. Jump do carpool
12	together. What is your relationship with Mr. Jump? Are you
13	friends?
14	A We are friends.
15	Q Had he ever mentioned Mr. Saporito to you before
16	the conversation that you've already mentioned on the 20th
17	of February?
18	A No.
19	Q Did he ever discuss the 2.206 petition just in
20	general terms, perhaps not by name?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. He didn't discuss this with you, even
23	though you are in that division, and you did have a 2.206
24	petitioner working somewhere, I guess, in your department?
25	Would it be department or division? I don't know what to



1	call it.
2	A Department.
3	Q All right.
4	Even though you had a 2.206 petitioner from your
5	department, Mr. Jump did not mention this to you?
6	A He would have no reason to. The answer is no.
7	Q Would you have any responsibility in responding to
8	a 2.206 petition, if any of the concerns related to
9	maintenance?
10	A If the concerns were brought to me, then it is my
11	responsibility to investigate those concerns to either find
12	that they're factual or that they are not a problem. And
13	then it is my responsibility to get that information back to
14	the individual that would have given me the concern.
15	Q That was not the case with this 2.206 petition?
16	A No.
17	Q Did you have any responsibility to respond or any
18	responsibility at all regarding this petition?
19	A I had no responsibility to respond to this
20	petition.
21	Q Did you do
22	A I have not seen that petition.
23	Q Did you do any work on the concerns mentioned?
24	A I do not know what the concerns are, so the answer
25	is no.



1	So, even now, you do not know what the concerns
2	were?
3	A The only knowledge that I've got is in my paper
4	work, which Mr. Saporito, on the 21st, had mentioned that we
5	were in violation of a technical specification. And he gave
6	me the number. But, the specifics, I have no knowledge of
7	any of the specifics.
8	Q Well, do you and Mr. Jump ever discuss
9	work-related matters on your drive to and from your homes?
10	A We do discuss work. It is basically on nothing
11	that's any sensitive issues or anything that involves his
12	department. We usually discuss maintenance activities and
13	how well we're doing. He was the maintenance manager at one
14	time.
15	And he gives me a healthy bit of chatting on how I
16	could do better. And we're not doing as well as we did
17	under him.
18	Q Did you take his place?
19	A No.
20	Q No? Okay.
21	A Joe Sharp took his place.
22	Q Oh. All right.
23	And Mr. Jump then mentioned to you, on the 20th of
24	February, that one of your employees, I suppose, if you
25	will, was had his access revoked? Mr. Sharp wasn't



1	Mr. Sharp out of town? Is that the reason that you were
2	brought into this?
3	A Yes. Mr. Sharp was out of town. And I am the
4	acting department head in his absence.
5	Q Were you familiar with what you would need to do
6	or what was required in a situation like that?
7	A I am familiar with the Nuclear Group policies.
8	And when I got in in the morning, I reviewed those
9	procedures to make sure that I would follow them step by
10	step.
11	Q Did Mr. Jump give you any specifics about Mr.
12	Saporito's access revocation, such as the reasons?
13	A No.
14	Q And when you got in the next morning, which was
15	the 21st of February, what did you do?
16	A When I got in
17	Q I mean, regarding Mr. Saporito?
18	MR. BAER: After you brush your teeth.
19	THE WITNESS: When I got in I had a phone call
20	with Mr. Watt Hinson early in the morning, where I was
21	notified by Mr. Hinson that Mr. Saporito's access had been
22	revoked. And he and I discussed the procedures by which we
23	were to follow, which was the NGP on separation. And when
24	the quality procedure when you have someone leaving that
25	needs to get him to the exits, the paperwork necessary and



1	the interviews that were required.
2	At the conclusion of that meeting or phone
3	conversation, I called Rich DeLong, the division manager,
4	and informed him that Mr. Saporito's access had been
5	revoked.
6	And we went and got the two procedures. It's in
7	my notes.
8	Can I have my notes, please?
9	MR. BAER: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: We went and Mr. DeLong and I had
11	reviewed together the procedures by which the separation
12	interviews and process are conducted.
13	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
14	Q When was that?
15	A That was at about 7:20 a.m. in the morning of
16	February 21st.
17	Q Okay.
18	Did you call Mr. Hinson or did he call you?
19	A I called Mr. Hinson.
20	Q Is that usual? Why did you call him?
21	A Because I was informed the night of the 20th that
22	I had an I&C technician that his access had been revoked,
23	and I wanted to validate that that was in fact true.
24	Q And Mr. Hinson, I take it, told you that that was
25	correct?

34

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, Ltd.



