17062

DOCKETED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

'95 AUG 30 P3:07

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman Dr. Jerry R. Kline Dr. Peter S. Lam USI ICE OF STORETARY

In the Matter of

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Atlanta, Georgia

Georgia Tech Research Reactor

Renewal of License No. R-97

Docket No. 50-160-0M ASLBP NO. 95-710-01-0M

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY'S RESPONSE TO GANE'S AMENDED PETITION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

Licensee Georgia Institute of Technology ("Georgia Tech")
responds to the Amended Petition for Leave to Intervene in
Consideration of Application for Facility License Conversion
from High-Enriched Uranium to Low-Enriched Uranium Fuel, filed
by Georgians Against Nuclear Energy ("GANE") on August 21, 1995
as follows:

In its Order dated July 31, 1995, the Board directed GANE, as intervenor, to, one, advise that Mr. Robert Johnson, the individual upon whose statement of interest GANE's claim to standing rests, "wishes GANE to represent his interest in this as well as the renewal proceeding;" and, two, to set forth the

contentions it wishes to assert in this proceeding, including the information set forth in 10 C.F.R. § 2.714 (b) and (d). In its Amended Petition, GANE fails to comply with either directive.

With respect to Mr. Johnson, GANE states that it

"represents in this proceeding, Robert Johnson, . . . His

standing has been established in the License Renewal proceeding
on this docket which GANE has maintained since October 1994."

GANE has not provided any indication from Mr. Johnson that he

wishes GANE to represent him in this proceeding in addition to

its representation of him in the renewal proceeding. It was
this indication which the Board specifically directed GANE to

supply. Its failure to follow this directive constitutes

grounds upon which to base a denial of GANE's request for

hearing.

With respect to the contentions it seeks to assert in this proceeding, GANE's Amended Petition is vague and difficult to interpret. GANE states that Georgia Tech "is risking the public health and safety in its conversion plan. . . GANE finds it unacceptable to operate a reactor in the middle of a large population center. . . At the minimum we call on Georgia Tech configure (sic) its reactor properly to receive and use the low-enriched uranium fuel." These statements fall far short of the information which is required by 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.714 (b) and (d). In particular, GANE has failed to provide a brief explanation of the contention, a concise statement of the

alleged facts or expert opinion supportive of such contention, or sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists on a material issue of law or fact. 10 C.F.R. § 2 714 (b) (i), (ii and (iii).

For the above reasons and for those stated in the Staff's Response to GANE's Request for Hearing, Georgia Tech respectfully requests that GANE's Amended Petition be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. BOWERS

174567

Attorney General

DENNIS R. DUNN

234098

Senior Assistant Attorney General

PATRICIA GUILDAY

315113

Assistant Attorney General

PLEASE ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO:

PATRICIA GUILDAY
Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Department of Law
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-1300

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION '95 AUG 30 P3:55

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

DEFICE OF SECRETARY PRANCH

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman Dr. Jerry R. Kline Dr. Peter S. Lam

In the Matter of

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Atlanta, Georgia

Georgia Tech Research Reactor

Renewal of License No. R-97

Docket No. 50-160-OM ASLBP NO. 95-710-01-0M

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Georgia Institute of Technology's Response to Gane's Amended Petition for Leave to Intervene have been served upon the following persons by U.S. Mail, except as otherwise noted and in accordance with the requirement of 10 C.F.R. Sec. 2.712:

Administrative Judge Peter S. Lam Atomic Safety And Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop: T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Administrative Judge
Jerry R. Kline
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq.
Susan S. Chidakel, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Glenn Carroll Georgians Against Nuclear Energy Post Office Box 8574 Atlanta Georgia 30306

This 300 day of Compat

Administrative Judge
Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Randy A. Nordin, Esq. E. Gail Gunnels, Esq. Georgia Int itute of Technology 400 10th Street Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Docketing and Service Branch U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

PATRICIA GUÍLDAY LA LA LA Assistant Attorney General