1	A Yes. He said that that was, in fact, true.
2	Q You and Mr. DeLong reviewed the procedures and
3	then what did you do?
4	A I went to my 7:30 department meeting and so did
5	Rich DeLong. He was in that meeting. And we were
6	conducting business until about 8:40 in the morning. Mr.
7	Harrison, from the Licensing Department called me up and
8	told me that Mr. Saporito was in the NRC Office here asking
9	about his status.
0	By that time, he was aware that his access badge
1	had been pulled.
2	Q And what was your responsibility, as the acting
.3	department head, in a situation like this?
4	A My responsibility, under the procedures, I believe
.5	it's administrative practices, APO4-4, which is separation,
.6	is to ensure that the separating individual is interviewed
.7	to see if there are any concerns that he wishes to bring
.8	out, make sure that he understands the exiting process
9	relative to receiving a whole body count, the surrendering
0	of company property, site badge, and to provide him, in this
21	case, the opportunity to speak out in a confidential manner,
22	if he chooses, and also to provide him the form to appeal
23	his denial. And that's form from IP7.02Q, which is form
24	255, STP form 255.
25	Q So, after Mr. Harrison called you, did you arrange



1	to meet with Mr. Saporito?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Was that the your initial contact with him?
4	Had you ever met him before?
5	A That was my initial contact with Mr. Saporito.
6	Q You'd never met him before?
7	A No, ma'am.
8	Q Had you ever spoken with him before?
9	A No.
10	Q So, it's standard for you to if you're the
11	acting department head, it would be standard for you to
12	attend a meeting like this? Is there a name for it?
13	Termination meeting, or
14	A It's the separation.
15	Q Separation. Okay.
16	Then did you proceed somewhere to meet with Mr.
17	Saporito?
18	A Yes, I did. I proceeded to the Nuclear Support
19	Center, to Mr. Bohner's office.
20	Q And you met with Mr. Saporito at that time; is
21	that correct?
22	A That is correct.
23	Q Who else was present?
24	A There was Mr. Bohner, the Quality Assurance
25	Manager, Speak-Out Division; Mr. Rick Cink, an Investigator



for Speak-Out; and myself and Mr. Saporito.

Q Prior to attending that meeting, had you received any instructions from anyone regarding the discussion of the meeting or how to respond to any requests Mr. Saporito might have?

A No.

Q Had you had any debriefings with any attorneys?

A No.

Q All right. What was discussed during your meeting with Mr. Saporito?

A During the meeting, when I first got there, I introduced myself to Mr. Saporito, and he wanted to know who I was and why I was there, and I provided that information to him. I informed him that his unescorted access had been revoked by the station Security Department, and that it was Maintenance's standard practice to return a contract individual back to their parent company if they had lost their unescorted access privilege.

I informed him that I did make that decision and that was going to occur. I also informed him that, under the station policies, that he had the right to appeal this decision of having his escort being denied. And I gave him the procedure numbers by which the separation was being conducted and that he has the ability to question this and get a second review of the decision.

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, Ltd.



1	Q Did Mr. Saporito question you about the
2	circumstances surrounding his termination?
3	A Yes, he did.
4	Q What did he ask you?
5	A He asked me what were the specific reasons for his
6	escort access being denied. I told him I did not know the
7	particulars of it. All I knew is that his access had been
8	denied.
9	Q Was that correct? Did you, in fact, have any
10	idea?
11	A I had no idea of why. All I knew is that his
12	access had been denied.
13	Q You said Mr. Jump did not tell you the reason.
14	Did Mr. Hinson tell you any reasons?
15	A Mr. Hinson nor Mr. Jump did not give me the
16	reasoning behind it.
17	Q When he asked for that explanation, what about Mr.
18	Cink or Mr. Bohner? Did either of them provide an
19	explanation to him?
20	A No, they did not. It was stated to him that he
21	needed to get that information from the Security Department.
22	Q Did anyone tell him who to contact to get that
23	information?
24	A He was told to contact the Security Department.
25	Q Not anyone by name?



1	A I believe not.
2	Q Is that standard procedure?
3	A It's my understanding of the program, yes.
4	Q Had you ever attended a separation interview such
5	as this one before where someone has been, I guess I
6	don't know separated for cause, or had their unescorted
7	access revoked, theoretically?
8	A This is the first one.
9	Q Okay. So this is the first one that you had
10	attended?
11	A Yes.
12	Q All right.
13	How often do you act for Mr. Sharp?
14	A Quite often. Anytime that Mr. Sharp is sick, away
15	from the station for either personal business, vacation,
16	company business, I am his replacement.
17	Q And you'd never been involved in an interview like
18	this one; is that correct?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q Do you have any idea how often something like this
21	happens?
22	MR. BAER: You mean how often separation
23	interviews happen?
24	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
25	Q For cause, like I said?



1	A I have no knowledge of how often they occur. My
2	belief is I have not heard of many of them, so I don't
3	think it's a very common thing.
4	Q So, he Mr. Saporito was not provided with an
5	explanation, because you didn't know; is that correct?
6	A That is correct. I do not know, even today, all
7	of the details behind why his escort access was revoked.
8	Q But, during this meeting, you've said you didn't
9	know at all; is that correct?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q Did you even know they had anything to do with an
12	adjudication meeting or omission of information from his
13	employment application? Were you aware of that?
14	A No. I was not aware of any of that.
15	Q Did you discuss anything else during that meeting
16	with Mr. Saporito?
17	A We discussed a lot of things. Mr. Saporito wanted
18	us to hold a meeting with Mr. Hall, Mr. Jordan, the quality
19	ASSURANCE ME Jordan, craft some craft people, and his
20	foreman, where he wanted to tell us what he had found wrong
21	with the way we do business, and how we had jeopardized the
22	health and safety of the public.
23	He also told us that he had some files and what
24	not in his residence in Bay City removed against his will,
25	and that he felt that maybe the South Texas people had some



- involvement in that. He stated that he knew that a lot of
 our security people were ex-FBI people, who knew how to get
 into places and pick locks. And he provided a lot of
 speculation that we, as a team, had removed documents from
 his residence.
- Q Do you know if that's true? Are there a lot of FBI -- ex-FBI agents working here?
 - A I do not know that.
 - Q Okay. Go ahead.

A Mr. Saporito said that he wanted all of his personal tools and effects brought to him. And I had ensured that that would happen. And we brought all of his tools. He gave us the locations of his equipment. I had an I&C technician pick that equipment up and bring it to him up here in the NSC.

Mr. Saporito also stated, during the same period of time, that he was going to file, under 42 USC 5851, the implementing requirements of the employment protection provision of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended. He felt that he was a professional nuclear worker, and had the responsibility of ensuring that the station was being operated in a way that would protect the health and safety of the public, and he felt that we were not doing that.

And he stated that he had knowledge that we were,

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, Ltd.



1	in fact, violating the station's technical specification
2	6.8.1.
3	Q What's that?
4	A I believe that has to do with procedural
5	adherence.
6	Q Did he mention the fact that he had filed this
7	2.206 petition or that he had talked with the NRC?
8	A I don't recall anything specific to that. He did
9	state that he thinks that his access was denied to prevent
10	him from identifying other violations or falsifications.
11	Q So, did that give you the impression that he had
12	already identified what he considered to be other problems?
13	A I speculated, after mulling this over in my mind,
14	while I was writing up my notes, that possibly he had
15	already made some comments to the NRC. At the time it
7	didn't register on me one way or the other.
17	Q Did you give Mr. Saporito instructions on how to
18	Appear his access denial, if he wished to do so?
19	A At least twice, yes. One at the start of the
20	meeting, when I introduced myself, an gave him the
21	procedures by which this interview was being conducted, and
22	that he had the right to appeal, and that the forms would be
23	provided to him.
24	And then, at the conclusion of the meeting, when
25	he chose to leave, before he left, I, again, restated that



1	he had the right to appeal, gave him the form 255, and also
2	asked him and told him that we needed him to get his
3	whole body count done before he left and process out.
4	Q What did he say?
5	A He said that he would wait a few minutes to go and
6	get his whole body count, and that he was going to go and
7	have lunch, and that we would that he would be back then
8	after lunch. Mr. Cink went with him to lunch. And during
9	that time I was in the process of getting a foreman over to
0	escort Mr. Saporito over to the central processing facility
1	to get his whole body count and also process out the rest
.2	the give him the badge back, the site badge back.
.3	It's standard process that the foreman of an
4	individual who is leaving our employment be the one that
.5	takes him over to central processing. And that's really an
.5	action to make sure that they get there, that the whole body
.7	is done and that all the company property is surrendered
18	back to us.
19	That's standard procedure.
0.0	It took about 45 minutes for my foreman to show
21	up.
22	And, by that time, Mr. Saporito had finished his
23	lunch, chose not to come back up to Mr. Bohner's office, but
24	rather to leave the job site, and he left.

What did you do then? Did he take his badge with

24

25



1	him and all of that? I don't know what else he'd have
2	really besides his badge.
3	A I would I didn't see him leave, so I do not
4	know what he had with him. I knew he had his personal
5	effects.
6	I can't tell you if he had his badge or not. I
7	know that he did not go over to get his whole body count.
8	Q Could he have done so without an escort?
9	A Yes.
10	Q That's not behind a protected area then
11	A It is not in the protected area.
12	Q Did you have any subsequent meetings with Mr.
13	Saporito?
14	A No.
15	Q Did you have any subsequent conversations with
16	him?
17	A No.
18	Q Did you attempt to reach him and get try to get
19	him fully processed out?
20	A Yes, I did.
21	Q About 1:00 o'clock on the 21st, 1:00 p.m. on the
22	21st, I had called his residence in Bay City. The phone was
23	busy. I attempted the second time and the phone was busy.
24	And then, on the third attempt, I received his answering
25	machine, which I gave him my name and my title again. I



1	asked him to call back, and also to come back to the station
2	and get his whole body count, and that it was his right to
3	receive the whole body count. I asked him to call me, if he
4	needed to, and that we would make sure that we had the
5	personnel here to do the whole body count.
6	I hung up, and I made sure that my phone was in
7	attendance with my secretary up until a little after 5:00
8	o'clock that night, and I received no phone call.
9	Q Did you hear from Mr. Saporito the following week?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q Did he ever get his whole body count, to your
12	knowledge?
13	A I have no knowledge I have no first-hand
14	knowledge that he did.
15	Q But you understand that he did?
16	A I understand that he has. Yes.
17	Q Did you make any other attempts to reach him by
18	phone or by letter?
19	A I did not.
20	Q Have you received any correspondence from Mr.
21	Saporito since your first and only meeting with him?
22	A No.
23	Q Whose responsibility is it to notify the
24	contractor, in this case, Sun Technical?
25	A Sun Technical was his representing firm.



1	It is the responsibility for the Contracts
2	Department to officially notify the contract company of any
3	actions that they're associated with.
4	Q And how did they get the information?
5	A They get that from, in this case, the division
6	manager and myself.
7	Q Was that Mr. DeLong?
8	A That was Mr. DeLong, yes.
9	Q And what did the two of your do? Did you call
10	them?
11	Did you have to fill out a form, or both?
12	A The process that we did, is that we did call up
13	the Sun Rich DeLong called up the Sun representative, and
14	that was at my request, to inform them that one of their
15	technicians had their escort access denied, and that we were
16	returning him back to the company.
17	At the same time, Rich DeLong was also directed by
18	me to contact the Contracts Division and inform them that
19	they needed to official channel notify Sun that one of their
20	individuals had their access denied, and that we were
21	returning the individual. And that occurred
22	Q Go ahead. And that was on the 21st?
23	A I know the verbal call to the Sun representative
24	was made on the 21st. I do not know when the official
25	channel contacted Sun.



1	Q Did you provide let me rephrase that.
2	Was a reason provided to Sun Tech as to why Mr.
3	Saporito access was revoked?
4	A No.
5	Q Is that standard, to not provide a reason?
6	A Yes, it is standard. The access was denied so,
7	therefore, we cannot employ a person. And that's all they
8	need to know.
9	Q So, if they asked for a reason would one have been
10	provided to them?
11	A I could only give you speculation on that. I have
12	not dealt with that before, so I wouldn't know. I can
13	speculate, that's all I can do.
14	Q So, is there a procedure that address that?
15	A I can't answer that. I don't know that.
16	Q What would you be basing your speculation on, just
17	opinion?
18	A My opinion.
19	Q What is your opinion?
20	A My opinion is that I would not tell them. All
21	they need to know is that one of their contract people's
22	access had been denied and, therefore, we couldn't use
23	The reasons behind it, it is no concern of mine. It is
24	in my opinion, it is not a concern of theirs. Whatever the
25	issue is between the individual and the Security Department,



1	and their determination.
2	Q Are you familiar with the circumstances
3	surrounding Mr. Saporito's unescorted access revocation now?
4	A Not fully, no. I know that there were some
5	irregularities in his employment application. As to the
6	specifics, I do not know.
7	Q Are you aware of any other revocation of
8	unescorted access on any other contracting employees that
9	have worked over in your department?
10	A No.
11	Q Have you attended any other meetings that Mr.
12	Saporito's situation was discussed at?
13	A Yes.
14	Q How many?
15	A Three.
16	Q Okay.
17	MR. BAER: Is that an accurate number, or is that
18	a best guess?
19	THE WITNESS: It's a best guess.
20	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
21	Q Okay. When was the first one?
22	A The first one HL&P's lawyer, not Mr. Baer,
23	another lawyer, had a meeting for fact finding, relative to
24	Mr. Saporito. And that occurred shortly after the 21st.
25	That meeting was held to disclose all of the information



1	surrounding the case at that time. And I attended that.
2	Q When you say not Mr. Baer, do you mean someone
3	from Newman & Holtzinger, or is this someone from HL&P?
4	A The an HL&P lawyer, one that's on retainer.
5	2 Who was that?
6	A I can't remember the gentleman's name.
7	Q And he was gathering facts? Is that what you
8	said?
9	A He was looking for information, yes.
10	Q Regarding the 2.206 that had been filed or
11	regarding Mr. Saporito's termination from the site?
12	A It as information on Mr. Saporito and the
13	reasoning behind the action taken to revoke his access.
14	Q Who was present at that meeting besides yourself
15	and this attorney?
16	A Mr. Bohner, Mr. Rick Cink, Mr. Watt Hinson, the
17	lawyer and one of his associates, and Mr. Jump came in once
18	or twice into the meeting and was back and forth a couple of
19	times.
20	Q So, both the attorney and his associate were HL&P
21	employees, rather than working for private law firms? Is
22	that correct?
23	A I believe that to be
24	MR. BAER: Do you know that?
25	THE WITNESS: I do not know that.



1	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
2	Q It wasn't Mr. Baer?
3	A No, it was not.
4	Q Was it Mr. Newman?
5	A No, it was not.
6	Q Okay.
7	Did you receive any instructions from this
8	attorney or his associate regarding how to respond to any
9	questions about Mr. Saporito's access revocation?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What instructions did you receive?
12	A Tell the truth.
13	Q Were you told to refer any questions to anyone
14	else, to someone else? Like, if you got questions, refer
15	them to the attorney or to someone else who worked for HL&P
16	or anything like that?
17	A No. I was told to tell the truth.
18	Q Do you know if Mr. Saporito had filed a complaint
19	with the Department of Labor by that time?
20	A I don't know that personally, no.
21	Q Well
22	MR. BAER: But he had told you that he was filing
23	a complaint; is that correct?
24	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
25	BY MC VAN CLEAVE:



1	Q Well, he told you that at the meeting on February
2	20th; is that right?
3	A That is true.
4	MR. BAER: I'm sorry, what meeting? On what date?
5	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Oh, the 21st, I'm sorry.
6	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
7	Q What was the purpose of the meeting, I mean, other
8	than fact-finding, I mean, did the attorneys mention
9	anything about a complaint being filed by Mr. Saporito or
10	why the meeting was called?
11	A Again, the meeting was called to gather
12	information surrounding Mr. Saporito. And it may have to do
13	with a labor complaint.
14	Q Was that stated during that meeting?
15	A I'm trying to think. And I do not remember if it
16	was specifically stated or not.
17	Q Do you have any notes from that meeting?
18	A Yes.
19	During that meeting
20	Q Okay. You have here you've given me two pieces
21	of paper, and one of them says Gene Oiler, Sun, CNN. What
22	does that mean?
23	A Okay. During the meeting, I was called by one of
24	the technicians in the shop. And I was informed that Mr.
25	Saporito had just called a friend of his. Mr. Gene Oiler.



1	who was also represented by Sun, that Mr. Saporito would be
2	on CNN that night to tell everyone about the problems at the
3	South Texas Project.
4	Q Do we know what night this is? You said it was
5	the you thought it was the following week. And here on
6	the second page you've got
7	A Thursday.
8	Q Thursday. Do you think
9	A I believe it was on that Thursday.
10	Q Then the following Thursday, which would have
11	been, I guess, the 27th of February?
12	A I would only have to speculate on that. I can't
13	tell you.
14	Q Okay. To your knowledge, was Mr. Saporito on CNN?
15	A To my knowledge, he was not.
16	Q Okay. And the second piece of paper says: "I was
17	informed that an IC tech went to speak out. Other
18	investigation ongoing. No name given. Has Sun been
19	contacted?" Is that your name?
20	A That's my name.
21	Q Sanchez? What does this mean?
22	A At that time, there was a couple of people that
23	had gone to speak out with concerns. And that we,
24	management and myself, had been informed that there was some
25	speak-out concerns. There were no names, no specifics



1	given. But, when I went to the meeting, I wanted to make
2	sure that that was brought out, because I didn't know the
3	content of the meeting when I went.
4	Also, during that conversation in the meeting, I
5	was asked if the Sun Corporation had been contacted and told
6	of Mr. Saporito's escort revocation. And so I wrote myself
7	a note to make sure that that had been done. This is my way
8	of saying that I have the action to do that.
9	Q Who called this meeting?
10	A I don't know who called it.
11	Q Who told you to attend?
12	A Licensing.
13	Who in Licensing?
14	A It would have been someone in Licensing to my
15	secretary, who told me that I had a meeting excuse me
16	a meeting to go to.
17	Q For what purpose?
18	A There was no purpose given.
19	Q Is that usual?
20	A Quite often.
21	Q You said you attended other meetings two other
22	meetings?
23	A At least two other. Speak-out was involved in
24	this case. And, after I had made up my notes, I had given
25	THEN DE to Speak-out, and Speak-out had interviewed me at least
die se	the to speak out, and speak out had interviewed me at least



1	two times relative to this case.
2	Q Relative to the revocation of Mr. Saporito's site
3	access or relative to the 2.206 that he filed?
4	A Relative to the 206 filed.
5	Q Were you interviewed regarding his access
6	revocation?
7	A No.
8	Q Do you have any further information about the
9	revocation of his site access? Have you been told anything
10	else?
11	A No.
12	Q Have you gotten any other information about Mr.
13	Saporito anything regarding his background, such as where
14	he worked prior to coming here?
15	A I was provided a series of press releases that
16	were prepared, I understand, by Mr. Saporito. And, in that,
17	there's a lot of information on what he has done, what he is
18	doing.
19	Q Where did you get that?
20	A That was provided to me by HL&P.
21	Q Why?
22	A Because my name's in it, and they wanted to let me
23	know that my name wa in some potential press releases.
24	Q Did Mr. Jump ever tell you about Mr. Saporito's
25	background?

ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, Ltd. Court Reporters



1	A No.
2	Q Did Mr. Sharp ever tell you about Mr. Saporito's
3	background?
4	A Yes. Yes.
5	Q When did he tell you that?
6	A It was after the 21st, when he got back from being
7	off that Friday night ninformed him of what had occurred.
8	He gave me some information that Mr. Saporito had been
9	involved with other items at other stations, and that he was
10	again involved in some of these kinds of actions at other
11	stations
12	Q Did he name the other stations?
13	A No.
14	Q Are you positive?
15	A I don't recall him naming them.
16	Q I don't recall what was in those press releases,
17	so this may be in there. But are you aware that Mr.
18	Saporito worked for Florida Power and Light at one time?
19	A Yes, I do know that.
20	Q Are you aware that he worked at Palo Verde before
21	he came here?
22	A I have been told that, yes.
23	Q When were you told that and by whom?
24	A It was after the 21st. I talked to an I&C
25	technician. He called he saw me in the plant and came



1	over and said, do you know Mr. Saporito? I said, I don't
2	know him really. And he said, well, do you know that he has
3	been under some protected activities in the past? I replied
4	no. And he informed me that he had worked for Florida Power
5	I think it's Florida Power, and that he had been at Palo
6	Verde. That's what he told me.
7	Q When you say "he" had been at Florida Power and
8	Palo Verde, do you mean Mr. Saporito
9	A Mr. Saporito.
10	Q or do you mean the individual you were talking
11	to?
12	A Mr. Saporito had been there at those two stations
13	in the past.
14	Q Who were you talking to?
15	A I am going to have to think about that. I don't
16	know all of the I&C people that are in the Department. This
17	was a conversation, as I was in the plant, and the guy came
18	up. I knew he was an I&C guy, because he had I&C equipment
19	with him. He said, do you know this?
20	Q Why did why would he tell you that?
21	A I have no idea. He felt it necessary to tell me.
22	And I said, okay. I took it.
23	Q What did he tell you, just that he'd worked at
24	Florida Power and Palo Verde?
25	A And that he had been in some activities where he



1	had made	some allegations against the utilities and not
2	doing who	at was right to protect the health and safety of the
3	public.	
4	Q	I still don't understand why this individual would
5	feel it	necessary to tell you.
6	A	I don't understand the motivation behind it
7	either.	
8	Q	Did he add anything else besides what you just
9	said?	
10	A	I cut him off. I didn't feel that it had any
11	pertinen	ce to what I was doing, and I didn't need to hear
12	any more	. I told him thanks, and kept walking.
13	Q	Do you remember who that was?
14	A	I'm trying. Right now I do not know. Again, I
15	don't kno	ow everybody that's in the plant.
16	Q	Did you hear from him again, that same individual?
17	A	No, I have not.
18	Q	Was this after the 21st of February?
19	A	That is correct.
20	Q	Do you recall how long after, approximately?
21	A	A week.
22	Q	Did you have any knowledge, prior to that
23	conversa	tion that Mr. Saporito had worked at Florida Power
24	and Light	t?
25	A	No.



1	Q Did you have any knowledge, prior to that
2	conversation that Mr. Saporito had worked for Palo Verde?
3	A No.
4	MR. BAER: What was the answer to the previous
5	question?
6	THE WITNESS: No.
7	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
8	Q Are you absolutely positive about that?
9	A To the best of my knowledge, yes. I do not recal
10	any.
12	Q Mr. Sharp had just stated that he had worked for
12	some other plants, and did not give specifics; is that your
13	testimony?
14	A That's the best of my recollection, yes.
15	Q Okay. Do you have any other records or notes that
16	I don't have?
17	A You have them all.
18	Q Wait a minute. I think we missed a meeting.
19	Did we only do two meetings? I think we only did
20	two meetings. You said you had at least three?
21	A At least three. There may have been more with
22	Speak-gut. I have had a couple of meetings with Mr. Baer.
23	Q In preparation for this interview or in group
24	discussions?
25	A In preparations for interviews.



1	Q One-on-one?
2	A One-on-one.
3	Q Any meetings? Any other meetings that you can
4	think of that you've been that you've attended where Mr.
5	Saporito's access revocation was discussed?
6	A No.
7	Q Has Mr. Jump discussed this with you?
8	A No.
9	Q The two of you have not talked about this
10	situation?
11	A No.
12	Q Are you familiar with the adjudication process at
13	all?
14	A Not really, no. I know what adjudication is, but
15	I am not familiar with the process at South Texas.
16	Q Or the procedures that are followed, or what can
17	lead to access revocation or denial? Are you familiar with
18	any of those things?
19	A I am more familiar with them now than I was at the
20	time. At the time, no, I was not familiar with the process
21	here.
22	Q Have you had any discussion with anyone regarding
23	the adjudication process and what type of actions can
24	constitute cause for revocation or denial?
25	A There is a new policy out now that gives all of

Court Reporters 1612 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 20006

1	the conditions by which access can be revoked. And I have
2	reviewed that along with a lot of the other station people,
3	and I have discussed that amongst my division managers and
4	myself.
5	Q I believe that became effective in May of this
6	year; is that correct?
7	A I don't know when it was effective. It's very
8	very new. I've been gone for three weeks, so my local
9	calendar is a little off right now. It is just very very
10	new.
11	Q What about the procedures prior to the institution
12	of this new procedure? Were you familiar with that?
13	A No.
14	Q Are there any other meetings, conversations,
15	discussions, debriefings that you can think of in which Mr.
16	Saporito's access revocation was discussed?
17	A No. The only conversations I've had with it,
18	again, is one with Joe Sharp, the hew foreman - handled
19	what occurred in his absence; the Speak-out meetings where
20	they were getting the information; and the one lawyer for
21	HL&P, in that meeting; and then the meetings that I've had
22	with Mr. Baer.
23	Q And you're positive that Mr. Sharp did not name
24	the nuclear plants that Mr. Saporito had worked for prior to
25	coming here?

Court Reporters 1612 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

A

1	A To the best of my recollection, I do not recall
2	him telling me if or where Mr. Saporito had worked.
3	Q Did he tell you that he had worked for more than
4	one other plant?
5	A He said that he has worked at other utilities.
6	Q Uh-huh. Did he give you specifics regarding any
7	complaints or 2.206's or concerns he may have taken to the
8	NRC? What did he tell you about Mr. Saporito's past?
9	A He gave me no specifics. He told me that Mr.
.0	Saporito had been involved with complaints against other
1	utilities. And complaints is my word.
.2	Q Involved, do you mean making complaints?
.3	A Making complaints.
.4	Q Did Mr. Sharp mention any other DOL filings that
.5	Mr. Saporito may have made?
.6	A No.
.7	Now, this meeting and that was several months
.8	ago. This meeting was a meeting in which I informed him of
.9	all of the activities that had occurred not only with Mr.
0.0	Saporito, but relative to the work activities and our
21	conduct, if you will, over the time he was gone. And there
22	was a lot of things discussed. Mr. Saporito was a really
23	very very small part of the whole conversation. I don't
24	recall all of that conversation. It was a long time ago.

How long had Mr. Sharp been gone?

25



1	A I believe he left Thursday night. That would have
2	been the 20th of February '92. And he returned the
3	following Monday.
4	Q So, he was only gone from the site one day?
5	A One day.
6	Q Why did he tell you that Mr. Saporito had filed
7	complaints at other plants?
8	A That would be speculation, on my behalf. I would
9	speculate he told me that as a bit of information that says
0	that occurred before.
1	Q Did he give you any instructions about how do
12	handle any questions about Mr. Saporito, or if Mr. Saporito,
13	himself, contacted you or anything like that?
4	A He told me to be cooperative and work with
15	whomever I needed to work with to resolve the issues and
16	follow the procedures.
17	Q Did he mention to you how he became aware of Mr.
18	Saporito's past activities?
19	A He had the meeting that I told you about that
20	had occurred prior to the 20th of February, where Mr. DeLong
21	and myself who Mr. Sharp had met and Mr. Sharp said
22	that we had an individual within our Department who had a
23	concern.
24	Q Uh-huh.

25

Okay. What was the question again? I got side-



1	tracked here.
2	Q I was asking you if Mr. Sharp told you how he
3	became aware of Mr. Saporito's past past activities, I
4	mean, regarding Florida Power, Palo Verde, and other nuclear
5	plants?
6	A No. I was speculating. I was going to bring you
7	back to that one meeting that he may had some information at
8	that time. He had met with Mr. Hall and some other people
9	and Mr. Kinsey. And they had that's where he was
10	informed that we had an individual within our department
11	that had some concerns.
12	Q So, by that time, then you had associated the
13	2.206 with Mr. Saporito?
14	A I had a hunch that the two were connected. I had
15	no facts at that time to I had, from Mr. Saporito's
16	interview that he said he was going to file that he was
17	going to sue the company.
18	Q When you met with Mr. Sharp, I presume that was
19	the Monday, the 24th?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q Did he tell you of that 2.206 petitioner?
22	A No. He did not say those words.
23	Q Did he imply that? Did you gather that he must
24	have been from anything that Mr. Sharp said?
25	A I speculated that the two were connected.



1	Q Did you ask him?
2	A No.
3	Q In the meeting that you attended with Rich DeLong
4	and Mr. Sharp
5	MR. BAER: Which one is this now?
6	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Prior to the 21st of February,
7	and we don't know the exact date, somewhere between several
8	weeks before and one week before.
9	BY MS. VAN CLEAVE:
10	Q Did Mr. Sharp mention the 2.206 petitioner having
11	any prior activity at other plants?
12	A Not to my recollection, no.
13	Q But you had referred back to that earlier meeting.
14	And I just wondered if there was something in your mind that
15	
16	A Yes. All I was doing was I'm curious like
17	everyone else. And when I get a bit of information and
18	another bit of information, I try to put it all together to
19	make sense of what's going on. And so it was speculation on
20	my behalf, trying to take these bits of information and
21	trying to make them come together. Were they the same?
22	Q So, this was just kind of guessing, on your part,
23	more than any specific information that you received; is
24	that correct?
25	A That's correct.



1	Q Okay.
2	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Mr. Sanchez, have I threatened
3	you in any manner or offered you any reward in return for
4	your statement?
5	THE WITNESS: No.
6	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Is there anything further that
7	you would like to add for the record?
8	THE WITNESS: No. I've given you all of the
9	information that I can recall. It was several months ago.
10	The statement, or the typed up bit of information that I put
11	together was put together that evening, and I typed it at
12	home so that I could recall all of the information of the
13	meeting of that day. And, to the best of my remembrance,
14	everything that I've given you is all of the facts that I
15	have on this case. And it's reflected her in this paper.
16	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Okay. And you have provided me
17	with a copy of that?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MS. VAN CLEAVE: Two copies actually.
20	Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate it. We
21	are off the record.
22	[Whereupon, at 2:28 o'clock p.m., on Monday, July
23	27th, 1992, the above entitled interview was concluded.]
24	I HAVE READ THE FOREGONE TRANSCRIPT, MADE AND INITIALED ANY
25	
	EACH PAGE. THIS TRANSCRIPTIS THE TIZUTH to THE BEST OF MY
	KNUWLEDGE AND BELIEF DR DOWN 9-17-92
	ANN RILEY & ASSOCIATES, Ltd.

Court Reporters 1612 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 20006 (202) 203,3050

1

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

in the matter of:

NAME OF PROCEEDING: Daniel Sanchez

DOCKET NUMBER:

PLACE OF PROCEEDING: Bay City, Texas

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

- 1 im le riteside

Official Reporter Ann Riley & Associates, Ltd.

